

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue Suite 900
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

**Authorization to Discharge Under the
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System**

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq.*, as amended by the Water Quality Act of 1987, P.L. 100-4, the “Act”,

**City of Wapato
68172 Highway 97
Wapato, Washington 98951**

is authorized to discharge from the City of Wapato municipal sewage treatment facility located in Wapato, Washington at the following location(s):

Outfall	Receiving Water	Latitude	Longitude
001	Drainage Way No. 1	N 46° 25’ 59”	E 120° 25’ 17”

in accordance with discharge point(s), effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective *insert date*

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, *insert date*

The permittee shall reapply for a permit reissuance on or before *insert date*, 180 days before the expiration of this permit if the permittee intends to continue operations and discharges at the facility beyond the term of this permit.

Signed this day of

Michael A. Bussell, Director
Office of Water and Watersheds

Schedule of Submissions

The following is a summary of some of the items the permittee must complete and/or submit to EPA and the Yakama Nation Environmental Management Program during the term of this permit:

Item	Due Date
1. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR)	DMRs are due monthly and must be postmarked on or before the 10 th day of the following month.
2. Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)	The permittee must provide EPA and the Yakama Nation with written notification that the Plan has been developed and implemented within 90 days after the effective date of the final permit (see II.B.). The Plan must be kept on site and made available to EPA and the Yakama Nation upon request.
3. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan	The permittee must provide EPA and the Yakama Nation with written notification that the Plan has been developed and implemented within 60 days after the effective date of the final permit (see II.A.). The Plan must be kept on site and made available to EPA and the Yakama Nation upon request.
4. NPDES Application Renewal	The application must be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of the permit (see V.B.).
5. Surface Water Monitoring Report	The Report must be submitted with the permit renewal application.
6. Compliance Schedule	Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit must be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date (see III.K.)
7. Twenty-Four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting	The permittee must report certain occurrences of noncompliance by telephone within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. (See III.G.)
8. Emergency Response and Public Notification Plan	The permittee must develop and implement an overflow emergency response and public notification plan. The permittee must submit written notice to EPA and the Yakama Nation that the plan has been developed and implemented within six months of the effective date of this permit (see II.D).
9. Mercury Minimization Plan	The permittee must develop and implement a mercury minimization plan. The permittee must submit written notice to EPA and the Yakama Nation that the plan has been developed and implemented within 90 days of the effective date of this permit (see II.E).

Table of Contents

Schedule of Submissions.....2

I. Limitations and Monitoring Requirements.....5

A. Discharge Authorization.....5

B. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring.....5

C. Compliance Schedule for Whole Effluent Toxicity, Copper and Zinc Limits.....10

D. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements.....11

E. Surface Water Monitoring.....15

II. Special Conditions17

A. Operation and Maintenance Plan.....17

B. Quality Assurance Plan (QAP).....17

C. Control of Undesirable Pollutants and Industrial Users18

D. Emergency Response and Public Notification Plan18

E. Mercury Minimization Plan19

III. Monitoring, Recording and Reporting Requirements20

A. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges)20

B. Reporting of Monitoring Results.....20

C. Monitoring Procedures21

D. Additional Monitoring by Permittee21

E. Records Contents.....21

F. Retention of Records21

G. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting21

H. Other Noncompliance Reporting.....23

I. Public Notification.....23

J. Notice of New Introduction of Toxic Pollutants23

K. Compliance Schedule23

IV. Compliance Responsibilities23

A. Duty to Comply23

B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions.....24

C. Need To Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense25

D. Duty to Mitigate25

E. Proper Operation and Maintenance25

F. Bypass of Treatment Facilities25

G. Upset Conditions26

H. Toxic Pollutants.....27

I. Planned Changes27

J. Anticipated Noncompliance27

K. Reopener.....27

V. General Provisions.....27

A. Permit Actions27

B. Duty to Reapply.....28

C. Duty to Provide Information28

- D. Other Information 28
- E. Signatory Requirements 29
- F. Availability of Reports 29
- G. Inspection and Entry 29
- H. Property Rights 29
- I. Transfers 30
- J. State Laws 30
- VI. Definitions 30**

I. Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

A. Discharge Authorization

During the effective period of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge pollutants from the outfall specified herein to the Drainage Way No. 1, within the limits and subject to the conditions set forth herein. This permit authorizes the discharge of only those pollutants resulting from facility processes, waste streams, and operations that have been clearly identified in the permit application process.

B. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring

- The permittee must limit and monitor discharges from outfall 001 as specified in Tables 1 and 2, below. All figures represent maximum effluent limits unless otherwise indicated. The permittee must comply with the effluent limits in the tables at all times unless otherwise indicated, regardless of the frequency of monitoring or reporting required by other provisions of this permit.

Table 1: Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements during Irrigation Season (April 1 – October 31)							
Parameter	Effluent Limitations				Monitoring Requirements		
	Average Monthly Limit	Average Weekly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Range	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Flow, mgd	---	---	---	---	Influent or Effluent	Continuous	meter
Temperature, °C	---	---	---	---	Effluent	Daily	Grab
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/week	24-hour composite
	290 Lbs/day	435 Lbs/day	---	---			
	≥85% removal	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/month	Calculated ¹
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/week	24-hour composite
	290 Lbs/day	435 Lbs/day	---	---			
	≥85% removal	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/week	Calculated ¹
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	---	---	---	---	Effluent	5/week	Grab
<i>E. Coli</i> Bacteria ^{2,3}	100/100 ml	200/100 mL	---	---	Effluent	5/week	Grab

