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Observations, data and assessments concerning atmospheric N₂O are reviewed, in order to present the current state of knowledge on this subject. Background information from microbiology, soil science, and oceanography is provided to facilitate understanding of the complicated processes in soil and water which are responsible for the release of N₂O to the atmosphere. The problem of increasing use of industrial fertilizers and combustion of fossil fuels is discussed in so far as atmospheric N₂O is concerned.
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Introduction

Recently, increasing interest has been focussed on nitrous oxide (N₂O) as a potential destruc tant of the earth’s stratospheric ozone shield. Since all types of fixed (combined) nitrogen participate in global cycles, it is believed that a reduction of the stratospheric ozone may result from the increasing use of man-made nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture. While there is general agreement that this problem should be carefully investigated, its urgency is open to question: imminent danger?—9 or a longterm problem?—6, 11, 12.

The discussion has centered on estimates of the turn-over time of N₂O in the troposphere and the role of the oceans in the life cycle of atmospheric N₂O. In this paper, an attempt has been made to summarize what is known about the cycle of atmospheric N₂O. The validity of data when extrapolated to a global scale will be discussed.

1. Atmospheric Distribution of N₂O

Data on N₂O in ambient air?—2, 13, 14, 15, 18, 12 show that at ground level atmospheric N₂O is relatively uniformly distributed throughout the two hemispheres, although the data collected from 1968 to 1973 over the Atlantic?—2, 14, 18, 19, 24 seem to indicate a certain latitudinal distribution of ground level N₂O. Lower mixing ratios (molar fractions) of N₂O were observed north of 50°N, relatively high values between 50°N and 30°N, and low values between 30°N and 10°N increasing again as one proceeds southward. Since in the Pacific no such distribution was found?—2, one may assume that the latitudinal distribution of ground level N₂O may be due to very active N₂O sources in the latitude belt between 50°N and 30°N and extremely low production in the Sahara desert. Except for areas with very active sources (e.g., the area of Mainz, W.-Germany) or with very low net N₂O production, average values of measurements over more than a few days cover the range from 250 to 300 ppb N₂O by volume. It is interesting to note that the earliest measurements by Birkeland and Krogh?—2, Adel?—2, and by Miller?—5 yielded mixing ratios in ground level air of about 500 ppb (v). These early data seem to be somewhat high, as compared to values obtained in subsequent years using improved techniques. Birkeland and Krogh?—2, Adel?—2, and by Miller?—5 yielded mixing ratios in ground level air of about 500 ppb (v). A set of 36 measurements carried out by Shaw?—20 in Columbus (Ohio) over the period from July 1958 to February 1959 yielded an average N₂O mixing ratio of 280 ppb (v) with a range of scatter from 240 to 320 ppb (v). (In barns and greenhouses, the N₂O level was found to be significantly higher.) Beyond the relatively wide scatter of data, there seems to be no systematic trend. It should be pointed out, however, that recent data on ambient N₂O?—2, 23—32 are...
rather at the high end of the range of scatter and in some cases even beyond this range. Since different analytical methods were used, it cannot be decided whether this indicates an increase in tropospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) or is due to calibration errors in the different methods used.

Trends in the variation of the tropospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) level will not only be obscured by the horizontal distribution and its possible variation but also by the occurrence of long-term fluctuations. Daily measurements in Mainz (W-Germany) over a 3 year period, which represent the largest set of consistent data on ground level \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) available at present, revealed that tropospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) apparently undergoes long-term fluctuations. This observation is supported by data from a mountain station in the Blackforest (W-Germany) and by data measured by Goody \(^3\) in Milton, Mass. (USA). The long-term fluctuations appear to occur simultaneously over large areas, perhaps even world-wide, although there are obviously local components superimposed on them. The fluctuations are shown in Fig. 1 (taken from Schütz et al. \(^3\)) for the area of Mainz (W-Germany), the Schauinsland mountain station (Blackforest, W-Germany), and the area of Milton (Mass.). Apparently, the fluctuations are not bound to the seasons and may amount to \( \pm 15 \) percent of the average value of 2 consecutive years. Comparison of the curves indicates that local factors may be of the same order of magnitude.

At present, there is no explanation for these apparently irregular fluctuations. Possible causes may be short-term climatic changes affecting the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) production on the continents (in particular, variations in the amount of precipitation) and non-seasonal changes in the temperature of the sea surface water.

In a recent note, Newell and Weare \(^3\) reported on a spatial and temporal correspondence between changes in Pacific sea surface temperature and changes in tropospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) apparent from the data after seasonal trends had been removed. The authors suggested that in the case of reduced upwelling in the eastern tropical Pacific, the supply of nutrients and, therefore, photosynthesis is lower than average leading to a \( \text{CO}_2 \) supersaturation of surface water which becomes warm by radiation. Thus, \( \text{CO}_2 \) is released to the atmosphere. With increased upwelling, more nutrients are available for photosynthesis. This may lead to an uptake of atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) by the ocean, if the loss of \( \text{CO}_2 \) due to increased photosynthesis exceeds the effect of warming the water on the solubility of \( \text{CO}_2 \).

We may extend this speculation to tropospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), although the time span covered by continuous \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements is actually not long enough. The available data indicate a negative correlation of long-term fluctuations of tropospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) with the non-seasonal variation of the Pacific sea surface temperature as given by Newell and Weare \(^3\). Accepting Newell and Weare's idea, such a negative correlation might be explained as follows: In the case of reduced upwelling, we have less microbial production of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the water column (see chapter 3, section A) so that the surface water is less supersaturated with \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), despite a relative warming of the water, and less \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) is released to the atmosphere. Conversely, with increased upwelling (lower temperatures of surface water) we have a larger microbial production of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in sea water and, therefore, a higher \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) supersaturation supported by an eventual warming of the upwelling water leading to a higher flux of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) into the atmosphere.

Recent \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements by Rasmussen et al. \(^2\) in the eastern tropical Pacific showed sea water in areas with more upwelling to be substantially supersaturated with \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) giving some support to these rather speculative assumptions. The atmospheric turn-over times of both \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) are on the order of 5 - 15 years (see below). Thus, the good correlation between the modulation of atmospheric \( \text{CO}_2 \) and the (El Nino related) variation of the East Pacific ocean circulation would also suggest global effects on atmospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), if the marine source of atmospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in this area is sufficiently large. From the results obtained by Rasmussen and co-workers, one may conclude that this is indeed the case (see chapter 3, section B, paragraph b). The effect on atmospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) could, of course, be amplified by a simultaneous variation of the water...
circulation in other upwelling areas such as along
the West African coast and by increased release of
N₂O from soil due to the unusually extensive rainfalls
in productive areas of the continents.

The mean vertical distribution of atmospheric
N₂O as a result of measurements by Schütz et al. 13,
Murcray et al. 35, Harries et al. 36-37, Ehhalt et al. 38,
and Ehhalt et al. 39 is given in Figure 2. The dashed
results with respect to the number of measurements
performed at one geographical location. Assuming
an average tropopause height of 16 km in latitudes
< 30° and of 11 km in latitudes 1 ≥ 30°, these
mixing ratios relate to a total tropospheric N₂O
burden of \((1.70 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{14}\) g with an ad-
ditional stratospheric burden of about \(0.17 \times 10^{15}\) g.

2. Tropospheric Turn-over Time of N₂O and
Global Production Rate

A very important quantity in the cycle of atmo-
spheric N₂O is its residence time in the troposphere
\(T = M/Q\), where \(Q\) is the average global tropospheric
source strength. According to Bolin and Rodhe 40,
"turn-over time" is a more adequate term for this
quantity. Under steady state conditions, \(Q\) is equal
to the total tropospheric sink \(S\). Unfortunately, it is
very difficult to obtain direct values for \(Q\), \(S\), or \(T\).

However, there are several ways to indirectly esti-
mate the average tropospheric turn-over time of N₂O
from the data available. These ways will be discussed
in more detail:

1) If \(Q(t)\) and \(S(t)\) are the global tropospheric
source and sink strengths at any time \(t\), long-term
steady state requires \(Q(t) = S(t)\), where the bars
denote average values for time periods \(\Delta t^*\) of several
years. For time periods \(\Delta t\) considerably shorter
than \(\Delta t^*\), \(M(t)\) will vary according to

\[
\frac{\Delta M(t)}{\Delta t} = Q(t) - S(t).
\]

Since \(\Delta M(t)/\Delta t\) fluctuates about zero and \(Q(t)\) and
\(S(t)\) are > 0 and approximately equal to \(\bar{M}/T\), we
have

\[
|\Delta M/\Delta t| < \bar{M}/T \quad \text{or} \quad T < |\Delta t \bar{M}/\Delta M|.
\]

As mentioned above, the records of tropospheric
N₂O from Mainz, the Blackforest, and Milton (Mass.)
show similar trends over periods of 1/2 to 1 year.
This quite certainly indicates more than regional
and most likely hemispheric variations of \(M\). Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>average N₂O mixing ratio (ppb)</th>
<th>Variation of N₂O mixing ratio ((\Delta r)) (ppb)</th>
<th>in (\Delta t) (years)</th>
<th>Upper limits of (\bar{M}) turn-over time (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mainz (W.-Germany)</td>
<td>June 67 to July 68</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauinsland (Blackforest) (W.-Germany)</td>
<td>June 67 to July 68</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton (Mass., USA)</td>
<td>August 67 to March 68</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainz (W.-Germany)</td>
<td>July 68 to April 69</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gives the relevant information on four time periods when uniform trends were apparent. Three of them are almost simultaneous in the three available records. The variations of $M$ indicate that $T$ should be smaller than about 6 years.

2) The total variance of a set of data $a_t^2$ should be related to the variance due to methodical errors $a_m^2$ and to the variance due to the data themselves $a_d^2$ by the equation

$$a_d^2 = a_t^2 - a_m^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

At the Blackforest station, where the 2 years average was close to the average tropospheric mixing ratio of 260 ppb (v) $N_2O$, the $a_t$ for the years 1967 and 1968 was found to be 9.2%. With this $a_t$ and a $a_m$ of 4.5%, $a_d$ of 8% is obtained. Daily measurements of $CO_2$ in ambient air during the same time period and at the same location yielded an average $a_d$ for $CO_2$ of 2.3%. This relates to an average photosynthetic turn-over time of tropospheric $CO_2$ of 10−15 years. 42. Because in both cases the sources appear to have been located in that region and because the mixing processes in the atmosphere are the same for both gases, we can expect that the $a_d$ values are inversely proportional to the turn-over times of the respective gases. This results in values of 3−4 years for $T_{N_2O}$ in the troposphere. The monthly standard deviations of the Blackforest data were generally higher in the warm season and lower in the cold one. If we assume that the winter values are a better approximation to the mean global situation, because the local influences are smaller in winter, $a_d$ is found to be 4.3% leading to a turn-over time for tropospheric $N_2O$ of 5−8 years.

