

Cover Certification Report

**Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
New Boston Street, Rear
Woburn, Massachusetts 01801
Tax Map 31-2-2**

September 30, 2008

Prepared for:

**Industri-Plex Site Remedial Trust
c/o Timothy Cosgrave, Project Coordinator
Harvard Project Services, LLC
249 Ayer Road, Suite 206
Harvard, Massachusetts 01451**

Prepared by:

**ROUX ASSOCIATES, INC.
67 South Bedford Street, Suite 101W
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803**

**MERIDIAN LAND SERVICES, INC.
31 Old Nashua Road
Amherst, New Hampshire 03031**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Site Description and History	1
1.2 Scope of the Remedial Action	5
1.3 Report Format	6
2.0 PROJECT PARTICIPANTS	7
3.0 CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS	10
3.1 Consent Decree	10
3.2 100% Design Report and Addenda	10
3.3 Remedial Action Work Plan	11
3.4 Health and Safety Plan	12
4.0 REMEDIAL DESIGN/ACTIONS	13
4.1 Soil Remedy	13
4.1.1 Soil Remedy - Consent Decree Requirements	13
4.2 Sediment Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]	15
4.3 Air Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]	15
5.0 SITE CONTROLS AND DOCUMENTATION	16
5.1 Survey Control	16
5.2 Construction Control	16
5.3 Decontamination	19
5.4 Facility Documentation for Off-Site Disposal	19
6.0 SOURCE AND CONFORMANCE TESTING	21
6.1 Soil and Soil Products	21
6.1.1 Compacted Fill	21
6.1.2 Cover Soil	21
6.1.3 Topsoil [Not Applicable To This Property]	22
6.1.4 Subangular Stone	22
6.1.5 Stone Riprap [Not Applicable To This Property]	22
6.1.6 Subbase [Not Applicable To This Property]	22
6.2 Geosynthetics	22
6.2.1 Geotextile	22
6.2.1.1 Materials	22
6.2.1.2 Quality Control Testing	23
6.2.1.3 Quality Assurance Testing	23
6.2.2 Geomembrane [Not Applicable To This Property]	23
6.2.3 Geocomposite [Not Applicable To This Property]	23
6.2.4 Geogrid [Not Applicable To This Property]	23
6.2.5 Interface Friction	23
6.3 Asphalt Cover Materials [Not Applicable To This Property]	24
7.0 REMEDY CONSTRUCTION	25
7.1 Construction Sequence	25
7.1.1 Decommissioning	25
7.1.1.1 Decommissioning Wells [Not Applicable To This Property]	25
7.1.1.2 Decommissioning Utilities and Structures	25
7.1.2 Soil Remedy	25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Cont.)

7.1.2.1 Subgrade and Drainage	25
7.1.2.2 Geosynthetics	26
7.1.2.3 Cover Soil	26
7.1.2.4 Topsoil and Vegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]	27
7.1.2.5 Revegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]	27
7.1.3 Sediment Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]	27
7.1.4 Air Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]	27
8.0 DESIGN CHANGES	28
8.1 Change Management	28
8.2 Site Wide Design Changes	29
8.3 Property-Specific Design Changes	30
9.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBSERVATION AND TESTING	31
9.1 Decommissioning	31
9.2 Compacted Fill	31
9.3 Subgrade Preparation	31
9.4 Permeable Cover	31
9.5 Impermeable Liner Installation [Not Applicable To This Property]	32
9.6 Geocomposite Drainage [Not Applicable To This Property]	32
9.7 Geogrid Reinforcing [Not Applicable To This Property]	32
9.8 Manholes and Culverts	32
9.9 Seeding and Wetland Vegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]	32
10.0 RECORD DRAWINGS	33
11.0 CERTIFICATION	34

TABLES

1. ISRT Clean Soil Thresholds
2. Testing Methods for Soils and Geosynthetics
3. Abbreviations

APPENDICES

Appendix A – 100% Final Design Report Specifications

Appendix B – Submittals

Appendix C – Modifications

- C.1 Design/Specification Change Requests (DSCRs)
- C.2 Corrective Action Requests (CARs)
- C.3 Variance Requests (VRs)
- C.4 Corrective Request Authorizations (CRAs)

Appendix D – Contractor Controls

- D.1 Air Monitoring
- D.2 Surface Water Monitoring Exceedances

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Cont.)