Table 1: Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements during Irrigation Season (April 1 – October 31)							
Parameter	Effluent Limitations				Monitoring Requirements		
	Average Monthly Limit	Average Weekly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Range	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Total Residual Chlorine ⁴	0.0075 mg/L	----	0.019 mg/L	---	Effluent	5/week	Grab
	0.073 Lbs/day	----	0.18 Lbs/day	---			
Total Ammonia as N	1.2 mg/L	----	2.5 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
	11.9 lbs/day		24.0 lbs/day				
pH	6.5 – 8.5 standard units				Effluent	Daily	grab
Total Recoverable Copper	0.0034 mg/L	---	0.0055 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
	0.033 lbs/day		0.053 lbs/day				
Total Recoverable Zinc	0.025 mg/L	---	0.052 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/quarter	24-hour Composite
	0.24 lbs/day		0.50 lbs/day				
Whole Effluent Toxicity, Toxic Units	1.5 TU _{a,c} **	----	3.0 TU _{a,c} **	----	Effluent	1/quarter***	24-hour Composite
Total Recoverable Cadmium	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
Total Recoverable Mercury	---	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/quarter	24-hour Composite
Total Phosphorus	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/month	24-hour Composite
Total Nitrogen	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/month	24-hour Composite

Parameters as required by 40 CFR 122.21(j)(4) – see page 17 of Fact Sheet.

DRAFT PERMIT

Table 1: Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements during Irrigation Season (April 1 – October 31)							
Parameter	Effluent Limitations				Monitoring Requirements		
	Average Monthly Limit	Average Weekly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Range	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
<p>1. The monthly average percent removal must be calculated from the arithmetic mean of the influent values and the arithmetic mean of the effluent values for that month. Influent and effluent samples must be taken over approximately the same time period.</p> <p>2. E. coli bacteria levels must both not exceed a geometric mean value of 100 colonies/100mL and not have more than 10% of all samples obtained for calculating the geometric mean value exceeding 200 colonies/100mL.</p> <p>3. Reporting is required within 24 hours of a maximum daily limit or instantaneous maximum limit violation. See Parts I.B.2 and III.G.</p> <p>4. The effluent limits for total residual chlorine are not quantifiable using EPA-approved analytical methods. EPA will use 19 µg/L as the compliance evaluation level for this parameter. EPA will consider the effluent in compliance with the effluent limit provided the monitoring result is < 19 µg/L.</p> <p>** TU_{a,c} is when acute toxicity is being expressed in chronic toxic units (TUC). TU_{a,c} should be treated as TUC, which is defined in Part I.D.2.d of the permit.</p> <p>***The timing of quarterly testing must be such that two tests are conducted during the irrigation season and two tests are conducted during the non-irrigation season.</p>							

Table 2: Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements during Non-Irrigation Season (November 1 – March 31)							
Parameter	Effluent Limitations				Monitoring Requirements		
	Average Monthly Limit	Average Weekly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Range	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Flow, mgd	---	---	---	---	Influent or Effluent	Continuous	meter
Temperature	---	---	---	---	Effluent	Daily	Grab
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/week	24-hour composite
	290 Lbs/day	435 Lbs/day	---	---			
	≥85% removal	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/month	Calculated ¹
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/week	24-hour composite
	290 Lbs/day	435 Lbs/day	---	---			
	≥85% removal	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1/month	Calculated ¹
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/week	Grab
<i>E. Coli</i> Bacteria ^{2,3}	100/100 ml	200/100 mL	---	---	Effluent	5/week	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine ⁴	0.0075 mg/L	----	0.019 mg/L	---	Effluent	5/week	Grab
	0.073 Lbs/day	----	0.18 Lbs/day	---			
Total Ammonia as N	1.3 mg/L	---	2.7 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
	13 lbs/day		25.8 lbs/day				
pH	6.5– 8.5 standard units				Effluent	Daily	grab
Total Recoverable Copper	0.0034 mg/L	---	0.0055 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
	0.033 lbs/day		0.053 lbs/day				
Total Recoverable Zinc	0.025 mg/L	---	0.052 mg/L	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
	0.24 lbs/day		0.50 lbs/day				

DRAFT PERMIT

Table 2: Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements during Non-Irrigation Season (November 1 – March 31)							
Parameter	Effluent Limitations				Monitoring Requirements		
	Average Monthly Limit	Average Weekly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Range	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Type
Whole Effluent Toxicity	1.0 TUC *** (monthly median)	---	1.6 TUC	---	Effluent	1/quarter****	24-hour composite****
Total Recoverable Cadmium	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/week	24-hour Composite
Total Recoverable Mercury	---	---	---	---	Influent and Effluent	1 st year: 1/month After 1 st year: 1/quarter	24-hour Composite
Total Phosphorus	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/month	24-hour Composite
Total Nitrogen	---	---	---	---	Effluent	1/month	24-hour Composite
Parameters as required by 40 CFR 122.21(j)(4)							
<p>1. The monthly average percent removal must be calculated from the arithmetic mean of the influent values and the arithmetic mean of the effluent values for that month. Influent and effluent samples must be taken over approximately the same time period.</p> <p>2. E. coli bacteria levels must both not exceed a geometric mean value of 100 colonies/100mL and not have more than 10% of all samples obtained for calculating the geometric mean value exceeding 200 colonies/100mL.</p> <p>3. Reporting is required within 24 hours of a maximum daily limit or instantaneous maximum limit violation. See Parts I.B.2 and III.G.</p> <p>4. The effluent limits for total residual chlorine are not quantifiable using EPA-approved analytical methods. EPA will use 19 µg/L as the compliance evaluation level for this parameter. EPA will consider the effluent in compliance with the effluent limit provided the monitoring result is < 19 µg/L.</p> <p>***During the non-irrigation season only, the monthly compliance level for chronic WET is established as a monthly median limit, not an average monthly limit.</p> <p>**** The timing of quarterly testing must be such that two tests are conducted during the non-irrigation season and two tests are conducted during the irrigation season.</p>							

