Summary of Requirements for 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJ:

NESHAP for Area Sources: Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers

Existing- Large (≥ 10MMBtu/hr)

Click here for the regulatory text for Subpart JJJJJ

Subcategory: Coal (excluding limited-use boilers)

Initial Notification of Applicability: Submit by 1/20/2014. §63.11225(a)(2)

§63.11225(a)(2): An Initial Notification must be submitted no later than January 20, 2014 or within 120 days after the source becomes subject to the standard.

Notification of Compliance Status: Submit within 60 days of conducting performance tests for mercury and carbon monoxide (CO), or no later than 11/16/2014, whichever is earlier. Submit by 7/19/2014 for energy assessment. Include a statement on Startup and Shutdown according to manufacturer’s specifications. §63.11225(a)(4), §63.11223(g)

§63.11225(a)(4): You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status no later than 120 days after the applicable compliance date specified in §63.11196 unless you must conduct a performance stack test. If you must conduct a performance stack test, you must submit the Notification of Compliance Status within 60 days of completing the performance stack test. You must submit the Notification of Compliance Status in accordance with paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (vi) of this section. The Notification of Compliance Status must include the information and certification(s) of compliance in paragraphs (a)(4)(i) through (v) of this section, as applicable, and signed by a responsible official.

(i) You must submit the information required in §63.9(h)(2), except the information listed in §63.9(h)(2)(i)(B), (D), (E), and (F). If you conduct any performance tests or CMS performance evaluations, you must submit that data as specified in paragraph (e) of this section. If you conduct any opacity or visible emission observations, or other monitoring procedures or methods, you must submit that data to the Administrator at the appropriate address listed in §63.13.

(ii) n/a

(iii) “This facility has had an energy assessment performed according to §63.11214(c).”

(iv) For units that install bag leak detection systems: “This facility complies with the requirements in §63.11224(f).”

(v) For units that do not qualify for a statutory exemption as provided in section 129(g)(1) of the Clean Air Act: “No secondary materials that are solid waste were combusted in any affected unit.”

1 Disclaimer: The content provided in this software tool is intended solely as assistance for potential reporters to aid in assessing requirements for compliance under 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJ—National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources. Any variation between the rule and the information provided in this tool is unintentional, and, in the case of such variations, the requirements of the rule govern. Use of this tool does not constitute an assessment by the EPA of the applicability of the rule to any particular facility. In any particular case, the EPA will make its assessment by applying the law and regulations to the specific facts of the case.
(vi) The notification must be submitted electronically using the Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI) that is accessed through EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX) (www.epa.gov/cdx). However, if the reporting form specific to this subpart is not available in CEDRI at the time that the report is due, the written Notification of Compliance Status must be submitted to the Administrator at the appropriate address listed in §63.13.

§63.11223(g): If you own or operate a boiler subject to emission limits in Table 1 of this subpart, you must minimize the boiler's startup and shutdown periods following the manufacturer's recommended procedures, if available. If manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available, you must follow recommended procedures for a unit of similar design for which manufacturer's recommended procedures are available. You must submit a signed statement in the Notification of Compliance Status report that indicates that you conducted startups and shutdowns according to the manufacturer's recommended procedures or procedures specified for a boiler of similar design if manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available.

COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS

§63.11201 What standards must I meet?

(a) You must comply with each emission limit specified in Table 1 to this subpart that applies to your boiler.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If your boiler is in this subcategory-</th>
<th>For the following pollutants -</th>
<th>You must achieve less than or equal to the following emission limits, except during periods of startup and shutdown-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Existing coal-fired boilers with heat input capacity of 10 MMBtu/hr or greater that do not meet the definition of limited-use boiler</td>
<td>a. Mercury b. carbon monoxide (CO)</td>
<td>2.2E-05 lb per MMBtu of heat input. 420 ppm by volume on a dry basis corrected to 3 percent oxygen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) You must comply with each work practice standard, emission reduction measure, and management practice specified in Table 2 to this subpart that applies to your boiler. An energy assessment completed on or after January 1, 2008 that meets or is amended to meet the energy assessment requirements in Table 2 to this subpart satisfies the energy assessment requirement. A facility that operates under an energy management program established through energy management systems compatible with ISO 50001, that includes the affected units, also satisfies the energy assessment requirement.

Table 2 —Work Practice Standards, Emission Reduction Measures, and Management Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If your boiler is in this subcategory-</th>
<th>You must meet the following-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Existing or new coal-fired, new</td>
<td>Minimize the boiler's startup and shutdown periods and conduct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
biomass-fired, or new oil-fired boilers (units with heat input capacity of 10 MMBtu/hr or greater) startups and shutdowns according to the manufacturer's recommended procedures. If manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available, you must follow recommended procedures for a unit of similar design for which manufacturer's recommended procedures are available.

16. Existing coal-fired, biomass-fired, or oil-fired boilers (units with heat input capacity of 10 MMBtu/hr and greater), not including limited-use boilers Must have a one-time energy assessment performed by a qualified energy assessor. An energy assessment completed on or after January 1, 2008, that meets or is amended to meet the energy assessment requirements in this table satisfies the energy assessment requirement. Energy assessor approval and qualification requirements are waived in instances where past or amended energy assessments are used to meet the energy assessment requirements. A facility that operates under an energy management program compatible with ISO 50001 that includes the affected units also satisfies the energy assessment requirement. The energy assessment must include the following with extent of the evaluation for items (1) to (4) appropriate for the on-site technical hours listed in §63.11237:

(1) A visual inspection of the boiler system,

(2) An evaluation of operating characteristics of the affected boiler systems, specifications of energy use systems, operating and maintenance procedures, and unusual operating constraints,

(3) An inventory of major energy use systems consuming energy from affected boiler(s) and which are under control of the boiler owner or operator,

(4) A review of available architectural and engineering plans, facility operation and maintenance procedures and logs, and fuel usage,

(5) A list of major energy conservation measures that are within the facility's control,

(6) A list of the energy savings potential of the energy conservation measures identified, and

(7) A comprehensive report detailing the ways to improve efficiency, the cost of specific improvements, benefits, and the time frame for recouping those investments.

(c) You must comply with each operating limit specified in Table 3 to this subpart that applies to your boiler.

Table 3 — Operating Limits for Boilers with Emission Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you demonstrate compliance with applicable emission</th>
<th>You must meet these operating limits except during periods of startup and shutdown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>limits using-</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Fabric filter control | a. Maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (daily block average); OR  
b. Install and operate a bag leak detection system according to §63.11224 and  
operate the fabric filter such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not  
sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during each 6-month period. |
| 2. Electrostatic precipitator control | a. Maintain opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (daily block average); OR  
b. Maintain the 30-day rolling average total secondary electric power of the  
electrostatic precipitator at or above the minimum total secondary electric  
power as defined in §63.11237. |
| 3. Wet scrubber control | Maintain the 30-day rolling average pressure drop across the wet scrubber at or  
above the minimum scrubber pressure drop as defined in §63.11237 and the  
30-day rolling average liquid flow rate at or above the minimum scrubber liquid  
flow rate as defined in §63.11237. |
| 4. Dry sorbent or activated carbon injection control | Maintain the 30-day rolling average sorbent or activated carbon injection rate  
at or above the minimum sorbent injection rate or minimum activated carbon  
injection rate as defined in §63.11237. When your boiler operates at lower  
loads, multiply your sorbent or activated carbon injection rate by the load  
fraction (e.g., actual heat input divided by the heat input during the  
performance stack test; for 50 percent load, multiply the injection rate  
operating limit by 0.5). |
| 5. Any other add-on air pollution control type. | This option is for boilers that operate dry control systems. Boilers must maintain  
opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent opacity (daily block average). |
| 6. Fuel analysis | Maintain the fuel type or fuel mixture (annual average) such that the mercury  
emission rate calculated according to §63.11211(c) are less than the applicable  
emission limit for mercury. |
| 7. Performance stack testing | For boilers that demonstrate compliance with a performance stack test,  
maintain the operating load of each unit such that it does not exceed 110  
percent of the average operating load recorded during the most recent  
performance stack test. |
| 8. Oxygen analyzer system | For boilers subject to a CO emission limit that demonstrate compliance with an  
oxygen analyzer system as specified in §63.11224(a), maintain the 30-day rolling  
average oxygen level at or above the minimum oxygen level as defined in  
§63.11237. This requirement does not apply to units that install an oxygen trim |
(d) These standards apply at all times the affected boiler is operating, except during periods of startup and shutdown as defined in §63.11237, during which time you must comply only with Table 2 to this subpart.

Minimize Startup/ShUTDOWN per Manufacturer’s Procedures: Yes, beginning 3/21/2014. §63.11223(g) and §63.11214(d)

§63.11223(g): For regulatory text, refer to “Notification of Compliance Status” on pg 2.

§63.11214(d): If you own or operate a boiler subject to emission limits in Table 1 of this subpart, you must minimize the boiler’s startup and shutdown periods following the manufacturer’s recommended procedures, if available. If manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available, you must follow recommended procedures for a unit of similar design for which manufacturer's recommended procedures are available. You must submit a signed statement in the Notification of Compliance Status report that indicates that you conducted startups and shutdowns according to the manufacturer’s recommended procedures or procedures specified for a boiler of similar design if manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available.

