

NPDES PERMIT NO. NM0030627
FACT SHEET

FOR THE DRAFT NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM
(NPDES) PERMIT TO DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

APPLICANT

City of Springer Water Treatment Plant
P.O. Box 488
Springer, NM 87747

ISSUING OFFICE

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

PREPARED BY

Suzanna M. Perea
Environmental Scientist
NPDES Permits & Technical Section (6WQ-PP)
Water Quality Protection Division
VOICE: 214-665-7217
FAX: 214-665-2191
EMAIL: perea.suzanna@epa.gov

DATE PREPARED

April 12, 2013

PERMIT ACTION

Proposed reissuance of the current NPDES permit issued August 28, 2007, with an effective date of October 1, 2007, and an expiration date of September 30, 2012.

RECEIVING WATER – BASIN

Cimarron River – Canadian River Basin

DOCUMENT ABBREVIATIONS

In the document that follows, various abbreviations are used. They are as follows:

4Q3	Lowest four-day average flow rate expected to occur once every three years
BAT	Best available technology economically achievable
BCT	Best conventional pollutant control technology
BPT	Best practicable control technology currently available
BMP	Best management plan
BOD	Biochemical oxygen demand (five-day unless noted otherwise)
BPJ	Best professional judgment
CBOD	Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (five-day unless noted otherwise)
CD	Critical dilution
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Cfs	Cubic feet per second
COD	Chemical oxygen demand
COE	United States Corp of Engineers
CWA	Clean Water Act
DMR	Discharge monitoring report
ELG	Effluent limitations guidelines
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FCB	Fecal coliform bacteria
F&WS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
mg/L	Milligrams per liter
µg/L	Micrograms per liter
MGD	million gallons per day
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
NMIP	New Mexico NPDES Permit Implementation Procedures
NMWQS	New Mexico State Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
MQL	Minimum quantification level
O&G	Oil and grease
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
POTW	Publically owned treatment works
RP	Reasonable potential
SIC	Standard industrial classification
s.u.	Standard units (for parameter pH)
SWQB	Surface Water Quality Bureau
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TMDL	Total maximum daily load
TRC	Total residual chlorine
TSS	Total suspended solids
UAA	Use attainability analysis
USGS	United States Geological Service
WLA	Wasteload allocation
WET	Whole effluent toxicity
WQCC	New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission
WQMP	Water Quality Management Plan
WWTP	Wastewater treatment plant

In this document, references to State WQS and/or rules shall collectively mean the State of New Mexico.

I. CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUS PERMIT

Changes from the permit previously issued August 28, 2007, with an effective date of October 1, 2007, and an expiration date of September 30, 2012, are:

1. Total Aluminum limits have been modified.
2. Total Zinc limits have been modified.
3. Total Copper limits have been added.
4. Total dissolved solids (TDS) limits have been added.
5. A minimum dissolved oxygen (DO) limit has been added.
6. A maximum temperature limit has been added.

II. APPLICATION LOCATION and ACTIVITY

As described in the application, the plant is located at 128 Highway 468, Springer, Colfax County, New Mexico. The effluent from the treatment plant is discharged into a non-classified arroyo, thence to Cimarron River in Waterbody Segment No. 20.6.4.306 of the Canadian River Basin. The discharge is located on that water at latitude 36° 22' 42" N and longitude 104° 37' 06" W, in Colfax County, New Mexico.



Under the SIC Code 4941, the applicant's activities are surface water treatment operations.

As described in the Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) reports dated May 23, 2011, and April 18, 2013, the treatment processes for the facility are as follows:

The City of Springer Water Treatment plant has a 1 MGD design capacity to treat surface water from two reservoirs using a conventional treatment process (coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, disinfection). Aluminum sulfate and cationic polymer are injected into the raw water within the intake pipes. Additionally, copper sulfate is used in the reservoirs in the warmer months (June, July, August and sometimes September) to control algae for taste and odor. According to the permittee's on-site representative during the CEIs, approximately 75 pounds of copper sulfate is broadcast into each reservoir per month (3-4 months a year).

The proposed permit regulates an average daily flow of 0.28 MGD treated backwash water to Outfall 001. Filter backwashing begins automatically at pre-set intervals based on continuously monitored effluent turbidity levels; however, operators may manually backwash filter media. Backwash water is flushed to a backwash detention pond approximately 60 ft by 40 ft. Emergency overflows from the plant, prior to disinfection, also flow to the backwash pond, as well as floor drains inside the treatment plant. An outlet pipe installed near the top of the detention pond decants and conveys wastewater to Outfall 001. Solids are removed from the pond annually, allowed to dry on the banks of the backwash pond, then placed in a drum for storage on site.

