obligations and expenditures against each appropriation or fund to the
amount of the apportionment or the reapportionment; and
(b) Fix responsibility for the control of
appropriations or funds to high level
officials who bear the responsibility for
apportionment or reapportionment
control. [31 U.S.C. 1514]

§ 1.671 Definitions.
For the purpose of §§ 1.670 through
1.673, the following definitions apply:
(a) Administrative subdivision of
funds. An administrative subdivision of
funds is any administrative subdivision
of an appropriation or fund which
makes funds available in a specified
amount for the purpose of controlling
apportionments or reappportionments.
(b) Allotment. An allotment is an
authorization by the Director, Office
of Budget and Finance to department and
staff office heads (allottees) to incur
obligations within specified amounts,
during a specified period, pursuant to an
Office of Management and Budget
apportionment or reappportionment
action. The creation of an obligation in
excess of an allotment is a violation of
the administrative subdivision of
funds.
(c) Allowance. An allowance is a
subdivision below the allotment level,
and is a guideline which may be issued
by department or staff office heads
(allottees) to facility directors and other
officials, reflecting the expenditure
pattern or operating budget they will be
expected to follow in light of the
program activities contemplated by the
overall VA budget or plan of
expenditure. The creation of an
obligation in excess of an allowance is
not a violation of the administrative
subdivision of funds. [31 U.S.C. 1514]

§ 1.672 Responsibilities.
(a) The issuance of an allotment to the
department and staff office heads
(allottees) is required and is the
responsibility of the Director, Office
of Budget and Finance. The sum of such
allotments shall not be in excess of the
amount indicated in the apportionment
or reappportionment document.
(b) The issuance of an allowance is
discretionary with department or staff
office heads (allottees), as an allowance
is merely a management device which
allottees may utilize in carrying out their
responsibilities. Allottees are
responsible for keeping obligations
within the amounts of their allotments,
whether allowances are issued or not.
(c) The Director, Office of Budget and
Finance is responsible for requesting
apportionments and reappportionments
from the Office of Management and
Budget. Department and staff heads
shall promptly request that an
appropriation or fund be reapportioned
if feasible whenever it appears that
obligations may exceed the level of the
apportionment. [31 U.S.C. 1514]

§ 1.673 Responsibility for violations of the
administrative subdivision of funds.
(a) In the event an allotment or an
apportionment is exceeded except in the
circumstances described in paragraph
(b) of this section, the following factors
will be considered in determining which
official, or officials, are responsible for
the violation.
(1) Knowledge of circumstances which
could lead to an allotment or
apportionment being exceeded;
(2) Whether the official had received
explicit instructions to continue or cease
incurred obligations;
(3) Whether any action was taken in
contravention of or with disregard for,
instructions to monitor obligations
incurred;
(4) Whether the official had the
authority to curtail obligations by
directing a change in the manner of
operations of the department or staff
office; or
(5) Any other facts which tend to fix
the responsibility for the obligations
which resulted in the allotment or
apportionment being exceeded.
(b) In the event that the sum of the
allotments made in a particular fiscal
year exceeds the amount apportioned by
the Office of Management and Budget,
and the apportionment is subsequently
exceeded because of this action, the
official who made the excess allotments
will be the official responsible for the
violation. [31 U.S.C. 1514]

[FR Doc. 82-17880 Filed 7-1-82; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8320-51-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY
40 CFR Part 52
[A–10-FRL 2333–6]
Approval and Promulgation of
Implementation Plans; Alaska
AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: EPA today approves
revisions to the Alaska State
Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on
January 18, 1980, February 28, 1980 and
September 29, 1982. These revisions
were submitted to satisfy the
requirements of Sections 110
(Implementation Plans) and 123 (Stuck
Heights), Part C (Prevention of