Appendix E – Monitoring Wells and Piezometers Decommissioning
[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix F – Fill Soil, Aggregate, Riprap, and Topsoil Materials

- F.1 Source Test Results
- F.2 Soil Laboratory Test Results
 - F.2.1 Cover Soil and Granular Subbase Materials
 - F.2.2 Subangular Stone and Riprap Materials
 - F.2.3 Topsoil
- F.3 Soil Moisture Density Tests Summary

Appendix G – Bituminous Materials
[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix H – Geosynthetic Materials

- H.1 Geotextile
 - H.1.1 Geotextile Inventory Summary
 - H.1.2 Geotextile Quality Control Certificates
 - H.1.3 Geotextile Conformance Tests
- H.2 Geomembrane
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- H.3 Geocomposite
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- H.4 Geogrid
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- H.5 Impermeable Cover Installation
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- H.6 Interface Friction Test Summary
[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix I – Field Monitoring

- I.1 Subgrade Inspection Forms
- I.2 Geotextile Inspection Forms
- I.3 Geocomposite Inspection Summary
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- I.4 Geogrid Inspection Summary
[Not Applicable To This Property]
- I.5 Concrete Testing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Cont.)

[Not Applicable To This Property]

I.6 HDPE Pipe Pressure Test Summary

[Not Applicable To This Property]

I.7 East-Central Hide Pile Amendment

[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix J – Created Wetland Cover System/Final Vegetation Establishment and Soil Stabilization Plan

[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix K – Thermal Oxidation Unit

[Not Applicable To This Property]

Appendix L – EPA Comments

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – Record Drawings A-93 – A-100 – Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
(Tax Map 31-2-2)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Industri-Plex Site Remedial Trust (Remedial Trust) is required by the Consent Decree entered on April 24, 1989 by the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in the matter styled *United States v. Stauffer Chemical Company et al.*, Civil Action No. 89-0195-MC, and *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Stauffer Chemical Company et al.*, Civil Action No. 89-0196-MC, and recorded at the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds in Book 19837, Page 476 (Consent Decree) to fund and administer the obligations of the Consent Decree. At the request of the Trust, Roux Associates, Inc. (Roux Associates) has prepared this property-specific Final Cover Certification Report (Cover Certification Report) in compliance with the Consent Decree requirements. This Cover Certification Report documents completion of a portion of the Remedial Action for soil, sediments, and air at the Industri-Plex Superfund Site (Industri-Plex Site), Woburn, Massachusetts. Site wide completion of the Remedial Action for soil, sediments, and air is documented in the Master Cover Certification Report for the Industri-Plex Site. The specific property addressed in this report is owned by the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (Tax Map 31-2-2) and includes the railway tracks along the west side of the Anderson Regional Transportation Center, Woburn, Massachusetts. Within the report text herein, this property is referred to as the MBTA Property. Construction of the Remedial Action for soil, sediment, and air was completed on June 28, 1996. Changes to the cover at this property may have been made since that date. Approved changes to the cover are documented in the Administrative Record for the Industri-Plex Site.

In accordance with the Consent Decree and the Contract Documents for the Remedial Action, a certification report must be prepared by a registered professional engineer certifying that all remedial activities have been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of the Consent Decree. As defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), (Federal Register, July 26, 1982) certification does not constitute a guarantee or warranty, but a “rendering of a professional opinion concerning compliance with a requirement of the regulations by a qualified professional in the field.”

1.1 Site Description and History

The Industri-Plex Site is a 245 (+/-) acre area, located about 10 miles northwest of Boston, Massachusetts in the north part of Woburn, within the Aberjona River Valley. The Site is bounded on the east side by Interstate 93, and Interstate 95/State Route 128 is located about one

half mile south of the Site. The Boston Edison Power Company right-of-way No. 9 is the southwest boundary of the Site. The Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) railway transects roughly the western third of the Site in a northwest-southeast direction. The Industri-Plex Site was surveyed by SAIC Engineering, Inc. and Liu Aerial Surveys in 1990 and 1991.

