

review as required by Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b) I hereby certify that the attached rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action only approves state actions. It imposes no new requirements.

(Sec. 110, 301(a), Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7601(a))

Dated: August 20, 1981.

Anne M. Gorsuch,  
Administrator.

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

Subpart DD of Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**Subpart DD—Nevada**

1. Section 52.1470 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(14)(vii), (16)(viii) and (ix), (17)(ii), (19)(ii), and (20) to (22) as follows:

§ 52.1470 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \*  
(14) \* \* \*

(vii) Amendments to the Nevada Air Quality Regulations: Article 1, Rules 1.44, 1.53, 1.60, 1.98.1; Article 2, Rules 2.2.2, 2.11.7, 2.17.3.2 a/b, 2.17.4, 2.17.4.1, 2.17.9.8, 2.17.10, 2.17.10.1; Article 3, Rules 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.4.11; Article 4, Rule 4.3.[6]4; Article 5, Rule 5.2.4; Article 6, Rule 6.3; Article 7 Rules 7.1.[3]2, 7.3.3; Article 8, Rules 8.2.1.1, 8.2.1.2, 8.2.2, and Article 12, Rule 12.1.

(16) \* \* \*

(viii) Amendments to the Clark County District Board of Health Air Pollution Control Regulations: Section 2, Rules 2.1, 2.2, 2.3; Section 3, Rule 3.1; Section 4, Rules 4.1-4.11; Section 5, Rule 5.1; Section 6, Rule 6.1; Section 7, Rules 7.1-7.19; Section 8, Rules 8.1, 8.2, 8.7 (deletion); Section 9, Rules 9.1-9.3; Section 10; Section 16, Rules 16.1-16.5, 16.6 (Operating Permits), 16.6 (Emission of Visible Air Contaminants) (deletion), 16.7-16.9; Section 17, Rules 17.1-17.8; Section 18, Rules 18.1-18.12; Section 23, Rules 23.1-23.5; Section 24, Rules 24.1-24.5; Section 25, Rules 25.1, 25.2, 25.4 (deletion); Section 26, Rules 26.1-26.3; Section 27, Rules 27.1, 27.2, 27.3, 27.4; Section 28, Rules 28.1, 28.2; Section 29; Section 30, Rules 30.1-30.7; Section 31; Section 32, Rules 32.1, 32.2; Section 40, Rule 40.1; Section 41, Rules 41.1-41.4; Section 42, Rules 42.1-42.4; Section 43, Rule 43.1; Section 70, Rules 70.1-70.6; Sections 80, and 81.

(ix) Amendments to the Washoe County District Board of Health Air Pollution Control Regulations: Sections 020.055, 030.300, 030.305, 030.310, 030.3101-030.3105, 030.3107, and 030.3108 and the following deletions: 010.115, 050.005, 050.010, 050.015, 050.020, 050.025, 050.030, and 050.035.

(17) \* \* \*

(ii) Amendments to the Clark County District Board of Health Air Pollution Control Regulations: Section 1, Rules 1.79, 1.94; Section 11, Rules 11.1, 11.1.1-11.1.8, 11.2, 11.2.1-11.2.3, 11.3, 11.3.1, 11.3.2, 11.4, and Section 13, Rule 13.5 (deletion).

(19) \* \* \*

(ii) Amendment to the Nevada Air Quality Regulations: Article 4, Rule 4.3.6.

(20)-(21) [Reserved]

(22) The following amendments to the plan were submitted on November 5, 1980, by the Governor.

(i) Amendments to the Clark County District Board of Health Air Pollution Control Regulations: Section 4, Rules 4.12, 4.12.1-4.12.3.

2. In § 52.1476, paragraph (c) is added as follows:

§ 52.1476 Control strategy: particulate matter.

(c) The following rules are disapproved because they relax the emission limitation on particulate matter.

(1) Clark County District Board of Health, Table 27.1, (Particulate Matter from Process Matter), submitted on July 24, 1979.

(2) Nevada Air Quality Regulations, Article 4, Rule 4.34, (Visible Emission from Stationary Sources), submitted on December 29, 1978, and Rule 4.3.6, (Visible Emission from Stationary Sources), submitted on June 24, 1980.

3. Subpart DD of Part 52 is amended by adding § 52.1478, reading as follows:

§ 52.1478 Rules and regulations.

(a) The following rules are disapproved because they lack adequate provisions to assure that the NAAQS will not be exceeded while equipment breakdown periods are in effect.

(1) Clark County District Board of Health.

(i) Rule 25.1, (Upset, Breakdown or Scheduled Maintenance), submitted on July 24, 1979, and previously approved Rule 12, (Upset, Breakdown or

Scheduled Maintenance), submitted on January 19, 1973.

[FR Doc. 81-24639 Filed 8-26-81; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

**40 CFR Part 52**

[A-10-FRL 1899-8]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA today approves revised operating permits and a consent order affecting three sources of volatile organic compound emissions for inclusion in the State of Oregon's State Implementation Plan. The permits and the consent order were submitted to meet the requirements for new source emission offsets under EPA's Emission Offset Interpretive Ruling.

