

**ATTACHMENT 2**  
**LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED**

- Arthur D. Little (ADL). 1997a. Memorandum from ADL (D. Turton and P. Rury) to EPA (R. Goehmert) Re: Ottati & Goss: Cleanup Calculations and Risk Reduction Analysis.
- Arthur D. Little (ADL). 1997b. *Ottati & Goss Ecological Risk Assessment: Final Technical Memorandum*. December 1997.
- Arthur D. Little (ADL). 1994. *Ecological Risk Assessment at the Ottati & Goss Site, Kingston, NH*. May, 1994.
- Arthur D. Little (ADL). 1994. *GLCC Building Demolition Remedial Action, Ottati & Goss Site, Kingston, NH*. March 18, 1994.
- Canonie Environmental Services Corporation (Canonie). 1989. *Report: Soil Remediation and Site Closure - Ottati & Goss Superfund Site, Kingston, New Hampshire*. Prepared for Settling Parties, Ottati & Goss Superfund Site, Kingston, New Hampshire. September 21, 1989.
- Environmental Chemical Corporation (ECC). 2003. *Final Remedial Action Report, Ottati & Goss/Kingston Steel Drum Superfund Site, Soil and Sediment Remediation, Operable Unit No. 4*. Prepared for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services. March 2003.
- GeoTrans Inc. 1986. *Analysis of Groundwater Flow and Chemical Transport from the Ottati and Goss/Great Lakes Container Corporation Sites, vol. 1*, for the U.S. EPA.
- Goldberg-Zoino Associates (GZA). 1986. *Remedial Investigation - O&G/GLCC Site, Kingston, NH*. Prepared for the New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission, Concord, NH.
- Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. 1992. *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario*. Ontario Ministry of the Environment. September, 1992.
- Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. 1994. *Proposed Guidelines for the Clean-up of Contaminated Sites in Ontario*. Ontario Ministry of the Environment. July, 1994.
- Riordan, P. J., 1984. *Groundwater Study - Kingston Steel Drum Site, Kingston, NH*.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1987. *Record of Decision, Ottati & Goss/Great Lakes Container Corporation, Kingston, New Hampshire*. January 16, 1987.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1993. *Five Year Review, Ottati and*

Goss/Kingston Steel Drum Superfund Site, Kingston, New Hampshire. December 15, 1993.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1997. Letter regarding Recalculation of Risks associated with Soil Cleanup Levels for the Ottati and Goss Superfund Site. July 28, 1997.

United States Environmental Protection Agency , 1997. *Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Process for Designing and Conducting Ecological Risk Assessments*. June 1997. Document No. EPA-540-R-97-006.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1998. Five Year Review, Ottati and Goss/Great Lakes Container Corporation Superfund Site, Kingston, New Hampshire. December 1998.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1999. EPA Superfund Explanation of Significant Differences, Ottati and Goss/Kingston Steel Drum Superfund Site. September 28, 1999.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2001. Comprehensive Five-Year Review Guidance. June 2001.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2002. EPA Superfund Explanation of Significant Differences, Ottati and Goss/Kingston Steel Drum Superfund Site. February 7, 2002.