2. The permittee must report within 24 hours any violation of the maximum daily limits for the following pollutants: total residual chlorine, total ammonia, total recoverable copper, total recoverable zinc. Violations of all other effluent limits are to be reported at the time that discharge monitoring reports are submitted (See III.B. and III.H.).
3. The permittee must not discharge any floating solids, visible foam in other than trace amounts, or oily wastes that produce a sheen on the surface of the receiving water.
4. The permittee must collect effluent samples from the effluent stream after the last treatment unit prior to discharge into the receiving waters.
5. Minimum Levels. For all effluent monitoring, the permittee must use methods that can achieve a minimum level (ML) less than the effluent limitation. For parameters that do not have effluent limitations, the permittee must use methods that can achieve

MLs less than or equal to those specified in Table 3 in Part 1.B.6. For purposes of reporting on the DMR for a single sample, if a value is less than the MDL, the permittee must report “less than {numeric value of the MDL}” and if a value is less than the ML, the permittee must report “less than {numeric value of the ML}.”

- For purposes of calculating monthly averages, zero may be assigned for values less than the MDL, and the {numeric value of the MDL} may be assigned for values between the MDL and the ML. If the average value is less than the MDL, the permittee must report “less than {numeric value of the MDL}” and if the average value is less than the ML, the permittee must report “less than {numeric value of the ML}.” If a value is equal to or greater than the ML, the permittee must report and use the actual value. The resulting average value must be compared to the compliance level, the ML, in assessing compliance.

Table 3: Minimum Levels (MLs) for Parameters with No Effluent Limits		
Parameter	Location	Minimum Levels (MLs)
Flow, mgd	Influent or Effluent	----
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	Effluent	----
Temperature, °C	Effluent and Receiving Water	----
Total Recoverable Cadmium, µg/L	Effluent	0.10 µg/L
Total Recoverable Mercury, ng/L	Influent and Effluent	0.0005 µg/L
Total Phosphorus, mg/L	Effluent and Receiving Water	0.030 mg/L
Total Nitrogen, mg/L	Effluent and Receiving Water	0.36 mg/L
Hardness (CaCO ₃), mg/L	Receiving Water	----

C. Compliance Schedules for Whole Effluent Toxicity, Copper and Zinc

The permittee must comply with all effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in Part I.B of this permit immediately upon the effective date of this permit except the final effluent limitations for whole effluent toxicity, copper and zinc.

Whole Effluent Toxicity

- The permittee must achieve compliance with the final effluent limits for whole effluent toxicity no later than December 1, 2014.
- While the schedule of compliance is in effect, the permittee must comply with the following interim requirements:

- a) The permittee must submit the project plan for complying with the final effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in Part I.B of this permit by September 1, 2011.
- b) The permittee must submit a schedule for the construction of the treatment technology to comply with the final effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for whole effluent toxicity by September 1, 2011.
- c) The permittee must submit the design, plans, specifications, and estimated costs by December 31, 2012.
- d) By one year after the effective date of the final permit, and annually thereafter until compliance with the final effluent limits is achieved, the permittee must submit to EPA and Yakama Nation a report of progress toward completion of upgrades necessary to meet whole effluent toxicity limits.
- e) On or before December 1, 2014, the permittee must complete any necessary studies and facility upgrades needed to comply with the final whole effluent toxicity limits and demonstrate that it can meet those limits.

Copper and Zinc

1. The permittee must achieve compliance with the final effluent limits for total copper and zinc no later than December 1, 2015.
2. While the schedule of compliance is in effect, the permittee must comply with the following interim requirements:
 - a) By one year after the effective date of the final permit, the permittee must submit to EPA and Yakama Nation a report of progress to assess the sources of copper and zinc, and steps to reduce the sources.
 - b) By one year after the effective date of the final permit, and annually thereafter until compliance with the final effluent limits is achieved, the permittee must submit to EPA and Yakama Nation a report of progress toward completion of upgrades necessary to meet copper and zinc limits.
 - c) On or before December 2015, the permittee must complete any necessary studies and facility upgrades needed to comply with the final copper and zinc limits and demonstrate that it can meet those limits.

D. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements

The permittee must conduct chronic toxicity tests on effluent samples from outfall 001. Testing must be conducted in accordance with subsections 1 through 6, below.

1. Toxicity testing must be conducted on 24-hour composite samples of effluent. In addition, a split of each sample collected must be analyzed for the chemical and physical parameters required in Part I.B, above, with a required sampling frequency of monthly or more frequently, using the sample type required in Part I.B. For parameters for which grab samples are required in Part I.B, grab samples must be taken during the same 24-hour period as the 24-hour composite sample used for the toxicity tests. When the timing of sample collection coincides with that of the sampling required in Part I.B, analysis of the split sample will fulfill the requirements of Part I.B as well.
2. Chronic Test Species and Methods
 - a) For outfall 001, chronic toxicity tests must be conducted quarterly, once during January to March, once during April to June, once during July to September, and once during October to December. The timing of quarterly testing must be such that two tests are performed during the irrigation season (April 1 to October 31) and two tests are conducted during the non-irrigation season (November 1 to March 31).
 - b) The permittee must conduct the following two chronic toxicity tests on each sample, using the species and protocols in Table 4:

Table 4: Toxicity Test Species and Protocols		
Freshwater Acute Toxicity Tests	Species	Method
Fathead minnow 96-hour larval survival and growth test (method 1000.0)	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	EPA-821-R-02-013
Daphnid 96-hour survival and reproduction test (method 1002.0)	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>	EPA-821-R-02-013