3) Junge 19 showed that there is a pronounced inverse relationship between the mean standard deviation $a_d$ for the whole troposphere and the turn-over time $T$ for all those tropospheric gases for which independent values of both quantities where available or could be estimated. The average relationship for all gases examined was $a_d T = 0.14$ with $a_d$ given in percent and $T$ in years. Since it is clear that $a_d$ does not only depend on $T$ but also on the time and space distribution of the sources and sinks, it was pointed out that this relationship must be considered a stochastic one and that the constant 0.14 may be off in individual cases by a factor of 3 in either direction. Unpublished calculations with simplified transport models not only confirm the inverse relationship, but also the average value of the constant and its variations due to the distribution of sources and sinks up to a factor of 3 so that we may use this relation with good confidence. Junge 19 estimated $T_{N_2O}$ to be 8 years by considerations similar to those under 1) and 2) and gave a $a_d$ of 8% which was largely based on the long-term fluctuations observed in Mainz, the Blackforest, and in Milton (Mass.). Using the inverse relationship, the constant 0.14 would result in a $T_{N_2O}$ of 1.8 years.

If we consider that the value $a_d = 8\%$ may be high due to the fact that all the observations were made in the northern hemisphere in areas with comparatively active sources, we may assume that the global value of $a_d$ may be lower, perhaps by a factor of 2. If we in addition apply the above mentioned uncertainty of 3, we obtain a $T_{N_2O} = 1.8 \times 2 = 3 = 11$ years as an upper limit which should not be greatly exceeded. Unfortunately, we do not have enough reliable long-term series of $N_2O$ measurements to calculate a better $a_d$ value. In particular, long-term series from the upper troposphere are lacking.

In Table 2, relevant data from series of $N_2O$ measurements in air are compiled including recent data by Craig et al. 23, Craig et al. 30, and Rasmussen et al. 32, 53. The table gives the locations of sampling, the time period, the number of measurements carried out over that time, the average $N_2O$ mixing ratio obtained, the standard deviation of data $a_d$, and the resulting tropospheric $N_2O$ turn-over time calculated by using the $a_d$-T-relationship given above. Further, the analytical method applied in each series of measurements is given. It should be pointed out, however, that the $a_d$-T-relationship can be expected to hold only, if long-term averages of $a_d$ (for the whole troposphere) are used. The series of measurements, which meet this requirement to some degree, are the series from Mainz, from the Blackforest, from Milton, and from the North and South Pacific. The smaller the number of measurements and the shorter the time period covered, the more accidentally local influences are reflected by the value calculated for the turn-over time. Since the $N_2O$ sources seem to be chiefly located at the earth's surface and since they are certainly more variable in time and space over land than over the oceans (see next chapter), one may expect that the data collected over land give smaller values for the $N_2O$ turn-over time in the troposphere than the data collected over the oceans. Also, data collected at ground level should give smaller values for the $N_2O$ turn-over time than data collected in the upper troposphere. This is borne out by the values given in Table 2. With the exception of the data by Craig and co-workers who used a mass spectrometrical method to determine the ratio $N_2O/CO_2$ in the samples collected and who measured the amount of $CO_2$ using the infrared absorption method developed by Keeling (see e.g. Pales and Keeling 44), the values given in Table 2 indicate that the true turn-
Table 2. Variation of tropospheric $N_2O$ from available series of $N_2O$ measurements in air.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Number of measurements</th>
<th>Average mixing ratio ppb(v)</th>
<th>St. Dev. $\sigma$ %</th>
<th>Turn-over time $T(\text{ma})$ years</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mainz (W.-Germany)</td>
<td>Nov. 66 to March 69</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>GC, heat cond. cell</td>
<td>Schütz et al. [1970]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackforest (W.-Germany)</td>
<td>June 67 to Nov. 68</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>GC, heat cond. cell</td>
<td>Schütz et al. [1970]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankfurt-Tokyo, below tropop.</td>
<td>May 67 to May 68</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>GC, heat cond. cell</td>
<td>Schütz et al. [1970]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton (Mass.) USA</td>
<td>May 66 to June 68</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>spectrographic</td>
<td>Goodby [1969]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Atlantic (Iceland-Faroe)</td>
<td>June 70</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>GC, heat cond. cell</td>
<td>Hahn [1973]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-Atlantic</td>
<td>June 71</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>GC, heat cond. cell</td>
<td>Hahn [1975]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska, Texas, Pacific</td>
<td>Aug. 71 to Sept. 73</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>MS, manometricial</td>
<td>Ehhalt et al. [1975]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and South Pacific</td>
<td>Major part of 74</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;28</td>
<td>MS, IR</td>
<td>Craig et al. [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East. Equat. Pacific</td>
<td>May 76</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>&gt;28</td>
<td>MS, IR</td>
<td>Craig et al. [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East. Equat. Pacific</td>
<td>March 76</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>GC, EC detector</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al. [1976 a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana USA, upper troposph.</td>
<td>March 76</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>GC, EC detector</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al. [1976 a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri (USA), upper troposph.</td>
<td>June 76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>GC, EC detector</td>
<td>Rasmussen et al. [1976 b]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

over time of tropospheric $N_2O$ is smaller than 10 years. Until the data by Craig and co-workers have been published with all the relevant details, it is difficult to make any comments on this exception.

Although none of the estimates is very reliable by itself, the combined evidence is strong that the average $N_2O$ turn-over time in the troposphere is between 4 and 12 years with 8 years as the mean value for both hemispheres.

With a total tropospheric burden of $\bar{M} = (1.70 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{15}$ g $N_2O$ and this range of the turn-over time, we obtain for the likely range of global $N_2O$ production $\bar{Q} = (125 - 475) \times 10^{12}$ g $N_2O$ per year and a most likely value of $210 \times 10^{12}$ g $N_2O$ per year (135–1015 g $N_2O$ nitrogen per year).

The values for the average $N_2O$ mixing ratio obtained from recent series of measurements are generally higher than those from previous series (see Table 2). As mentioned above, this cannot be taken to show a trend in the variation of tropospheric $N_2O$, because the data indicate not only that there are variations in time and space but that there is also a problem with the calibration of the analytical methods used. (For further work in this field, it appears highly desirable to intercalibrate the analytical methods in use.) If the data by Rasmussen et al. [1976 a] are correct and the average $N_2O$ mixing ratio in the troposphere is 330 ppb(v) rather than 260 ppb(v), as we assumed, and the turn-over time of tropospheric $N_2O$ is between 5 and 15 years (see Table 2), the likely range of global $N_2O$ production $\bar{Q}$ is calculated to be $(127 - 482) \times 10^{12}$ g $N_2O$ per year with a most likely value of $216 \times 10^{12}$ g $N_2O$ per year which is very close to the values given above.

3. Sources and Sinks of Atmospheric $N_2O$

This chapter deals with the various sources and sinks of atmospheric $N_2O$. After a description of the processes leading to $N_2O$ formation (A), the re
production rates obtained from N₂O measurements are discussed (B). Following a paragraph on possible N₂O sinks (C), an attempt will be made to construct a N₂O balance of the troposphere by extrapolating net production and destruction rates to a global scale (D).

A) Processes of N₂O Formation

As was pointed out in a recent paper¹⁸, there are 3 microbiological processes which seem to account for most of the atmospheric N₂O. These processes are bacterial denitrification, bacterial nitrification, and nitrogen uptake from nitrates and nitrates by phytoplankton and higher plants. In Fig. 3, the
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1. **Fixation**
2. **Denitrification**
3. **Amonification**
4. **Nitrogen Uptake**
5. **stratospheric processes**
6. **Nitrification**

Fig. 3. The global nitrogen cycle. Features relevant for atmospheric N₂O. Wavy line separates processes in soil and aquatic environments, shown in the center of the figure, from atmospheric processes. Dashed line in the boxes of N₂O and N₂ symbolizes exchange of N₂O and N₂, respectively, across the air/sea-soil boundary layer. The reaction pathways for the various processes are marked by different symbols.

aspects of the global nitrogen cycle which are relevant for atmospheric N₂O are summarized. Only the major features are given. Reactions which occur in the troposphere itself involving the oxidation of ammonia and the eventual formation of NO or N₂O by lightning have been omitted. The location of the major sink of atmospheric N₂O is still unknown (see below). Therefore, this sink has not been taken into consideration in the figure. The reaction sequences of the inner cycles encircled by a wavy line (indicating the air/sea-soil boundary) represent microbiological processes in soil, fresh water, and sea water. It should be pointed out that these microbiological processes may be achieved by one species of micro-organisms (denitrification, non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation, nitrogen uptake from nitrate), two different species in turn (bacterial nitrification), or by a multitude of different micro-organisms (ammonification). The total cycle starting at (1) is a system of several subcycles each of which has its own rate.

Subcycle I is the slowest and partly proceeds via 1 → 2 → 3 → 7a → 7b → 9 → 10 → 11 → 1. The cycling time is determined primarily by the rate of N₂O transport from the earth's surface into the stratosphere (7a → 7b) and the rate of the reverse transport of NO₃⁻ (11 → 1). Recent studies suggest a cycling time on the order of 10 years. The same holds for the other part of this subcycle (1 → 2 → 3 → 7a → 7b → 8b → 8a → 5 → 6 → 5 → 4 → 3 → 2 → 1) where again the transport in and out of the stratosphere (7a → 7b and 8b → 8a) determines the cycling time.

Subcycle II proceeding via 1 → 2 → 3 → 7a → 8a → 5 → 6 → 5 → 4 → 3 → 2 → 1 is faster. In this case, the cycling time is largely determined by that section of subcycle II in which both higher plants and animals and various micro-organisms participate (1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 5 → 6 → 5). The average cycling time should be on the order of months.