**ATTACHMENT 3  
SITE PHOTOS**



**011029-33 - Aerial View - Entire Site**



**011029-27 - Aerial View - LTTD Unit**



**011018-1 - GLCC Excavation**



**020620-03 - LTTD Unit Demobilization**



**011130-1 - East/Wetland Excavation - Amphibious Excavator**



**011130-9 - East/Wetland Hummock Construction**



020913-08 – West/Upland Restoration



020726-05 - East/Wetland Restoration

**ATTACHMENT 4**  
**JUNE 2003 VOC RESULTS FOR GZ-11A AND ME-4A**

**Detected VOCs for Well GZ-11A from the 2003 Diffusion Bag Sampling Event at the Ottati & Goss Site**

	EPA Sample ID	GZ11A-10	GZ11A-15	GZ11A-20	GZ11A-20R	GZ11A-20P	NH
	USEPA NE Lab Sample ID	AA30790	AA30791	AA30792	AA30793	AA30794	Ambient
	Well ID	GZ-11A	GZ-11A	GZ-11A	GZ-11A	GZ-11A	Groundwater
	Sample Depth	10 feet	15 feet	20 feet	20 feet	20 feet	Quality
	Matrix	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	Standard
	Date Sampled	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	
	Date Received	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	ug/L
	Date Analyzed	06/09/03	06/09/03	06/09/03	06/09/03	06/09/03	
	Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
	Dilution Factor	50	50	50	50	50	
	Comments				Replicate of GZ11A-20	Pumped Sample of GZ11A-20	
Analyte	RL						
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0	ND	100	120	120	150	50*
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	72	110	120	110	110	600
2-Propanone (acetone)	1.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	96	700
Ethylbenzene	1.0	1000	1700	1800	1900	1700	700
M/P Xylene	2.0	2800	4100	4500	4500	4100	10,000
Naphthalene	1.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	53	20
Ortho Xylene	1.0	950	1500	1500	1600	1500	10,000
Tetrachloroethylene	1.0	180 J	320 J	360 J	370 J	350 J	5
Toluene	1.0	1600	2600	2700	2700	2600	1,000
Trichloroethylene	1.0	290	470	470	500	450	5
Vinyl Chloride	1.0	ND	53	ND	51	54	2
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1.0	830	1100	1200	1200	1300	70

Footnotes: GW - Groundwater  
 J - Estimated value  
 ml - milliliter  
 RL - Reporting Limit  
 ug/L - micrograms per liter  
 ND - Not Detected  
 \* - Ambient Groundwater Quality Criterion is for alkyl benzenes.

**Detected VOCs for Well ME-4A from the 2003 Diffusion Bag Sampling Event at the Ottati & Goss Site**

EPA Sample ID	ME4A-10	ME4A-15	ME4A-20	ME4A-20R	ME4A-20P	NH Ambient Groundwater Quality Standard  ug/L
USEPA NE Lab Sample ID	AA30763	AA30764	AA30765	AA30766	AA30767	
Well ID	ME-4A	ME-4A	ME-4A	ME-4AR	ME-4AP	
Sample Depth	10 feet	15 feet	20 feet	20 feet	20 feet	
Matrix	GW	GW	GW	GW	GW	
Date Sampled	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	06/03/03	
Date Received	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	06/04/03	
Date Analyzed	06/09/03	06/09/03	06/09/03	06/05/03	06/05/03	
Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	
Dilution Factor	5	20	50	100	100	
Comments				Replicate of ME4A-20	Pumped Sample of ME4A-20	
Analyte	RL					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0	19	ND	ND	ND	50*
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	57	97	99	85 L      86 L	600
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.0	6.3	ND	ND	ND	50
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	27	33	ND	ND	75
Chlorobenzene	1.0	22	52	56	ND	100
Ethylbenzene	1.0	230	570	620	320	700
M/P Xylene	2.0	600	1400	1500	910	10,000
Naphthalene	1.0	8.8	ND	ND	ND	20
Ortho Xylene	1.0	200	500	530	310	10,000
Styrene	1.0	5.9	35	ND	ND	100
Tetrachloroethylene	1.0	15 J	39	ND	51 L	5
Toluene	1.0	230	1500	2400	1000	1,000
Trichloroethylene	1.0	7.3	86	180	68 L	5
Vinyl Chloride	1.0	ND	54	200	ND	2
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1.0	45	500	1400	330	70

Footnotes:      GW - Groundwater  
                     J - Estimated value  
                     L - Estimated value is below the calibration range  
                     ml - milliliter  
                     RL - Reporting Limit  
                     ug/L - micrograms per liter  
                     ND - Not Detected  
                     \* - Ambient Groundwater Quality Criterion is for alkyl benzenes.

**VOC Analyte List and Reporting Limits**  
**Diffusion Bag Sampling Event, June 2003**

Analyte	RL
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	1.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	1.0
1,1-dichloroethane	1.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	1.0
1,2-Dibromomethane	1.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	1.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	1.0
2-Butanone (MEK)	1.0
2-Chlorotoluene	1.0
2-Hexanone	1.0
2-Propanone (acetone)	1.0
4-Chlorotoluene	1.0
4-methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	1.0
Acrylonitrile	1.0
Benzene	1.0
Bromobenzene	1.0
Bromochloromethane	1.0
Bromodichloromethane	1.0
Bromoform	1.0
Bromomethane	1.0

Analyte	RL
Carbon Disulfide	1.0
Carbon tetrachloride	1.0
Chlorobenzene	1.0
Chloroethane	1.0
Chloroform	1.0
Chloromethane	1.0
Dibromochloromethane	1.0
Dibromomethane	1.0
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0
Ethyl Ether	1.0
Ethylbenzene	1.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0
Isopropylbenzene	1.0
M/P Xylene	2.0
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	1.0
Methylene Chloride	1.0
N-Butylbenzene	1.0
N-Propylbenzene	1.0
Naphthalene	1.0
Ortho Xylene	1.0
para-Isopropyltoluene	1.0
Sec-Butylbenzene	1.0
Styrene	1.0
Tert-Butylbenzene	1.0
Tetrachloroethylene	1.0
Tetrahydrofuran	1.0
Toluene	1.0
trans-1,2-dichloroethylene	1.0
Trichloroethylene	1.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0
Vinyl Acetate	1.0
Vinyl Chloride	1.0
c-1,3-dichloropropene	1.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1.0
t-1,3-Dichloropropene	5.0