Significant Deterioration of Air Quality
and Visibility Protection for Federal
Class I Areas) and Part D (Plan
Requirements for Nonattainment Areas)
of the Clean Air Act (hereinafter the
Act). Approval of these revisions,
together with the previously approved
attainment plans for Anchorage and
Fairbanks, ambient monitoring plan and
emergency episode plan, results in an
entirely new Alaska SIP which allows
the State to operate the Prevention of
Significant Deterioration (PSD) program
and removes the Act’s construction
moratorium in the nonattainment areas.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1983.
ADDRESSES: Copies of the materials
submitted to EPA may be examined
during normal business hours at the
following locations:
Central Docket Section (10A–78–6),
West Tower Lobby, Gallery I,
Environmental Protection Agency,
401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.
20460
Air Programs Branch, Environmental
Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200
Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington
98101
State of Alaska, Department of
Environmental Conservation, 3220
Hospital Drive, Juneau, Alaska 99811
Copy of the State’s submittal may be
examined at: The Office of Federal
Register, 1101 L Street N.W., Room 4011,
Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David C. Bray, Air Programs Branch, M/
S 532, Environmental Protection Agency,
1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington
98101, Telephone: (206) 442–1980, FTS:
399–1980.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is
today approving a number of revisions
to the Alaska SIP which were submitted
on January 18, 1980, February 29, 1980
and September 29, 1982. The primary
effects of these revisions are to add a
PSD program, a nonattainment area
permit program, a visibility protection
program for Federal Class I areas, and
provisions restricting the use of stack
heights and dispersion techniques, and
to revise the emission limitations for
many existing, new, and modified
sources. A complete description of each
of the three submittals and a discussion
of the proposed revisions to the existing
SIP are included in the Notice of
Proposed Rulemaking which was
published on January 27, 1983 (48 FR
3707).

Comments were received from a
Federal Land Manager (FLM) during the
public comment period. EPA responded
to the comments by directing the FLM to
Additional portions of the Alaska SIP which adequately addresses all of the FLM’s concerns with State/FLM coordination. The comments and EPA’s response are available at the locations indicated in the "Address" section.

The Alaska permit program uses the concept of “net emissions increase”, but does not include a specific provision per EPA regulations (40 CFR 51.24(b)(3)(vi)(c)) that a decrease in emissions must have approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as the increase in emissions. However, EPA expects this to have little, if any, adverse impact and the State has confirmed that it will add such a provision within one year of EPA approval. EPA is therefore approving the Alaska permit program with the understanding that the State will amend its program within a year and submit the new provision to EPA as a revision to the SIP.

ADEC has opted to utilize the “emissions allowance” approach, as per Section 173(b)(3) of the Act. In their nonattainment area permit program, ADEC’s regulations (18 AAC 50.900(16)) require that these emissions allowances be defined in each applicable local air quality control plan. Since the submitted plans for the Anchorage and Fairbanks area permit programs do not contain a local area nonattainment area, the regulations currently prohibit the permitting of new or modified major sources of CO in these two areas. The nonattainment area permit program itself fails to include provisions for alternative analyses as required by Section 172(b)(11)(A) of the Act. However, as long as the approved SIP regulations prohibit the permitting of new or modified major sources of CO, provisions for alternative analyses are not needed. Before new or modified major sources of CO can be permitted in either the Anchorage or Fairbanks nonattainment area, the SIP will have to be revised to define the applicable emissions allowance and provide for alternatives analyses.

The Alaska permit program is designed to regulate existing nonattainment areas, existing Class I areas, and areas presently identified for visibility protection. If additional nonattainment, Class I, or visibility protection areas are designated by the State or responsible Federal agencies in the future, the Alaska program will have to be revised to expand program coverage to include protection of such newly designated areas. Until relevant revisions to the Alaska program are approved by EPA, the Alaska SIP will be deficient with respect to any newly designated areas.

It is important to note that there are four portions of the submittals which are not being approved. Subsection IV.E Status of Non-Compliant Sources discusses the sources which are currently under State variances. Since these variances are not submitted for EPA approval, EPA is taking no action on the subsection which discusses them. Subsection V.D. Episode Monitoring refers to the local agencies' carbon monoxide control plans for the episode monitoring programs. However, no episode provisions have been submitted to date. Therefore, EPA is taking no action on this subsection at this time.

Appendix II-1: Alaska Statutes, Section 46.03.170 Variances, and Appendix II-2: Fairbanks North Star Borough Air Pollution Control Ordinance, Section 8.04.070 Variances, are provisions which provide discretion to the directors of ADEC and the Fairbanks North Star Borough regarding source compliance. However, since these provisions are not consistent with the EPA requirements on variances (40 CFR 51.34) and need not be included in the EPA-approved SIP in order to implement 40 CFR 51.34, EPA is taking no action on these sections.