Conduct Performance Tune-up: No

Conduct Energy Assessment: Yes, by 3/21/2014. Table 2 (see pg. 3)

MEETING EMISSION LIMITS AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR MERCURY

EMISSION LIMITS FOR MERCURY

OPTION 1:

Initial Compliance: Develop site-specific test plan at least 60 days before the performance (stack) test. Conduct performance (stack) test by 9/17/2014. §63.11212, Table 4, and §63.7(c), (d), (f)

§63.11212 What stack tests and procedures must I use for the performance tests?

(a) You must conduct all performance tests according to §63.7(c), (d), (f), and (h). You must also develop a site-specific test plan according to the requirements in §63.7(c).

(b) You must conduct each stack test according to the requirements in Table 4 to this subpart. [Remainder of this paragraph is not applicable to emission limits for mercury.]

(c) You must conduct performance stack tests at the representative operating load conditions while burning the type of fuel or mixture of fuels that have the highest emissions potential for each regulated pollutant, and you must demonstrate initial compliance and establish your operating limits based on these performance stack tests. For subcategories with more than one emission limit, these
requirements could result in the need to conduct more than one performance stack test. Following each performance stack test and until the next performance stack test, you must comply with the operating limit for operating load conditions specified in Table 3 to this subpart.

(d) You must conduct a minimum of three separate test runs for each performance stack test required in this section, as specified in §63.7(e)(3) and in accordance with the provisions in Table 4 to this subpart.

(e) To determine compliance with the emission limits, you must use the F-Factor methodology and equations in sections 12.2 and 12.3 of EPA Method 19 of appendix A-7 to part 60 of this chapter to convert the measured PM concentrations and the measured mercury concentrations that result from the performance test to pounds per million Btu heat input emission rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To conduct a performance test for the following pollutant-</th>
<th>You must-</th>
<th>Using-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>a. Select sampling ports location and the number of traverse points</td>
<td>Method 1 in appendix A-1 to part 60 of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Determine velocity and volumetric flow-rate of the stack gas</td>
<td>Method 2, 2F, or 2G in appendix A-2 to part 60 of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations of the stack gas</td>
<td>Method 3A or 3B in appendix A-2 to part 60 of this chapter, or ASTM D6522-00 (Reapproved 2005),a or ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981.a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas</td>
<td>Method 4 in appendix A-3 to part 60 of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Measure the mercury emission concentration</td>
<td>Method 29, 30A, or 30B in appendix A-8 to part 60 of this chapter or Method 101A in appendix B to part 61 of this chapter or ASTM Method D6784-02.a Collect a minimum 2 dscm of sample volume with Method 29 of 101A per run. Use a minimum run time of 2 hours with Method 30A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Convert emissions concentration to lb/MMBtu emission rates</td>
<td>Method 19 F-factor methodology in appendix A-7 to part 60 of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§63.7(c), (d), (f): (c) Quality assurance program. (1) The results of the quality assurance program required in this paragraph will be considered by the Administrator when he/she determines the validity of a performance test.

(2)(i) Submission of site-specific test plan. Before conducting a required performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source shall develop and, if requested by the Administrator, shall submit a site-specific test plan to the Administrator for approval. The test plan shall include a test program summary, the test schedule, data quality objectives, and both an internal and external quality assurance (QA) program. Data quality objectives are the pretest expectations of precision, accuracy, and completeness of data.

(ii) The internal QA program shall include, at a minimum, the activities planned by routine operators and analysts to provide an assessment of test data precision; an example of internal QA is the sampling and analysis of replicate samples.

(iii) The performance testing shall include a test method performance audit (PA) during the performance test. The PAs consist of blind audit samples supplied by an accredited audit sample provider and analyzed during the performance test in order to provide a measure of test data bias. Gaseous audit samples are designed to audit the performance of the sampling system as well as the analytical system and must be collected by the sampling system during the compliance test just as the compliance samples are collected. If a liquid or solid audit sample is designed to audit the sampling system, it must also be collected by the sampling system during the compliance test. If multiple sampling systems or sampling trains are used during the compliance test for any of the test methods, the tester is only required to use one of the sampling systems per method to collect the audit sample. The audit sample must be analyzed by the same analyst using the same analytical reagents and analytical system and at the same time as the compliance samples. Retests are required when there is a failure to produce acceptable results for an audit sample. However, if the audit results do not affect the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility, the compliance authority may waive the reanalysis requirement, further audits, or retests and accept the results of the compliance test. Acceptance of the test results shall constitute a waiver of the reanalysis requirement, further audits, or retests. The compliance authority may also use the audit sample failure and the compliance test results as evidence to determine the compliance or noncompliance status of the affected facility. A blind audit sample is a sample whose value is known only to the sample provider and is not revealed to the tested facility until after they report the measured value of the audit sample. For pollutants that exist in the gas phase at ambient temperature, the audit sample shall consist of an appropriate concentration of the pollutant in air or nitrogen that can be introduced into the sampling system of the test method at or near the same entry point as a sample from the emission source. If no gas phase audit samples are available, an acceptable alternative is a sample of the pollutant in the same matrix that would be produced when the sample is recovered from the sampling system as required by the test method. For samples that exist only in a liquid or solid form at ambient temperature, the audit sample shall consist of an appropriate concentration of the pollutant in the same matrix that would be produced when the sample is recovered from the sampling system as required by the test method. An accredited audit sample provider (AASP) is an organization that has been accredited to prepare audit samples by an independent, third party accrediting body.

(A) The source owner, operator, or representative of the tested facility shall obtain an audit sample, if commercially available, from an AASP for each test method used for regulatory compliance purposes. No audit samples are required for the following test methods: Methods 3A and 3C of
appendix A-3 of part 60; Methods 6C, 7E, 9, and 10 of appendix A-4 of part 60; Methods 18 and 19 of appendix A-6 of part 60; Methods 20, 22, and 25A of appendix A-7 of part 60; and Methods 303, 318, 320, and 321 of appendix A of part 63. If multiple sources at a single facility are tested during a compliance test event, only one audit sample is required for each method used during a compliance test. The compliance authority responsible for the compliance test may waive the requirement to include an audit sample if they believe that an audit sample is not necessary. “Commercially available” means that two or more independent AASPs have blind audit samples available for purchase. If the source owner, operator, or representative cannot find an audit sample for a specific method, the owner, operator, or representative shall consult the EPA Web site at the following URL, www.epa.gov/ttn/emc, to confirm whether there is a source that can supply an audit sample for that method. If the EPA Web site does not list an available audit sample at least 60 days prior to the beginning of the compliance test, the source owner, operator, or representative shall not be required to include an audit sample as part of the quality assurance program for the compliance test. When ordering an audit sample, the source owner, operator, or representative shall give the sample provider an estimate for the concentration of each pollutant that is emitted by the source or the estimated concentration of each pollutant based on the permitted level and the name, address, and phone number of the compliance authority. The source owner, operator, or representative shall report the results for the audit sample along with a summary of the emission test results for the audited pollutant to the compliance authority and shall report the results of the audit sample to the AASP. The source owner, operator, or representative shall make both reports at the same time and in the same manner or shall report to the compliance authority first and report to the AASP. If the method being audited is a method that allows the samples to be analyzed in the field and the tester plans to analyze the samples in the field, the tester may analyze the audit samples prior to collecting the emission samples provided a representative of the compliance authority is present at the testing site. The tester may request, and the compliance authority may grant, a waiver to the requirement that a representative of the compliance authority must be present at the testing site during the field analysis of an audit sample. The source owner, operator, or representative may report the results of the audit sample to the compliance authority and then report the results of the audit sample to the AASP prior to collecting any emission samples. The test protocol and final test report shall document whether an audit sample was ordered and utilized and the pass/fail results as applicable.

(B) An AASP shall have and shall prepare, analyze, and report the true value of audit samples in accordance with a written technical criteria document that describes how audit samples will be prepared and distributed in a manner that will ensure the integrity of the audit sample program. An acceptable technical criteria document shall contain standard operating procedures for all of the following operations:

(1) Preparing the sample;

(2) Confirming the true concentration of the sample;

(3) Defining the acceptance limits for the results from a well qualified tester. This procedure must use well established statistical methods to analyze historical results from well qualified testers. The acceptance limits shall be set so that there is 95 percent confidence that 90 percent of well qualified labs will produce future results that are within the acceptance limit range;

(4) Providing the opportunity for the compliance authority to comment on the selected concentration level for an audit sample;
(5) Distributing the sample to the user in a manner that guarantees that the true value of the sample is unknown to the user;

(6) Recording the measured concentration reported by the user and determining if the measured value is within acceptable limits;

(7) Reporting the results from each audit sample in a timely manner to the compliance authority and to the source owner, operator, or representative by the AASP. The AASP shall make both reports at the same time and in the same manner or shall report to the compliance authority first and then report to the source owner, operator, or representative. The results shall include the name of the facility tested, the date on which the compliance test was conducted, the name of the company performing the sample collection, the name of the company that analyzed the compliance samples including the audit sample, the measured result for the audit sample, and whether the testing company passed or failed the audit. The AASP shall report the true value of the audit sample to the compliance authority. The AASP may report the true value to the source owner, operator, or representative if the AASP's operating plan ensures that no laboratory will receive the same audit sample twice.