**ATTACHMENT 5**  
**PCB RISK CALCULATIONS**

TABLE 1  
VALUES USED FOR DAILY INTAKE CALCULATIONS  
REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE  
OTTATI & GOSS FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

Scenario Timeframe: Current/Future  
Medium: Sediment  
Exposure Medium: Sediment

Exposure Route	Receptor Population	Receptor Age	Exposure Point	Parameter Code	Parameter Definition	Value	Units	Rationale/ Reference	Intake Equation/ Model Name
Ingestion	Recreational User	Adult	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	CS	PCB Concentration in Sediment	10	mg/kg	Clean-up Level	Chronic Daily Intake (CDI) (mg/kg-day) = $\frac{CS \times IR \times FI \times EF \times ED \times CF}{BW \times AT}$
				IR	Ingestion Rate of Sediment	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1994b	
				FI	Fraction Ingested	0.5	unitless	Prof. Judgement	
				EF	Exposure Frequency	78	days/year	assumption	
				ED	Exposure Duration	24	years	USEPA, 1994b	
				BW	Body Weight	70	kg	USEPA, 1994b	
				AT-C	Averaging Time (Cancer)	25,550	days	USEPA, 1989	
				AT-N	Averaging Time (Non-Cancer)	8,760	days	USEPA, 1989	
				CF	Conversion Factor	0.000001	kg/mg	--	
	Young Child	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	CS	PCB Concentration in Sediment	10	mg/kg	Clean-up Level	Chronic Daily Intake (CDI) (mg/kg-day) = $\frac{CS \times IR \times FI \times EF \times ED \times CF}{BW \times AT}$	
			IR	Ingestion Rate of Sediment	200	mg/day	USEPA, 1994b		
			FI	Fraction Ingested	0.5	unitless	Prof. Judgement		
			EF	Exposure Frequency	78	days/year	assumption		
			ED	Exposure Duration	6	years	USEPA, 1994b		
			BW	Body Weight	15	kg	USEPA, 1994b		
			AT-C	Averaging Time (Cancer)	25,550	days	USEPA, 1989		
			AT-N	Averaging Time (Non-Cancer)	2,190	days	USEPA, 1989		
CF			Conversion Factor	0.000001	kg/mg	--			
Dermal	Recreational User	Adult	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	CS	PCB Concentration in Sediment	10	mg/kg	Clean-up Level	CDI (mg/kg-day) = $\frac{CS \times SA \times AF \times EF \times ED \times DAF \times CF}{BW \times AT}$
				SA	Skin Surface Area Available for Contact	5,700	cm <sup>2</sup>	USEPA, 2001b	
				AF	Skin Adherence Factor	0.07	mg/cm <sup>2</sup> -day	USEPA, 2001b	
				EF	Exposure Frequency	78	days/year	assumption	
				ED	Exposure Duration	24	years	USEPA, 1994b	
				DAF	Dermal Absorption Factor	chemical specific	--	--	
				BW	Body Weight	70	kg	USEPA, 1994b	
				AT-C	Averaging Time (Cancer)	25,550	days	USEPA, 1989	
				AT-N	Averaging Time (Non-Cancer)	8,760	days	USEPA, 1989	
				CF	Conversion Factor	0.000001	kg/mg	--	

TABLE 1  
VALUES USED FOR DAILY INTAKE CALCULATIONS  
REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE  
OTTATI & GOSS FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