Subcycle III involving the steps 1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 5 → 6 → 5 → 4 → 3 → 2 → 1 may be even faster than Subcycle II, because it is shorter. A conservative estimate of the cycling time would be on the order of weeks. Both Subcycle II and Subcycle III should be fastest when only micro-organisms are involved because of the rapid succession of generations.

N₂O is formed in step 3 → 7a and is either released to the atmosphere (7a → 7b) or reduced to molecular nitrogen (7a → 8a) which is again either released to the atmosphere (8a → 8b) or transformed to ammonia (8a → 5) and returned to the inner cycle. In the stratosphere, N₂O is destroyed by photolysis and/or chemical reaction with atomic oxygen O(¹D). The reaction products are molecular nitrogen (3b) and nitric oxide (9). Nitric oxide reacts with ozone and ultimately forms NO₃⁻ (11) which is carried back into the troposphere where it is washed out by rain or returned to the earth's surface by dry deposition (11 → 1). Most of the nitrogen in ammonia (5) goes through the loop 5 → 6 → 5 and is trapped in the pool of organic nitrogen compounds (6) after transformation to amino acids, proteins, nucleic acids, humus substances, urea and
others for various lengths of time (immobilisation of nitrogen). The pool of organic compounds comprises living matter in the form of micro-organisms, plants, and animal life, and dead organic matter in the form of humus or organic pellets (in the sea). Nitrogen uptake from ammonia by organisms and bacterial ammonification forms a fast secondary sub-cycle (5-6-5). The cycling time is again determined by the life cycle of the organisms involved which may be described with reproduction, feeding, excretion, and death. Bacterial ammonification produces ammonia from dead organic matter containing nitrogen. The nitrogen of ammonia is taken up again by organisms (5-6) or proceeds in subcycle III (5-4). With respect to N₂O production with nitrogen containing fertilizers as a substrate, this figure indicates that it does not make too much difference in what form combined nitrogen is added to the soil.

The 3 microbiological processes important for the generation of atmospheric N₂O are symbolized by the steps:

\[
1 - 2 - 3 - 7a -(7b) - 8a \quad \text{bacterial denitrification}
\]

\[
5 - 4 - 3 -(7a - 7b) - 2 - 1 \quad \text{bacterial nitrification}
\]

\[
1 - 2 - 3 -(7a - 7b) - 4 - 5 - 6 \quad \text{nitrogen uptake from nitrates}
\]

**Bacterial denitrification** occurs in anoxic habitats in soil, fresh water, and sea water, and in the upper layers of sediments. It is brought about by the activity of a variety of micro-organisms. Starting with nitrate, the sequential products of bacterial denitrification seem to be nitric oxide, an unknown intermediate ("X" in Fig. 3), nitrous oxide, and molecular nitrogen. It was thought that hypoxonitrite could be the unknown intermediate, but since there was no positive evidence found in favor of this compound, some people now believe that nitric oxide could come into question. As long as there is sufficient oxygen in the medium, the micro-organisms preferentially use this for respiration and biochemical oxidation of organic matter. If the oxygen level drops to low values (less than 5% by volume in the soil atmosphere, for example), the microbial population may use both free oxygen and combined oxygen in the form of nitrate at the same time. Under such conditions, N₂O seems to be the main product of denitrification. In a truly anoxic environment, denitrification exhibits the fastest rates with molecular nitrogen being the final product and N₂O only an intermediate. Obviously, there is a certain oxygen concentration in the medium which is optimal for N₂O production during bacterial denitrification (e.g. in soil at 1-5% by volume oxygen in the soil atmosphere).

It is still not established whether N₂O is an obligatory intermediate or not — in Fig. 3, it was assumed for the sake of simplicity that N₂O is an obligatory intermediate — although it can be shown that, in a medium without free oxygen or nitrate and nitrite, N₂O is readily utilized by micro-organisms as a hydrogen acceptor. Further, in a recent paper, Yoshimari and Knowless reported that acetylene specifically inhibits N₂O reduction and causes stoichiometric accumulation of N₂O during reduction of nitrate or nitrite by Pseudomonas perchomari, P. aeruginosa, and Micrococcus denitrificans. In the absence of acetylene there was no or only transient accumulation of N₂O observed. This suggests that, at least for the organisms mentioned, N₂O is an obligatory intermediate.

Another parameter critical for bacterial denitrification is the pH value of the medium. The rate of bacterial denitrification in soil is fastest at pH values between 7 and 8, while the percentage of N₂O in the gaseous products is largest at pH values below 5. Both the oxygen partial pressure and the pH value in soil are determined by such parameters as soil type and structure, moisture content, and the content of organic material. It is obvious, therefore, that a great variety of ecological conditions with respect to N₂O production prevail at the earth's surface.

In sea water, the pH value at all depths is always near the optimum for denitrification. However, denitrification is only seen when the concentration of free dissolved oxygen drops below a certain threshold. This threshold is commonly believed to be 0.2-0.3 ml O₂ per liter sea water which is less than 3 percent of the saturation concentration at 10°C. If the observation by Mechnaer and Wurmann, is correct, this threshold does not apply to all species of denitrifying bacteria. These authors found strains of denitrifiers which were extremely sensitive even to very low oxygen concentrations and others which reduced nitrite at 1.4 to 2.0 percent O₂ by volume in the medium nearly as fast as under anaerobic conditions. There was even one strain which reduced nitrite with maximal rate under true aerobic conditions as long as there was glucose available as a hydrogen donor. Also, it is conceivable that denitrification may proceed in anoxic micro-environments (fecal pellets) with the bulk of sea water being more or less saturated with oxygen.

Previous investigations in the eastern tropical Pacific ocean where oxygen concentrations of less than 0.3 ml O₂ per liter sea water are common at
depths between 100 and 800 meters failed to show N\(_2\)O as a product of the marine denitrification process. Obviously this was also, because the analytical methods available at that time were not sensitive enough. Very recently, Rasmussen et al. found relatively high N\(_2\)O concentrations in the sea water below 200 meters. Here, nitrifying bacteria were more active, and denitrification may occur during fall and winter, and for the nitrogen cycle in tundra soils where denitrification may chiefly occur in summer. The optimum temperature for nitrification is generally between 30 and 35 °C.

In the ocean, it seems that nitrification is more efficient in subsurface water layers (usually below 200 meters). Here, nitrifying microorganisms (e.g., *Nitrosocystis oceanus*) may compete more successfully for the limited amount of available ammonium, since phytoplankton is absent and the supply of organic matter to support heterotrophic microorganisms is smaller.

**Uptake of nitrogen from nitrates** is achieved by phytoplankton and by higher plants. Phytoplankton uses nitrate ion as the source of inorganic nitrogen when living in the lower part of the euphotic zone. In general, the euphotic zone is the surface layer of the oceans (or any other water body) in which the net rate of photosynthesis of phytoplankton is positive. The depth of this zone is governed by the mean amount of solar radiation penetrating the water surface and by the transparency of the water. It varies in the oceans from about 15 meters in moderately turbid coastal waters to 100 meters in the clearest part of the open sea. When primary biological production is low in the sea, the euphotic zone extends below 50–60 meters, and the lower part or at least the bottom of the euphotic zone is within the discontinuity layer formed by the thermocline. Usually, there are more nutrients in the water within or below a discontinuity layer than in surface water.

Oceanic phytoplankton can live on both ammonium and nitrate nitrogen. (The blue-green alga *Trichodesmium* can even utilize molecular nitrogen.) Phytoplankton living near the surface generally prefers ammonium as the inorganic nitrogen source because of the ease of uptake and incorporation into amino acids (for the uptake of nitrate or molecular nitrogen, phytoplankton must synthesize enzymes: nitrate reductase or nitrogen hydrogenase, respectively). Phytoplankton species inhabiting a discontinuity layer above the compensation depth (the depth where oxygen production is just balanced by oxygen consumption) use nitrate to a greater extent than ammonium nitrogen. The uptake of nitrate...
tion of free oxygen in the microbial habitat, but also on the temperature and on the supply of water, organic matter, and combined nitrogen. Thus, it is obvious that a great variety of ecological conditions prevail at the earth's surface with respect to N\textsubscript{2}O production. This is particularly true for soil where the oxygen concentration and the \(p_O\) value are determined by the soil type and structure, the moisture content, and the content of organic matter.

5) Except for the biological processes mentioned, atmospheric processes (lightning) may eventually form another source of tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O. Also, some N\textsubscript{2}O is apparently generated in industrial ammonia oxidation and in burning of fossil fuels.

### B) Relative Importance of the Various Sources of N\textsubscript{2}O

Several series of N\textsubscript{2}O measurements were performed in the past, in order to get an idea of the N\textsubscript{2}O source strength at the earth's surface.

a) N\textsubscript{2}O measurements in soil air\textsuperscript{12,17} and in well water\textsuperscript{18} in the area of Mainz (W-Germany) resulted in estimates of average fluxes of N\textsubscript{2}O from the soil into the atmosphere of \(3.4 \times 10^{-12}\) g cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} for a pararendzina soil developed from loess (unploughed soil covered with grass, weeds, and some fruit trees), \(0.4 \times 10^{-12}\) g cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} for a pararendzina soil with low biological activity derived from sand dunes (covered with scattered grass and weeds and with some pine trees), and \(0.5 \times 10^{-12}\) g cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} for a natural humus soil in a mountainous woodland above the region of agriculture (30 - 100 cm humus over gravel and rock). Recently, desert soil was examined on the island of Sal (Cape Verde Islands). It turned out that even this soil acted as a weak source of N\textsubscript{2}O with a flux rate of less than \(0.1 \times 10^{-12}\) g cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}\textsuperscript{24}. The flux values given are averages for one year. There seem to be seasonal variations with higher fluxes from May to June and December to February. Relatively low values were found from March to April and July to November\textsuperscript{77}. This may be explained by the variation of parameters critical for the rates of bacterial nitrification/denitrification. As mentioned above, such parameters are the temperature, \(p_O\) value, and moisture content of the soil and the availability of organic compounds (dead organic matter and combined nitrogen). Large microbial food supply, high moisture contents (little aeration), high temperatures, and \(p_O\) values of 7 - 8 favor both nitrification and denitrification.