(8) Evaluating the acceptance limits of samples at least once every two years to determine in consultation with the voluntary consensus standard body if they should be changed.

(9) Maintaining a database, accessible to the compliance authorities, of results from the audit that shall include the name of the facility tested, the date on which the compliance test was conducted, the name of the company performing the sample collection, the name of the company that analyzed the compliance samples including the audit sample, the measured result for the audit sample, the true value of the audit sample, and whether the testing company passed or failed the audit.

(C) The accrediting body shall have a written technical criteria document that describes how it will ensure that the AASP is operating in accordance with the AASP technical criteria document that describes how audit samples are to be prepared and distributed. This document shall contain standard operating procedures for all of the following operations:

(1) Checking audit samples to confirm their true value as reported by the AASP.

(2) Performing technical systems audits of the AASP’s facilities and operating procedures at least once every two years.

(3) Providing standards for use by the voluntary consensus standard body to approve the accrediting body that will accredit the audit sample providers.

(D) The technical criteria documents for the accredited sample providers and the accrediting body shall be developed through a public process guided by a voluntary consensus standards body (VCSB). The VCSB shall operate in accordance with the procedures and requirements in the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119. A copy of Circular A-119 is available upon request by writing the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, by calling (202) 395-6880 or downloading online at http://standards.gov/standards_gov/a119.cfm. The VCSB shall approve all accrediting bodies. The
Administrator will review all technical criteria documents. If the technical criteria documents do not meet the minimum technical requirements in paragraphs (c)(2)(iii)(B) through (C) of this section, the technical criteria documents are not acceptable and the proposed audit sample program is not capable of producing audit samples of sufficient quality to be used in a compliance test. All acceptable technical criteria documents shall be posted on the EPA Web site at the following URL, http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc.

(iv) The owner or operator of an affected source shall submit the site-specific test plan to the Administrator upon the Administrator's request at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to take place, that is, simultaneously with the notification of intention to conduct a performance test required under paragraph (b) of this section, or on a mutually agreed upon date.

(v) The Administrator may request additional relevant information after the submittal of a site-specific test plan.

(3) Approval of site-specific test plan. (i) The Administrator will notify the owner or operator of approval or intention to deny approval of the site-specific test plan (if review of the site-specific test plan is requested) within 30 calendar days after receipt of the original plan and within 30 calendar days after receipt of any supplementary information that is submitted under paragraph (c)(3)(i)(B) of this section. Before disapproving any site-specific test plan, the Administrator will notify the applicant of the Administrator's intention to disapprove the plan together with—

   (A) Notice of the information and findings on which the intended disapproval is based; and

   (B) Notice of opportunity for the owner or operator to present, within 30 calendar days after he/she is notified of the intended disapproval, additional information to the Administrator before final action on the plan.

(ii) In the event that the Administrator fails to approve or disapprove the site-specific test plan within the time period specified in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section, the following conditions shall apply:

   (A) If the owner or operator intends to demonstrate compliance using the test method(s) specified in the relevant standard or with only minor changes to those tests methods (see paragraph (e)(2)(i) of this section), the owner or operator must conduct the performance test within the time specified in this section using the specified method(s);

   (B) If the owner or operator intends to demonstrate compliance by using an alternative to any test method specified in the relevant standard, the owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test using an alternative test method after the Administrator approves the use of the alternative method when the Administrator approves the site-specific test plan (if review of the site-specific test plan is requested) or after the alternative method is approved (see paragraph (f) of this section). However, the owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test using an alternative method in the absence of notification of approval 45 days after submission of the site-specific test plan or request to use an alternative method. The owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test within 60 calendar days after he/she is authorized to demonstrate compliance using an alternative test method. Notwithstanding the requirements in the preceding three sentences, the owner or operator may proceed to conduct the performance test as required in this
section (without the Administrator's prior approval of the site-specific test plan) if he/she subsequently chooses to use the specified testing and monitoring methods instead of an alternative.

(iii) Neither the submission of a site-specific test plan for approval, nor the Administrator's approval or disapproval of a plan, nor the Administrator's failure to approve or disapprove a plan in a timely manner shall—

(A) Relieve an owner or operator of legal responsibility for compliance with any applicable provisions of this part or with any other applicable Federal, State, or local requirement; or

(B) Prevent the Administrator from implementing or enforcing this part or taking any other action under the Act.

(d) Performance testing facilities. If required to do performance testing, the owner or operator of each new source and, at the request of the Administrator, the owner or operator of each existing source, shall provide performance testing facilities as follows:

(1) Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such source. This includes:

   (i) Constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures; and

   (ii) Providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures;

(2) Safe sampling platform(s);

(3) Safe access to sampling platform(s);

(4) Utilities for sampling and testing equipment; and

(5) Any other facilities that the Administrator deems necessary for safe and adequate testing of a source.

(f) Use of an alternative test method—(1) General. Until authorized to use an intermediate or major change or alternative to a test method, the owner or operator of an affected source remains subject to the requirements of this section and the relevant standard.

   (2) The owner or operator of an affected source required to do performance testing by a relevant standard may use an alternative test method from that specified in the standard provided that the owner or operator—

   (i) Notifies the Administrator of his or her intention to use an alternative test method at least 60 days before the performance test is scheduled to begin;
(ii) Uses Method 301 in appendix A of this part to validate the alternative test method. This may include the use of specific procedures of Method 301 if use of such procedures are sufficient to validate the alternative test method; and

(iii) Submits the results of the Method 301 validation process along with the notification of intention and the justification for not using the specified test method. The owner or operator may submit the information required in this paragraph well in advance of the deadline specified in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section to ensure a timely review by the Administrator in order to meet the performance test date specified in this section or the relevant standard.

(3) The Administrator will determine whether the owner or operator’s validation of the proposed alternative test method is adequate and issue an approval or disapproval of the alternative test method. If the owner or operator intends to demonstrate compliance by using an alternative to any test method specified in the relevant standard, the owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test using an alternative test method after the Administrator approves the use of the alternative method. However, the owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test using an alternative method in the absence of notification of approval/disapproval 45 days after submission of the request to use an alternative method and the request satisfies the requirements in paragraph (f)(2) of this section. The owner or operator is authorized to conduct the performance test within 60 calendar days after he/she is authorized to demonstrate compliance using an alternative test method. Notwithstanding the requirements in the preceding three sentences, the owner or operator may proceed to conduct the performance test as required in this section (without the Administrator’s prior approval of the site-specific test plan) if he/she subsequently chooses to use the specified testing and monitoring methods instead of an alternative.

(4) If the Administrator finds reasonable grounds to dispute the results obtained by an alternative test method for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with a relevant standard, the Administrator may require the use of a test method specified in a relevant standard.

(5) If the owner or operator uses an alternative test method for an affected source during a required performance test, the owner or operator of such source shall continue to use the alternative test method for subsequent performance tests at that affected source until he or she receives approval from the Administrator to use another test method as allowed under §63.7(f).

(6) Neither the validation and approval process nor the failure to validate an alternative test method shall abrogate the owner or operator’s responsibility to comply with the requirements of this part.

Operating limits: Establish operating limits during performance (stack) test by 09/17/2014. §63.11211(b) and Table 6

§63.11211(b): You must establish parameter operating limits according to paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) For a wet scrubber, you must establish the minimum scrubber liquid flow rate and minimum scrubber pressure drop as defined in §63.11237, as your operating limits during the three-run performance stack test. If you use a wet scrubber and you conduct separate performance stack tests for PM and mercury emissions, you must establish one set of minimum scrubber liquid flow rate and
pressure drop operating limits. If you conduct multiple performance stack tests, you must set the minimum scrubber liquid flow rate and pressure drop operating limits at the highest minimum values established during the performance stack tests.

(2) For an electrostatic precipitator operated with a wet scrubber, you must establish the minimum total secondary electric power (secondary voltage and secondary current), as defined in §63.11237, as your operating limits during the three-run performance stack test.

(3) For activated carbon injection, you must establish the minimum activated carbon injection rate, as defined in §63.11237, as your operating limit during the three-run performance stack test.

(4) The operating limit for boilers with fabric filters that demonstrate continuous compliance through bag leak detection systems is that a bag leak detection system be installed according to the requirements in §63.11224, and that each fabric filter must be operated such that the bag leak detection system alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month period.