- c) The presence of chronic toxicity must be determined as specified in *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms*, Fourth Edition, EPA/821-R-02-013, October 2002.
- d) Results must be reported in TU_c (chronic toxic units), which is defined as follows:
 - (i) For survival endpoints, $TU_c = 100/NOEC$.
 - (ii) For all other test endpoints, $TU_c = 100/IC_{25}$
 - (iii) IC_{25} means “25% inhibition concentration.” The IC_{25} is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration, expressed in percent effluent, that causes a 25% reduction in a non-quantal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., Interpolation Method).
 - (iv) $NOEC$ means “no observed effect concentration.” The $NOEC$ is the highest concentration of toxicant, expressed in percent effluent, to which organisms are exposed in a chronic toxicity test [full life-cycle or partial life-cycle (short term) test], that causes no observable

adverse effects on the test organisms (i.e., the highest concentration of effluent in which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significantly different from the controls).

- e) Daily observations of mortality shall be taken during each test (i.e., method 1000.0 and 1002.0) to establish the 48-hour and 96-hour LC₅₀ values. The 48- and 96-hour LC₅₀'s shall be reported in the laboratory report submitted under subpart 6 below.

3. Quality Assurance

- a) The toxicity testing on each organism must include a series of five test dilutions and a control. The dilution series for testing conducted during the irrigation season shall be 100, 66.6, 33.3, 16.6, and 8.3 percent effluent. The dilution series for testing conducted during the non-irrigation season shall be 100, 62.5, 31.25, 15.62, and 8.81 percent effluent.
- b) All quality assurance criteria and statistical analyses used for chronic tests and reference toxicant tests must be in accordance with *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms*, Fourth Edition, EPA/821-R-02-013, October 2002, and individual test protocols.
- c) In addition to those quality assurance measures specified in the methodology, the following quality assurance procedures must be followed:
 - (i) If organisms are not cultured in-house, concurrent testing with reference toxicants must be conducted. If organisms are cultured in-house, monthly reference toxicant testing is sufficient. Reference toxicant tests must be conducted using the same test conditions as the effluent toxicity tests.
 - (ii) If either of the reference toxicant tests or the effluent tests do not meet all test acceptability criteria as specified in the test methods manual, the permittee must re-sample and re-test within 14 days of receipt of the test results.
 - (iii) Control and dilution water must be receiving water or lab water, as appropriate, as described in the manual. If the dilution water used is different from the culture water, a second control, using culture water must also be used. Receiving water may be used as control and dilution water upon notification of EPA and IDEQ. In no case shall water that has not met test acceptability criteria be used for either dilution or control.

4. Initial Investigation Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Workplan

Prior to initiation of the toxicity testing required by this permit, the permittee must submit to EPA a copy of the permittee's initial investigation TRE workplan. This plan shall describe the steps the permittee intends to follow if toxicity is measured above a chronic WET limit, and must include at a minimum:

- a) A description of the investigation and evaluation techniques that would be used to identify potential causes/sources of toxicity, effluent variability, treatment system efficiency;
- b) A description of the facility's method of maximizing in-house treatment efficiency, good housekeeping practices, and a list of all chemicals used in operation of the facility; and
- c) If a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) is necessary, who will conduct it (i.e., in-house or other).
- d) The initial investigation TRE workplan must be sent to the following address:

US EPA Region 10
Attn: NPDES WET Coordinator
1200 Sixth Avenue
Suite 900, OWW-130
Seattle, WA 98101-3140

5. Accelerated Toxicity Testing and TIE/TRE Process

- a) If a chronic WET permit limit is exceeded and the source of toxicity is known (e.g., a temporary plant upset), then the permittee shall conduct one additional toxicity test using the same species and test method. This test shall begin within 10 days of receipt of test results exceeding a chronic WET permit limit. If the additional toxicity test does not exceed a chronic WET permit limit and EPA concurs with the source of toxicity, then the permittee may return to the regular testing frequency specified in Part I.D.2.a
- b) If a chronic WET limit is exceeded and the source of toxicity is unknown, or EPA does not concur that the source of toxicity is known, then the permittee shall conduct six additional toxicity tests using the same species and test method, approximately every two weeks, over a twelve week period. This testing shall begin within 10 days of receipt of test results exceeding a chronic WET permit limit. If none of the additional tests exceed a chronic WET permit limit, then the permittee can return to the regular testing frequency specified in Part I.D.2.a
- c) If any one of the additional toxicity tests (paragraph 5.a or 5.b) exceeds a chronic WET permit limit, then within 14 days of receipt of this test result, the permittee shall initiate a TRE in accordance with the initial investigative TRE workplan and using as guidance EPA manual *Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Guidance for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants* (EPA/833/B-99/002, 1999). In conjunction, the permittee shall develop and implement a detailed TRE workplan which shall include:
 - (i) Further actions undertaken by the permittee to investigate, identify, and correct the cause(s) of toxicity;
 - (ii) Actions the permittee will undertake to mitigate the impact of the discharge and prevent the recurrence of toxicity; and
 - (iii) A schedule for these actions.

- d) The permittee may initiate a Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) as part of the overall TRE process to identify the cause(s) of toxicity using the same species and test method and, as guidance, EPA manuals: *Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluent, Phase I* (EPA/600/6-91/005F, 1992); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity* (EPA/600/R-92/080, 1993); *Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity* (EPA/600/R-92/081, 1993).
- e) Any accelerated test conducted pursuant to paragraphs 5.a or 5.b that meets test acceptability criteria as a valid test shall be used in determinations of the average monthly and median monthly chronic WET values.