As was shown by Focht\textsuperscript{14}, there is a percentage of aerated pore space which is optimal for the net N\textsubscript{2}O production in soil (in temperate zones). This is consistent with the results obtained by Cady and Bartholomew\textsuperscript{35}. As long as there is sufficient aerated pore space (free oxygen), say more than 25 percent, no denitrification will occur. Under such conditions, N\textsubscript{2}O can only be generated by nitrification. From the results obtained by Arnold\textsuperscript{74}, one may conclude that nitrification is less effective with respect to N\textsubscript{2}O production in soil than denitrification. N\textsubscript{2}O will, therefore, not be generated in larger quantities before denitrification begins at about 20 percent aerated pore space. Then under favorable soil reaction at \(p_O\) values between 7 and 8, the net production of N\textsubscript{2}O increases rapidly with decreasing aeration of the soil, until the aerated pore space has dropped to about 10 percent. With less than 10 percent aerated pore space, the net N\textsubscript{2}O production decreases, because the rate of N\textsubscript{2}O reduction (to molecular nitrogen) increases faster than the rate of N\textsubscript{2}O formation. In other words: The moisture content of the soil which regulates the percentage of aerated pore space is one of the most important parameters for the net N\textsubscript{2}O production in soil. In water-logged soil, where aeration is zero percent, there should be no net N\textsubscript{2}O production, although the rate of denitrification is maximal. Although part of the soil source, the N\textsubscript{2}O production with nitrogen containing fertilizers as a substrate will be treated under man-made sources (see below).

Nothing is known about the net N\textsubscript{2}O production of fresh water. Some very preliminary measurements of Rhine water near Mainz (W-Germany) suggest that fresh water can release N\textsubscript{2}O to the atmosphere. However, the Rhine is not representative of natural fresh water because of the high degree of pollution.

b) Simultaneous N\textsubscript{2}O measurements in sea water and in marine air are a means to study the role of the oceans in the cycle of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O. Only the N\textsubscript{2}O concentration in the surface layer of the ocean is relevant to the question of whether the oceans act as net sources or net sinks of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O. N\textsubscript{2}O measurements were carried out by Craig and Gordon\textsuperscript{79} in the South Pacific ocean, by Junge et al.\textsuperscript{14}, Hahn\textsuperscript{15,16}, and by Yoshinari\textsuperscript{31} in the North Atlantic, and by Rasmussen et al.\textsuperscript{32} and by Craig et al.\textsuperscript{33} in the eastern tropical Pacific.

Unfortunately, Craig and Gordon\textsuperscript{79} were forced to combine samples collected at different geographical locations and at different depths into 3 groups in order to get sufficient CO\textsubscript{2} - N\textsubscript{2}O gas to be analyzed. Thus, little can be said about the N\textsubscript{2}O saturation of the South Pacific surface water. It seems from their results that, in tropical and subtropical latitudes of the South Pacific, the sea water from 0 to 2200 meters in depth is supersaturated with N\textsubscript{2}O with respect to air, but that a high latitudes (45 to 70°S), the atmosphere is supersaturated with N\textsubscript{2}O. More de the North German river water samples showed with surface water supersaturation was found at

...unusually productive as mentioned above. McCartney\textsuperscript{8} with a high picked out represents may easily up the coming years.}
70°S), the mean \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) saturation of the water column from 10 meters down to 1400 meters is less than 100 percent. More detailed measurements were performed in the North Atlantic ocean. During 3 cruises of the German research vessel "Meteor", more than 40 water samples were collected from the sea surface besides other samples from deeper water layers down to 3000 meters. The analysis of these samples showed without any exception that the well mixed surface water layer of the North Atlantic was either supersaturated with \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) with respect to air or at least saturated. It should be pointed out that the samples were collected from a large area of the North Atlantic without any preference for zones of unusually high biological productivity. Using the productivity map by Kobletz-Mishke et al., McElroy and co-workers tried to prove that regions with a high biological productivity were deliberately picked out so that the data could not be taken to be representative for the North Atlantic. The reader may easily verify that this is not the case by looking up the corresponding figure in the paper by McElroy et al. Except for the measurements in the sea over the Iceland-Faroe ridge, samples were collected in areas with moderate or low biological productivity. The lowest saturation values were found just in the area of the Iceland-Faroe ridge where biological productivity is high, particularly in June when the measurements were made. The highest degree of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) saturation was observed in areas with low biological productivity in tropical and subtropical latitudes. The same holds for the data obtained by Craig and Gordon in the South Pacific.

At a later time, Yoshinari also carried out \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements in the North Atlantic. His results confirmed these data. He found essentially the same \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) concentrations in the North Atlantic sea water of comparable latitude, although his analytical method was different. Unfortunately, he did not collect simultaneous air and water samples. Instead, he took 20 samples of marine air in the open North Atlantic and in the Caribbean during March and April 1972 and found an average mixing ratio of 328 ppbv \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \). We, however, found an average mixing ratio of 273 ppbv \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in the marine air over the NE Atlantic one year earlier. Since the results of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements in sea water were nearly identical, and both of us used the same analytical method for air and water samples, this discrepancy should be real and not due to analytical errors. Unfortunately, there are no long-term records of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) mixing ratio in air for the years 1971 and 1972 in the northern hemisphere. Thus, we cannot decide whether Yoshinari's value of the average mixing ratio of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in North Atlantic air indicated a general rise of the atmospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) level or a rather temporary and local phenomenon. But even with 328 ppbv \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in marine air, Yoshinari found no significant \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) undersaturation of surface water. In accordance with our results, his data exhibit a decrease in the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) supersaturation of surface water as one proceeds northward in the open North Atlantic with the highest \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) supersaturation in the Caribbean and in the Sargasso Sea where biological productivity is apparently very low. Also, his data indicate that in coastal zones, the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) supersaturation of surface water may be higher than in the open ocean of the same latitude belt.

In March 1976, Rasmussen et al. carried out \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements in air and sea water in the eastern tropical Pacific during a cruise of the Alpha Helix research vessel from San Diego, California, to San Martin, Peru. The data obtained are very interesting, because they give the first information on marine \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in a region where high surface productivity induced by upwelling and a sluggish water circulation maintain conditions favorable for the reduction of nitrate and nitrite. Previously, it was believed that such regions could possibly act as sinks of atmospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) (see below) because of the high rates of denitrification found to occur in the water column beneath the strong permanent thermocline and because of the extreme depletion of combined nitrogen in the water layers between thermocline and the surface. The data by Rasmussen and co-workers, however, show that in the oligotrophic waters off Southern Mexico and Central America, where a thick layer of water with very low oxygen concentration (<0.25 ml O\(_2\) per liter sea water) between 200 and 800 meters indicates very high denitrification rates, the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) saturation of surface water was relatively low as compared to the adjacent areas but never significantly below 100 percent. (The saturation values given by Rasmussen and co-workers are too low due to a miscalculation of the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) equilibrium concentration in sea water with respect to air.) In the adjacent areas in the North and South where the rates of upwelling are generally higher and the oxygen concentration in the upper water layers is not so extremely low, the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) saturation of surface water reached values up to 280 percent! These are the highest \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) saturation values found in surface water up to now. The highest values we found in the tropical North Atlantic were around 250 percent saturation.

Recent \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) measurements by Craig et al. performed in May 1976 during the Pleiades Expedition in the eastern tropical Pacific largely confirmed the results of Rasmussen and co-workers.
We may assume, therefore, that the North Atlantic ocean and wide parts of the Pacific act as net sources of atmospheric N$_2$O. Using the stagnant film model $^{30,32}$ the flux into the atmosphere can be calculated according to

$$\text{FLUX} = D \left( \frac{c' - a(T, S) \rho P}{Z} \right) \frac{D}{Z} \left( C' - C'_{eq} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $D$ is the molecular diffusion coefficient of N$_2$O in sea water, $Z$ the thickness of the air-sea boundary layer (film thickness), $C'$ the concentration of N$_2$O in sea water at the base of the stagnant film, $a(T, S)$ the solubility of N$_2$O in sea water, $\rho$ the density of N$_2$O gas, and $P$ the partial pressure of N$_2$O in air above the sea's surface. The N$_2$O equilibrium concentration in sea water with respect to air is $C'_{eq} = a(T, S) \rho P$. The film thickness in the Atlantic ocean was determined by Broecker and Peng $^{83-84}$ by means of radon measurements. From their results, they derived an average $Z$ value of $63 \pm 30 \mu$. Taking into account a possible variation of the film thickness with wind speed $^{85}$, one should expect average $Z$ values between 40 and 60 $\mu$ for the time period of the measurements in the North Atlantic ocean. For the time period of Rasmussen and co-workers' measurements in the eastern tropical Pacific, one may assume an average $Z$ of 50 $\mu$.

Fluxes of N$_2$O from the sea's surface into the atmosphere obtained from our measurements in this way are listed in Table 3. The range of uncertainty for the calculated fluxes was obtained as indicated in the fourth column of the table. The largest fluxes were found to occur in the tropical North Atlantic ocean with a general tendency for lower values as one proceeds northward. The relatively high value at $30^\circ W/10.5^\circ N$ may be due to the fact that the N$_2$O concentration here was not measured right at the surface but at a depth of 50 meters. Supporting the observations of the 1969 cruise, the smallest fluxes were obtained from the data collected in the area of the Iceland-Faroe ridge. Although the accuracy of the analytical method was improved as compared to the 1969 measurements, the small fluxes in the area of the Iceland-Faroe ridge exhibit a relatively broad scatter which might be due to the peculiar current pattern in the sea of this region (overflow phenomenon). The fluxes calculated from the 1971 data were lower in general than the value obtained from the 1969 data for approximately the same latitude belt ($36.6^\circ N$). The 1969 data were collected in April when in subtropical latitudes of the North Atlantic a bloom of the blue-green alga Trichodesmium occurs, because the winter thermocline breaks down at that time and water rich in nutrients is mixed upward. This might be the reason for the higher value obtained from the 1969 data for subtropical latitudes. The relatively large fluxes in tropical latitudes may in part be due to the upwelling along the W-African coast which mixes nutrients upward to the surface with water relatively rich in N$_2$O (from a depth of 200 – 300 meters).

