Weinberg Deeran Carl, Ira, Lindy, Ann
Ian Bowles

RETURN TO SER AR INDEX

SDMS DocID 517941

6/3/10 Meeting on NBH - SER @ EOEEA

KK hands out chart of EPA requests + MA response
CC - addresses the nexus issue
enhancement scope is navigational dredging (+ disposal) - increases the protectiveness of the remedy; also used sand from MA CAD2 to cap some contaminated sediment. CDFs may in the future become options for SF disposal but they're not essential to the remedy
AW - I explain it's OK to have multipurposes but unless they're inextricably linked we'd look separately at alts. for each

KK - proposes ruling Quonset right of the bat
CS - Most of Quonset is leased. Just to meet Deep Waters demand + site control. takes it off the table
Fall River - bridge size
Boston - distance

3 other NB sites - they'll plan to focus on practicability

They insist that they have multipurpose goal here - would expect to use terminal for purposes other than wind energy support in between the use of the wind energy development, including storage of sand and other commercial ~~terminal~~ post operation

impacts - they don't know what additional info they should provide - they think they provided us with a lot! I suggest getting the technical people together
Deeran thinks its important for people "beyond staff" having that kind of conversation. They suggest a call this afternoon or tomorrow. [Chris cancelled meeting this week after call from Matt saying don't meet w/out EPA]
Phil - Secondary impacts is something hard to do

They Need help defining scope of ecological habitat characterization + scope of analysis. They feel after meeting it wd take 2 months + $150,000
Ian wants this done in early July.

Deeran says Tom French has told him that no Tern issue

Mitigation - timing - submitted 15-20 pg mitig report
They'd like to get approval first then do fine tuning later + get funding source

"menu approach"
KK - suggests proposing 3 things that they think all could work + commit to at least 1

& suggest we declare LEDPA decision but no final approval until comp. mitigation is worked out

Deeran asks whether we'd follow suggestions of other agencies carte blanche or whether we'll exercise our authority to act reasonably

CS is willing to push back on sister federal agency that isn't acting in good faith

Tom suggests deploying some consulting resources on impacts + also try to impose some management restrictions like preventing bilge pumping in order to narrow scope

We need to make our archeological determination + then ask SHPO + THPO to respond w/in time frame