### Table 6—Establishing Operating Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you have an applicable emission limit for-</th>
<th>And your operating limits are based on-</th>
<th>You must-</th>
<th>Using-</th>
<th>According to the following requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. PM or mercury</td>
<td>a. Wet scrubber operating parameters</td>
<td>Establish site-specific minimum scrubber pressure drop and minimum scrubber liquid flow rate operating limits according to §63.11211(b)</td>
<td>Data from the pressure drop and liquid flow rate monitors and the PM or mercury performance stack tests</td>
<td>(a) You must collect pressure drop and liquid flow rate data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance stack tests;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Electrostatic precipitator operating</td>
<td>Establish a site-specific minimum total secondary electric power</td>
<td>Data from the secondary electric power monitors and the PM or mercury performance stack tests</td>
<td>(b) Determine the average pressure drop and liquid flow rate for each individual test run in the three-run performance stack test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>operating limit according to §63.11211(b)</td>
<td>mercury performance stack tests</td>
<td>stack tests;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Determine the average total secondary electric power for each individual test run in the three-run performance stack test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Mercury

| Dry sorbent or activated carbon injection rate operating parameters | Establish a site-specific minimum sorbent or activated carbon injection rate operating limit according to §63.11211(b) | Data from the sorbent or activated carbon injection rate monitors and the mercury performance stack tests | (a) You must collect sorbent or activated carbon injection rate data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance stack tests; (b) Determine the average sorbent or activated carbon injection rate for each individual test run in the three-run performance stack test by computing the average of all the 15-minute readings taken during each test run. (c) When your unit operates at lower loads, multiply your sorbent or activated carbon injection rate by the load fraction (e.g., actual heat input divided by heat input during performance stack test, for 50 percent load, multiply the injection rate operating limit by 0.5) to determine the required injection rate. |
### Additional Performance Tests

**Triennial Performance (Stack) Test**

- Test no more than 37 months after previous test. §63.11220(a)

#### §63.11220(a)

If your boiler has a heat input capacity of 10 million British thermal units per hour or greater, you must conduct all applicable performance (stack) tests according to §63.11212 on a triennial basis, except as specified in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section. Triennial performance tests must be completed no more than 37 months after the previous performance test.

#### OR OPTION 2:

### Initial Compliance

**Conduct fuel analysis by 9/17/2014.** §63.11213, Table 5 and §63.11211(c)

#### §63.11213 What fuel analyses and procedures must I use for the performance tests?

1. **(a)** You must conduct fuel analyses according to the procedures in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section and Table 5 to this subpart, as applicable. You are not required to conduct fuel analyses for fuels used for only startup, unit shutdown, and transient flame stability purposes. You are required to conduct fuel analyses only for fuels and units that are subject to emission limits for mercury in Table 1 of this subpart.

2. **(b)** At a minimum, you must obtain three composite fuel samples for each fuel type according to the procedures in Table 5 to this subpart. Each composite sample must consist of a minimum of three samples collected at approximately equal intervals during a test run period.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Any pollutant for which compliance is demonstrated by a performance stack test</th>
<th>Boiler operating load</th>
<th>Establish a unit-specific limit for maximum operating load according to §63.11212(c)</th>
<th>Data from the operating load monitors (fuel feed monitors or steam generation monitors)</th>
<th>(a) You must collect operating load data (fuel feed rate or steam generation data) every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance test.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Determine the average operating load by computing the hourly averages using all of the 15-minute readings taken during each performance test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Determine the average of the three test run averages during the performance test, and multiply this by 1.1 (110 percent) as your operating limit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(c) Determine the concentration of mercury in the fuel in units of pounds per million Btu of each composite sample for each fuel type according to the procedures in Table 5 to this subpart.

**Table 5—Fuel Analysis Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To conduct a fuel analysis for the following pollutant-</th>
<th>You must-</th>
<th>Using-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mercury</td>
<td>a. Collect fuel samples</td>
<td>Procedure in §63.11213(b) or ASTM D2234/D2234M&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for coal) or ASTM D6323&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for biomass) or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Compose fuel samples</td>
<td>Procedure in §63.11213(b) or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Prepare composited fuel samples</td>
<td>EPA SW-846-3050B&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for solid samples) or EPA SW-846-3020A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for liquid samples) or ASTM D2013/D2013M&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for coal) or ASTM D5198&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for biomass) or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Determine heat content of the fuel type</td>
<td>ASTM D5865&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for coal) or ASTM E711&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for biomass) or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Determine moisture content of the fuel type</td>
<td>ASTM D3173&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; or ASTM E871&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Measure mercury concentration in fuel sample</td>
<td>ASTM D6722&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for coal) or EPA SW-846-7471B&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for solid samples) or EPA SW-846-7470A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (for liquid samples) or equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g. Convert concentrations into units of lb/MMBtu of heat content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**§63.11211(c):** If you elect to demonstrate compliance with an applicable mercury emission limit through fuel analysis, you must conduct fuel analyses according to §63.11213 and Table 5 to this subpart and follow the procedures in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section.

1. If you burn more than one fuel type, you must determine the fuel type, or mixture, you could burn in your boiler that would result in the maximum emission rates of mercury.

2. You must determine the 90th percentile confidence level fuel mercury concentration of the composite samples analyzed for each fuel type using Equation 1 of this section.

\[ P_{90} = \text{mean} + (SD \times t) \quad (\text{Eq. 1}) \]
Where: \( P_{90} = 90\text{th percentile confidence level mercury concentration, in pounds per million Btu.} \)

\[ \text{mean} = \text{Arithmetic average of the fuel mercury concentration in the fuel samples analyzed according to §63.11213, in units of pounds per million Btu.} \]

\[ \text{SD} = \text{Standard deviation of the mercury concentration in the fuel samples analyzed according to §63.11213, in units of pounds per million Btu.} \]

\[ t = t \text{ distribution critical value for 90th percentile (0.1) probability for the appropriate degrees of freedom (number of samples minus one) as obtained from a Distribution Critical Value Table.} \]

(3) To demonstrate compliance with the applicable mercury emission limit, the emission rate that you calculate for your boiler using Equation 1 of this section must be less than the applicable mercury emission limit.

**Additional Fuel Analyses:** Quarterly fuel analysis for each fuel type burned unless mercury constituents in the fuel are \( \leq \) to half of the mercury emission limit. No further fuel sampling is required for those boilers. §63.11220(c)

§63.11220(c): If you demonstrate compliance with the mercury emission limit based on fuel analysis, you must conduct a fuel analysis according to §63.11213 for each type of fuel burned as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section. If you plan to burn a new type of fuel or fuel mixture, you must conduct a fuel analysis before burning the new type of fuel or mixture in your boiler. You must recalculate the mercury emission rate using Equation 1 of §63.11211. The recalculated mercury emission rate must be less than the applicable emission limit.

(1) When demonstrating initial compliance with the mercury emission limit, if the mercury constituents in the fuel or fuel mixture are measured to be equal to or less than half of the mercury emission limit, you do not need to conduct further fuel analysis sampling but must continue to comply with all applicable operating limits and monitoring requirements.

(2) When demonstrating initial compliance with the mercury emission limit, if the mercury constituents in the fuel or fuel mixture are greater than half of the mercury emission limit, you must conduct quarterly sampling.

**ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MERCURY**

**CMS performance evaluations:** Develop site-specific monitoring plan at least 60 days before the performance evaluation. Conduct performance evaluations within 180 days of startup. §63.11224(c)

§63.11224(c): If you demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limit through stack testing and subsequent compliance with operating limits, you must develop a site-specific monitoring plan according to the requirements in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section. This requirement also applies to you if you petition the EPA Administrator for alternative monitoring parameters under §63.8(f).

(1) For each CMS required in this section, you must develop, and submit to the EPA Administrator for approval upon request, a site-specific monitoring plan that addresses paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii)
of this section. You must submit this site-specific monitoring plan (if requested) at least 60 days before your initial performance evaluation of your CMS.

   (i) Installation of the CMS sampling probe or other interface at a measurement location relative to each affected unit such that the measurement is representative of control of the exhaust emissions (e.g., on or downstream of the last control device).

   (ii) Performance and equipment specifications for the sample interface, the pollutant concentration or parametric signal analyzer, and the data collection and reduction systems.

   (iii) Performance evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria (e.g., calibrations).

(2) In your site-specific monitoring plan, you must also address paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iii) of this section.

   (i) Ongoing operation and maintenance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(c)(1), (3), and (4)(ii).

   (ii) Ongoing data quality assurance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(d).

   (iii) Ongoing recordkeeping and reporting procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.10(c), (e)(1), and (e)(2)(i).

(3) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CMS in accordance with your site-specific monitoring plan.

(4) You must operate and maintain the CMS in continuous operation according to the site-specific monitoring plan.

**Continuous Compliance:** Operate monitoring systems and collect data at all required intervals including fuel mercury content and relevant control device monitoring systems. §63.11224(d)-(f), §63.11221, §63.11222 and Table 7

**§63.11224(d)-(f):** If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a CMS, you must install, operate, and maintain each CPMS according to the procedures in paragraphs (d)(1) through (4) of this section.

   (1) The CPMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation every 15 minutes. You must have data values from a minimum of four successive cycles of operation representing each of the four 15-minute periods in an hour, or at least two 15-minute data values during an hour when CMS calibration, quality assurance, or maintenance activities are being performed, to have a valid hour of data.