Scenario Timeframe: Current/Future
Medium: Sediment
Exposure Medium: Sediment

Exposure Route	Receptor Population	Receptor Age	Exposure Point	Parameter Code	Parameter Definition	Value	Units	Rationale/Reference	Intake Equation/Model Name
Dermal (cont.)	Recreational User (cont.)	Young Child	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	CS	PCB Concentration in Sediment	10	mg/kg	Clean-up Level	$\text{CDI (mg/kg-day)} = \frac{\text{CS} \times \text{SA} \times \text{AF} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{DAF} \times \text{CF}}{\text{BW} \times \text{AT}}$
				SA	Skin Surface Area Available for Contact	2,800	cm <sup>2</sup>	USEPA, 2001b	
				AF	Skin Adherence Factor	0.2	mg/cm <sup>2</sup> -day	USEPA, 2001b	
				EF	Exposure Frequency	78	days/year	assumption	
				ED	Exposure Duration	6	years	USEPA, 1994b	
				DAF	Dermal Absorption Factor	chemical specific			
				BW	Body Weight	15	kg	USEPA, 1994b	
				AT-C	Averaging Time (Cancer)	25,550	days	USEPA, 1989	
				AT-N	Averaging Time (Non-Cancer)	2,190	days	USEPA, 1989	
CF	Conversion Factor	0.000001	kg/mg						

Adult SA term assumes exposure to face, forearms, hands, and lower legs.

Young child SA term assumes exposure to face, forearms, hands, lower legs, and feet.

Adult AF term is geometric mean value for adult gardeners.

Young child AF term is geometric mean value for children playing in wet soil.

TABLE 2  
 CALCULATION OF CHEMICAL CANCER RISKS AND NON-CANCER HAZARDS  
 REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE  
 OTTATI & GOSS FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

Scenario Timeframe: Current/Future
Receptor Population: Recreational User
Receptor Age: Adult

Medium	Exposure Medium	Exposure Point	Exposure Route	Chemical of Potential Concern	EPC		Cancer Risk Calculations					Non-Cancer Hazard Calculations					
					Value	Units	Intake/Exposure Concentration		CSF/Unit Risk		Cancer Risk	Intake/Exposure Concentration		RfD/RfC		Hazard Quotient	
							Value	Units	Value	Units		Value	Units	Value	Units		
Sediment	Sediment	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	Ingestion	PCBs	1.0E+01	mg/kg	5.2E-07	mg/kg-day	2.0E+00	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	1.0E-06	1.5E-06	mg/kg-day	2.0E-05	mg/kg-day	7.6E-02	
			Exp. Route Total								1E-06					7.6E-02	
			Dermal	PCBs	1.0E+01	mg/kg	5.8E-07	mg/kg-day	2.0E+00	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	1.2E-06	1.7E-06	mg/kg-day	2.0E-05	mg/kg-day		8.5E-02
			Exp. Route Total								1.2E-06						8.5E-02
			Exposure Point Total								2.2E-06						1.6E-01
Exposure Medium Total										N/A					N/A		
Medium Total										N/A					N/A		
Total of Receptor Risks Across All Media										N/A	Total of Receptor Hazards Across All Media				N/A		

N/A = Not Applicable

TABLE 3  
 CALCULATION OF CHEMICAL CANCER RISKS AND NON-CANCER HAZARDS  
 REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE  
 OTTATI & GOSS FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

Scenario Timeframe: Current/Future
Receptor Population: Recreational User
Receptor Age: Young Child

Medium	Exposure Medium	Exposure Point	Exposure Route	Chemical of Potential Concern	EPC		Cancer Risk Calculations					Non-Cancer Hazard Calculations				
					Value	Units	Intake/Exposure Concentration		CSF/Unit Risk		Cancer Risk	Intake/Exposure Concentration		RfD/RfC		Hazard Quotient
							Value	Units	Value	Units		Value	Units	Value	Units	
Sediment	Sediment	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	Ingestion	PCBs	1.0E+01	mg/kg	1.2E-06	mg/kg-day	2.0E+00	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	2.4E-06	1.4E-05	mg/kg-day	2.0E-05	mg/kg-day	7.1E-01
			Exp. Route Total								2.4E-06					7.1E-01
			Dermal	PCBs	1.0E+01	mg/kg	9.6E-07	mg/kg-day	2.0E+00	(mg/kg-day) <sup>-1</sup>	1.9E-06	1.1E-05	mg/kg-day	2.0E-05	mg/kg-day	5.6E-01
			Exp. Route Total								1.9E-06					5.6E-01
			Exposure Point Total								4.4E-06					
Exposure Medium Total										N/A					N/A	
Medium Total										N/A					N/A	
Total of Receptor Risks Across All Media										N/A	Total of Receptor Hazards Across All Media				N/A	

N/A = Not Applicable

TABLE 4  
 SUMMARY OF RECEPTOR RISKS AND HAZARDS FOR COPCs  
 REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE  
 OTTATI & GOSS FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

Scenario Timeframe: Current/Future
Receptor Population: Recreational User
Receptor Age: Young Child/Adult