6. Reporting

- a) A full laboratory report for all toxicity testing shall be submitted as an attachment to the DMR for the month in which the toxicity test was conducted. The laboratory report must include all relevant information outlined in Section 10, Report Preparation, of *Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms*, Fourth Edition, EPA/821-R-02-013, October 2002. In addition to toxicity test results, the permittee must report: dates of sample collection and initiation of each test; flow rate at the time of sample collection; and the results of the monitoring required in Part I.B of this permit, for parameters with a required monitoring frequency of once per month or more frequently.
- b) The permittee must notify EPA in writing within 5 days of any exceedance of a chronic WET limit. The notification shall be sent to the address in Part 4.d above, and must include the following information:
 - (i) A status report on any actions required by the permit, with a schedule for actions not yet completed;
 - (ii) A description of any additional actions the permittee has taken or will take to investigate, identify, and correct the cause(s) of the toxicity; and
 - (iii) Where no actions have been taken, a discussion of the reasons for not taking action.

E. Surface Water Monitoring

The permittee must conduct surface water monitoring. Surface water monitoring must start 60 days after the effective date of the permit and continue for the duration of the permit. The program must meet the following requirements:

- 1. Monitoring stations must be established in Drainage Way No. 2 at the following locations:
 - a) Above the influence of the facility's discharge, and

- b) Below the facility's discharge, at a point where the effluent and Drainage Way No. 2 are completely mixed.
2. A failure to obtain approval of surface water monitoring stations from the Yakama Nation does not relieve the permittee of the surface water monitoring requirements of this permit.
3. To the extent practicable, surface water sample collection must occur on the same day as effluent sample collection.
4. All ambient samples must be grab samples.
5. Cadmium, copper, zinc, and mercury must be analyzed as total recoverable.
6. The flow rate must be measured as near as practicable to the time that other ambient parameters are sampled.
7. Samples must be analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 5 and must achieve method detection limits (MDLs) that are equivalent to or less than those listed in Table 3. The permittee may request different MDLs. The request must be in writing and must be approved by EPA.

Parameter	Units	Upstream Sampling Frequency	Downstream Sampling Frequency	Method Detection Limit (MDL)
Flow	mgd	1/week	1/week	---
BOD ₅	mg/L	1/week	1/week	---
TSS	mg/L	1/month	1/month	---
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	1/week	1/week	---
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1/month	1/month	0.030 mg/L
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	1/month	1/month	0.36 mg/L
Temperature	°C	Daily	Daily	---
pH	standard units	1/month	1/month	---
Hardness as CaCO ₃	mg/L	1/month	1/month	---

8. Quality assurance/quality control plans for all the monitoring must be documented in the Quality Assurance Plan required under Part II.B., "Quality Assurance Plan".
9. Surface water monitoring results must be submitted to EPA and Yakama Nation with the application for renewal of this permit (see V.B.). At a minimum, the report must include the following:
 - a) Dates of sample collection and analyses.
 - b) Results of sample analysis.
 - c) Relevant quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) information.

10. The permittee must measure the length, width and cross-sectional area for Drainage Way No. 2, and submit the results with the application for renewal of this permit.

II. Special Conditions

A. Operation and Maintenance Plan

In addition to the requirements specified in Section IV.E. of this permit (Proper Operation and Maintenance), within 60 days after the effective date of this permit, the permittee must provide written notice to EPA and Yakama Nation that an operations and maintenance plan for the wastewater treatment facility has been developed and implemented. The plan shall be retained on site and made available on request to EPA and Yakama Nation .

B. Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)

The permittee must develop a quality assurance plan (QAP) for all monitoring required by this permit. The permittee must submit written notice to EPA and Yakama Nation that the Plan has been developed and implemented within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. Any existing QAPs may be modified for compliance with this section.

1. The QAP must be designed to assist in planning for the collection and analysis of effluent and receiving water samples in support of the permit and in explaining data anomalies when they occur.
2. Throughout all sample collection and analysis activities, the permittee must use the EPA-approved QA/QC and chain-of-custody procedures described in *EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (EPA/QA/R-5)* and *Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (EPA/QA/G-5)*. The QAP must be prepared in the format that is specified in these documents.
3. At a minimum, the QAP must include the following:
 - a) Details on the number of samples, type of sample containers, preservation of samples, holding times, analytical methods, analytical detection and quantitation limits for each target compound, type and number of quality assurance field samples, precision and accuracy requirements, sample preparation requirements, sample shipping methods, and laboratory data delivery requirements.
 - b) Map(s) indicating the location of each sampling point.
 - c) Qualification and training of personnel.
 - d) Name(s), address(es) and telephone number(s) of the laboratories used by or proposed to be used by the permittee.
4. The permittee must amend the QAP whenever there is a modification in sample collection, sample analysis, or other procedure addressed by the QAP.
5. Copies of the QAP must be kept on site and made available to EPA and/or Yakama Nation upon request.

C. Control of Undesirable Pollutants and Industrial Users

1. The permittee must require any industrial user discharging to its treatment works to comply with any applicable requirements of 40 CFR 403 through 471.
2. The permittee must not allow introduction of the following pollutants into the POTW:
 - a) Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the POTW, including, but not limited to, wastestreams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit or 60 degrees Centigrade using the test methods specified in 40 CFR 261.21.
 - b) Pollutants which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case Discharges with pH lower than 5.0, unless the works is specifically designed to accommodate such Discharges.
 - c) Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW resulting in Interference.
 - d) Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.) released in a Discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration which will cause Interference with the POTW.
 - e) Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW Treatment Plant exceeds 40 °C (104 °F) unless the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits.
 - f) Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through.
 - g) Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems.
 - h) Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
 - i) Any pollutant which causes Pass Through or Interference.