Table 3. N$_2$O fluxes from the sea's surface into the atmosphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise no. 16</th>
<th>Number of measurements</th>
<th>Sea surface temperature ($^\circ C$)</th>
<th>Film thickness ($\mu$)</th>
<th>Values of N$_2$O flux range ($10^{-12}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 1969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(along 30 $^\circ W$)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.82–1.66</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6 $^\circ N$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>1.00–2.25</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3 $^\circ N$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.40–1.25</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.6 $^\circ N$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.26–0.99</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.6 $^\circ N$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.65–0.66</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.9 $^\circ N$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15–18</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.14–0.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise no. 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1971</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15–18</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.14–0.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisbon–44 $^\circ N$/43 $^\circ W$</td>
<td>44 $^\circ N$/43 $^\circ W$–Hamburg</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.61–0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise no 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1970</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4–7</td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.65–0.37</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland–Faroe ridge</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>0.61–0.37</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tropical Pacific yield fluxes of N₂O from the sea's surface into the atmosphere ranging from essentially zero in the region of the Central American coast to 200 × 10⁻¹² g N₂O cm⁻² s⁻¹ south of the equator with a mean value of 0.58 × 10⁻¹² g N₂O cm⁻² s⁻¹.

b) Direct manmade sources of atmospheric N₂O are found in industrial processes involving the oxidation of ammonia and/or organic nitrogen compounds, particularly in plants for the production of nitric acid and in power plants where coal or other fossil fuels including natural gas are burned. These N₂O sources appear to be currently of minor importance, although they may represent point sources of considerable strength. A plant producing nitric acid by catalytic oxidation of ammonia at a rate of 10 tons nitric acid nitrogen per day would release approximately 0.1 tons of N₂O per day to the atmosphere. This is equivalent to the net production of 230 km² of natural woodland soil as measured in the area of Mainz. Pierotti and Rasmussen 30 found the stack gases of 3 different power plants, 2 burning gas and the third burning coal, enriched in N₂O by a factor of from 10 to 100 with respect to ambient air. The exhaust gases from the coal-fired power plant were found to be considerably higher in N₂O than those from the gasburning power plants.

Indirect manmade sources of atmospheric N₂O are found in polluted fresh waters and in industrial fertilizers containing combined nitrogen. In January 1971, the Rhine river in the area of Mainz carried between 33 and 36 µg N₂O per liter water 39. This is about a hundred times the N₂O concentration found in the North Atlantic sea water and gives a flux of N₂O into the atmosphere of about 70 × 10⁻¹² g cm⁻² s⁻¹. Most of this N₂O is very likely due to the heavy pollution of the Rhine water.

Industrial fertilizers contain combined nitrogen in the form of nitrate, ammonium, and/or organic nitrogen compounds. They are used predominantly for the fertilization of pliable soil (some fertilizer is applied to pasture) and entering the cycle of soil nitrogen add to the substrate for denitrification, nitrification, and nitrogen uptake (see Figure 3). Immediately after application of fertilizer or after the first rainfall following the application (when the soil is dry), high fluxes of N₂O from the soil into the atmosphere were found to occur. Burford and Stefanson 44 examined Urbitrace redbrown earth (Australia) after application of 122 kg NaNO₃ nitrogen per hectare which represents a relatively moderate rate of fertilization and found N₂O fluxes up to 1.3 × 10⁻¹² g cm⁻² s⁻¹ for a pasture, 47 × 10⁻¹² g cm⁻² s⁻¹ for an old cropped area, and 50 × 10⁻¹² g cm⁻² s⁻¹ for a recently cropped area. These fluxes occurred after rain had fallen and lasted for a few days.

More recently, Rolston et al. 87 determined N₂O fluxes from alluvial Yolo loam soil at Davis (California) after application of 300 kg KNO₃ nitrogen per hectare. The soil-water content was high (44 percent by volume water; 3 percent aerated pore space). For the first 10 days after application of the KNO₃ fertilizer, extremely high N₂O fluxes of up to 480 × 10⁻¹² g cm⁻² s⁻¹ were found with a sharp decrease after the 10th day. The release of molecular nitrogen, however, increased further and reached its maximum by the 18th day at a rate of more than 1350 × 10⁻¹² g N₂O cm⁻² s⁻¹.

C) Sinks of Atmospheric N₂O

The sinks of atmospheric N₂O are poorly defined. The only significant sink known at present is in the stratosphere. Stratospheric N₂O is destroyed by either photolysis

\[ h \nu + N_2O \rightarrow N_2 + O \quad (1) \]

or reaction with O(¹D)

\[ N_2O + O(¹D) \rightarrow 2 NO \quad (2a) \]

\[ N_2O + O(¹D) \rightarrow N_2 + O_2 \quad (2b) \]

Nitric oxide formed in (2a) acts as a catalyst for the destruction of stratospheric ozone 88, 89

\[ NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \quad (3) \]

\[ NO_2 + O \rightarrow NO + O_2 \quad (4) \]

\[ O + O_3 \rightarrow 2 O_2 \quad (5) \]

Although about 60% of the photochemically produced ozone is destroyed by these reactions 80, the stratospheric N₂O sink is far too small to balance the N₂O net production at the earth's surface, since the rate of N₂O destruction is limited by the velocity of N₂O transport from the troposphere into the stratosphere.

In the troposphere, there seems to be very little N₂O degradation. In contrast to the results of the study by Bates and Hays 91, Johnston and Selwyn 92 and Stedman et al. 93 found the photolytic destruction rate of N₂O in the troposphere to be negligibly small (jN₂O < 1 · 10⁻¹¹ sec⁻¹). Although very fast, the reaction with O(¹D) can also be neglected, because the tropospheric concentration of O(¹D) is only between 1 and 2 × 10⁻¹⁶ molecules cm⁻³ 94. The reaction of N₂O with atmospheric negative ions forms no significant sink of tropospheric N₂O either, as was shown by Fehsenfeld and Ferguson 95. Further possibilities of N₂O destruction in the tropo-
sphere are the reactions of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ with OH or HO$_2$ radicals
\begin{align}
\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{HO}_2 , \quad (6) \\
\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}_2 + \text{OH} , \quad (7\ a) \\
\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2 & \rightarrow 2\text{NO} + \text{OH} . \quad (7\ b)
\end{align}

Recently, Biermann et al.\textsuperscript{98} measured the rate constant $k_i$ for reaction (6) at 298°K to be $(3.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. With about $10^8$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ OH radicals\textsuperscript{84}, this is too slow for a significant $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ destruction in the troposphere. The tropospheric concentration of HO$_2$ radicals is about 200 times higher (about $2 \times 10^9$ molecules cm$^{-3}$; Levy\textsuperscript{84}) than the OH concentration. Unfortunately, the rate of the reactions (7a) and (7b) is not known, but one might expect that these reactions are slower by a factor of approximately 100 compared to reaction (6) so that the tropospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ destruction rate due to reactions (7a) and (7b) should be on the same order of magnitude as the destruction rate due to reaction (6).

Since all of these atmospheric reactions together are apparently only capable of destroying relatively small amounts of the $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ formed at the earth’s surface, one might be tempted to conclude that a major sink of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ is located in the hydrosphere or pedosphere where micro-organisms could conceivably assimilate $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ instead of $\text{N}_2$. As mentioned above, assimilation of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ by microorganisms or higher plants cannot be expected to represent a major sink of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ because $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ has to compete with molecular nitrogen as a substrate for nitrogen fixation. In addition, molecular nitrogen is always present in large excess, even in water. Experiments with plants and ambient air supported this view\textsuperscript{97}. In these experiments, parts of living plants (leaves) were encased in a glass bulb and ambient air of known $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ content was very slowly drawn through the bulb. No evidence was found for any net uptake of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$. During the 1969 cruise of the “Meteor” in the southern North Atlantic relatively low $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ mixing ratios were measured in air masses which obviously originated from the Sahara desert\textsuperscript{14}. McGregor\textsuperscript{98}, on the other hand, found that even if sufficient water is present to support increased microbial activity, desert soil produces rather than absorbs $\text{N}_2\text{O}$. The experiments with desert soil on the Island of Sal by Liebl\textsuperscript{24} mentioned above confirmed this observation. Therefore, one may conclude that the Sahara does not act as a sink of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$. The low $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ mixing ratios found in Sahara air may be due to a very low $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ production or even no $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ production in the soil of the large area of the Sahara and to the presence of sinks in the neighbourhood of the Sahara (unknown tropospheric sinks?).

The uptake of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ by denitrifying micro-organisms cannot be a relevant degradation process either, because the zone where the rate of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ destruction is faster than the rate of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ production (true anoxic, no free oxygen available) is commonly overlain by a zone where the production rate of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ is faster than the destruction rate (low concentration of free oxygen). Only in such environments where the “biological pressure” for denitrification is so high that even in the top layer which is in contact with the atmosphere there is nothing left but $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ for the biochemical oxidation of dead organic matter, one may expect uptake of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$. Such environments may occur in rice paddies, in stagnant black waters in tropical latitudes, and possibly in Tundra soils in the warm season. They don’t seem to occur in the open ocean, except for areas with extremely high biological productivity as found in the eastern tropical Pacific, in the Indian ocean, and in the Arabian Sea. From the data collected by Rasmussen et al.\textsuperscript{32} in the eastern tropical Pacific, one should conclude, however, that the relevance of these areas for the degradation of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ is very questionable.

D) Global Estimates of the Sources and Sinks of Atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$

On the basis of global estimates of the sources and sinks of atmospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$, an attempt was made to construct a $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ balance of the troposphere as shown in Table 4. The various fluxes are given in million metric tons (Mt) $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ per year and in million metric tons (Mt) $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ nitrogen per year. The sources of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ are listed in the left part, and the sinks of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ in the right part of the table. The first column in both parts of the table gives the most likely values and the second column the range of uncertainty of the estimates.

As was discussed above, the global net production of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ is estimated to be between 125 and 475 million metric tons (Mt) $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ per year with 210 Mt $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ per year as the most likely value (row III). It should be emphasized that this value is the most reliable and accurate estimate in the global $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ budget at present. All the other estimates based on $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ measurements in soil air or in sea water are clearly less reliable.

When extrapolated to a global scale, the $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ fluxes determined for the North Atlantic ocean (see Table 3) gave a global marine net production of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ of 135 Mt per year (86 Mt $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ nitrogen per year) considering the variation of the air-sea boundary layer thickness $Z$ with wind speed according to
the results by Kanwisher and the variation of the ratio sea/land with latitude. This value was estimated to be correct within one order of magnitude (45 - 450 Mt NO per year). This estimate assumes that all oceans are similar to the North Atlantic with respect to NO production. If we consider the fluxes found during April 1969 in subtropical latitudes to be restricted to the season of Trichodesmium bloom, the annual average of the NO flux into the atmosphere should be lower in this latitude than the value found in April 1969 (see Table 3). Using the fluxes obtained from the 1971 data for a correction, 125 instead of 135 Mt NO per year is obtained for the global marine net production. This shows that such a seasonal phenomenon has little effect on the global estimate.

