



# Preliminary Appendix W Comments - Ozone

August 13, 2015

Jeffry D. Bennett, PE

Barr Engineering



# Single Source Ozone Analysis

Based on our initial review on the Appendix W rulemaking, it appears that EPA is proposing to:

- (1) use photochemical modeling to develop the Modeled Emission Rates for Precursors (MERPs) of ozone (VOC and NO<sub>x</sub>) on a national basis,
- (2) utilize photochemical modeling of areas near the project as a means to arrive at a calculated ratio of precursors to downwind ozone impact to demonstrate impacts below an unspecified SIL, and

# Single Source Ozone Analysis

- (3) use a new modeling analysis (full photochemical or Lagrangian) to determine the specific project will have impacts below the SIL as a means to avoid NAAQS modeling, and/or
- (4) perform the cumulative NAAQS modeling using a full photochemical analysis to demonstrate that the impact of the project does not “cause or contribute” to predicted air quality problems.

# Previous regulatory context

- Missouri 10 CSR 10-6.345
  - “Upwind NOx” rule
  - designed to establish a de minimis emission threshold for NOx projects in five counties upwind of St. Louis (aka “local” MERP)

<http://s1.sos.mo.gov/cmsimages/adrules/csr/previous/10csr/10csr0412/10c10-6b.pdf> (page 157; pdf page 48 of 104)

# Previous regulatory context

- So, what was the level?
  - 900 tons NO<sub>x</sub> per ozone season (May– September)
  - Approximately equivalent to 2,150 tons per year
- This information is not intended to suggest this emission level as the MERP, but only to suggest there are a number of technical and policy issues

# Area-specific considerations

- The beauty of the photochemical modeling systems is that they are designed to include all sources, background, and provide the ability to use a single model to predict concentrations in the atmosphere
- This is also a difficulty when attempting to use them for single source analysis
  - The surrounding environment during each hour of the simulation has direct impact on the outcomes of the analysis

## VOC/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio

- In the case of the St. Louis upwind NO<sub>x</sub> rule, the State Implementation Plan modeling demonstrated that the area was NO<sub>x</sub>-limited (i.e., high VOC/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio)
- Specifically, no “upwind VOC rule” was needed as changes in volatile emissions did not produce predicted impacts on downwind ozone

## Not all VOCs are the same...

- The reaction chemistry for ozone within all photochemical models using speciation profiles for each source included in the model
- The different species do not have the same reactivity; otherwise you wouldn't need to speciate them
- Therefore, the subsequent downwind ozone impact could vary significantly based on that source's speciation profile

# Overall comment

- Notwithstanding the efforts by EPA to develop a new paradigm for analyzing ozone on a single source basis, the level of detail provided in the proposed rule and referenced draft guidance are not sufficient to provide substantive comments on EPA's approach for single-source ozone analyses