Gary (by phone) Cindy Kim
Chuck Elaine Mike
Jay Carl Jackie
AW

RETURN TO SER AR INDEX

9/12/12 meeting on South Terminal

Gary - work out schedule for deliverables
still hope for final det. by end Oct
site config/control
BMX
blasting + sturgeon / modeling + studies
critical time frames re SHPO reg.
wetlands mitg.

1) site control/config -
Gary - continuing to work toward config A2
we like to discuss approval of BMX site at later date -
keeping it but say can't touch it until ... ?
want us to get to good comfort level w/ delineation + mitigation
We can make the case for its necessity but
can't do it in 30 days.
Include a permit condition that it can
be filled only if at a date after final decision
they demonstrate it's necessary.
Political issues w/ the state
We suggest he's free to apply for permit from Corps?
issue of segmentation?
issues of conditional permit

2) BMX, beach staked out; also potential mit. sites
look at everything tomorrow even if portions drop
out

SDMS Doc ID 529021

3) the gant chart or spread sheet
Gary - operating under premise that acoustical studies
needed for both NMFS + Corps. Still want to go
out w/ A docs that don't call for blasting - then
come back later if req.

? They do have the means to do w/out blasting but could
→ turn out to be very expensive.

spreadsheet

1) - Site Config - 9/14
Site control - date still to be determined by Gary

2) - 9) - will stamp specs in draft + highlight the areas that address the items. Blasting specs already submitted + will be resubmitted
Base bid will be no blasting + alt. will be blasting

Commonwealth is putting off going out to bid for the moment

blasting
Chet - in last mtg, discussed state wants option of base bid w/ no blasting + future option to have blasting. Carl asked whether state cd say blasting isn't necessary but b/c of cost

Chet - there is always a way to do w/out blasting - Can use drill rig. It's expensive + time consuming. They can commit that there's a tech feasible way. Gary says he'll get back to us after talking w/ Secretary as to whether they can say they would do it regardless of cost. We'd need to know the impact from the alt. route

12+13 arch. report will be submitted 9/18 or 9/17
Chey - Concern re 15 business days vs. 15 days
- Carl - if we can get quick responses from SHPO / TPO, timeline can work. We'll talk w/ Leann to see if 15 bus. days can be shortened

→ for the small version CAD cell (6.7 ac)
27,000 cu yds for top of CAD 3 into CAD 2

17 + 18 - draft mitigation plans — July 2 - call w/ Gary + Apex on Sturgeon specifically.

Jackie mentions prior discussions where we need technical info to develop B.A. - its a time consuming process

send to Jackie who will work w/ ~~Ralph Abele~~.
Phil C? Ralph? both

How can they give ~~up~~ us report to support BA ~~until after~~ before the ~~acoust~~ acoustical studies are done

We were thinking 30 days review of complete mitig plan

envir. assessment of radio tower - being done now. Also Bruce site

→ That's not on Chart. Jay says it's quick.
10/10 ok if just phase I E and nothing is found
If something is found then we'd take more time.

Need 30 days for mitig plans

- drilling vs. blasting impacts
- 21 E
- technical report on Sturgeon
- proof of site control