III. RECEIVING STREAM STANDARDS

The general and specific stream standards are provided in NMWQS (20.6.4 NMAC, amended through) November 20, 2012. The facility discharges to a non-classified arroyo, thence to Cimarron River in Waterbody Segment No. 20.6.4.306 of the Canadian River Basin. The Canadian River Basin has designated uses of irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, warmwater aquatic life and primary contact.

IV. EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

A quantitative description of the discharge(s) described in the EPA Permit Application Form 2C received April 9, 2012 are presented below in Table 1:

POLLUTANT TABLE – 1

Parameter	Max Daily Value	Max 30 Day Value	Long Term Avg Value
	(mg/l unless noted)		
Flow, million gallons/day (MGD)	0.0471	0.0357	0.0154
pH, minimum, standard units (SU)			N/A
pH, maximum, standard units (SU)			N/A
Aluminum, Total (µg/l)	0	2100	291
Nickel, Total (µg/l)	0	NDR	0
Zinc, Total	2.2	NDR	0.19
Fecal Coliform (FCB) (colonies/100ml)			NDR
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	20.9	13.8	6.9
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)			
Temperature, winter	4°C	NDR	6°C
Temperature, summer	23°C	NDR	22°C

NDR – no data received

ND – Not detected

A summary of the last 24 months of available pollutant data from August 2010 through September 2012, taken from DMRs, shows no exceedances of permit limits for nickel, zinc,

TSS, pH, or TRC. However, seventeen 30 day average and seventeen daily maximum exceedances of aluminum permit limits were reported.

V. REGULATORY AUTHORITY/PERMIT ACTION

In November 1972, Congress passed the Federal Water Pollution control Act establishing the NPDES permit program to control water pollution. These amendments established technology-based or end-of-pipe control mechanisms and an interim goal to achieve “water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and provides for recreation in and on the water” more commonly known as the “swimmable, fishable” goal. Further amendments in 1977 of the CWA gave EPA the authority to implement pollution control programs such as setting wastewater standards for industry and established the basic structure for regulating pollutants discharges into the waters of the United States. In addition, it made it unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source into navigable waters, unless a permit was obtained under its provisions. Regulations governing the EPA administered NPDES permit program are generally found at 40 CFR §122 (program requirements & permit conditions), §124 (procedures for decision making), §125 (technology-based standards) and §136 (analytical procedures). Other parts of 40 CFR provide guidance for specific activities and may be used in this document as required.

The applicant submitted a complete permit application on April 9, 2012. It is proposed that the permit be reissued for a 5-year term following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR §122.46(a). The existing NPDES permit initially issued August 28, 2007, with an effective date of October 1, 2007, and an expiration date of September 30, 2012.

VI. DRAFT PERMIT RATIONALE AND PROPOSED PERMIT CONDITIONS

A. OVERVIEW OF TECHNOLOGY-BASED VERSUS WATER QUALITY STANDARDS-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

Regulations contained in 40 CFR §122.44 require that NPDES permit limits are developed that meet the more stringent of either technology-based effluent limitation guidelines (ELGs), numerical and/or narrative water quality standard-based effluent limits, or the previous permit.

Technology-based effluent limitations are established in the draft permit for TSS. Water quality-based effluent limitations are established in the proposed draft permit for TRC, DO, pH, temperature, total aluminum, total nickel, total zinc, and total copper.

B. TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS/CONDITIONS

Regulations promulgated at 40 CFR §122.44 (a) require technology-based effluent limitations to be placed in NPDES permits based on ELGs where applicable, on BPJ in the absence of guidelines, or on a combination of the two. In the absence of promulgated guidelines for the discharge, permit conditions may be established using BPJ procedures. EPA establishes limitations based on the following technology-based controls: BPT, BCT, and BAT. These levels of treatment are:

BPT - The first level of technology-based standards generally based on the average of the best existing performance facilities within an industrial category or subcategory.

BCT - Technology-based standard for the discharge from existing industrial point sources of conventional pollutants including BOD₅, TSS, fecal coliform, pH, and O&G.

