

Note: This is a reference cited in AP 42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I Stationary Point and Area Sources*. AP42 is located on the EPA web site at [www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/)

The file name refers to the reference number, the AP42 chapter and section. The file name "ref02\_c01s02.pdf" would mean the reference is from AP42 chapter 1 section 2. The reference may be from a previous version of the section and no longer cited. The primary source should always be checked.

<b>AP42 Section:</b>	<b>9.7</b>
<b>Background Chapter</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Reference:</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Emission Test Report, Westside Farmers' Cooperative Gin #5, Tranquility, California, Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Division of Stationary Source Enforcement, Washington, D.C., PEDCo Environmental, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, February 1978.</b>

COTTON12.WQ1

SECTION 4, REFERENCE 12, WESTSIDE FARMERS COOP GIN, NOV. 15-22, 1977

SITE	PROCESS	RUN	G/DSCF	DSCFH	CALC. LB/HR	BALES/H	CALC. LB/BALE
A	MOTE SYSTEM	1	0.034	596184	2.90	13	0.22
		2	0.052	625985	4.65	13	0.36
		3	0.046	603566	3.97	13	0.31
		AVERAGE	0.044	608578	3.84	13	0.30
B	NOS. 1 & 2 SEED COTTON CLEANERS	1	0.052	710391	5.28	13	0.41
		2	0.04	695453	3.97	13	0.31
		3	0.125	714146	12.75	13	0.98
		4	0.159	723225	16.43	13	1.26
AVERAGE	0.108	710941	11.05	13	0.74		
C	NOS. 1 & 2 DRYERS & GIN STAND TRASH	1	0.049	638716	4.47	13	0.34
		2	0.053	629297	4.76	13	0.37
		3	0.04	699015	3.99	13	0.31
AVERAGE	0.04733	655676	4.41	13	0.34		
D	UNLOADING	1	0.119	327989	5.58	13	0.43
		2	0.097	361181	5.00	13	0.38
		3	0.103	305229	4.49	13	0.35
AVERAGE	0.10633	331466	5.02	13	0.39		
E	NO. 2 CLEANER	RUN 1 VOID					
		2	0.015	359666	0.77	13	0.059
		3	0.01	358235	0.51	13	0.039
AVERAGE	0.0125	358951	0.64	13	0.049		
F	MOTE CLEANER D-RATED--ALL RUNS FAILED ISOKINETIC REQUIREMENT	1	0.095	198614	2.70	13	0.21
		2	0.094	141270	1.90	13	0.15
		3	0.093	144183	1.92	13	0.15
AVERAGE	D-RATED DATA--ALL RUNS ABOUT 66% ISOKINETICS					0.17	
G	NO. 2 LINT CLEANER	1	0.027	793698	3.06	13	0.24
		2	0.028	803151	3.21	13	0.25
		3	0.038	850595	4.62	13	0.36
AVERAGE	0.031	815815	3.63	13	0.28		
H	NO. 1 LINT CLEANER	1	0.063	1149698	10.35	13	0.80
		2	0.062	1014448	8.99	13	0.69
		3	0.138	1004418	19.80	13	1.52
AVERAGE	0.08767	1056188	13.04	13	1.00		
I	NO. 2 LINT CLEANER	1	0.052	788224	5.86	13	0.45
		2	0.042	682357	4.09	13	0.31
		3	0.029	790041	3.27	13	0.25
AVERAGE	0.041	753541	4.41	13	0.34		
SUM OF NO. 1 AND NO. 2 LINT CLEANERS							1.6
J	BATTERY CONDENSER	1	0.023	1442395	4.74	13	0.36
		2	0.021	1534392	4.60	13	0.35
		3	0.024	1336862	4.58	13	0.35
AVERAGE	0.02267	1437883	4.64	13	0.36		

CONTACT REPORT--MRI Project No.

From: Brian Shrager, Environmental Engineering  
Department

Date of Contact: April 12, 1996

Contacted by: Telephone

Company/Agency: USDA-ARS Southwest Cotton Ginning Laboratory  
Mesilla Park, New Mexico

Telephone Number: (505) 526-6381

Person(s) Contacted/Title(s)

Ed Hughs, Research Leader

---

CONTACT SUMMARY: Mr. Hughs was contacted to clarify emission data presented in a cotton ginning test report (Reference 12 in the AP-42 background report). The following were the main points of clarification.

- Site A should be labeled as the mote system, not the master trash fan.
- Sites B, C, and E should be grouped together and labeled as the No. 1 and 2 Dryer and Cleaner and Gin Stand Trash. There is also a small PM contribution from the lint cleaners, but this should not be significant.
- To calculate an emission factor for the lint cleaners, the emission factors from tests on Sites G, H, and I should be added together. This lint cleaner emission factor is 1.6 lb/bale, not 0.35 lb/bale as shown in the comments received from the National Cotton Council on October 31, 1995.
- In many of the test reports, there is a source called gin stand trash fan or gin stand feeder trash. This source is the same as the master trash fan or is part of the master trash system.
- Two of the reports (References 13 and 14 in the comments received from the National Cotton Council on October 31, 1995) were not used because of a lack of documentation and the use of a non-standard test method.

We also discussed procedures for combining data. In particular, I told Mr. Hughs that we do not use C- and D-rated data if A- and B-rated data are available, whereas the Cotton Council emission factors used all data regardless of rating.