A greater effect is obtained, when the value of the mean film thickness Z used in the calculation of fluxes is altered. For our previous assessment, we used a Z value of 28 µm assuming a variation of the film thickness with wind speed and use Z values as indicated in Table 3, a global marine net NO production of 45 - 120 Mt per year with a most likely value of about 75 Mt NO per year is obtained by extrapolating the fluxes given in Table 3 to a global scale.

Extrapolating the fluxes calculated from the data by Rasmussen et al. to a global scale (with a mean film thickness of Z = 50 µm), a global marine net production of maximal 230 Mt NO per year with a mean value of 66 Mt NO per year is obtained.

Craig et al. extrapolating their results from the eastern tropical Pacific to a global scale arrived at a global marine net production of maximal 70 Mt NO per year.

Considering the additional uncertainty brought in by extrapolation to a global scale, one may assume that a conservative estimate of the global marine net production of NO should be in the range of 25 to 250 Mt per year. Until more data are available it would be very desirable to obtain data not only from the upwelling zones along the West African coast, from the Indian ocean, and from the Arabian Sea, but also from the South Atlantic, Central Pacific, and South Pacific and from coastal areas where rivers put large quantities of nutrients into the sea one may accept 70 Mt NO per year as the most likely value (Table 4, row 1a).
smaller \( \frac{1.7 \times 10^{-12}}{N_2O \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}} \) (half the value for arable soil) and 8 months/year as the period of \( N_2O \) production. For woodland soil, we have \( 0.5 \times 10^{-12} \ g \ N_2O \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \) and again 8 months/year as the period of \( N_2O \) production, and for steppe and the like the production rate may be about \( 0.2 \times 10^{-12} \ g \ N_2O \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \) with \( N_2O \) production all over the entire year. For tundra soil, Söderlund and Svensson \(^9\) gave a mean net production rate of about \( 1 \times 10^{-12} \ g \ N_2O \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \) with 3 months/year for the \( N_2O \) production period. The resulting global net production of the land surface of the earth except for lakes, rivers, and ice-covered regions is then 25 Mt \( N_2O \) per year. In view of the fact that we have only a few measurements from some soil types and that there is a great variety of ecological conditions for \( N_2O \) production in soil, this estimate may be considered to be correct within one order of magnitude at its best with a range of uncertainty of \( 10^2 \) to \( 10^3 \) Mt \( N_2O \) per year (Table 4, row 1b). The effect of fertilization with industrial nitrogen fertilizers has not been taken into account in this estimate.

The contribution of industrial fertilizers to the tropospheric \( N_2O \) budget may be estimated in the following way: For 1974, the amount of industrial fertilizer nitrogen applied world-wide to agricultural soil in the form of ammonia, ammonium, nitrate, or organic nitrogen compounds (urea) was estimated by Hardy and Havelka \(^7\) as 40 Mt. Part of this combined nitrogen is lost to the atmosphere due to evaporation (as ammonia), volatilization (as wind-blown dust), and immediate denitrification within the first one or two weeks after application. This immediate loss of nitrogen was estimated by Fleige et al. \(^8\) to be about 30 percent of the fertilizer nitrogen applied in middle Europe. For the USA, estimates range between 15 and 30 percent. Since losses due to evaporation and volatilization are included in these estimates, the loss due to nitrification and denitrification alone should be less than 30 percent. A conservative estimate of this loss would be 20 percent or 8 Mt fertilizer nitrogen per year. Fertilizer nitrogen which evaporates as ammonia or is volatilized as wind-blown dust is not lost, but is greatly returned to the soil within a relatively short time span. Thus, the amount of fertilizer nitrogen left over from the quantity initially applied to the soil in 1974 was about 30 Mt.

The leaching rate in arable soil may range from 10 to 50 percent of the fertilizer applied \(^9\). This portion, however, is not lost for \( N_2O \) production. It is washed down into the lakes and rivers where one may expect relatively high rates of nitrification and denitrification, particularly in the case of eutrophication. As was mentioned above, the Rhine water was found to contain, even in the cold season, about one hundred times more \( N_2O \) than the water of the open North Atlantic ocean, indicating substantially higher rates of net \( N_2O \) production (either a higher \( N_2O \) yield from nitrification and denitrification, or high rates of these processes, or both).

All nitrogen from fertilizers which is not lost due to nitrification and denitrification and leaching is incorporated in crop plants. Even that portion of nitrogen which might be left in soil later-on as crop residue is balanced by losses of soil nitrogen due to erosion. Buchner and Sturm \(^10\) constructed a nitrogen balance of arable soil, woodland soil, and other soil in W-Germany for the year 1971. It turned out that the amount of nitrogen removed from all the soils in W-Germany by cropping, leaching, and nitrification/denitrification was larger by about 15 percent than what was put in as industrial nitrogen fertilizer, seed, manure, and combined nitrogen from precipitation and natural nitrogen fixation. For arable soil, the nitrogen balance was slightly negative (about 2 percent) despite addition of about 90 kg per hectare industrial fertilizer nitrogen and about 45 kg N per hectare as manure. Since it may be assumed that this is the normal situation in agriculture, one must not worry about the size and turn-over time of fixed nitrogen in the soil reservoir as Liu et al. \(^11\) claimed. This is even more valid when less fertilizer nitrogen is added to the soil. What counts is the turn-over time of nitrogen in the crop plants and in the crop itself. Most of the crop plants are annual plants. Thus, nitrification and denitrification are the processes that return the nitrogen to the atmosphere may be delayed by one year or more as the plants are eaten and digested, and the plant nitrogen is incorporated in animal tissue. The turn-over time of this part of the fertilizer nitrogen once applied to the soil should be 15 years at most. This is very short as compared to the lag time assumed by Liu et al. \(^11\).

Ultimately, fertilizer nitrogen which had been incorporated in plants and animals will show up in sewage and waste water treatment plants where the rate of denitrification is usually high. What is left over, goes into the rivers. Here the rates of nitrification and denitrification may be expected to be fairly high so that only a certain portion of the combined nitrogen which had entered the rivers may reach the sea. However, even this portion has little chance to reach the deep ocean where it would be out of circulation for a long time (about 1000 years). Coastal zones where biological productivity is usually high may be considered filters for combined nitrogen from river run-off. Since nitrogen is one of the
limiting nutrients in the sea and since mixing between the upper water layers and the deep ocean is relatively slow, there is essentially zero chance for fertilizer nitrogen to get down into the deep water layers.

If we are cautious, we may assume that only two thirds of the fertilizer nitrogen which had been incorporated in crop plants or was washed out from the soil into the rivers are promptly released (within 10 years) to the atmosphere, while the remaining one third has a turnover time of 20-50 years. Then, considering that less industrial fertilizer was used in the past, the substrate for N₂O production in 1974 which can be related to industrial fertilizers may have amounted to 20 Mt combined nitrogen plus 8 Mt combined nitrogen consumed in immediate nitrification and denitrification.

In nitrification, the main product is nitrate and N₂O is a by-product. In denitrification, the main product is a mixture of N₂O and molecular nitrogen, as was pointed out above. If we consider the gaseous products from both of these two processes, we may define the quantity A as the ratio of the amount of fertilizer nitrogen, which is released as N₂O nitrogen, to the total amount of fertilizer nitrogen in gaseous products

\[ A = \frac{N_2O - N}{N_2O - N + N_2(+NO)} \]

The value of A may vary from essentially zero to 1 depending on the pH value and the moisture content of the soil and on the form of combined nitrogen applied to the soil. In experiments with sealed soil-plant systems and Australian Urrbrae red-brown earth, Stefanson \(^{102}\) found A values ranging from 0.05 to 0.15 with ammonium sulfate as the substrate and soil water contents around 25 percent. With calcium nitrate as a substrate, A values between 0.10 and 0.90 were observed. Focht \(^{55}\) used data obtained from two types of Scandinavian arable soil to develop a model for net N₂O production in soil. This model, for example, predicts A values of 0.3 - 0.4 when the pH value is between 6 and 7 and the aerated pore space is 6 percent. Recently, Rolston et al. \(^{87}\) examined alluvial Yolo loam soil at Davis (California) as mentioned above. They performed a very detailed study with laboratory and field experiments. After application of a pulse of KNO₃ to a field plot at a rate of 300 kg N per hectare (in November 1974), the soil immediately began to release N₂O and N₂ to the atmosphere. In the gas released, A values were found to vary with time from 0.25 the day after application of the nitrate to about 0.005 on the 18th day when the release of N₂ was found to be maximal. The aerated pore space of the soil was 3 percent during the experiment (soil-water content: 0.44 cm³/cm³). The average A value from two experiments for the total amount of nitrogeneous gas released from the soil within 30 days after application of KNO₃ was about 0.06. This result is comparable to what the model by Focht would predict. On the basis of Focht's model, the mean A value for the gaseous products of nitrification and denitrification in arable soil may be estimated to be in the range 0.20 - 0.60 for the time periods when fertilizer nitrogen is usually applied to the soil (late fall and early spring). Sze and Rice \(^{182}\) assumed an A value of 0.5 for agricultural field conditions.

Assuming A values between 0.20 to 0.60 for the total microbial degradation of combined nitrogen which was initially introduced as fertilizer nitrogen to arable soil and about 30 Mt combined nitrogen as a substrate (see above), the net production of N₂O from fertilizer nitrogen may be estimated to be currently 10 - 30 Mt per year with 20 Mt N₂O per year as a mean value (Table 4, row 1e).

Direct man-made sources of atmospheric N₂O appear to be currently of minor importance. On the basis of the measurements by Leithe and Hofer \(^{30}\) and a global industrial production of about 70 Mt nitric acid per year, the total release of N₂O to the atmosphere from this source may be calculated to be about 0.2 Mt N₂O per year, a very minute amount compared to the other N₂O sources. The world-wide release of N₂O from the combustion of fossil fuels was recently estimated by Pierotti and Rasmussen \(^{85}\) to be about 3.5 Mt N₂O per year. The total direct man-made production of atmospheric N₂O may, therefore, be assumed to be about 4 Mt N₂O per year (Table 4, row 1d).