Medium	Exposure Medium	Exposure Point	Chemical of Potential Concern	Carcinogenic Risk Young Child + Adult					Non-Carcinogenic Hazard Quotient Young Child				
				Ingestion	Inhalation	Dermal	External (Radiation)	Exposure Routes Total	Primary Target Organ	Ingestion	Inhalation	Dermal	Exposure Routes Total
Sediment	Sediment	South Brook and Country Pond Marsh	PCBs	3.5E-06	--	3.1E-06	--	6.6E-06	Immune System	7.1E-01	--	5.6E-01	1.3E+00
			Chemical Total	3.5E-06	--	3.1E-06	--	6.6E-06		7.1E-01	--	5.6E-01	1.3E+00
			Radionuclide Total										
			Exposure Point Total					6.6E-06					1.3E+00
			Exposure Medium Total					6.6E-06					1.3E+00
Medium Total							6.6E-06					1.3E+00	
Receptor Total							6.6E-06					1.3E+00	

-- = Not Evaluated  
 N/A = Not Applicable

Total Risk Across All Media

6.6E-06
---------

Total Hazard Across All Media

1.3E+00
---------

Total Skin HI =	N/A
Total Nervous System HI =	N/A
Total Immune System HI =	1.3E+00
Total Kidney HI =	N/A
Total Blood HI =	N/A
Total Growth HI =	N/A
Total Liver HI =	N/A
Total Gastrointestinal System HI =	N/A

**ATTACHMENT 6**  
**LEAD SOIL CLEANUP LEVEL DERIVATION BY EPA**

## LEAD SOIL CLEANUP LEVEL FOR A COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL FUTURE USE - OTTATI AND GOSS SUPERFUND SITE

$$\text{Soil Cleanup goal (ug/g)} = \frac{(\text{PbB}_{\text{adult, central goal}} - \text{PbB}_{\text{adult, o}}) \times \text{AT}}{\text{BKSF} \times \text{IR}_s \times \text{AF}_s \times \text{F}_s}$$

Where;

$$\text{PbB}_{\text{adult, central goal}} = \frac{\text{PbB}_{\text{fetal, 0.95 goal}}}{\text{GSD}^{1.645} \text{ i, adult} \times \text{R}_{\text{fetal/maternal}}}$$

$\text{PbB}_{\text{fetal, 0.95 goal}}$  = goal for the 95<sup>th</sup> % blood lead conc among fetuses born to women exposed to soil. (This means that the likelihood of a blood lead conc. in a fetus exceeding 10ug/dL would be less than 5%.) = 10ug/dL

$\text{GSD}^{1.645} \text{ i, adult}$  = estimated value of the individual geometric standard deviation (dimensionless) =  $1.8^{1.645} = 2.63$  (assuming homogeneous population, from NHANES III)

$\text{R}_{\text{fetal/maternal}}$  = constant of proportionality between fetal and maternal blood lead concentrations = 0.9 (based on Goyer (1990) and Graziano et al. (1990))

$$\text{So PbB}_{\text{adult, central goal}} = 10/2.63 \times 0.9 = 4.2\text{ug/dL}$$

$\text{PbB}_{\text{adult, o}}$  = typical blood lead conc in adults (i.e. woman of child-bearing age) in the absence of exposures to site) = 1.7 ug/dL (assuming homogeneous population, based on NHANES III)

AT = averaging time; the total period during which soil contact may occur = 365 days/yr

BKSF = biokinetic slope factor = 0.4ug/dl per ug/dy ( based on analysis by Pocock et al. (1983) and Sherlock et al. (1984) data.

$\text{IR}_s$  = soil ingestion rate = 100mg/dy (for adult groundskeeper, author assumption)

$\text{AF}_s$  = absolute GI absorption fraction for ingested lead in soil and lead in dust derived from soil (dimensionless) = 0.12 (based on absorption factor for soluble lead of 0.2 and a relative bioavailability of 0.6 (soil/soluble)

$\text{F}_s$  = exposure frequency for worker = 219dys/yr (based on EPA, 1993, guidance for average time spent at work by both full-time and part-time workers)

Substituting the above into the first equation;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soil Cleanup goal (ug/g)} &= \frac{(4.2\text{ug/dL} - 1.7\text{ug/dL}) \times 365\text{dys/yr}}{0.4\text{ug/dl} \times 0.1\text{g.dy} \times 0.12 \times 219\text{dys/yr}} \\ &= \frac{2.5 \times 365}{1.051} = 868.2 \text{ or } 870 \text{ ug/g or ppm} \end{aligned}$$

