

From: [Michael Bingham](#)
To: [Tisa, Kimberly](#); [Chet Myers](#)
Subject: RE:
Date: Friday, August 09, 2013 5:42:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Thanks Kim...

That helps a lot... (and the drawing is better than I could do).

Mike



Follow Apex on and Like us on

Privacy Notice: This message and any attachment(s) hereto are intended solely for the individual(s) listed in the masthead. This message may contain information that is privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any review, dissemination or use of this message or its contents by persons other than the addressee(s) is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete the message from your system. Thank you.

From: Tisa, Kimberly [mailto:Tisa.Kimberly@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 08, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Chet Myers; Michael Bingham
Subject:

Chet/Mike-

EPA has reviewed the SAP for the stockpiled Geotechnically Unsuitable Soils dated August 5, 2013. Mike verbally provided to me today the current site conditions, which include 11 stockpiles of varying sizes and dimensions. The SAP indicates that a composite sample will be collected per every 100 cubic yards of soils.

Conceptually, the stockpile SAP currently being discussed would appear to be sufficient to determine whether a stockpile is < 50 ppm or > 50 ppm for off-site disposal purposes. However, as I discussed with Mike today, I believe that a more systematic approach to grid sampling of piles is more defensible than just using a volume estimate. Attached are examples of a sampling protocols for several different stockpiles varying in both size and dimension. The approximate # cubic yards per sample shown in these examples is smaller than 1 sample per 100 cubic yards, so I am willing to discuss once you have an opportunity to review. (Please no comments on my artistic ability.....)

I did go back and look at all our previous correspondence regarding how the geotechnically unsuitable soils would be managed. By email dated July 3, 2012, APEX provided comments to EPA 6/23/2012 comments. Response 4 opined that the data from the test pits and soil borings

indicated that more "materials" would be reused at the facility, but that if it was geotechnically unsuitable, it would be disposed off-site (i.e., not used as clean fill). In fact, the test pit sampling did identify PCB concentrations in other locations (e.g., 4.6 ppm in TP-9.) which is located in one of the stockpile area. EPA concurred with this, but required the SAP as part of the Determination.

If the Commonwealth is considering a different disposition of these geotechnically unsuitable soils (i.e., clean fill), EPA would require a much more stringent sampling protocol than what we indicated above.

At this point I would recommend developing the plan for off-site disposal incorporating the suggested sampling protocols that is attached, and see what the data results are. We can discuss the final sampling protocol once you have an opportunity to review the examples I've provided.

Of other consideration, of course, will be any other cocs that are present in these stockpiles. Will any samples be analyzed for other [non-PCB] cocs?

Kimberly N. Tisa, PCB Coordinator (OSRR07-2)
USEPA
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109-3912

(o) 617.918.1527
(f) 617.918-0527

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****