BAT - The most appropriate means available on a national basis for controlling the direct discharge of toxic and non-conventional pollutants to navigable waters. BAT effluent limits represent the best existing performance of treatment technologies that are economically achievable within an industrial point source category or subcategory.

The City of Springer Water Treatment plant is a publicly owned facility which treats surface water. Discharges from similar facilities (e.g City of Santa Fe, Village of Ruidoso, Village of Cuba, City of Bloomfield etc) are required to meet 30-day average and daily maximum TSS limitations of 20 mg/l and 30 mg/l, respectively. Therefore, BPJ-based effluent limitations for TSS are established. ELGs for pH are between 6-9 s.u. Additionally, regulations at 40 CFR §122.45 (f)(1) require all pollutants limited in permits to have limitations expressed in terms of mass, such as pounds per day. However, discharge of filter backwash water and filter-to-waste water is not continuous. Because discharge occurs only when the operation of backwash takes place, mass limitations are not established in this proposed permit.

A summary of the technology-based limits for the City of Springer Water Treatment facility is:

Technology-Based Effluent Limits – 0.28 MGD Design flow.

EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS	DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS			
	lbs/Day		mg/l (unless noted)	
Parameter	30-Day Avg.	7-Day Avg.	30-Day Avg.	7-Day Avg.
Flow	NA	NA	Measure MGD	Measure MGD
TSS	NA	NA	20	30
pH	NA	NA	6.0 - 9.0 s.u.	

C. WATER QUALITY BASED LIMITATIONS

1. General Comments

Water quality based requirements are necessary where effluent limits more stringent than technology-based limits are necessary to maintain or achieve federal or state water quality limits. Under Section 301 (b)(1)(C) of the CWA, discharges are subject to effluent limitations based on federal or state WQS. Effluent limitations and/or conditions established in the draft permit are in compliance with the State WQS and applicable State water quality management plans to assure that surface WQS of the receiving waters are protected and maintained, or attained. Permit limits will ensure downstream WQS will be met in accordance with 40 CFR §122.4(d).

2. Implementation

The NPDES permits contain technology-based effluent limitations reflecting the best controls available. Where these technology-based permit limits do not protect water quality or the designated uses, additional water quality-based effluent limitations and/or conditions are included in the NPDES permits. State narrative and numerical water quality standards are used in conjunction with EPA criteria and other available toxicity information to determine the adequacy of technology-based permit limits and the need for additional water quality-based controls.

3. State Water Quality Standards

The general and specific stream standards are provided in NMWQS (20.6.4 NMAC, amended through November 20, 2012). The facility discharges into a non-classified arroyo, thence to Cimarron River in Waterbody Segment No. 20.6.4.306 of the Canadian River Basin. The Canadian River Basin designated uses are irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, warmwater aquatic life and primary contact; and public water supply on Cimarroncito creek.

4. Permit Action – Water Quality-Based Limits

Regulations promulgated at 40 CFR 122.44(d) require limits in addition to, or more stringent than ELGs (technology based). State WQS that are more stringent than ELGs are as follows:

a. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

The State of New Mexico WQS criteria applicable to Waterbody Segment No. 20.6.4.306 require TDS to be no more than 3500 mg/l or less at flows above 10 cfs. Because the facility's flows are below 10 cfs, a maximum limit of 3500 mg/l will be the TDS limit proposed in the draft permit.

b. Dissolved oxygen (DO)

The State of New Mexico WQS criteria applicable to the warmwater aquatic life designated use require dissolved oxygen to be no less than 5 mg/l. This is more limiting than the technology-based limit presented above. A minimum limit of 5.0 mg/l will be the DO limit proposed in the draft permit.

c. pH

The WQS criteria applicable to the warmwater aquatic life designated use require pH to be between 6.6 and 9.0 s.u. This is more limiting than the technology-based limit presented above. Therefore, the draft permit will maintain a limit of 6.6 to 9.0 s.u.

d. Total Residual Chlorine

The WQS for TRC is 11 µg/l for both chronic aquatic life and wildlife habitat, and 19 µg/l for acute aquatic life. State implementation procedures allow for a mixing zone to be used for

chronic standards, while acute standards must be met at end-of-pipe. The NM Implementation Plan strategy for TRC requires the most limiting of the critical dilution/chronic criteria concentration of 11 µg/l or end-of-use/acute criteria concentration of 19 µg/l be used in determining the limit. The non-classified arroyo has a 4Q3 of 0 MGD; therefore, the critical dilution is 100%. The 11 µg/l would be the most limiting and will be the TRC limit proposed in the draft permit.