   (2) You must calculate hourly arithmetic averages from each hour of CPMS data in units of the operating limit and determine the 30-day rolling average of all recorded readings, except as provided in
§63.11221(c). Calculate a 30-day rolling average from all of the hourly averages collected for the 30-day operating period using Equation 3 of this section.

\[
\text{30-day average } = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{pi}}{n} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Where: 
- \( H_{pi} \) = the hourly parameter value for hour \( i \)
- \( n \) = the number of valid hourly parameter values collected over 30 boiler operating days

(3) For purposes of collecting data, you must operate the CPMS as specified in §63.11221(b). For purposes of calculating data averages, you must use all the data collected during all periods in assessing compliance, except that you must exclude certain data as specified in §63.11221(c). Periods when CPMS data are unavailable may constitute monitoring deviations as specified in §63.11221(d).

(4) Record the results of each inspection, calibration, and validation check.

(e) If you have an applicable opacity operating limit under this rule, you must install, operate, certify and maintain each COMS according to the procedures in paragraphs (e)(1) through (8) of this section by the compliance date specified in §63.11196.

(1) Each COMS must be installed, operated, and maintained according to Performance Specification 1 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

(2) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each COMS according to the requirements in §63.8 and according to Performance Specification 1 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

(3) As specified in §63.8(c)(4)(i), each COMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-minute period.

(4) The COMS data must be reduced as specified in §63.8(g)(2).

(5) You must include in your site-specific monitoring plan procedures and acceptance criteria for operating and maintaining each COMS according to the requirements in §63.8(d). At a minimum, the monitoring plan must include a daily calibration drift assessment, a quarterly performance audit, and an annual zero alignment audit of each COMS.

(6) You must operate and maintain each COMS according to the requirements in the monitoring plan and the requirements of §63.8(e). You must identify periods the COMS is out of control including any periods that the COMS fails to pass a daily calibration drift assessment, a quarterly performance audit, or an annual zero alignment audit.

(7) You must calculate and record 6-minute averages from the opacity monitoring data and determine and record the daily block average of recorded readings, except as provided in §63.11221(c).

(8) For purposes of collecting opacity data, you must operate the COMS as specified in §63.11221(b). For purposes of calculating data averages, you must use all the data collected during all
periods in assessing compliance, except that you must exclude certain data as specified in §63.11221(c). Periods when COMS data are unavailable may constitute monitoring deviations as specified in §63.11221(d).

(f) If you use a fabric filter bag leak detection system to comply with the requirements of this subpart, you must install, calibrate, maintain, and continuously operate the bag leak detection system as specified in paragraphs (f)(1) through (8) of this section.

(1) You must install and operate a bag leak detection system for each exhaust stack of the fabric filter.

(2) Each bag leak detection system must be installed, operated, calibrated, and maintained in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's written specifications and recommendations and in accordance with EPA-454/R-98-015 (incorporated by reference, see §63.14).

(3) The bag leak detection system must be certified by the manufacturer to be capable of detecting particulate matter emissions at concentrations of 10 milligrams per actual cubic meter or less.

(4) The bag leak detection system sensor must provide output of relative or absolute particulate matter loadings.

(5) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with a device to continuously record the output signal from the sensor.

(6) The bag leak detection system must be equipped with an audible or visual alarm system that will activate automatically when an increase in relative particulate matter emissions over a preset level is detected. The alarm must be located where it is easily heard or seen by plant operating personnel.

(7) For positive pressure fabric filter systems that do not duct all compartments or cells to a common stack, a bag leak detection system must be installed in each baghouse compartment or cell.

(8) Where multiple bag leak detectors are required, the system's instrumentation and alarm may be shared among detectors.

§63.11221 Is there a minimum amount of monitoring data I must obtain?

(a) You must monitor and collect data according to this section and the site-specific monitoring plan required by §63.11205(c).

(b) You must operate the monitoring system and collect data at all required intervals at all times the affected source is operating and compliance is required, except for periods of monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods (see §63.8(c)(7) of this part), repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, and required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities including, as applicable, calibration checks, required zero and span adjustments, and scheduled CMS maintenance as defined in your site-specific monitoring plan. A monitoring system malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring system to provide valid data. Monitoring system failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions. You are required to complete monitoring system repairs in response to
monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods and to return the monitoring system to operation as expeditiously as practicable.

(c) You may not use data collected during monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, or required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities in calculations used to report emissions or operating levels. Any such periods must be reported according to the requirements in §63.11225. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system.

(d) Except for periods of monitoring system malfunctions or monitoring system out-of-control periods, repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or monitoring system out-of-control periods, and required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks, required zero and span adjustments, and scheduled CMS maintenance as defined in your site-specific monitoring plan), failure to collect required data is a deviation of the monitoring requirements.

§63.11222 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits?

(a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each emission limit and operating limit in Tables 1 and 3 to this subpart that applies to you according to the methods specified in Table 7 to this subpart and to paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) Following the date on which the initial compliance demonstration is completed or is required to be completed under §§63.7 and 63.11196, whichever date comes first, you must continuously monitor the operating parameters. Operation above the established maximum, below the established minimum, or outside the allowable range of the operating limits specified in paragraph (a) of this section constitutes a deviation from your operating limits established under this subpart, except during performance tests conducted to determine compliance with the emission and operating limits or to establish new operating limits. Operating limits are confirmed or reestablished during performance tests.

(2) If you have an applicable mercury or PM emission limit, you must keep records of the type and amount of all fuels burned in each boiler during the reporting period to demonstrate that all fuel types and mixtures of fuels burned would result in lower emissions of mercury than the applicable emission limit (if you demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis), or result in lower fuel input of mercury than the maximum values calculated during the last performance stack test (if you demonstrate compliance through performance stack testing).

(3) If you have an applicable mercury emission limit and you plan to burn a new type of fuel, you must determine the mercury concentration for any new fuel type in units of pounds per million Btu, using the procedures in Equation 1 of §63.11211 based on supplier data or your own fuel analysis, and meet the requirements in paragraphs (a)(3)(i) or (ii) of this section.

(i) The recalculated mercury emission rate must be less than the applicable emission limit.

(ii) If the mercury concentration is higher than mercury fuel input during the previous performance test, then you must conduct a new performance test within 60 days of burning the new fuel type or fuel
mixture according to the procedures in §63.11212 to demonstrate that the mercury emissions do not exceed the emission limit.

(4) If your unit is controlled with a fabric filter, and you demonstrate continuous compliance using a bag leak detection system, you must initiate corrective action within 1 hour of a bag leak detection system alarm and operate and maintain the fabric filter system such that the alarm does not sound more than 5 percent of the operating time during a 6-month period. You must also keep records of the date, time, and duration of each alarm, the time corrective action was initiated and completed, and a brief description of the cause of the alarm and the corrective action taken. You must also record the percent of the operating time during each 6-month period that the alarm sounds. In calculating this operating time percentage, if inspection of the fabric filter demonstrates that no corrective action is required, no alarm time is counted. If corrective action is required, each alarm is counted as a minimum of 1 hour. If you take longer than 1 hour to initiate corrective action, the alarm time is counted as the actual amount of time taken to initiate corrective action.

(b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet each emission limit and operating limit in Tables 1 and 3 to this subpart that apply to you. These instances are deviations from the emission limits in this subpart. These deviations must be reported according to the requirements in §63.11225.

Table 7—Demonstrating Continuous Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you must meet the following operating limits-</th>
<th>You must demonstrate continuous compliance by-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Opacity</td>
<td>a. Collecting the opacity monitoring system data according to §63.11224(e) and §63.11221; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Reducing the opacity monitoring data to 6-minute averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maintaining opacity to less than or equal to 10 percent (daily block average).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fabric Filter Bag Leak Detection Operation</td>
<td>Installing and operating a bag leak detection system according to §63.11224(f) and operating the fabric filter such that the requirements in §63.11222(a)(4) are met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Wet Scrubber Pressure Drop and Liquid Flow Rate</td>
<td>a. Collecting the pressure drop and liquid flow rate monitoring system data according to §§63.11224 and 63.11221; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maintaining the 30-day rolling average pressure drop and liquid flow rate at or above the minimum pressure drop and minimum liquid flow rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Dry Scrubber Sorbent or Activated Carbon Injection Rate

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Collecting the sorbent or activated carbon injection rate monitoring system data for the dry scrubber according to §§63.11224 and 63.11221; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Maintaining the 30-day rolling average sorbent or activated carbon injection rate at or above the minimum sorbent or activated carbon injection rate according to §63.11211.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Electrostatic Precipitator Total Secondary Electric Power

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Collecting the total secondary electric power monitoring system data for the electrostatic precipitator according to §§63.11224 and 63.11221; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Maintaining the 30-day rolling average total secondary electric power at or above the minimum total secondary electric power according to §63.11211.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Fuel Pollutant Content

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Only burning the fuel types and fuel mixtures used to demonstrate compliance with the applicable emission limit according to §63.11213 as applicable; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Keeping monthly records of fuel use according to §§63.11222(a)(2) and 63.11225(b)(4).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Boiler operating load

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Collecting operating load data (fuel feed rate or steam generation data) every 15 minutes; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Maintaining the 30-day rolling average at or below the operating limit established during the performance test according to §63.11212(c) and Table 6 to this subpart.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MEETING EMISSION LIMITS AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR CARBON MONOXIDE**
EMISSION LIMITS FOR CARBON MONOXIDE (CO)

OPTION 1:

Initial Compliance: Develop site-specific test plan at least 60 days before the performance (stack) test. Conduct performance (stack) test by 9/17/2014. §63.11212, Table 4, and §63.7(c), (d), and (f)

§63.11212: What stack tests and procedures must I use for the performance tests?