Because of the lack of measurements, one can only speculate about the contribution of fresh water to the tropospheric N₂O budget. Apparently, the Rhine river as a heavily polluted river represents one extreme in the net N₂O production of fresh water. Extrapolated to a global scale (with 2 - 10²⁸ cm² of fresh water surface), the flux rate given above yields 40 Mt N₂O per year. The other extreme must be set to zero. A rough estimate would give a mean value of about 5 Mt N₂O per year (Table 4, row 1e).

If Zipf \(^{184}\) is right, lightning forms another source of N₂O in the troposphere itself. He assessed the production of oxides of nitrogen by lightning on the basis of laboratory experiments and arrived at a production rate of \((1 - 6) \cdot 10^{28}\) molecules of nitrogen oxides formed per second on a global basis which are predominantly N₂O. This would give an annual production of 15 - 90 Mt N₂O (Table 4, row 1f).
Adding up the various items in Table 4, a total net production of more than 125 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year is obtained. This may be compared with the 210 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year obtained from estimates of the tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O burden and turn-over time.

Our knowledge of the sinks of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O is very poor. Junge \cite{junge1972} estimated the net flux into the stratosphere due to photolysis and reaction with O(1\textsuperscript{D}) to be 28 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year. Considering the cross sections of N\textsubscript{2}O determined recently by Johnstone and Selwyn \cite{johnstone1972}, Crutzen \cite{crutzen1972} arrived at a rate of N\textsubscript{2}O loss in the upper atmosphere of 14 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year. Destruction of N\textsubscript{2}O due to photolysis and reaction with O(1\textsuperscript{D}) in the troposphere is apparently negligibly small (<0.5 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year), and so is the N\textsubscript{2}O destruction by reaction with tropospheric negative ions \cite{johnstone1972}. The reaction of tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O with OH radicals will not use up more than 2 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year. The reaction of N\textsubscript{2}O with HO\textsubscript{2} radicals should account for approximately the same amount of N\textsubscript{2}O per year (see section C). Hence, the total rate of loss of N\textsubscript{2}O in the troposphere due to photolysis and reaction with O(1\textsuperscript{D}), negative ions, and with OH and HO\textsubscript{2} radicals should not exceed 5 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year (Table 4, row IIb). Adding about 20 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O per year which may be destroyed in the stratosphere, a major portion of the 210 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O which should be destroyed annually under steady state conditions remains for unknown degradation processes (Table 4, row IIc). In other words: the main sink of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O is still unknown.

In a recent paper \cite{hahn1973}, it was postulated that the missing sink of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O may be found in the oceans. The tropospheric turn-over time of N\textsubscript{2}O was somewhat arbitrarily assumed to be 20 years so that the total amount of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O to be annually destroyed was substantially smaller than 210 Mt. It was further assumed that the global marine net production of N\textsubscript{2}O was only 16 Mt per year and that, on the other hand, marine microorganisms in the surface water layer of the oceans eat 80 Mt atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O per year (50 Mt N\textsubscript{2}O nitrogen per year). Although a marine N\textsubscript{2}O sink of this size is not very likely for reasons discussed in section C, we can consider how this fits the data on marine N\textsubscript{2}O available at present.

With the help of the “stagnant film” model (see section B) which is as good as any other model for the exchange of gases across the air/sea boundary layer, we may calculate what N\textsubscript{2}O saturation (concentration) must be maintained in the surface water layer, at the base of the stagnant film and just beneath it, and in what area of the world ocean, in order to make 80 Mt of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O per year go into the sea to be degraded. The variables may be chosen in favor of this hypothesis for maximum effect with 300 ppb (v) N\textsubscript{2}O in marine air, 10°C as the mean surface temperature of the oceans, and 40 μ as the average film thickness Z. Assuming, for simplicity, that marine sources and sinks are found in different geographical locations operating the whole year, it is obtained that the N\textsubscript{2}O saturation of the surface water of 75% of the area of the world ocean (e.g., the total ocean area except for the Atlantic) must be lower than 44 percent (N\textsubscript{2}O concentration lower than 0.2 μg per liter water). With 58 percent saturation (0.26 μg N\textsubscript{2}O per liter water), one would need the entire ocean surface as a permanent sink of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O.

We, however, never found saturation values below 100 percent in surface water (in surface water with temperatures around 10°C, N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations of 0.40 μg per liter water were common). Even the data by Yoshinari \cite{yoshinari1972} and by Rasmussen et al. \cite{rasmussen1972} with a relatively high N\textsubscript{2}O mixing ratio in marine air (see above) showed the N\textsubscript{2}O saturation of surface water to be in no case lower than 90 percent. The data on marine N\textsubscript{2}O by Craig and Gordon \cite{craig1972} are less suitable for this consideration, because they represent average values of measurements at different locations and depths down to 900 meters with only a few measurements in surface water. However, since these data were used as a basis for the argument, we can take a closer look at these figures. The measurements in the high southern latitudes of the Pacific ocean yielded 68 percent N\textsubscript{2}O saturation as an average for the upper 600 meters. This is the lowest reported N\textsubscript{2}O saturation value. In tropical and subtropical latitudes, however, Craig and Gordon found 125 percent N\textsubscript{2}O saturation (as an average of the upper 900 meters)!

Things get worse, if we reject the estimate of the turn-over time of tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O as being too high and use a value of 8 years as given above. This would require the oceans to swallow about 180 Mt of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O per year. From our calculations, this is only possible, if 95% of the world ocean had the N\textsubscript{2}O saturation of zero, or if the surface water of all oceans over the entire year had a N\textsubscript{2}O saturation of 4 percent. This result needs no comment. Hence, the existing data give absolutely no support for the existence of a major marine N\textsubscript{2}O sink. This does not mean that we completely exclude the possibility of marine sinks of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O. We think that such sinks can only be of a local character with limited significance for the tropospheric N\textsubscript{2}O cycle.

Since for similar reasons other aquatic sinks of atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O cannot account for too much, and since in general the existence of a main N\textsubscript{2}O sink at
the earth's surface seems not to be too likely according to the present state of knowledge (see section Cl, an unknown tropospheric degradation process is what remains. Although there is no evidence in favor of it until now, a degradation process in the troposphere would be the most effective way for a major destruction of atmospheric N₂O, because there would be no problem with transport.

4. The Global Nitrogen Cycle

In 1970, Delwiche 106 published data on the global inventory and cycle of nitrogen, and although further results on this topic have been published in recent years 9,11,12,167-169 there is still considerable uncertainty about the various elements of the nitrogen cycle.

In Table 5, estimates of different authors are compiled for the fluxes in and out of the land and sea reservoirs. It can be noted, that there is a tendency for increasing values with the estimates of the biological fixation rate and the denitrification rate (N₂ + N₂O) as new information became available. As Hardy and Havelka 74 pointed out, industrial nitrogen fixation (Haber-Bosh process) amounted to 40 Mt nitrogen per year in 1974. The assessment for the total amount of fixed nitrogen deposited on the earth's surface is particularly uncertain for the contribution of dry deposition. While the uncertainty factor for most of the estimates listed in Table 4 is between 2 and 5, a factor of 10 may be involved with the assessment of the rate of dry deposition. Another very uncertain quantity of the nitrogen cycle is the contribution of NO₂ in the different fluxes. In general, estimates for the fluxes in or out of the oceans are more uncertain than those for the fluxes in or out of the land surface (soil). Our estimates are given in column 8 of the table.

Table 5. Nitrogen balance of the land and sea reservoirs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux in from</th>
<th>Land (million metric tons per year nitrogen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) N fixation, biological</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) N fixation, industrial</td>
<td>30 40 45 40 120-340 160 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) fixed N, precip. + dry dep.</td>
<td>4 220 120-340 160 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) atmospheric nitrous oxide</td>
<td>78 430 310-530 400 380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux in from</th>
<th>Sea (million metric tons per year nitrogen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) N₂ + N₂O</td>
<td>10 30 10 20 20 100 130-220 130 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) fixed N, precip. + dry dep.</td>
<td>3 10 20 10 50 100 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) fixed N, river run-off</td>
<td>30 10 20 13-24 30 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) atmospheric nitrous oxide</td>
<td>43.6 413 225-515 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flux in from</th>
<th>Sea (million metric tons per year nitrogen)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) N₂ + N₂O</td>
<td>40 - 100 106 110-250 130 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) fixed N, precip. + dry dep.</td>
<td>3.6 - 20 25 60 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) fixed N, river run-off</td>
<td>30 10 20 13-24 30 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) atmospheric nitrous oxide</td>
<td>43.6 - 100 130-220 130 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: (1) Emery et al. 168; (2) Delwiche 106; (3) Tsunogai 167; (4) Hardy and Havelka 74, Piper and Codispoti 167, Gundersen 40; (5) McLay et al. 8; (6) Scope report 168; (7) Liu et al. 13; (8) our estimates.
value of the biological fixation rate on land was adopted from Hardy and Havelka \cite{79}, while the marine nitrogen fixation rate was estimated from the scarce information which became available in recent years. Since we used the same sources of data, our estimate is close to the value of the fixation rate given by Gundersen \cite{108} and the Scope report \cite{109}. Our estimate is somewhat lower, because we neglected the nitrogen fixation in sediments and coral reefs. The value for the industrial fixation rate was again adopted from Hardy and Havelka \cite{79}. The estimate on fixed nitrogen in precipitation was based on data by Egner and Eriksson \cite{111,112}, Junge \cite{113}, Tsunogai and Ikeuchi \cite{114}, Menzel and Spaeth \cite{115}, Tsunogai \cite{107}, Jones \cite{116}, Ungemach \cite{117}, Georgii \cite{118} and McConnell \cite{119}. The values obtained are essentially identical to the assessments by Liu et al. \cite{12}. The flux of fixed nitrogen by river run-off was estimated from water run-off data by Baumgärtner and Reichel \cite{120} and from data on nitrogen compounds in river water, IRK \cite{121}, Williams \cite{122}, Gibbs \cite{123}, and Ungemach \cite{117}. The value of the flux of (N₂ + N₂O) from the land surface (soil) including the contribution of the industrial nitrogen fixators was obtained from our estimates of the N₂O net production of soil (16 Mt N₂O nitrogen per year) and of the fertilizer contribution (about 10 Mt N₂O nitrogen per year with an A value of 0.3). We applied \(A\) values of 0.15 and 0.30, respectively, which appear to be conservative estimates of the ratio \( \frac{N_2O}{(N_2O + N + N_2)} \) in the gaseous products of nitrification and denitrification (see chapter 3, section D), although in the CAST \cite{108} report \(A\) values of 5–10\% were reported. It can be noted that the resulting values are within the range covered by the estimates by McElroy et al. \cite{8}, the Scope report \cite{109}, and Liu et al. \cite{12}. The value of the flux of NH₃, NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and organic nitrogen from the land surface was adopted from the Scope report \cite{109}. No values are given for NO₂ since the data base is still too small. The fluxes of N₂ and (N₂ + N₂O) from the sea’s surface into the atmosphere were obtained in a way similar to those from the land surface assuming an \(A\) value of 30\% for the marine nitrification and denitrification processes and 50 Mt N₂O nitrogen per year as the average marine N₂O net production. The \(A\) value was assumed to be higher for the marine environment because in the oceans, nitrification may play a comparatively important role in the production of N₂O, an assumption which, of course, needs further substantiation by detailed measurements. There is some evidence that in tropical latitudes, the sea is releasing ammonia to the atmosphere, but reliable data are still lacking. The amount of other nitrogen compounds released is also very uncertain. Therefore, we gave no number for the flux of fixed nitrogen other than N₂O from the sea’s surface into the atmosphere. Inspite of the uncertainty of the estimates, one may conclude that there is sufficient fixed nitrogen supplied to both of the reservoirs to allow for a N₂O net production of the estimated size.