Finally, the provisions included in these submittals are intended to replace the existing, EPA-approved SIP (37 FR 10848 and 38 FR 22737). Through this approval EPA is therefore replacing or deleting the provisions of the existing SIP where there are new provisions which supersede the old or where deletion has been justified. However, there is one section of the existing SIP which has not been superseded nor can deletion be justified. This is the old Section V: Air Episode Plan. Since the submittals do not contain a replacement for this section and the Act requires the SIP to contain an emergency episode plan, EPA is not removing this section from the existing SIP.

In summary, EPA is approving the following submittals as revisions to the Alaska SIP:

- January 18, 1980—Volume II: Section I, Section II, Section III subsections A, B, and C; Section IV subparts A, B, C, D, F, G, and H; Section V subsection B; Volume III: Appendices I-1, I-2, II-1, II-2, III-4, IV-1, IV-2-D, IV-3 and V-1.
- September 29, 1982 amendments to previous submittals—Volume II: Section I subsection C, Section III subsection D; Section IV subparts C, D, F, G, H, and I; Section V subparts C and E; Volume III: Appendix IV-4.

EPA is taking no action on Volume II: Section IV.E and Section V.D, and Volume III: Appendix II-1, Section 46.03.170 and Appendix II-2, Section 8.04.070.

EPA finds that good cause exists for making the action in this Notice immediately effective for the following reasons: (1) The public has had adequate notice of the guidelines for preparation of SIPs and has had several opportunities to comment on those guidelines; and (2) the impact of this rulemaking is limited to the State of Alaska.

Under Executive Order 12391, today’s action is not “Major.” It has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. Portions of this action constitute SIP approvals under Sections 110, 161 and 172. Under 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), the Administrator has certified that SIP approvals under Section 110, 161 and 172 of the Act do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (See 46 FR 8709 and 47 FR 35192). The remaining portions of this action constitute SIP approvals under Sections 123 and 169A of the Act. Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator hereby certifies that SIP approvals under Sections 123 and 169A will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Oxides, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations.

Dated: June 29, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Alaska was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in July, 1982.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Alaska

1. In §52.70, paragraph (c)(7) is revised to read as follows:

§52.70 Identification of plan.

(c) • • • •   •
Section III. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section II. Alaskan Air Quality Control Programs
A. State Program
B. Local Programs
C. Resource Needs

Section III. Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts
A. Carbon Monoxide, Subpart 1.c (Other areas)
B. Total Suspended Particulate Matter
C. Ice Fog
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices

Section IV. Point Source Control Efforts
A. Summary
B. Description of Source Categories and Pollutants
C. Summary of Major Emitted Sources
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program
F. Local Program Enforcement
G. New Source Review and Approval
H. Compliance Assurance
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations

Section V. Ambient Air Monitoring
A. Description of Previous Air Monitoring Network

Section III. Appendices
I-1 A Review of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Motor Vehicles during Cold Temperature Operation
I-2 Cold Weather Related Strategy Support Development
I-3 Preliminary Assessment of Meteorological Conditions during Days of Ambient Air Quality Violations in Anchorage
I-4 Summary of the 1979 Fairbanks Voluntary Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program
I-5 Approach of Evaluating an Alaska I/M Program
I-6 Appendices to the Anchorage Air Quality Plan
I-7 Appendices to the Fairbanks Air Quality Plan

2. In § 52.70, paragraph [c][8] is removed.
3. In § 52.70, paragraph [c][9] is renumbered as [c][8] and revised to read as follows:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(6) On January 18, 1980, the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of Air Quality Monitoring, 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C, § 58.20, as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section V. Ambient Air Monitoring
A. Purpose
B. Air Monitoring Network
E. Annual Review

4. In § 52.70, paragraph [c][9] is added as set forth below:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

(c) * * *

(9) Provisions of a State Air Quality Control Plan submitted by the Governor of Alaska on January 18, 1980, as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. Introduction
A. Summary
B. Air Quality Control Regions
C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations

