RETURN TO SER AR INDEX

it was very productive.
~ 1 month later Bettina requested urgent follow up mtg b/c she learned about Cape Wind article
Gary assumed that info was already in the public domain.
Tribe has been "too busy" to meet w/ State.
Lois is trying to set up the mtg
Lois thinks the technical concerns have been adequately addressed

Some slight adjustments to the bulkhead to address the paleosol - they should reflect that in their update of the application

Shellfish

Fishery folks (?) - want reseeding + relaying into area where Recreational & Commercial fishing isn't allowed.
EPA std - not able to be harvested for consumption
Fish + Game - want to be able to capture by commercial fisherman - relies on FDA #s after a couple years of depuration and New Bedford fishermen

Matt - relaying to areas not accessible to harvesting but able to do seeding, w/in area 1 of the harbor, is what we want. Our info is that PCB contaminated shellfish don't depurate. These studies we rely on are more recent than what Fish + Game is relying on

Elaine -
Mass DPH also wrote letter in 2007 opposing (or recommending against) relaying

Gary -
reviews that it's important to state to get a decision BSAP
Wd also like to pin down a schedule that wd lead to a hearing date - wd like this worked out next week

SDMS DocID 517937

Dave

1/6/11 NBH - SER mitigation

Chet's submission this morning - walks through the outline of impacts

13.73 ac in "area 3" for WF habitat
additional benefit of capping contam. sediment
also benefit for foraging birds

3 acres intertidal creation + 7 ac enhancement at OU-3
good for terns + horseshoe crabs

creation of saltmarsh in ditch inside hurricane barrier
remove riprap + fill, slope down, wct. transition,
area of high + low marsh keep the channel open
concern of phragmites - at Atlas Tack, dealt with it by having very steep slope rather than gradual transition

Phil - re WF - he thinks they're on the right track. Will want to see details. Mentions that shellfish resource (seeding or relaying) isn't addressed here.
Idea of a relay into this new created saltmarsh area (closed to closed).

Bruce + Ken - they're interested in talking further about seeding vs relaying
Bruce + Chet can meet w/ Phil et al at another mtg.

Dave - where wd swale sediment go? Chet thinks probably CAD - in 2007 they collected sample at >50. (one of the existing ones)

Matt - agreement on concept. Need narrative that explains all the pieces; they shd consult w/ DMF re shellfish; follow up on contamin. sediment + SW QS
A fleshed out conceptual plan. Try to include a monitoring + assessment program
Ken - they'd like some suggestions for how to monitor for

WF eggs. Chris can put them in touch w/ researchers in Milford CT

Subtidal repost is done (re the paleosol) They're going to propose a very small change to the design of the terminal to avoid the paleosol. There's only a very small overlap between the paleosol + the terminal footprint. They found no artifacts.
They hope to give letter ASAP

Shipwreck - consultant identified the ship; exhaustive video photo survey.
No way to lift it out. Lacks the integrity to be eligible for listing - 1890 ship, sank in ~1940

Can we have sense of time line

Chet - they think area 3 + OU3 wd probably occur before or during terminal construction

Matt - we need to figure out time of year restrictions both in terms of construction + mitigation
Chris - for planning purposes, consider a Jan through May restriction - wd apply to const. + dredging; may not apply to OU3 [and area 3?]