The above equations and defaults are based on the EPA's, "Recommendations of the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead for an Interim Approach to Assessing Risks Associated with Adult Exposures to Lead in Soil", December, 1996

**ATTACHMENT 7  
INTERVIEW RECORDS**

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>15:00 hours</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit: <b>At the Shell Service Station</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>	Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Dave Ramsey</b>	Title: <b>Store Manager</b>	Organization: <b>Shell Service Station</b>	
Telephone No: Fax No: E-Mail Address:	Street Address: City, State, Zip:		
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Dave had no issues whatsoever. Dave is disappointed at the loss of business from the remediation workers.</p>			
<p>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community? N/A</p>			
<p>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details. N/A</p>			
<p>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details. N/A</p>			
<p>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress? N/A</p>			
<p>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation? N/A</p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>14:00</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit: <b>At Kingston PD</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow Karen Wedlock-Hunt</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist/ Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Chief Don Briggs</b>		Title: <b>Chief of Police</b>	Organization: <b>Town of Kingston</b>
Telephone No: <b>(603) 642-3100</b> Fax No: E-Mail Address:		Street Address: <b>Kingston Police Department</b> City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH</b>	
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p>The chief thought that the project was long overdue, and was part of a larger bureaucratic process. Chief Briggs felt the soil remediation should have been done years ago, but wasn't due to funding, politics, and the design process.</p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p>Chief Briggs said he communicated with project staff daily. All interactions were good, especially relating to safety issues. Chief Briggs was glad EPA, USACE listened to his concerns, and were responsive to his/town needs. Chief Briggs felt that the first phase of site remediation (the Building Demolition) was a "failure and not user-friendly," but that the soil remediation was a success.</p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>There were several complaints about odor coming from the treatment plant. Chief Briggs felt EPA responded promptly to address each complaint. Generally, there was no negative feedback from the community regarding the soil remediation. The chief had an overall good impression of the EPA RPM and the state RPM that were leading the soil remediation project.</p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>There was one incident regarding a disgruntled employee of ECC that the Chief had to respond to.</p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b></p> <p>Yes, very well informed.</p>			
<p><b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b></p> <p>Public relations are important to Chief Briggs, as well as keeping the public trust. The Chief wants the project to continue to be preemptive with public relations, both in Kingston and the neighboring town of Newton.</p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>12:15 hours</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow/Karen Wedlock Hunt</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist/ Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Paul Lincoln</b>		Title: <b>Remedial Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>NHDES</b>
Telephone No: <b>603-271-2911</b> Fax No: E-Mail Address:		Street Address: <b>6 Hazen Drive</b> City, State, Zip: <b>Concord, NH</b>	
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</p> <p>The project has been slow and methodical (12 yrs.) since 1988. The first five years involved litigation, and was slow. Paul was concerned about the public impression of the site. The O&amp;G site made Paul a believer in soil remediation, albeit expensive to do. Paul felt the wetlands were a success story, one of the better wetland restoration project he has seen, although is was expensive.</p>			
<p>2. Have there been routine communications or activities (site visits, inspections, reporting activities) conducted by your office regarding the site?</p> <p>Pre-design inspections, community relations and residential well sampling (once/yr.) on Sunshine Dr.</p>			
<p>3. Have there been any complaints, violations, or other incidents related to the site requiring a response by your office?</p> <p>No.</p>			
<p>4. Do you feel well informed about the sites activities and progress?</p> <p>Yes.</p>			
<p>5. Do you have comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</p> <p>No. Paul retired from NHDES in August, 2003.</p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>13:00 hrs</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit: <b>Country Shores Campground - Southwick Residence</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow/Karen Wedlock Hunt</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist/ Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Ms. Penny Southwick</b>		Title: <b>Owner</b>	Organization: <b>Country Shores Campground</b>
Telephone No: <b>603-642-5072</b> Fax No: E-Mail Address:		Street Address: <b>Route 125</b> City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>	
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Southwick said she had an overall good impression of project.</b></p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p><b>None. Ms. Southwick feels all has gone well.</b></p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Southwick said that the site sign out on Route 125 across from here driveway is too conspicuous.</b></p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p><b>No.</b></p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Southwick felt well informed by State, not by the town. Penny was not aware of public tours of the site, etc.</b></p>			
<p><b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b></p> <p><b>Ms. Southwick would prefer work done on her property to be done in the late fall (after October 1st) and before Memorial Day. Ms. Southwick also requested that workers wear less conspicuous clothing.</b></p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>13:00 hours</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit: <b>Country Shores Campground - Southwick Residence</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow/Karen Wedlock Hunt</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist/ Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Joe Buswell</b>		Title: <b>Owner</b>	Organization: <b>Country Shores Campground</b>
Telephone No: <b>603-642-5072</b> Fax No: E-Mail Address:		Street Address: <b>Route 125</b> City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>	
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p>Mr. Buswell's general impression of the project overall was good. Mr. Buswell was glad something was being done (to clean up the site).</p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p>Mr. Buswell expressed concern about the site sign located across from the end of their driveway. Mr. Buswell felt that it was too conspicuous.</p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>No, none.</p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>No.</p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b></p> <p>Mr. Buswell feels he has been well informed by EPA and the State.</p>			

