

counseling or whether the provider is otherwise authorized.

c. *Active Duty Member Involvement:* Claims for collateral visits or conjoint therapy which involve an active duty member are not payable, except as specifically provided for in A.1. and A.2.b. above.

d. *Identified-Patient Must Be Eligible Beneficiary:* In order for collateral visits or conjoint therapy to be considered for benefits under CHAMPUS, the identified-patient must be an eligible CHAMPUS beneficiary. Claims for collateral visits or conjoint therapy which identify the active duty member or other ineligible person as the identified-patient are not payable under CHAMPUS regardless of whether or not the collateral is an eligible beneficiary.

e. *Review Guidelines Apply:* All applicable review guidelines in effect will be observed. All claims must be documented for medical/psychological necessity. If during the adjudication or review process it is determined additional information is required in order to process a claim to completion, it must be provided or the claim will be denied.

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

April 9, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-11440 Filed 4-14-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-10-FRL 1794-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Revision to Alaska and Washington State Implementation Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On February 6, 1981, EPA proposed for public comment in the *Federal Register* (46 FR 11309) revisions to the Alaska and Washington State Implementation Plans. The revisions were in response to the May 10, 1979 (44 FR 27558) promulgated Rules and Regulations for Air Quality Monitoring, Data Reporting and Surveillance Provisions. No comments were received, therefore EPA is today approving the SIP revisions for the States of Alaska and Washington. EPA approves a revision to the Alaska and Washington State Implementation Plan to meet

Federal Monitoring Regulations, 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C, § 58.20 Air Quality Surveillance, plan content.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 15, 1981.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the relative material for this revision may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.;

Central Docket Section (10A-80-18), West Tower Lobby, Gallery I, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460;

Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William B. Schmidt, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, Telephone No. (206) 442-1106, FTS: 399-1106.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to regulatory impact analysis. This regulation is not major because it does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; create a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or create significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Pursuant to the provision of 5 U.S.C. 605(b) I hereby certify that the attached rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action only approves state actions. It imposes no new requirements. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-state relationship, Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of the state actions would serve no practical purpose and could well be improper.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of this action is available only by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of today. Under Section 307(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act, the requirements which are the subject of today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

This regulation was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review as required by Executive Order 12291. Any comments from OMB to EPA and any EPA response to those comments are available for public inspection at the locations listed in the "Addresses" section.

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Alaska

Section 52.70(c)(9) is added as follows:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(9) On January 20, 1980, the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of Air Quality Monitoring 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C, 58.20.

Subpart WW—Washington

Section 52.2470(c)(24) is added as follows:

§ 52.2470 Identification of Plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(24) On March 5, 1980, the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of Air Quality Monitoring 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C 58.20.

(Sections 110 and 172 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410(a) and 7502))

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the States of Alaska and Washington was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1980.

Dated: April 8, 1981

Walter C. Barber,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-11399 Filed 4-14-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-2-FRL 1792-6]

Revision to the New Jersey State Implementation Plan; Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 1980 (45 FR 15531) the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated conditional approval of the New Jersey State