D. Emergency Response and Public Notification Plan

1. The permittee must develop and implement an overflow emergency response and public notification plan that identifies measures to protect public health from overflows that may endanger health and unanticipated bypasses or upsets that exceed any effluent limitation in the permit. At a minimum the plan must include mechanisms to:
 - a) Ensure that the permittee is aware (to the greatest extent possible) of all overflows from portions of the collection system over which the permittee has ownership or operational control and unanticipated bypass or upset that exceed any effluent limitation in the permit;
 - b) Ensure appropriate responses including assurance that reports of an overflow or of an unanticipated bypass or upset that exceed any effluent limitation in the

permit are immediately dispatched to appropriate personnel for investigation and response;

- c) Ensure immediate notification to the public, health agencies, and other affected public entities (including public water systems). The overflow response plan must identify the public health and other officials who will receive immediate notification;
 - d) Ensure that appropriate personnel are aware of and follow the plan and are appropriately trained; and
 - e) Provide emergency operations.
2. The permittee must submit written notice to EPA and Yakama Nation that the plan has been developed and implemented within six months of the effective date of this permit. Any existing emergency response and public notification plan may be modified for compliance with this section.

E. Mercury Minimization Plan

1. The permittee must develop and implement a mercury minimization plan that identifies potential sources of mercury and the measures to reduce or eliminate mercury loading. The mercury minimization plan should include the following:
 - a) A Program Plan which includes the City of Wapato's commitments for:
 - (i) Identification of potential sources of mercury that contribute to discharge levels;
 - (ii) Reasonable, cost-effective activities to reduce or eliminate mercury loadings from identified sources;
 - (iii) Tracking mercury source reduction implementation and mercury source monitoring;
 - (iv) Quarterly monitoring of POTW influent and effluent;
 - (v) Resources and staffing
 - b) Implementation of cost-effective control measures for direct and indirect contributors and
 - c) An annual status report submitted to the US EPA, which includes:
 - (i) A list of potential mercury sources;
 - (ii) A summary of actions taken to reduce or eliminate mercury discharges to progress toward meeting water quality standards;
 - (iii) Mercury source reduction implementation, source monitoring results, influent and effluent, and results for the previous year;
 - (iv) Proposed adjustments to the Program Plan based on findings from the previous year.
2. The permittee must submit written notice to EPA and Yakama Nation that the plan has been developed and implemented within 90 days of the effective date of this permit. Any existing emergency response and public notification plan may be modified for compliance with this section.

III. Monitoring, Recording and Reporting Requirements

A. Representative Sampling (Routine and Non-Routine Discharges)

Samples and measurements must be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge.

In order to ensure that the effluent limits set forth in this permit are not violated at times other than when routine samples are taken, the permittee must collect additional samples at the appropriate outfall whenever any discharge occurs that may reasonably be expected to cause or contribute to a violation that is unlikely to be detected by a routine sample. The permittee must analyze the additional samples for those parameters limited in Part I.B. of this permit that are likely to be affected by the discharge.

The permittee must collect such additional samples as soon as the spill, discharge, or bypassed effluent reaches the outfall. The samples must be analyzed in accordance with paragraph III.C (“Monitoring Procedures”). The permittee must report all additional monitoring in accordance with paragraph III.D (“Additional Monitoring by Permittee”).

B. Reporting of Monitoring Results

1. Paper Copy Submissions

The permittee must summarize monitoring results each month on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form (EPA No. 3320-1) or equivalent. The permittee must submit reports monthly, postmarked by the 10th day of the following month. The permittee must sign and certify all DMRs, and all other reports, in accordance with the requirements of Part V.E. of this permit (“Signatory Requirements”). The permittee must submit the legible originals of these documents to the Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement, with copies to Yakama Nation at the following addresses:

US EPA Region 10
Attn: ICIS Data Entry Team
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900
OCE-133
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

Yakama Nation Environmental Management Program
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, Washington 98948

2. Electronic submissions

If, during the period when this permit is effective, EPA makes electronic reporting available, the permittee may submit reports electronically, following guidance provided by EPA according to the same due dates in §III.B.1, above. The permittee must certify all DMRs and all other reports in accordance with the requirements of Part V.E (“Signatory Requirements”). The permittee must retain the legible originals of these documents and make them available, upon request, to the EPA Region 10 Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement.

C. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit or approved by EPA as an alternate test procedure under 40 CFR 136.5.

D. Additional Monitoring by Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR 136 or as specified in this permit, the permittee must include the results of this monitoring in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR.

Upon request by EPA, the permittee must submit results of any other sampling, regardless of the test method used.

E. Records Contents

Records of monitoring information must include:

1. the date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
2. the name(s) of the individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
3. the date(s) analyses were performed;
4. the names of the individual(s) who performed the analyses;
5. the analytical techniques or methods used; and
6. the results of such analyses.

F. Retention of Records

The permittee must retain records of all monitoring information, including, all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, copies of DMRs, a copy of the NPDES permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least five years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of EPA or Yakama Nation at any time.

G. Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting

1. The permittee must report the following occurrences of noncompliance by telephone within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances to EPA and to the Yakama Nation Environmental Management Program:
 - a) any noncompliance that may endanger health or the environment;
 - b) any unanticipated bypass that exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See Part IV.F., "Bypass of Treatment Facilities");
 - c) any upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit (See Part IV.G., "Upset Conditions"); or
 - d) any violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for applicable pollutants identified by Part I.B.2.