e. Temperature

The WQS criteria applicable to the warmwater aquatic life designated use require temperature to be no more than 32.2 °C (90°F). A maximum limit of 32.2 °C (90°F) for any single sample will be the temperature limit proposed in the draft permit.

f. Toxics

i. General Comments

The CWA in Section 301 (b) requires that effluent limitations for point sources include any limitations necessary to meet water quality standards. Federal regulations found at 40 CFR §122.44 (d) state that if a discharge poses the reasonable potential to cause an in-stream excursion above a water quality criteria, the permit must contain an effluent limit for that pollutant.

All applicable facilities are required to fill out appropriate sections of the Form 2A and 2S, to apply for an NPDES permit or reissuance of an NPDES permit. The new form is applicable not only to Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs), but also to facilities that are similar to POTWS, but which do not meet the regulatory definition of “publicly owned treatment works” (i.e., private domestics, or similar facilities on Federal property). The forms were designed and promulgated to “make it easier for permit applicants to provide the necessary information with their applications and minimize the need for additional follow-up requests from permitting authorities,” per the summary statement in the preamble to the Rule. These forms became effective December 1, 1999, after publication of the final rule on August 4, 1999, Volume 64, Number 149, pages 42433 through 42527 of the FRL.

The facility is designated as a minor, and does not need to fill out the expanded pollutant testing section Part D of Form 2A. However, certain toxics, aluminum, nickel, zinc and copper, have been identified as being present at concentrations that exceed RP and will be discussed below.

ii. Critical Conditions

Critical conditions are used to establish certain permit limitations and conditions. The State of New Mexico WQS allows a mixing zone for establishing pollutant limits in discharges. Both the NMWQS and NMIP establish a critical low flow designated as 4Q3, as the minimum average four consecutive day flow which occurs with a frequency of once in three years. The draft permit establishes a critical dilution based on the 4Q3 utilized in the current permit.

For permitting purposes of certain parameters such as WET, the critical dilution of the effluent to the receiving stream is determined. The critical dilution, CD, is calculated as:

$CD = Q_e / (F \cdot Q_a + Q_e)$, where:

Q_e = facility flow (0.28 MGD/0.43 cfs)

Q_a = critical low flow of the receiving waters (0 MGD/0 cfs)

F = fraction of stream allowed for mixing (1.0)

$$\begin{aligned} CD &= 0.28 \text{ MGD} / [(1.0)(0) + 0.28] \\ &= 1 \\ &= 100 \% \end{aligned}$$

iii. Aluminum

The previous permit established a 30-day average limit of 58 µg/l and a daily maximum limit of 87 µg/l for total aluminum. These limits were based on then current NMWQS. EPA approved site-specific criteria for aluminum on April 30, 2012. The facility operator provided a stream hardness of 160 mg/l to determine reasonable potential. Current NMWQS criteria require a 30-day average limit of 1.7 mg/l and a daily maximum limit of 2.6 mg/l for total aluminum, therefore these limits will be proposed in the draft permit.

iv. Nickel

The previous permit established a 30-day average limit of 3.07 mg/l and a daily maximum limit of 4.6 mg/l for total nickel. These limits were based on then current NMWQS. Site-specific criteria for nickel has not changed, therefore the draft permit will maintain these limits.

v. Zinc

The previous permit established a 30-day average limit of 1.3 mg/l and a daily maximum limit of 2 mg/l for total zinc. These limits were based on then current NMWQS. EPA approved site-specific criteria for zinc on April 30, 2012. Current NMWQS criteria require a 30-day average limit of 17.3 mg/l and a daily maximum limit of 26 mg/l for total zinc, therefore these limits will be proposed in the draft permit.

vi. Copper

The May 23, 2011, CEI report recorded use of copper sulfate in the reservoirs during warmer months to control algae for taste and odor. Current NMWQS criteria require a 30-day average limit of 0.3 mg/l and a daily maximum limit of 0.5 mg/l for total copper, therefore these limits will be proposed in the draft permit.

