



Fwd: South Terminal Project Comments, New Bedford Cad Cell

Edwin Rvera

to:

drew.grande, Eileen.Goldberg, elaine.stanley, ElaineT Stanley, hfox, jsavitz, jack. troast, jpierce, Kelsey O'Neil, Alice Kaufman, Alice Kaufman, kav704, Lucy, rivers, mmorrissey, obvdc, Robert.Neely, ElaineT Stanley, escassidy, sfleischli, sgiles, sfriedman

08/21/2012 07:35 PM

Hide Details

From: Edwin Rvera <harcnb@aol.com> Sort List...

To: drew.grande@sierraclub.org, Eileen.Goldberg@state.ma.us, elaine.stanley@epa.gov, ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, hfox@earthjustice.org, jsavitz@coastalliance.org, "jack. troast"@State.Ma.US, jpierce@cleanwater.org, Kelsey O'Neil/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Alice Kaufman/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, Alice Kaufman/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, kav704@yahoo.com, Lucy@whalingcityrowing.org, rivers@riversalliance.org, mmorrissey@nbedc.org, obvdc@yahoo.com, Robert.Neely@noaa.gov, ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA, escassidy@comcast.net, sfleischli@smbaykeeper.org, sgiles@americanoceans.org, sfriedman@enviromentaldefense.org

Edwin J.Rivera
harcnb@aol.com
 Project Comments

South Terminal

To Whom it may concern;

Although I am aware of the many benefits that the South Terminal Project would bring to the area I can only support the project, if PCBs dredge material is removed from the harbor; NOT buried in CAD cells. It would be best to remove them off site out of New Bedford to a TSCA approved toxic waste site; though, temporary storage on shore locally would be better than permanent placement below the river bed.

With EPA as the overseeing authority (no matter how and who by it is implemented) they are the ones with the ethical and legal responsibility to ensure that this be carried out with regards to the public good and the public will. As such, no matter what limits any present record of decision may have, it is up to EPA to ensure and extend such that the end result makes those responsible (AVX et al) meet the full responsibility that they are truly up to and that true cleanup is COMPLETE able.

Beyond that, EPA as a public agency born to protect the environment for the public good must go further and take **all** of the steps necessary to **complete a remediation** not advance a cover up that just sweeps PCBs under the rug. Burying PCBs in the harbor would go against their mission. Hence, public funding by the federal government,

through EPA, needs to be released or advanced so as to make sure that the project is able to be completed on time with the capability of **complete a remediation** still possible. Remediation through biological or other technologies will not be possible buried in a CAD cell.

What ever fiscal restraints may prevent the responsible parties from being able to make the funding possible in time "The United States of America" needs to step up and ensure that it cleans up this mess first and finally. They should provide advance funding to ensure that the nations greatest wind power endeavor is able to move forward on time without the leavings of old pollution as a hastful by product.

In no uncertain terms... I do NOT support the South Terminal Project as is and will not support it until the plan is changed such that the PCB dredge materiel is removed from the harbor.

Sincerely yours,
Edwin J.Rivera Sr.
Hands Across the River Coalition