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Principal Tasks

- Fort Worth Natural Gas Air Quality Study
  - Ambient Air Monitoring
  - Point Source Testing
  - Air Dispersion Modeling
  - Public Health Evaluation
Ambient Air Monitoring

- Measure pollutant concentrations in ambient air downwind from natural gas activities
Ambient Air Monitoring

• Approved in the *Ambient Air Monitoring Plan*

• Presented in September Public Meeting

• Data Sources
  – Meteorological Data (NWS/EPA): 2001-2009
  – Active, permitted, and applying gas well locations
  – Compressor station locations
  – City boundaries/properties
  – Major roadways
  – 2010 natural gas production
Ambient Air Monitoring

- 8 sites
- Sampled for 2 months
- Sampled once every 3 days
- Over 140 different pollutants
- High Level Activity Sites
  - 11 additional air pollutants
  - Including formaldehyde, acetaldehyde
- Fence Line Sites
  - Also sampled for methane
Results - Concentrations

- High Activity-Level Site just north of City Center (Site S-4) was generally higher than other sites.
- Fence Line Sites (S-6 and S-7) were generally lower relative to other sites.
- Background Site (S-1) and Mobile Sources Site (S-2) were similar.
- Pre-Production sites (S-3A and S-3B) typically did not display higher pollutant concentrations than the background and mobile sources sites.
Results - Benzene

Benzene average was significantly higher at High Activity Site S-4 than other sites. Fence Line sites (S-6 and S-7) were the lowest.
Point Source Testing

- **Objective:** Characterize emissions from natural gas production sources
- Survey 75% of active well pads, well pad with compression and compressor stations
- Survey various stages of well development
- Measure emissions with:
  - Infrared Camera
  - Toxic Vapor Analyzer
  - HiFlow Sampler (with Canisters)
Point Source Testing
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Point Source Testing
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Average Annual VOC Emissions from Well Pad
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Point Source Testing

Annual Average Wet Gas and Dry Gas Emissions at Well Pads

- **Dry Gas Site**
  - Average of TOC (tons/year): 35
  - Average of VOC (tons/year): 1.6
  - Average of HAP (tons/year): 0.4

- **Wet Gas Site**
  - Average of TOC (tons/year): 30
  - Average of VOC (tons/year): 1.4
  - Average of HAP (tons/year): 0.2
Air Dispersion Modeling

- Emissions data from point source testing program
- Meteorological data from DFW Airport
- Used EPA-approved model (AERMOD)
- Receptors out to 2 kilometers
- Predict short- and long-term impacts
- Four scenarios considered
Modeling Scenario 1

- *Average* well pad emission rates
Modeling Scenario 2

- *Highest* well pad emission rates
Modeling Scenario 3

• *Highest* compressor station emission rates
Modeling Scenario 4

- **Co-located** well pad and compressor station (worst-case)
Public Health Evaluation

Exposure levels

- Serious health effects observed
- Less serious health effects observed
- No health effects observed

Health-based screening levels published by various agencies
Public Health Evaluation

Dispersion Modeling

• Scenario 1
  – No estimated air quality impacts above screening levels

• Scenario 2
  – Estimated concentrations above screening levels for acrolein, benzene, and formaldehyde, but only in highly localized areas

• Scenarios 3 and 4
  – Estimated concentrations above screening levels for acrolein and formaldehyde extend beyond property boundaries and beyond setback distances
Benzene Modeling Results
Formaldehyde Modeling Results

Scenario 1: Typical Well Pad
- 600-foot setback
- 200-foot setback
- Well Pad

Scenario 2: Worst-Case Well Pad
- 600-foot setback
- 200-foot setback
- Well Pad

Scenario 3: Worst-Case Compressor Station
- 600-foot setback
- 200-foot setback
- Compressor Station

Scenario 4: Co-Located Worst-Case Well Pad and Compressor Station
- 600-foot setback
- 200-foot setback
- Well Pad and Compressor Station
Acrolein Modeling Results

Scenario 1: Typical Well Pad

Scenario 2: Worst-Case Well Pad

Scenario 3: Worst-Case Compressor Station

Scenario 4: Co-Located Worst-Case Well Pad and Compressor Station
Public Health Evaluation

Ambient Air Measurements

• 24-hour average concentrations
  – All below short-term health-based screening levels (one exception of “limited reliability”)

• Program-average concentrations
  – All below long-term health-based screening levels (one exception of “limited reliability”)

• No health hazard associated with continued exposure to measured levels
Conclusions

• Monitoring and modeling data indicate that setback distances are adequate
  – For overwhelming majority of sites, no pollutants were found to exceed screening levels beyond setback distances
  – For sites with multiple, large engines, estimated acrolein and formaldehyde concentrations exceed protective screening levels, but do not reach levels expected to cause adverse health effects
Recommendations

• Encourage the use of air pollution control strategies
  – Catalytic oxidizers on large compressor engines
  – Electric-driven compressor engines
  – Low bleed or no bleed pneumatic valve controls
  – Vapor recovery units on storage tanks

• Implement enhanced inspection and maintenance of well pads and gas handling operation equipment

• Conduct additional research evaluating acrolein and formaldehyde emissions

• Continue ambient air monitoring
Questions?

Mike Pring
Mike.pring@erg.com
919-468-7840

Final report posted at:
http://fortworthtexas.gov/gaswells/default.aspx?id=87074