Since the mid-1800s, the Industri-Plex Site has been used primarily by companies producing chemicals for textile, leather, and paper. Chemical manufacturing operations occurred at the Site from 1853 to 1931, producing sulfuric acid and related chemicals, arsenic insecticides, acetic acid, dry colors, phenol, benzene, picric acid, toluene, and trinitrotoluene (TNT). By 1929, the Merrimac Chemical Company, which occupied the Industri-Plex Site, had become one of the leading producers of insecticides and other chemicals in the United States. The Merrimac Chemical Company plant included 90 buildings on 417 acres, many of which were within the current Industri-Plex Site. Early operations included disposal of wastes in pits or low-lying wetlands. Liquid wastes were discharged into streams and later sewers. As a result, heavy metal wastes from the chemical operations contaminated Site soils and wetland sediments.

From 1934 to 1969, the property was used by several companies to manufacture glues and gelatins from animal hides. Raw, salted or limed hides, hide fleshings, or chrome tanned leather scraps from cattle, hogs, sheep or other animals were used to manufacture glue by extracting a protein called collagen from animal tissues or bones. Animal hide waste products from the rendering process were disposed of in mounds or hide piles on-Site. A developer purchased the plant property in the early 1970s intending to build a complex of industrial buildings (hence Industri-Plex) and began grading operations. During hide pile excavation, noxious gases and odors, attributable to the decomposing hide wastes, were released. The distinctive odor became known as the “Woburn odor.” Complaints from local residents and encroachment on wetland areas stopped further development of the Site.

In 1981, the EPA proposed the Industri-Plex Site for the National Priorities List (NPL), also known as Superfund. The Industri-Plex Site was finalized on the NPL in 1983. In May 1982, EPA and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering [DEQE – currently known as the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)] entered into a Consent Order with Stauffer Chemical Company to undertake a Remedial

Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS). In April 1985, Phase II of the RI/FS was completed. The Remedial Investigation identified arsenic, lead, and chromium in Site soils and wetland sediments as well as impacts to the ground water and odors due to hydrogen sulfide and methyl mercaptans emitted from the hide piles. Abandoned buildings and waste lagoons were also present on the Site. Based on the RI/FS, EPA, along with MassDEP, established a Record of Decision (ROD) in 1986 for the first phase of the cleanup at the Industri-Plex Site (known as Operable Unit 1, OU-1), which included a protective cover over more than 100 acres of soil contaminated with heavy metals and animal wastes, a gas collection and treatment system, institutional controls, an interim groundwater remedy, as well as further investigations of Site related contamination at and downstream of the Site to support a future second phase (known as Operable Unit 2, OU-2). The location of the protective cover is illustrated in **Attachment 1**, which includes an impermeable cover for the gas collection and treatment system situated at what is known as the East Hide Pile.

Further details of the Industri-Plex Site history can be found in the 1986 Record of Decision.

In a 1989 Consent Decree between EPA, MassDEP, and the current and former property owners, two Trusts were established which set in motion the remediation and reuse of the Industri-Plex Site. The Remedial Trust was formed to prepare and implement the remedy according to the ROD. The Industri-Plex Site Custodial Trust (Custodial Trust) was formed to hold, manage, and sell a portion of the Site.

Golder Associates, Inc. (Golder) was selected in 1989 by the Remedial Trust to design the remediation for the Industri-Plex Site. The remedial design included pre-design investigations of the soils, wetlands, air, and groundwater.

The pre-design investigations included sampling analysis and studies to determine the extent of contamination and, in accordance with the Consent Decree, to evaluate cover types. Designs were needed to prepare the ground surface for cover. The remedial design included:

1. Plans for the demolition or decommissioning of abandoned buildings, railroad tracks, underground utilities, a personnel tunnel, and over 120 existing observation wells and piezometers used during the preliminary investigation.

2. Plans for controlling odors, fugitive dusts, and surface water runoff during construction to prevent off-Site impacts.
3. Evaluation of, and considerations for the future stability of, the hide pile slopes.
4. Plans for collecting and treating waste gases in a Thermal Oxidation Unit.
5. Plans for dredging, remediating, and revitalizing streams and wetlands.