IV-2 D. Permit to Operate for the Fairbanks Municipal Utilities System
IV-3 Testing Procedures
V-1 Air Quality Data

An amended Appendix II-5, "Alaska State Department of Law Legal Opinion" submitted by the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation on February 29, 1980, Amendments to the January 18, 1980 submittal, submitted by the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation on September 29, 1982 as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. Introduction
C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations
Section III. Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices
Section IV. Point Source Control Efforts
C. Summary of Major Emitted Sources
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program
F. Local Program Enforcement
G. New Source Review and Approval
H. Compliance Assurance
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations

Section V. Ambient Air Monitoring
C. Air Monitoring Network
E. Annual Review

Volume III. Appendices
IV-4 ADEQ Ambient Analysis Procedures
5. The table in § 52.71 is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.71 Classification of Regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air quality control Region</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particulate matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Inlet Intracoastal, Northern Alaska Intracoastal</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central Alaska Intracoastal, Southeastern Alaska Intracoastal</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. In Subpart C, a new § 52.75 is added as follows:

§ 52.75 Contents of the Approved State-Submitted Implementation Plan.

The following sections of the State air quality control plan (as in effect on the date indicated) have been approved and are part of the current State Implementation Plan:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. Introduction
A. Summary (1/18/80)
B. Air Quality Control Regions (1/18/80)

C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations (9/29/82)

Section II. Alaskan Air Quality Control Programs
A. State Program (1/18/80)
B. Local Programs (1/18/80)
C. Resource Needs (1/18/80)

Section III. Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts
A. Carbon Monoxide, except subpart 5.b (Fairbanks Emergency Avoidance Plan) (1/18/80)
B. Total Suspended Particulate Matter (1/18/80)
C. Ice Fog (1/18/80)
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices (9/29/82)

Section IV. Point Source Control Efforts
Control Regulations (specifically 18 AAC 50.020, 50.021, 50.300, 50.400, 50.510, 50.520, 50.530, 50.600, 50.820, and 50.900) and the State air quality control plan (specifically Section I.B. Air Quality Control Regions, Section I.C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations, Section IV. G. New Source Review and Approval, and Appendix IV-A ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures) are approved as meeting the requirements of Part C for preventing significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met for Indian reservations since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality on Indian reservations and, therefore, the provisions of §2.21 (b) through (w) are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable reservation in the State of Alaska.

[FR Doc. 83–18022 Filed 7–1–83; 4:45 am]
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40 CFR Part 52
[A–5–FRL 2342–8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: EPA announces final rulemaking on revisions to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions update Consent Orders No. 11–1982 and 12–1982 for the General Motors (GM) Corporation, Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division, respectively. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadlines until December 31, 1987. These facilities are located in the City of Detroit, which has an approved attainment date extension of December 31, 1987, for ozone nonattainment areas.

DATE: This action will be effective September 6, 1983 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these SIP revisions and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following address:

The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 6401, Washington, D.C. 20408

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48910

Written comments should be sent to:

Gary Colestan, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 8, 1982, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) submitted Consent Orders for GM Corporation’s Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant (No. 11–1982), and the Cadillac Motor Car Division (No. 12–1982) as revisions to the Michigan SIP. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadline until December 31, 1987. On March 10, 1983, MDNR submitted additional information clarifying elements of Consent Orders No. 11–1982 and 12–1982.

The GM Corporation’s Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division facilities are located in the City of Detroit, Wayne County, which is designated nonattainment for ozone. Michigan’s 1979 attainment plan for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), under the provisions of Section 172(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), Part D, extends the final attainment date for the Detroit nonattainment area until December 31, 1987.

Michigan’s Rule 336.1803 requires individual sources to submit compliance schedules containing specific increments of progress for achieving compliance with volatile organic compound (VOC) emission limits in Part 6 of the Commission’s Rules. On May 6, 1980, 45 FR 29790 EPA conditionally approved R336.1803. The condition required that the State submit the individual compliance schedules to EPA as revisions to the SIP, in order that the SIP conform to the requirements of 40 CFR 51.1(Q) and 51.15. Michigan’s Rule 336.1810 contains interim and final VOC...