

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: B2B5CB0A8ACD2C3C85257A39004C6283

From: Michael_Amaral@fws.gov

To: Matt Schweisberg/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Copy To: Dave_S_Rothstein@FWS.GOV; Tom_Chapman@fws.gov

Delivered Date: 09/29/2010 01:47 PM EDT

Subject: Re: One more question

Matt – that sounds right. The state's expanded Avian Assessment section on oil spill threat addresses the extra vessel trips by international ships bring the turbine components in and the jack-up barge/tug vessel trips required for installation, so that may cover it.

Michael

▼ Schweisberg.Matt@epamail.epa.gov

Schweisberg.Matt@epamail.epa.gov	
09/29/2010 12:10 PM	
To	Michael_Amaral@fws.gov
cc	
Subject	One more question

EPA will be putting out a public notice of its draft determination for the entire South Terminal project, likely in early November. The notice will open up a 30-day public comment period on the draft determination

and announce a public meeting. The BA (having been revised after your informal review) will be a part of the voluminous draft determination that we put out for public review and comment. Concurrent (or thereabout) with the public notice, EPA would formally transmit the BA to FWS for official review and concurrence. Does that sound logical? Do you see anything here, process/timing-wise, that raises a red flag from the FWS's perspective?

Matt