5. 303(d) List Impacts

Although the non-classified arroyo has not been identified as impaired in the “2012-2014 State of New Mexico Integrated Clean Water Act Section 303(d) / 305(b) Report,” the Cimarron River from Canadian River to Cimarron Village has been identified as impaired for nitrogen and phosphorus. According to the 2010 “Final Draft TMDL for the Cimarron River Watershed,” the Canadian River has nutrient targets of 0.03 mg/l for total phosphorus and 0.45 mg/l for total nitrogen (Table 5.1). However, the 2010 TMDL states that effluent from water treatment plants has never been noted to be a significant source contributor of nutrients and should not have an impact on nutrient concentrations in the stream, thus the WLA for the WTP is zero (Table 5.8). Additionally, aluminum was de-listed from the 2010-2012 CWA §303(d) List of Assessed Waterbodies.

EPA has determined the established limitations do not cause or contribute to further impairment. The Cimarron River is classified as Category 4A with irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, and primary contact as fully supporting; and, warmwater aquatic life as not supporting. The monitoring schedule is set for 2015. The standard reopener language in the permit allows additional permit conditions if a future TMDL is established.

D. MONITORING FREQUENCY FOR LIMITED PARAMETERS

Regulations require permits to establish monitoring requirements to yield data representative of the monitored activity 40 CFR 122.48(b) and to assure compliance with permit limitations 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1). Technology based TSS pollutants are proposed to be monitored one (1) time per week. Flow is proposed to be monitored two (2) times per week. These frequencies are consistent with the current permit. The sample type for TSS shall be by grab, also consistent with the current permit.

Water quality-based pollutant monitoring frequency for TDS shall be monitored one (1) time per week by grab sample. Total aluminum, nickel, zinc and copper shall be monitored one (1) time per week by grab sample. Temperature, DO and TRC shall be monitored daily by instantaneous grab sample. The pH shall be monitored one (1) time per week by grab sample, consistent with the previous permit. Regulations at 40 CFR §136 define instantaneous grab as being analyzed within 15-minutes of collection.

E. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY REQUIREMENTS

In Section V.C.4.f.ii. above; “Critical Conditions”, it was shown that the critical dilution, CD, for the facility is 100%. Based on the nature of the discharge; drinking water treatment plant, the production flow; 0.28 MGD (0.43 cfs), the nature of the receiving water; intermittent, and the critical dilution; 100%, the Table 12 of the NMIP directs the WET test to be a 7 day chronic test using *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas* at a once per permit term frequency for the permit term. According to the NMIP, when a test frequency is 1 time a year or less, the test should occur in winter or springtime when most sensitive juvenile life forms are likely to be present in receiving water and colder ambient temperatures might adversely affect treatment processes. This will generally be defined as between November 1 and April 30.

The draft permit requires five (5) dilutions in addition to the control (0% effluent) to be used in the toxicity tests based on a 0.75 dilution series. These additional effluent concentrations shall be 32%, 42%, 56%, 75%, and 100%. The low-flow effluent concentration (critical low-flow dilution) is defined as 100% effluent.

During the period beginning the effective date of the permit and lasting through the expiration date of the permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001 - the discharge to the non-classified arroyo thence to Cimarron River in Waterbody Segment No. 20.6.4.306 of the Canadian River Basin. Discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

<u>EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC</u>	<u>DISCHARGE MONITORING</u>	
	<u>30-DAY AVG MINIMUM</u>	<u>7-DAY MINIMUM</u>
Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing (7 Day Static Renewal) 1/		
<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	REPORT	REPORT
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	REPORT	REPORT

<u>EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC</u>	<u>MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</u>	
	<u>FREQUENCY</u>	<u>TYPE</u>
Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing (7 Day Static Renewal) 1/		
<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	1/ permit term	24-hr Composite
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	1/ permit term	24-hr Composite

FOOTNOTES

1/ Monitoring and reporting requirements begin on the effective date of this permit. See Part II, Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements for additional WET monitoring and reporting conditions.

VII. ANTIDegradation

The State of New Mexico has antidegradation requirements to protect existing uses through implementation of their WQS. The limitations and monitoring requirements set forth in the draft permit are developed from the appropriate State WQS and are protective of those designated uses. Furthermore, the policy’s set forth the intent to protect the existing quality of those waters, whose quality exceeds their designated use. The permit requirements and the limits are protective

of the assimilative capacity of the receiving waters, which is protective of the designated uses of that water.

IX. ANTIBACKSLIDING

The draft permit is consistent with the requirements to meet antibacksliding provisions of the Clean Water Act, Section 402(o) and 40 CFR 122.44(l)(1), which state in part that interim or final effluent limitations must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, unless information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance. The draft permit maintains the effluent limitations of the previous permit for TSS, total nickel, TRC and pH.