(a) You must conduct all performance tests according to §63.7(c), (d), (f), and (h). You must also develop a site-specific test plan according to the requirements in §63.7(c).

(b) You must conduct each stack test according to the requirements in Table 4 to this subpart. Boilers that use a CEMS for carbon monoxide (CO) are exempt from the initial CO performance testing in Table 4 to this subpart and the oxygen concentration operating limit requirement specified in Table 3 to this subpart.

(c) You must conduct performance stack tests at the representative operating load conditions while burning the type of fuel or mixture of fuels that have the highest emissions potential for each regulated pollutant, and you must demonstrate initial compliance and establish your operating limits based on these performance stack tests. For subcategories with more than one emission limit, these requirements could result in the need to conduct more than one performance stack test. Following each performance stack test and until the next performance stack test, you must comply with the operating limit for operating load conditions specified in Table 3 to this subpart.

(d) You must conduct a minimum of three separate test runs for each performance stack test required in this section, as specified in §63.7(e)(3) and in accordance with the provisions in Table 4 to this subpart.

(e) To determine compliance with the emission limits, you must use the F-Factor methodology and equations in sections 12.2 and 12.3 of EPA Method 19 of appendix A-7 to part 60 of this chapter to convert the measured PM concentrations and the measured mercury concentrations that result from the performance test to pounds per million Btu heat input emission rates.

### Table 4—Performance (Stack) Testing Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To conduct a performance test for the following pollutant-</th>
<th>You must-</th>
<th>Using-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>a. Select the sampling ports location and the number of traverse points</td>
<td>Method 1 in appendix A-1 to part 60 of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Determine oxygen and carbon dioxide</td>
<td>Method 3A or 3B in appendix A-2 to part 60 of this chapter, or ASTM D6522-00 (Reapproved)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentrations of the stack gas  

c. Measure the moisture content of the stack gas  
Method 4 in appendix A-3 to part 60 of this chapter.

d. Measure the carbon monoxide emission concentration  
Method 10, 10A, or 10B in appendix A-4 to part 60 of this chapter or ASTM D6522-00 (Reapproved 2005) a and a minimum 1 hour sampling time per run.

§63.7(c), (d), (f): For regulatory text, refer to Emission Limits for Mercury, Option 1 “Initial Compliance” on pgs. 7–12.

Operating limits: Install oxygen analyzer system and establish operating limits during performance (stack) test by 9/17/2014. §63.11224(a) and Table 6

§63.11224(a): If your boiler is subject to a CO emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart, you must either install, operate, and maintain a CEMS for CO and oxygen according to the procedures in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section, or install, calibrate, operate, and maintain an oxygen analyzer system, as defined in §63.11237, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and paragraphs (a)(7) and (d) of this section, as applicable, by the compliance date specified in §63.11196. Where a certified CO CEMS is used, the CO level shall be monitored at the outlet of the boiler, after any add-on controls or flue gas recirculation system and before release to the atmosphere. Boilers that use a CO CEMS are exempt from the initial CO performance testing and oxygen concentration operating limit requirements specified in §63.11211(a) of this subpart. Oxygen monitors and oxygen trim systems must be installed to monitor oxygen in the boiler flue gas, boiler firebox, or other appropriate intermediate location.

(1) n/a
(2) n/a
(3) n/a
(4) n/a
(5) n/a
(6) n/a

(7) You must operate the oxygen analyzer system at or above the minimum oxygen level that is established as the operating limit according to Table 6 to this subpart when firing the fuel or fuel mixture utilized during the most recent CO performance stack test. Operation of oxygen trim systems to meet these requirements shall not be done in a manner which compromises furnace safety.
Table 6—Establishing Operating Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you have an applicable emission limit for-</th>
<th>And your operating limits are based on-</th>
<th>You must-</th>
<th>Using-</th>
<th>According to the following requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. CO</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>Establish a unit-specific limit for minimum oxygen level</td>
<td>Data from the oxygen analyzer system specified in §63.11224(a)</td>
<td>(a) You must collect oxygen data every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance stack tests;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Any pollutant for which compliance is demonstrated by a performance stack test</td>
<td>Boiler operating load</td>
<td>Establish a unit-specific limit for maximum operating load according to §63.11212(c)</td>
<td>Data from the operating load monitors (fuel feed monitors or steam generation monitors)</td>
<td>(a) You must collect operating load data (fuel feed rate or steam generation data) every 15 minutes during the entire period of the performance test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Determine the average operating load by computing the hourly averages using all of the 15-minute readings taken during each performance test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Determine the average of the three test run averages during the performance test, and multiply this by 1.1 (110 percent) as your operating limit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Performance Tests: Triennial performance (stack) test no more than 37 months after previous test. §63.11220(a)
§63.11220(a): If your boiler has a heat input capacity of 10 million British thermal units per hour or greater, you must conduct all applicable performance (stack) tests according to §63.11212 on a triennial basis, except as specified in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section. Triennial performance tests must be completed no more than 37 months after the previous performance test.

OR OPTION 2:

Initial Compliance: Install, operate and maintain a CEMS for CO and oxygen by 9/17/2014. §63.11224(a)

§63.11224(a): If your boiler is subject to a CO emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart, you must either install, operate, and maintain a CEMS for CO and oxygen according to the procedures in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section, or install, calibrate, operate, and maintain an oxygen analyzer system, as defined in §63.11237, according to the manufacturer's recommendations and paragraphs (a)(7) and (d) of this section, as applicable, by the compliance date specified in §63.11196. Where a certified CO CEMS is used, the CO level shall be monitored at the outlet of the boiler, after any add-on controls or flue gas recirculation system and before release to the atmosphere. Boilers that use a CO CEMS are exempt from the initial CO performance testing and oxygen concentration operating limit requirements specified in §63.11211(a) of this subpart. Oxygen monitors and oxygen trim systems must be installed to monitor oxygen in the boiler flue gas, boiler firebox, or other appropriate intermediate location.

1. Each CO CEMS must be installed, operated, and maintained according to the applicable procedures under Performance Specification 4, 4A, or 4B at 40 CFR part 60, appendix B, and each oxygen CEMS must be installed, operated, and maintained according to Performance Specification 3 at 40 CFR part 60, appendix B. Both the CO and oxygen CEMS must also be installed, operated, and maintained according to the site-specific monitoring plan developed according to paragraph (c) of this section.

2. You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CEMS according to the requirements in §63.8(e) and according to Performance Specifications 3 and 4, 4A, or 4B at 40 CFR part 60, appendix B.

3. Each CEMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) every 15 minutes. You must have CEMS data values from a minimum of four successive cycles of operation representing each of the four 15-minute periods in an hour, or at least two 15-minute data values during an hour when CEMS calibration, quality assurance, or maintenance activities are being performed, to have a valid hour of data.

4. The CEMS data must be reduced as specified in §63.8(g)(2).

5. You must calculate hourly averages, corrected to 3 percent oxygen, from each hour of CO CEMS data in parts per million CO concentrations and determine the 10-day rolling average of all recorded readings, except as provided in §63.11221(c). Calculate a 10-day rolling average from all of the hourly averages collected for the 10-day operating period using Equation 2 of this section.

\[ \text{10-day average} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{pi}}{n} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)} \]

Where: \( H_{pi} \) = the hourly parameter value for hour \( i \)
n = the number of valid hourly parameter values collected over 10 boiler operating days

(6) For purposes of collecting CO data, you must operate the CO CEMS as specified in §63.11221(b). For purposes of calculating data averages, you must use all the data collected during all periods in assessing compliance, except that you must exclude certain data as specified in §63.11221(c). Periods when CO data are unavailable may constitute monitoring deviations as specified in §63.11221(d).

(7) n/a

**ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CARBON MONOXIDE**

**CMS performance evaluations:** Develop site-specific monitoring plan at least 60 days before the performance evaluation. Conduct performance evaluations by 9/17/2014. §63.11205(c)

§63.11205(c): If you demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limit through performance stack testing and subsequent compliance with operating limits (including the use of CPMS), with a CEMS, or with a COMS, you must develop a site-specific monitoring plan according to the requirements in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section for the use of any CEMS, COMS, or CPMS. This requirement also applies to you if you petition the EPA Administrator for alternative monitoring parameters under §63.8(f).

(1) For each CMS required in this section (including CEMS, COMS, or CPMS), you must develop, and submit to the Administrator for approval upon request, a site-specific monitoring plan that addresses paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (vi) of this section. You must submit this site-specific monitoring plan, if requested, at least 60 days before your initial performance evaluation of your CMS. This requirement to develop and submit a site specific monitoring plan does not apply to affected sources with existing CEMS or COMS operated according to the performance specifications under appendix B to part 60 of this chapter and that meet the requirements of §63.11224.