**6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?**

**Mr. Buswell wishes that work done on his property is as inconspicuous as possible (i.e., no "moon suits").**

## INTERVIEW RECORD

<b>Site Name: Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		<b>EPA ID No.:</b>	
<b>Subject: 5 Year Review</b>		<b>Time: 17:00 hours</b>	<b>Date: 8/4/03</b>
<b>Type:</b> : Telephone <input type="checkbox"/> Visit <input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
<b>Location of Visit:</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
<b>Name: Bill Buelow</b>	<b>Title: Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	<b>Organization: M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
<b>Name: Ms. Geraldine Carruthers</b>	<b>Title: Resident</b>	<b>Organization:</b>	
<b>Telephone No: 603-642-5214</b> <b>Fax No:</b> <b>E-Mail Address:</b>	<b>Street Address: 22 Newton Jct. Rd.</b> <b>City, State, Zip: Kingston, NH 03848</b>		
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b>  Ms. Carruthers thought the project was doing "alright." and that they "seemed to be doing a good job."			
<b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b>  Ms. Carruthers was happy that "something was being done."			
<b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b>  Ms. Carruthers felt clean water is her biggest concern.			
<b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b>  No.			
<b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b>  Ms. Carruthers feels she has been well informed.			
<b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b>  Ms. Carruthers would like to see the (groundwater) cleaned.			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5-Year Review</b>		Time: <b>15:21 hours</b>	Date: <b>8/4/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing	
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Dean Rutland</b>		Title: <b>Resident</b>	Organization:
Telephone No: <b>603-642-9138</b>		Street Address: <b>15 Sunshine Dr.</b>	
Fax No:		City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>	
E-Mail Address:			
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p><b>Mr. Rutland did not really know much about the project. Mr. Rutland would have liked to had more information, but admitted not taking an interest. Mr. Rutland thought EPA could have done more to distribute information by mail.</b></p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p><b>Mr. Rutland was not aware of any.</b></p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p><b>Mr. Rutland was not aware of any community issues, and admitted that he was not involved with his neighbors.</b></p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p><b>No.</b></p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b></p> <p><b>Mr. Rutland felt that he was not well informed. Mr. Rutland would have liked to see more mailings, or written material.</b></p>			
<p><b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b></p> <p><b>Mr. Rutland is in the process of selling home (unrelated to the site) and moving to Texas.</b></p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>1900 hours</b>	Date: <b>8/11/03</b>
Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone : Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing		
Location of Visit: <b>Kingston Town Hall</b>			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>	Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Kevin Burke, Mr. Mark Heitz</b>	Title: <b>Selectman</b>	Organization: <b>Town of Kingston</b>	
Telephone No: Fax No: E-Mail Address:	Street Address: <b>Town Hall</b> City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>		
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b>  Successful. The Selectmen were pleased with the grass and vegetation.			
<b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b>  All traffic, noise, odor, dust issues were dealt with promptly.			
<b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b>  All concerns were addressed (see #2).			
<b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b>  No.			
<b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b>  Yes. The Selectmen felt they were well informed.			
<b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b>  The Selectmen hope the groundwater treatment system will be installed soon.			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5 Year Review</b>		Time: <b>17:30 hours</b>	Date: <b>8/4/03</b>
Type:     : Telephone <b>9</b> Visit <b>9</b> Other	<b>9</b> Incoming <b>9</b> Outgoing		
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>	Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Ms. Becky Barlow</b>	Title: <b>Resident</b>	Organization:	
Telephone No: <b>603-642-8397</b>	Street Address: <b>14 Sunshine Dr.</b>		
Fax No:	City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>		
E-Mail Address:			
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p>Ms. Barlow said that there were things removed that one cannot see so it is hard to tell if “clean-up” was accomplished.</p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p>Ms. Barlow thought removal of trees was a negative effect, but understood reason for why they were removed.</p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>No, but Ms. Barlow wanted to know if groundwater flowing (from the site) under the pond is impacting drinking water.</p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>None.</p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site’s activities and progress?</b></p> <p>Ms. Barlow felt “somewhat” informed. Ms. Barlow had been to a few of the public meetings.</p>			
<p><b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site’s management or operation?</b></p> <p>Ms. Barlow would like her water rechecked.</p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5-Year Review</b>		Time: <b>17:45 hours</b>	Date: <b>8/4/03</b>
Type:     : Telephone <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>		Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Lawrence McCarthy</b>		Title: <b>Resident</b>	Organization:
Telephone No: <b>603-642-8259</b>		Street Address: <b>16 Sunshine Dr.</b>	
Fax No:		City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>	
E-Mail Address:			
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>Mr. McCarthy thought that all remediation was already done. Mr. McCarthy was surprised there was more to do (ie., the groundwater phase).</b></p>			
<p>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>None.</b></p>			
<p>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>No.</b></p>			
<p>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>No.</b></p>			
<p>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>No.</b></p>			
<p>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b>Mr. McCarthy would appreciate a mailing to better inform the citizenry.</b></p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5-Year Review</b>		Time: <b>11:00 hours</b>	Date: <b>7/28/03</b>
Type:     : Telephone <input type="checkbox"/>	Visit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incoming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outgoing
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Karen Wedlock-Hunt</b>	Title: <b>Project Manager</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. John Sabetes</b>	Title: <b>Resident</b>	Organization:	
Telephone No: <b>603-642-5838</b>	Street Address: <b>26 Old Coach Rd.</b>		
Fax No:	City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>		
E-Mail Address:			
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</p> <p>Mr. Sabetes said he was glad to see the lights and building gone.</p>			
<p>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</p> <p>Mr. Sabetes did not like the stones from the gravel driveway dragged to the end of Old Coach Road. Mr. Sabetes was glad that it is now cleaned up. Mr. Sabetes was concerned about the evergreen trees on the south side of South Brook having died. Mr. Sabetes wondered if they would be replaced.</p>			
<p>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</p> <p>Mr. Sabetes wants all wells in the area tested before and after construction of the water treatment plant.</p>			
<p>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</p> <p>N/A</p>			
<p>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</p> <p>Mr. Sabetes seemed informed about tours, and received mailings.</p>			
<p>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</p> <p>N/A</p>			