5. Perturbation of Atmospheric N₂O by Man

From the discussion in the foregoing chapters, it is apparent that the level of atmospheric N₂O should have risen as man’s agricultural activities increased, in particular after the large-scale developments of arable land began in the last century (unless man is unconsciously manipulating the sinks of atmospheric N₂O in such a way that the increased N₂O net production is just balanced by an additional anthropogenic N₂O destruction which is not too likely). Since atmospheric N₂O apparently undergoes long-term fluctuations (see chapter 1) which overlie the general trend, a small increase over a longer period of time would be hard to detect. even if we would have enough long-term series of N₂O measurements. In the next 10–15 years, however, a general increase of the atmospheric N₂O level might become noticeable due to increased application of industrial nitrogen fixators.

The fertilizers seem to be the main cause for a rising level of atmospheric N₂O. Hardy and Havelka \cite{79} pointed out that in 1975, about 40 Mt fertilizer nitrogen were used in agriculture. They estimated the growth of fertilizer usage to be about 6\% per year leading to about 200 Mt fertilizer nitrogen applied annually to arable soil by the year 2000. Assuming quasi-steady-state conditions with respect to the sources and sinks of atmospheric N₂O (sufficiently slow increase of atmospheric N₂O) until 1975, we may calculate what the future increase in atmospheric N₂O could be. With a constant N₂O turn-over time \(T\), the total sink of atmospheric N₂O is defined by

\[
S(t) = \frac{M(t)}{T}.
\]

If \(N(t)\) is the rate of nitrogen fixation, the total global source of atmospheric N₂O would be

\[
Q(t) = AN(t)
\]

with \(A\) being the fraction of fixed nitrogen which shows up as N₂O in the products of nitrification and denitrification. For quasi-steady-state conditions, this results in

\[
\frac{dM}{dt} = AN(t) - \frac{M(t)}{T}.
\]
If the application of fertilizer nitrogen to arable soil is increased according to $N_f(t(1+a)^t)$, we obtain
\[
dM/dt = A [N_0 + N_f(t(1+a)^t)] - M(t)/T
\] (8)
where $N_0$ is the amount of naturally fixed nitrogen per year and $N_f$ the amount of fertilizer nitrogen used annually at $t=0$ (1975). Equation (8) gives the upper limits of the increase in atmospheric $N_2O$ with time, since one cannot expect immediate balance of the input of fertilizer nitrogen by denitrification (see chapter 3, section D).

If there is no further increase in fertilizer use beyond the time $t_1$, a new steady state will be reached according to
\[
dM/dt = A [N_0 + N_f(1+a)^{t_1}] - M(t)/T
\] (9)
With $M = M_0$ and $dM/dt = 0$ at $t = 0$, the successive integrations of (8) and (9) yield for $t \leq t_1$:
\[
\Delta M = A N_f T \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-t}{T} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{(1+a)^t}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} - 1 \right] 
\] (10)
for $t \geq t_1$:
\[
\Delta M = A N_f T \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-t}{T} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} \right) \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{(1-a)^{t_1}}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} \exp \left( \frac{t_1-t}{T} \right) \right] \\
+ (1+a)^{t_1} \left( 1 - \exp \left( \frac{t_1-t}{T} \right) \right) - 1
\] (11)
where $\Delta M = M - M_0$. The maximum increase in atmospheric $N_2O$ ($t \to \infty$) is then
\[
\Delta M_{\infty} = A N_f T (1 + a)^{t_1} - 1
\] (12)
The time $t_0$ required to reach $b$ percent of the maximal increase in atmospheric $N_2O$ is
\[
t_0 = T \ln \left[ \frac{\left( 1 + a \right)^{t_1} \exp \left( \frac{t_1}{T} \right) - 1 \frac{1}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} \cdot \frac{1}{100 - b} \cdot \left( 1 + a \right)^{t_1} - 1 \cdot \frac{1}{1 + T \ln(1+a)} \cdot 100 \right] }{100 - b} \right]
\] (13)
Actually, this time will be longer, because the input of fertilizer nitrogen will not immediately be balanced by denitrification, as mentioned above. Also transport and mixing in the atmosphere will take some additional time.

Putting $a = 0.06$, $t_1 = 25$ years, $M_0 = 1200$ Mt $N_2O$ nitrogen (1870 Mt $N_2O$) and, according to Hardy and Havelka, $N_f = 40$ Mt fertilizer nitrogen per year, $dM/M_0$ according to (10) and (11) is shown in Fig. 4 for the range of the estimates of $T$ (4-12 years) and $A$ (0.2-0.5). As shown in the figure, there is still a broad range of uncertainty. The upper limits of the increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ by the year 2000 are between 7 and 31.5 percent of the 1975 atmospheric $N_2O$ burden, while the new steady state in the atmosphere will adjust at a $N_2O$ level which is between 9 and 66 percent higher than in 1975. It may be noted that with higher values of $A$ and $T$, a substantial portion of the total increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ will occur after the year 2000 when the fertilizer use is no longer increasing. For $A = 0.5$ and $T = 12$ years, it is even the major portion of the total increase which occurs after the year 2000. According to (13), the time required to reach 90 percent ($b = 90\%$) of the maximal increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ is about 29 years for $T = 4$ years, about 36 years for $T = 8$ years, and about 45 years for $T = 12$ years. In other words: it is possible that the maximal effect of the perturbation of atmospheric $N_2O$ is felt 40 years after fertilizer use is no longer increasing.

As Johnston pointed out, the number of possible combinations of $A$ and $T$ is restricted to certain pairs, because of the size of $N_0$ (in Mt nitrogen per year)
\[
M_0 = 1200 = A T (N_f + 40)
\] (14)
Table 6 shows values of $N_f$ calculated according to Eq. (14) for various $A$ and $T$ values. The actual value of $N_f$, however, should be equal to the total amount of molecular nitrogen and $N_2O$ nitrogen generated by micro-organisms and released to the atmosphere (assuming that the release of NO and $NO_2$ is negligibly small). As shown in Table 5, this
value should be somewhere between 250 and 500 Mt nitrogen per year, most likely between 300 and 350 Mt nitrogen per year. In Table 6, $N_\text{a}$ values which fall into these ranges are enclosed by either a dashed line or a solid line. As can be noted, likely pairs of $A$ and $T$ are 0.2 - 12, 0.3 - 12, 0.3 - 8, 0.4 - 8, 0.5 - 8, and 0.6 - 4. All other combinations of $A$ and $T$ appear to be very unlikely. The most likely pairs seem to be $A = 0.3/T = 12$ years and $A = 0.4/T = 3$ years. Hence, the most likely increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ may be found within the cross-hatched area of Fig. 4 with a maximal increase of 35 - 40 percent of the current atmospheric $N_2O$ burden.

Combustion of fossil fuels represents another source of atmospheric $N_2O$ which may grow considerably with time. The combustion of coal, fuel oil, and gas is expected to increase by a factor of about 15 within the next 75 years so that the direct production of $N_2O$ due to combustion may possibly increase from the current 2.2 Mt $N_2O$ nitrogen per year to reach a value of 33 Mt $N_2O$ nitrogen per year by the year 2050. Besides this direct contribution to the global $N_2O$ net production, combustion will indirectly affect the sources of $N_2O$ at the earth's surface by increasing the amount of fixed nitrogen annually deposited so that the substrate for microbiological $N_2O$ production will grow accordingly. Hardy and Havelka estimated the nitrogen fixation rate due to combustion to 20 Mt nitrogen per year in 1974. This amount of fixed nitrogen was taken to be part of $N_\text{a}$ in the above calculations. If we assume that the consumption of fossil fuel will increase at a rate of 3.7% per year until the year 2050, we may use equations (10) and (11) to calculate the upper limits of an increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ with time due to increased NO$_2$ production by combustion. In Fig. 5, the total increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ due to both increasing usage of nitrogen containing fertilizers and increasing combustion of fossil fuels is shown. As opposed to Fig. 4, it may be noted that the atmospheric $N_2O$ level will in no case reach a new plateau before the year 2050, but will continue to ascend through the first half of the 21st century. The most likely increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ should be within the cross-hatched area of the figure with maximal values between 100 and 160 percent of the current level. This result may be compared with the curve McElroy et al. obtained from their model B2. With a current mean tropospheric mixing ratio of 260 ppb (v) $N_2O$, one may expect tropospheric mean values between 320 and 680 ppb (v) $N_2O$ by the year 2100.

Finally, it should be mentioned that this view may be expected to hold only, of course, if man is not unconsciously manipulating the sinks of atmospheric $N_2O$ and if there is no feedback mechanism between the level of atmospheric $N_2O$ and the $N_2O$ generating processes. The problem of a potential destruction of the stratospheric ozone layer due to the increase of atmospheric $N_2O$ is not discussed in this paper. Reference is made to several recent papers where this problem was treated with different assumptions for the rate of natural nitrogen fixation, the net production of $N_2O$, the tropospheric $N_2O$ turn-over time, and the extent of ozone destruction in the stratosphere due to reactions (2a), (3), and (4) (see chapter 3, section C).
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