**DATE:** August 27, 1981.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at:

Central Docket Section (10A-81-3), West Tower Lobby, Gallery 1, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460

Air Program Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101  
State of Oregon, Department of Environmental Quality, 522 S.W. Fifth, Yeon Bldg., Portland Oregon 97207  
The Office of Federal Register, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard F. White, Air Programs Branch, M/S 625, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101 Telephone No. (206) 442-1226, (FTS) 399-1226.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 31, 1980 the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) submitted a request to EPA to revise the Oregon State Implementation Plan (SIP) to include revised operating permits and a consent order affecting three sources of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions. The permits and the consent order were submitted to meet the requirements for new source emission offsets under EPA's Emission Offset Interpretive Ruling (found at 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix S). On April 28, 1981, EPA proposed in the Federal Register (46 FR

23772) to approve these revised operating permits and the consent order as a SIP revision. EPA received no comments on this proposed action. Therefore, EPA is today approving this revision to the Oregon SIP. Readers are directed to the April 28, 1981, Federal Register for additional information.

EPA finds that good cause exists for making the action in this Notice immediately effective for the following reasons: (1) The public has had adequate notice of the guidelines for preparation of State Implementation Plans and has had several opportunities to comment on those guidelines, and (2) the impact of this rulemaking is limited only to the State of Oregon.

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b) I certify that the SIP approves under Sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action constitutes a SIP approval under Sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act. This action only approves State action and imposes no new requirement.

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether or not a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to this requirement of regulatory impact analysis. This regulation is not judged to be major, since it merely approves an action taken by the State and does not establish any new requirements.

This regulation was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review as required by Executive Order 12291.

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

##### Subpart MM—Oregon

1. In § 52.1970 paragraph (c)(35) is added as set forth below:

##### § 52.1970 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \*

(35) On December 31, 1980, the State Department of Environmental Quality submitted an Oregon Air Containment Discharge Permit No. 36-6041 Addendum No. 1 issued to Spaulding Pulp and Paper Company on December 11, 1980; Oregon Air Discharge Containment Discharge Permit No. 26-3025, issued to Industrial Laundry Dry Cleaners, Inc., on December 1980 and Oregon Environmental Quality Commission Stipulation and Consent

Final Order concerning Vanply, Inc., dated December 30, 1980.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Oregon was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1981.

(Sec. 110 and 172, Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410 and 7502))

Dated: August 19, 1981.

Anne M. Gorsuch,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-24881 Filed 8-26-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5560-38-M

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[AH300cWV; A-3-FRL 1906-2]

#### Approval of Revision of the West Virginia State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the Administrator's approval of three revisions of the West Virginia State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions consist of amendments to West Virginia's Regulations VI and VII, and of an analysis of the Impact of the 1979 West Virginia State Implementation Plan.

West Virginia's Regulation VI controls air pollution from the combustion of refuse and Regulation VII controls particulate air pollution from manufacturing processing operations. EPA is approving West Virginia's revisions of Regulation's VI and VII and its analysis of its State Implementation Plan's effects since EPA has determined that they meet the requirements of section 110 and Part D of the Clean Air Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 28, 1981.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the revisions and accompanying support material are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, Air Media and Energy Branch, Curtis Building, Tenth Floor, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106, Attn.: Ms. Patricia Sheridan  
West Virginia Air Pollution Control Commission, 1558 Washington Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia, Attn.: Mr. Carl G. Beard  
Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922, EPA Library, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460

The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Raymond Chalmers (3AH13) at the address for EPA Region III given above, telephone 215/597-8309.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 13, 1980, John D. Rockefeller IV, Governor of the State of West Virginia, submitted several revisions of the West Virginia State Implementation Plan (SIP) to EPA for approval. These revisions include:

1. An amended version of Regulation VI; a regulation which controls air pollution from the combustion of refuse,
2. An amended version of Regulation VII; a regulation which controls particulate air pollution from manufacturing process operations, and
3. A document entitled "Identification and Analysis of the Impact of the 1979 West Virginia State Implementation Plan."

The Governor's submittal was accompanied by a certification, signed by Carl G. Beard II, Director of the West Virginia Air Pollution Control Commission, stating that West Virginia adhered to both the U.S. EPA's public hearing requirements and the requirements of the West Virginia Code during the process of adopting the revisions.

EPA proposed the revisions submitted by West Virginia in the Federal Register on December 12, 1980 at 45 FR 81793. West Virginia's revisions of Regulations VI and VII and the State's analysis of its SIP's effects were explained in detail in that notice. Those wishing a complete description of West Virginia's revisions are referred to the proposal notice.

When EPA conditionally approves West Virginia's Part D SIP, 45 FR 54042, August 14, 1980, EPA required West Virginia to revise Regulation VII to ensure that it requires iron and steel sources to use reasonably available control technology (RACT) to control particulate emissions. West Virginia has not yet submitted the required changes; the revisions approved today deal with other aspects of the regulations. West Virginia will revise Regulation VII again shortly to correct its remaining deficiencies.

EPA solicited public comment on West Virginia's requested revisions in the proposal notice. EPA received no public comments on the revisions.

EPA has reviewed West Virginia's proposed changes in Regulations VI and VII and West Virginia's analysis of its SIP effects. The EPA has found that these revisions meet the requirements