## INTERVIEW RECORD

Site Name: <b>Ottati &amp; Goss</b>		EPA ID No.:	
Subject: <b>5-Year Review</b>		Time: <b>16:00 hours</b>	Date: <b>8/4/03</b>
Type:     : Telephone <b>9</b> Visit <b>9</b> Other	<b>9</b> Incoming <b>9</b> Outgoing		
Location of Visit:			
<b>Contact Made By:</b>			
Name: <b>Bill Buelow</b>	Title: <b>Sr. Hydrogeologist</b>	Organization: <b>M&amp;E</b>	
<b>Individual Contacted:</b>			
Name: <b>Mr. Edward Spinney</b>	Title: <b>Resident</b>	Organization:	
Telephone No: <b>603-642-8417</b>		Street Address: <b>23 Sunshine Dr.</b>	
Fax No:		City, State, Zip: <b>Kingston, NH 03848</b>	
E-Mail Address: <a href="mailto:ESPINNEY@NECC.MASS.EDU">ESPINNEY@NECC.MASS.EDU</a>			
<b>Summary Of Conversation</b>			
<p><b>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (General sentiment)</b></p> <p>Mr. Spinney said that he felt the project had gone well, and was happy the former GLCC buildings are gone, grass is planted, and the wetland has been restored. Mr. Spinney then expressed a concern that EPA was not forthcoming with information, but could not elaborate or give examples.</p>			
<p><b>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</b></p> <p>Mr. Spinney did not like the smell from the soil burning operations. I asked if he brought his concerns to anyone's attention. Mr. Spinney replied he inquired with the Board of Selectmen, and was satisfied with their response.</p>			
<p><b>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>Mr. Spinney feels most citizens are pleased, but feels that some PRPs have not had their "hands slapped enough." Mr. Spinney also mentioned his concern over the taking of water for swimming pools at the adjacent properties.</p>			
<p><b>4. Are you aware of any events or incidents, or activities at the site, such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</b></p> <p>Not aware of any.</p>			
<p><b>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</b></p> <p>Mr. Spinney has been away last few winters, so knows that he has likely missed out on some information.</p>			
<p><b>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</b></p> <p>Mr. Spinney feels the site would make a good soccer field and hopes nothing else gets built there.</p>			