(i) Installation of the CMS sampling probe or other interface at a measurement location relative to each affected process unit such that the measurement is representative of control of the exhaust emissions (e.g., on or downstream of the last control device);

(ii) Performance and equipment specifications for the sample interface, the pollutant concentration or parametric signal analyzer, and the data collection and reduction systems; and

(iii) Performance evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria (e.g., calibrations).

(iv) Ongoing operation and maintenance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(c)(1)(iii), (c)(3), and (c)(4)(ii);

(v) Ongoing data quality assurance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(d); and

(vi) Ongoing recordkeeping and reporting procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.10(c) (as applicable in Table 8 to this subpart), (e)(1), and (e)(2)(i).
(2) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CMS in accordance with your site-specific monitoring plan.

(3) You must operate and maintain the CMS in continuous operation according to the site-specific monitoring plan.

Continuous Compliance: Operate monitoring systems and collect data at all required intervals. 
§63.11224(d), §63.11221, §63.1122 and Table 7

§63.11224(d): If you have an operating limit that requires the use of a CMS, you must install, operate, and maintain each CPMS according to the procedures in paragraphs (d)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) The CPMS must complete a minimum of one cycle of operation every 15 minutes. You must have data values from a minimum of four successive cycles of operation representing each of the four 15-minute periods in an hour, or at least two 15-minute data values during an hour when CMS calibration, quality assurance, or maintenance activities are being performed, to have a valid hour of data.

(2) You must calculate hourly arithmetic averages from each hour of CPMS data in units of the operating limit and determine the 30-day rolling average of all recorded readings, except as provided in §63.11221(c). Calculate a 30-day rolling average from all of the hourly averages collected for the 30-day operating period using Equation 3 of this section.

\[
\text{30-day average} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{pi}}{n} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Where:
- \( H_{pi} \) = the hourly parameter value for hour \( i \)
- \( n \) = the number of valid hourly parameter values collected over 30 boiler operating days

(3) For purposes of collecting data, you must operate the CPMS as specified in §63.11221(b). For purposes of calculating data averages, you must use all the data collected during all periods in assessing compliance, except that you must exclude certain data as specified in §63.11221(c). Periods when CPMS data are unavailable may constitute monitoring deviations as specified in §63.11221(d).

(4) Record the results of each inspection, calibration, and validation check.

§63.11221 Is there a minimum amount of monitoring data I must obtain?

(a) You must monitor and collect data according to this section and the site-specific monitoring plan required by §63.11205(c).

(b) You must operate the monitoring system and collect data at all required intervals at all times the affected source is operating and compliance is required, except for periods of monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods (see §63.8(c)(7) of this part), repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, and required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities including, as applicable, calibration checks, required zero and span adjustments,
and scheduled CMS maintenance as defined in your site-specific monitoring plan. A monitoring system malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring system to provide valid data. Monitoring system failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions. You are required to complete monitoring system repairs in response to monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods and to return the monitoring system to operation as expeditiously as practicable.

(c) You may not use data collected during monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or out-of-control periods, or required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities in calculations used to report emissions or operating levels. Any such periods must be reported according to the requirements in §63.11225. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system.

(d) Except for periods of monitoring system malfunctions or monitoring system out-of-control periods, repairs associated with monitoring system malfunctions or monitoring system out-of-control periods, and required monitoring system quality assurance or quality control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks, required zero and span adjustments, and scheduled CMS maintenance as defined in your site-specific monitoring plan), failure to collect required data is a deviation of the monitoring requirements.

§63.11222 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits?

(a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each emission limit and operating limit in Tables 1 and 3 to this subpart that applies to you according to the methods specified in Table 7 to this subpart and to paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) Following the date on which the initial compliance demonstration is completed or is required to be completed under §§63.7 and 63.11196, whichever date comes first, you must continuously monitor the operating parameters. Operation above the established maximum, below the established minimum, or outside the allowable range of the operating limits specified in paragraph (a) of this section constitutes a deviation from your operating limits established under this subpart, except during performance tests conducted to determine compliance with the emission and operating limits or to establish new operating limits. Operating limits are confirmed or reestablished during performance tests.

(2) n/a

(3) n/a

(4) n/a

(b) You must report each instance in which you did not meet each emission limit and operating limit in Tables 1 and 3 to this subpart that apply to you. These instances are deviations from the emission limits in this subpart. These deviations must be reported according to the requirements in §63.11225.
Table 7—Demonstrating Continuous Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you must meet the following operating limits-</th>
<th>You must demonstrate continuous compliance by -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Oxygen content</td>
<td>a. Continuously monitoring the oxygen content of flue gas according to §63.11224 (This requirement does not apply to units that install an oxygen trim system since these units will set the trim system to the level specified in §63.11224(a)(7)); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maintaining the 30-day rolling average oxygen content at or above the minimum oxygen level established during the most recent CO performance test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. CO emissions</td>
<td>a. Continuously monitoring the CO concentration in the combustion exhaust according to §§63.11224 and 63.11221; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Correcting the data to 3 percent oxygen, and reducing the data to 1-hour averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Reducing the data from the hourly averages to 10-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Maintaining the 10-day rolling average CO concentration at or below the applicable emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Boiler operating load</td>
<td>a. Collecting operating load data (fuel feed rate or steam generation data) every 15 minutes; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Reducing the data to 30-day rolling averages; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Maintaining the 30-day rolling average at or below the operating limit established during the performance test according to §63.11212(c) and Table 6 to this subpart.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Records

1. Records of fuel use and non-waste determinations. §63.11225(c)(2)(ii)

§63.11225(c)(2)(ii): For operating units that combust non-hazardous secondary materials that have been determined not to be solid waste pursuant to §241.3(b)(1) of this chapter, you must keep a record which documents how the secondary material meets each of the legitimacy criteria under §241.3(d)(1). If you combust a fuel that has been processed from a discarded non-hazardous secondary material pursuant to §241.3(b)(4) of this chapter, you must keep records as to how the operations that produced the fuel satisfies the definition of processing in §241.2 and each of the legitimacy criteria in §241.3(d)(1) of this chapter. If the fuel received a non-waste determination pursuant to the petition process submitted under §241.3(c) of this chapter, you must keep a record that documents how the fuel satisfies the requirements of the petition process. For operating units that combust non-hazardous secondary materials as fuel per §241.4, you must keep records documenting that the material is a listed non-waste under §241.4(a).
2. **Records of all submitted notifications.** §63.11225(c)(1)

   §63.11225(c)(1): As required in §63.10(b)(2)(xiv), you must keep a copy of each notification and report that you submitted to comply with this subpart and all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status that you submitted.

3. **Copy of the Energy Assessment Report.** §63.11225(c)(2)(iii)

   §63.11225(c)(2)(iii): For each boiler required to conduct an energy assessment, you must keep a copy of the energy assessment report.

4. **For sources demonstrating compliance with fuel analysis, records of all calculation and supporting documentation to demonstrate compliance with emission limits.** §63.11225(c)(3)

   §63.11225(c)(3): For sources that demonstrate compliance through fuel analysis, a copy of all calculations and supporting documentation that were done to demonstrate compliance with the mercury emission limits. Supporting documentation should include results of any fuel analyses. You can use the results from one fuel analysis for multiple boilers provided they are all burning the same fuel type.

5. **Records of type and amount of all fuels burned in each boiler during reporting period.** §63.11225(c)(2)(iv)

   §63.11225(c)(2)(iv): For each boiler subject to an emission limit in Table 1 to this subpart, you must also keep records of monthly fuel use by each boiler, including the type(s) of fuel and amount(s) used.

6. **Records of each boiler or control device malfunction occurrence and duration and actions taken to minimize emissions during malfunctions.** §63.11225(c)(4)-(5)

   §63.11225(c)(4): Records of the occurrence and duration of each malfunction of the boiler, or of the associated air pollution control and monitoring equipment.

   (5) Records of actions taken during periods of malfunction to minimize emissions in accordance with the general duty to minimize emissions in §63.11205(a), including corrective actions to restore the malfunctioning boiler, air pollution control, or monitoring equipment to its normal or usual manner of operation.

7. **Records of monitoring data and maintenance.** §63.11225(c)(6)

   §63.11225(c)(6): You must keep the records of all inspection and monitoring data required by §§63.11221 and 63.11222, and the information identified in paragraphs (c)(6)(i) through (vi) of this section for each required inspection or monitoring.

   (i) The date, place, and time of the monitoring event.
(ii) Person conducting the monitoring.

(iii) Technique or method used.

(iv) Operating conditions during the activity.

(v) Results, including the date, time, and duration of the period from the time the monitoring indicated a problem to the time that monitoring indicated proper operation.

(vi) Maintenance or corrective action taken (if applicable).

8. For sources with bag leak detection systems, records of operation. §63.11225(c)(7)

§63.11225(c)(7): If you use a bag leak detection system, you must keep the records specified in paragraphs (c)(7)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) Records of the bag leak detection system output.

(ii) Records of bag leak detection system adjustments, including the date and time of the adjustment, the initial bag leak detection system settings, and the final bag leak detection system settings.

(iii) The date and time of all bag leak detection system alarms, and for each valid alarm, the time you initiated corrective action, the corrective action taken, and the date on which corrective action was completed.