- e) any overflow prior to the treatment works over which the permittee has ownership or has operational control. An overflow is any spill, release or diversion of municipal sewage including:
 - (i) an overflow that results in a discharge to waters of the United States; and
 - (ii) an overflow of wastewater, including a wastewater backup into a building (other than a backup caused solely by a blockage or other malfunction in a privately owned sewer or building lateral) that does not reach waters of the United States.
2. The permittee must also provide a written submission within five days of the time that the permittee becomes aware of any event required to be reported under subpart 1 above. The written submission must contain:
- a) a description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - b) the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times;
 - c) the estimated time noncompliance is expected to continue if it has not been corrected; and
 - d) steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.
 - e) if the noncompliance involves an overflow, the written submission must contain:
 - (i) The location of the overflow;
 - (ii) The receiving water (if there is one);
 - (iii) An estimate of the volume of the overflow;
 - (iv) A description of the sewer system component from which the release occurred (e.g., manhole, constructed overflow pipe, crack in pipe);
 - (v) The estimated date and time when the overflow began and stopped or will be stopped;
 - (vi) The cause or suspected cause of the overflow;
 - (vii) Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the overflow and a schedule of major milestones for those steps;
 - (viii) An estimate of the number of persons who came into contact with wastewater from the overflow; and
 - (ix) Steps taken or planned to mitigate the impact(s) of the overflow and a schedule of major milestones for those steps.
3. The Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours by the NPDES Compliance Hotline in Seattle, Washington, by telephone, (206) 553-1846.
4. Reports must be submitted to the addresses in Part III.B (“Reporting of Monitoring Results”).

H. Other Noncompliance Reporting

The permittee must report all instances of noncompliance, not required to be reported within 24 hours, at the time that monitoring reports for Part III.B (“Reporting of Monitoring Results”) are submitted. The reports must contain the information listed in Part III.G.2 of this permit (“Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting”).

I. Public Notification

The permittee must immediately notify the public, health agencies and other affected entities (e.g., public water systems) of any overflow which the permittee owns or has operational control; or any unanticipated bypass or upset that exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit in accordance with the notification procedures developed in accordance with Part II.G.

J. Notice of New Introduction of Toxic Pollutants

The permittee must notify the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds and the Yakama Nation in writing of:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 or 306 of the Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
3. For the purposes of this section, adequate notice must include information on:
 - a) The quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the POTW, and
 - b) Any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.
4. The permittee must notify the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds at the following address:

US EPA Region 10
Attn: NPDES Permits Unit Manager
1200 6th Avenue
Suite 900 OWW-130
Seattle, WA 98101-3140

K. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or non-compliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit must be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date.

IV. Compliance Responsibilities**A. Duty to Comply**

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Act and is grounds for enforcement action,

for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification, or for denial of a permit renewal application.

B. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

1. **Civil and Administrative Penalties.** Pursuant to 40 CFR Part 19 and the Act, any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(d) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$37,500 per day for each violation).
2. **Administrative Penalties.** Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the Administrator for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Pursuant to 40 CFR 19 and the Act, administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$16,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$37,500). Pursuant to 40 CFR 19 and the Act, penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed the maximum amounts authorized by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act (28 U.S.C. § 2461 note) as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act (31 U.S.C. § 3701 note) (currently \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$177,500).
3. **Criminal Penalties:**
 - a) **Negligent Violations.** The Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than 1 year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 2 years, or both.
 - b) **Knowing Violations.** Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than 3 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than 6 years, or both.
 - c) **Knowing Endangerment.** Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation

implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the Act, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

- d) False Statements. The Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under this permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than 2 years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 4 years, or both. The Act further provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 6 months per violation, or by both.

C. Need To Halt or Reduce Activity not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for the permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with this permit.

D. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee must take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

E. Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by the permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

F. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

1. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur that does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential

maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Part.

2. Notice.
 - a) Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it must submit prior written notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - b) Unanticipated bypass. The permittee must submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required under Part III.G (“Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting”).
3. Prohibition of bypass.
 - a) Bypass is prohibited, and the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement may take enforcement action against the permittee for a bypass, unless:
 - (i) The bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 - (ii) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass that occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 - (iii) The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2 of this Part.
 - b) The Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in paragraph 3.a. of this Part.

G. Upset Conditions

1. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology-based permit effluent limitations if the permittee meets the requirements of paragraph 2 of this Part. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
2. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. To establish the affirmative defense of upset, the permittee must demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - a) An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - b) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - c) The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required under Part III.G, “Twenty-four Hour Notice of Noncompliance Reporting;” and

- d) The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Part IV.D, “Duty to Mitigate.”
3. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

H. Toxic Pollutants

The permittee must comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

I. Planned Changes

The permittee must give written notice to the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds as specified in part III.J.4. and Yakama Nation as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility whenever:

1. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source as determined in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
2. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants that are not subject to effluent limitations in this permit.
3. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application site.

J. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee must give written advance notice to the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement and Yakama Nation of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with this permit.

K. Reopener

This permit may be reopened to include any applicable standard for sewage sludge use or disposal promulgated under section 405(d) of the Act. The Director may modify or revoke and reissue the permit if the standard for sewage sludge use or disposal is more stringent than any requirements for sludge use or disposal in the permit, or controls a pollutant or practice not limited in the permit.

V. General Provisions

A. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause as specified in 40 CFR 122.62, 122.64, or 124.5. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

B. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee intends to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. In accordance with 40 CFR 122.21(d), and unless permission for the application to be submitted at a later date has been granted by the Regional Administrator, the permittee must submit a new application at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.

C. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee must furnish to EPA and Yakama Nation, within the time specified in the request, any information that EPA or Yakama Nation may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee must also furnish to EPA or Yakama Nation, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

D. Other Information

When the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or that it submitted incorrect information in a permit application or any report to EPA or Yakama Nation, it must promptly submit the omitted facts or corrected information in writing.

E. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports or information submitted to EPA and Yakama Nation must be signed and certified as follows.

1. All permit applications must be signed as follows:
 - a) For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer.
 - b) For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively.
 - c) For a municipality, state, federal, Indian tribe, or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
2. All reports required by the permit and other information requested by EPA or Yakama Nation must be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - a) The authorization is made in writing by a person described above;
 - b) The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, position of equivalent responsibility, or an individual or position having overall responsibility for environmental matters for the company; and
 - c) The written authorization is submitted to the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement and Yakama Nation.
3. Changes to authorization. If an authorization under Part V.E.2 is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Part V.E.2. must be submitted to the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement and Yakama

Nation prior to or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

4. Certification. Any person signing a document under this Part must make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

F. Availability of Reports

In accordance with 40 CFR 2, information submitted to EPA pursuant to this permit may be claimed as confidential by the permittee. In accordance with the Act, permit applications, permits and effluent data are not considered confidential. Any confidentiality claim must be asserted at the time of submission by stamping the words “confidential business information” on each page containing such information. If no claim is made at the time of submission, EPA may make the information available to the public without further notice to the permittee. If a claim is asserted, the information will be treated in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR 2, Subpart B (Public Information) and 41 Fed. Reg. 36902 through 36924 (September 1, 1976), as amended.

G. Inspection and Entry

The permittee must allow the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement, EPA Region 10; Yakama Nation; or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Administrator), upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
3. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
4. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purpose of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

H. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to persons or property or invasion of other private rights, nor any infringement of federal, tribal, state or local laws or regulations.

I. Transfers

This permit is not transferable to any person except after written notice to the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds as specified in part III.J.4. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act. (See 40 CFR 122.61; in some cases, modification or revocation and reissuance is mandatory).

J. Federal, State and Tribal Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable federal, state, or tribal law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Act.

VI. Definitions

1. “Act” means the Clean Water Act.
2. “Acute Toxic Unit” (“TUa”) is a measure of acute toxicity. TUa is the reciprocal of the effluent concentration that causes 50 percent of the organisms to die by the end of the acute exposure period (i.e., 100/“LC50”).
3. “Administrator” means the Administrator of the EPA, or an authorized representative.
4. “Average monthly discharge limitation” means the highest allowable average of “daily discharges” over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all “daily discharges” measured during a calendar month divided by the number of “daily discharges” measured during that month.
5. “Average weekly discharge limitation” means the highest allowable average of “daily discharges” over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all “daily discharges” measured during a calendar week divided by the number of “daily discharges” measured during that week.
6. “Best Management Practices” (BMPs) means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage areas.
7. “Bypass” means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
8. “Chronic toxic unit” (“TUc”) is a measure of chronic toxicity. TUc is the reciprocal of the effluent concentration that causes no observable effect on the test organisms by the end of the chronic exposure period (i.e., 100/“NOEC”).
9. “Composite” - see “24-hour composite”.
10. “Daily discharge” means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in units of mass, the “daily discharge” is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day.

For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the “daily discharge” is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

11. “Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement” means the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement, EPA Region 10, or an authorized representative.
12. “Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds” means the Director of the Office of Water and Watersheds, EPA Region 10, or an authorized representative.
13. “DMR” means discharge monitoring report.
14. “EPA” means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
15. “Geometric Mean” means the n^{th} root of a product of n factors, or the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of the individual sample values.
16. “Grab” sample is an individual sample collected over a period of time not exceeding 15 minutes.
17. “Inhibition concentration”, IC, is a point estimate of the toxicant concentration that causes a given percent reduction (p) in a non-quantal biological measurement (e.g., reproduction or growth) calculated from a continuous model (e.g., Interpolation Method).
18. “Interference” is defined in 40 CFR 403.3.
19. “LC50” means the concentration of toxicant (e.g., effluent) which is lethal to 50 percent of the test organisms exposed in the time period prescribed by the test.
20. “Maximum daily discharge limitation” means the highest allowable “daily discharge.”
21. “Method Detection Limit (MDL)” means the minimum concentration of a substance (analyte) that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.
22. “Minimum Level (ML)” means the concentration at which the entire analytical system must give a recognizable signal and an acceptable calibration point. The ML is the concentration in a sample that is equivalent to the concentration of the lowest calibration standard analyzed by a specific analytical procedure, assuming that all the method-specified sample weights, volumes and processing steps have been followed.
23. “NOEC” means no observed effect concentration. The NOEC is the highest concentration of toxicant (e.g., effluent) to which organisms are exposed in a chronic toxicity test [full life-cycle or partial life-cycle (short term) test], that causes no observable adverse effects on the test organisms (i.e., the highest concentration of effluent in which the values for the observed responses are not statistically significantly different from the controls).
24. “NPDES” means National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits . . . under sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the CWA.
25. “Pass Through” means a Discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the United States in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge

or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation).

26. "QA/QC" means quality assurance/quality control.
27. "Regional Administrator" means the Regional Administrator of Region 10 of the EPA, or the authorized representative of the Regional Administrator.
28. "Severe property damage" means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
29. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
30. "24-hour composite" sample means a combination of at least 8 *specify if more than 8 aliquots are required* discrete sample aliquots of at least 100 milliliters, collected over periodic intervals from the same location, during the operating hours of a facility over a 24 hour period. The composite must be flow proportional *or, specify time proportional, if appropriate*. The sample aliquots must be collected and stored in accordance with procedures prescribed in the most recent edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.