The draft permit amends the effluent limitations of the previous permit for the total aluminum 30-day average of 58 µg/l to 1.7 mg/l, the total aluminum daily maximum of 87 µg/l to 2.6 mg/l, the total zinc 30-day average of 1.3 mg/l to 17.3 mg/l, and the total zinc daily maximum of 2 mg/l to 26 mg/l. See Part VI.C.3 above. The permit writer has determined that this change meets the exception to the antibacksliding provisions established at 40 CFR 122.44(l)(i)(B)(1).

X. ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS

According to the most recent county listing available at US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Southwest Region 2 website, <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/NewMexico/SBC.cfm>, four species in Colfax County are listed as endangered (E) or threatened (T). Two species are avian and include the Southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) (E) and the Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) (T). The lone mammalian species is the black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) (E). The lone fish species is the Arkansas River shiner (*Notropis girardi*) (T), however it is also listed as extirpated in Colfax County. The American bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) was previously listed in Colfax County; however, the USFWS, removed the American bald eagle in the lower 48 states from the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife Federal Register, July 9, 2007, (Volume 72, Number 130).

In accordance with requirements under section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act, EPA has reviewed this permit for its effect on listed threatened and endangered species and designated critical habitat. After review, the EPA has determined that the reissuance of this permit will have “no effect” on listed threatened and endangered species nor will adversely modify designated critical habitat. The EPA makes this determination based on the following:

1. The EPA determined that the previous permit, issued on November 15, 2006, would have “no effect” on listed threatened and endangered species nor will adversely modify designated critical habitat.
2. Except for the removal of the bald eagle in 2007, no changes have been made to the USFWS list of threatened and endangered species and critical habitat designation in the area of the discharge since prior issuance of the permit.
3. The EPA has received no additional information since November 15, 2006, which would lead to the revision of its determination.

4. EPA determines that Items 1, 2, and 3 result in no change to the environmental baseline established by the previous permit. Therefore, the EPA concludes that the reissuance of this permit will have “*no effect*” on listed threatened and endangered species nor will adversely modify designated critical habitat.

XI. HISTORICAL and ARCHEOLOGICAL PRESERVATION CONSIDERATIONS

The reissuance of this permit should have no impacts on historical properties since no construction activities are proposed during its reissuance.

XII. PERMIT REOPENER

The permit may be reopened and modified during the life of the permit if relevant portions of the State WQS are revised or remanded. In addition, the permit may be reopened and modified during the life of the permit if relevant procedures implementing the State Water Quality Standards are either revised or promulgated. Should the State adopt a new WQS, and/or develop or amend a TMDL, this permit may be reopened to establish effluent limitations for the parameter(s) to be consistent with that approved State standard and/or water quality management plan, in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d). Modification of the permit is subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 124.5.

XIII. VARIANCE REQUESTS

No variance requests have been received.

XIV. CERTIFICATION

The permit is in the process of certification by the State of New Mexico following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR §124.53. A draft permit and draft public notice will be sent to the District Engineer, Corps of Engineers; to the Regional Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and to the National Marine Fisheries Service prior to the publication of that notice.

XV. FINAL DETERMINATION

The public notice describes the procedures for the formulation of final determinations.

XVI. ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

The following information was used to develop the draft permit:

A. APPLICATION(S)

EPA Application Form 2C received April 9, 2012.

B. 40 CFR CITATIONS

Citations to 40 CFR as of March 29, 2013.

Sections 122, 124, 125, 133, 136

C. STATE WATER QUALITY REFERENCES

New Mexico State Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Water, 20.6.4 NMAC, as amended through November 20, 2012.

Final Total Maximum Daily Loads for the Cimarron River Watershed [Canadian River to Headwaters], July 23, 2010.

Procedures for Implementing NPDES Permits in New Mexico, March 15, 2012.

Statewide Water Quality Management Plan, December 17, 2002.

State of New Mexico 303(d) List for Assessed Stream and River Reaches, 2012-2014.

D. OTHER

Compliance Evaluation Inspection of the City of Springer Water Treatment Plant NPDES Permit No. NM0030627, May 5, 2011.

Compliance Evaluation Inspection of the City of Springer Water Treatment Plant NPDES Permit No. NM0030627, April 18, 2013.