9. Site-specific test plan prepared 60 days before each stack test. §63.11212(a), and §63.7(c)

§63.11212 What stack tests and procedures must I use for the performance tests?

(a) You must conduct all performance tests according to §63.7(c), (d), (f), and (h). You must also develop a site-specific test plan according to the requirements in §63.7(c).

§63.7(c): For regulatory text, see “Emission Limits for Mercury, Option 1 “Initial Compliance” on pgs. 7–11.

10. Site-specific monitoring plan prepared 60 days before CMS performance evaluation for each CMS. §63.11205(c)(1)-(3)

§63.11205(c): If you demonstrate compliance with any applicable emission limit through performance stack testing and subsequent compliance with operating limits (including the use of CPMS), with a CEMS, or with a COMS, you must develop a site-specific monitoring plan according to the requirements in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section for the use of any CEMS, COMS, or CPMS. This requirement also applies to you if you petition the EPA Administrator for alternative monitoring parameters under §63.8(f).

(1) For each CMS required in this section (including CEMS, COMS, or CPMS), you must develop, and submit to the Administrator for approval upon request, a site-specific monitoring plan that addresses
paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (vi) of this section. You must submit this site-specific monitoring plan, if requested, at least 60 days before your initial performance evaluation of your CMS. This requirement to develop and submit a site-specific monitoring plan does not apply to affected sources with existing CEMS or COMS operated according to the performance specifications under appendix B to part 60 of this chapter and that meet the requirements of §63.11224.

   (i) Installation of the CMS sampling probe or other interface at a measurement location relative to each affected process unit such that the measurement is representative of control of the exhaust emissions (e.g., on or downstream of the last control device);

   (ii) Performance and equipment specifications for the sample interface, the pollutant concentration or parametric signal analyzer, and the data collection and reduction systems; and

   (iii) Performance evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria (e.g., calibrations).

   (iv) Ongoing operation and maintenance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(c)(1)(ii), (c)(3), and (c)(4)(ii);

   (v) Ongoing data quality assurance procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.8(d); and

   (vi) Ongoing recordkeeping and reporting procedures in accordance with the general requirements of §63.10(c) (as applicable in Table 8 to this subpart), (e)(1), and (e)(2)(i).

(2) You must conduct a performance evaluation of each CMS in accordance with your site-specific monitoring plan.

(3) You must operate and maintain the CMS in continuous operation according to the site-specific monitoring plan.

11. Annual compliance certification report. Prepare first report by 3/1/2015. Thereafter, by March 1 of each calendar year. §63.11225(b)

§63.11225(b): You must prepare, by March 1 of each year, and submit to the delegated authority upon request, an annual compliance certification report for the previous calendar year containing the information specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section. You must submit the report by March 15 if you had any instance described by paragraph (b)(3) of this section. For boilers that are subject only to a requirement to conduct a biennial or 5-year tune-up according to §63.11223(a) and not subject to emission limits or operating limits, you may prepare only a biennial or 5-year compliance report as specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) Company name and address.

(2) Statement by a responsible official, with the official's name, title, phone number, email address, and signature, certifying the truth, accuracy and completeness of the notification and a statement of whether the source has complied with all the relevant standards and other requirements of this subpart.
Your notification must include the following certification(s) of compliance, as applicable, and signed by a responsible official:

(i) n/a

(ii) For units that do not qualify for a statutory exemption as provided in section 129(g)(1) of the Clean Air Act: “No secondary materials that are solid waste were combusted in any affected unit.”

(iii) “This facility complies with the requirement in §§63.11214(d) and 63.11223(g) to minimize the boiler's time spent during startup and shutdown and to conduct startups and shutdowns according to the manufacturer's recommended procedures or procedures specified for a boiler of similar design if manufacturer's recommended procedures are not available.”

(3) If the source experiences any deviations from the applicable requirements during the reporting period, include a description of deviations, the time periods during which the deviations occurred, and the corrective actions taken.

(4) The total fuel use by each affected boiler subject to an emission limit, for each calendar month within the reporting period, including, but not limited to, a description of the fuel, whether the fuel has received a non-waste determination by you or EPA through a petition process to be a non-waste under §241.3(c), whether the fuel(s) were processed from discarded non-hazardous secondary materials within the meaning of §241.3, and the total fuel usage amount with units of measure.

**SUBMISSION OF OTHER NOTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS**

1. **Notification to combust solid waste.** Submit 30 days prior to combusting solid waste. §63.11225(f)

   §63.11225(f): If you intend to commence or recommence combustion of solid waste, you must provide 30 days prior notice of the date upon which you will commence or recommence combustion of solid waste. The notification must identify:

   (1) The name of the owner or operator of the affected source, the location of the source, the boiler(s) that will commence burning solid waste, and the date of the notice.

   (2) The currently applicable subcategory under this subpart.

   (3) The date on which you became subject to the currently applicable emission limits.

   (4) The date upon which you will commence combusting solid waste.

2. **Notification of switching fuels, making physical changes or taking permit limits.** Submit within 30 days of switching fuels, making physical changes or taking permit limits. §63.11225(g)

   §63.11225(g): If you have switched fuels or made a physical change to the boiler and the fuel switch or change resulted in the applicability of a different subcategory within subpart JJJJJJ, in the boiler becoming subject to subpart JJJJJJ, or in the boiler switching out of subpart JJJJJJ due to a change to 100
percent natural gas, or you have taken a permit limit that resulted in you being subject to subpart JJJJJJ, you must provide notice of the date upon which you switched fuels, made the physical change, or took a permit limit within 30 days of the change. The notification must identify:

(1) The name of the owner or operator of the affected source, the location of the source, the boiler(s) that have switched fuels, were physically changed, or took a permit limit, and the date of the notice.

(2) The date upon which the fuel switch, physical change, or permit limit occurred.

3. **Deviations from emission limits and corrective actions taken during reporting period.** Submit by March 15 of each calendar year where deviations occurred. §63.11225(b)(3)

§63.11225(b)(3): If the source experiences any deviations from the applicable requirements during the reporting period, include a description of deviations, the time periods during which the deviations occurred, and the corrective actions taken.

4. **Affirmative defense report shall be included in the first periodic compliance, deviation report or excess emission report otherwise required after the initial occurrence of the violation.** If such compliance, deviation report or excess emission report is due less than 45 days after the initial occurrence of the violation, the affirmative defense report may be included in the second compliance, deviation report or excess emission report due after the initial occurrence of the violation. §63.11226(b)

§63.11226(b): **Report.** The owner or operator seeking to assert an affirmative defense shall submit a written report to the Administrator with all necessary supporting documentation, that it has met the requirements set forth in paragraph (a) of this section. This affirmative defense report shall be included in the first periodic compliance, deviation report or excess emission report otherwise required after the initial occurrence of the violation of the relevant standard (which may be the end of any applicable averaging period). If such compliance, deviation report or excess emission report is due less than 45 days after the initial occurrence of the violation, the affirmative defense report may be included in the second compliance, deviation report or excess emission report due after the initial occurrence of the violation of the relevant standard.

5. **Submit results of performance test data, including fuel analyses, and relative accuracy test audit (RATA) data from CEMS performance evaluation tests to EPA's WebFIRE data base using the (CEDRI) that is accessed through EPA's (CDX).** File format must be generated using the ERT <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ert/index.html>. Submittal must be within 60 days of completing each performance test. §63.11225(e)

§63.11225(e)(1): **Within 60 days after the date of completing each performance test (defined in §63.2) as required by this subpart you must submit the results of the performance tests, including any associated fuel analyses, required by this subpart to EPA's WebFIRE database by using CEDRI that is accessed through EPA's CDX (www.epa.gov/cdx). Performance test data must be submitted in the file format generated through use of EPA's Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT) (see http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ert/index.html). Only data collected using test methods on the ERT Web site are subject to this requirement for submitting reports electronically to WebFIRE. Owners or
operators who claim that some of the information being submitted for performance tests is confidential business information (CBI) must submit a complete ERT file including information claimed to be CBI on a compact disk or other commonly used electronic storage media (including, but not limited to, flash drives) to EPA. The electronic media must be clearly marked as CBI and mailed to U.S. EPA/OAPQS/CORE CBI Office, Attention: WebFIRE Administrator, MD C404-02, 4930 Old Page Rd., Durham, NC 27703. The same ERT file with the CBI omitted must be submitted to EPA via CDX as described earlier in this paragraph. At the discretion of the delegated authority, you must also submit these reports, including CBI, to the delegated authority in the format specified by the delegated authority. For any performance test conducted using test methods that are not listed on the ERT Web site, the owner or operator shall submit the results of the performance test in paper submissions to the Administrator at the appropriate address listed in §63.13.

(2) Within 60 days after the date of completing each CEMS performance evaluation test as defined in §63.2, you must submit relative accuracy test audit (RATA) data to EPA's CDX by using CEDRI in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this section. Only RATA pollutants that can be documented with the ERT (as listed on the ERT Web site) are subject to this requirement. For any performance evaluations with no corresponding RATA pollutants listed on the ERT Web site, the owner or operator shall submit the results of the performance evaluation in paper submissions to the Administrator at the appropriate address listed in §63.13.