The remedial design for contaminated soils and air included both permeable (soil and geotextile) and impermeable (soil and geomembrane) covers. A permeable cover system was designed for 60 acres of upland soils and three hide piles (known as the West, East-Central and South Hide Piles) contaminated with high concentrations of heavy metals and decomposing organic wastes. The permeable cover included a geotextile base to maintain separation between contaminated soils and clean cover material, a clean grading fill, and topsoil with vegetation. An impermeable cover was designed for a fourth hide pile (known as the East Hide Pile) which was approximately four acres in size and an active odor source. The impermeable cover included a high permeability gas collection layer, geomembrane, cover grading fill, topsoil, and vegetation. An active gas collection system was designed to collect gases trapped by the impermeable cover and convey the gases to a Thermal Oxidation Unit for treatment. The permeable cover system for the Site was further divided into two categories: “Engineered Cover”; and “Equivalent Cover”. The Engineered Cover was designed and constructed by the Industri-Plex Site Remedial Trust as part of the response activities at the Site to prevent exposure to contaminated soil, and may be comprised of one or more of the following materials: geotextile, geomembrane, soil, gravel, bituminous concrete and/or asphalt. The Equivalent Cover represents existing structures serving as an adequate permeable cover. Equivalent Cover, although not designed as part of the Engineered Cover, functions to prevent exposure to contaminated soil, and may be comprised of one or more of the following ground covering structures or features, or portions of such structures or features: buildings; foundations; slabs; paved driveways, walkways, parking lots and/or roads; or other such ground covering structures or features. The location of Engineered and Equivalent Covers are illustrated in the Record Drawings.

Site remediation also required capping approximately five acres of contaminated streams and wetland sediment. Approximately seven acres of wetland enhancement, restoration, and creation were designed to compensate for wetland losses. Normandeau Associates, Inc. of Bedford, New Hampshire, was a key designer of the wetland mitigation plans.

A revised final (100%) Design Report was issued on May 8, 1992. Approval for the 100% Design Report was issued by EPA in consultation with the MassDEP on May 18, 1992. A Remedial Action Work Plan for Soil, Sediment and Air Remedy was issued on June 22, 1994, and approved by EPA, in consultation with MassDEP, on July 11, 1994.

1.2 Scope of the Remedial Action

The Remedial Action (RA) implemented the Remedial Design prepared by Golder and distributed for bidding in April 1992. The RA included covering metal-contaminated soils encountered over an approximately 100-acre portion of the 245-acre Site, a portion of which this property represents, is shown on Sheet A-93 of **Attachment 1**. This certification report addresses a portion of the remedial action performed on the MBTA Property (Tax Map 31-2-2). Additional remedial actions were conducted by the MBTA relative to constructing an engineered cover under the tracks as shown on the RTC design by Stone & Webster Civil and Transportation Services, Inc. (February 1999). Some of this work was further modified during construction of the Regional Transportation Center (RTC), as reflected in the “Anderson RTC Cover Modification As-Built” Record Drawings, prepared by BATG Environmental, dated July 2001. The remedial action on this property consisted of the following:

- an above grade permeable cover of gravel and clean soil overlying a geotextile layer that was placed directly on prepared existing ground, and fill soil;

Work conducted between 1992 and December 1997 is addressed in this report.

This report includes the following information as it pertains to the remedial action performed on the MBTA Property (Tax Map 31-2-2):

- Relevant portions of the Final 100% Design Report (**Appendix A**);
- The submittal log (**Appendix B**);
- Modifications of specifications and plans (**Appendix C**);

- Results of Site air and surface water monitoring (**Appendix D**);
- Results of soil conformance and in-place material testing during the Remedial Action (**Appendix F**);
- Results of geosynthetics conformance material testing (**Appendix H**);
- Observations of subgrade preparation and geosynthetic installation (**Appendix I**);
- EPA comments (**Appendix L**); and
- Review of lines and grade control.

1.3 Report Format

This property-specific Cover Certification Report was derived from the Master Cover Certification Report documenting the completion of the soil, sediment, and air remedies at the Site [excluding MassPort Authority property documented in the April 1998 Regional Transportation Center (RTC) Cover Certification Report]. Other property-specific Cover Certification Reports will be produced for the remaining properties at the Site. This property-specific Cover Certification Report presents a generic description of all work performed to complete the soil, sediment, and air remedies, some of which are applicable to this property. For those portions/sections which are not relevant to this property-specific Cover Certification Report, those sections have been identified as “[Not Applicable to This Property]”. The Master Cover Certification Report contains property-specific details and record drawings for 31 Tax Map lots at the Site including additional general and Woburn Roads/Right of Way information. Please reference the Master Cover Certification Report for this additional Site-wide information.

2.0 PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

In July of 1989 Golder was retained by the Remedial Trust to prepare the Remedial Design for the Site. The Consent Decree included the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Plan (RDAP). The RDAP required the preparation of Pre-Design Investigations and a Remedial Design. The design was executed in accordance with the requirements of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) as amended and re-authorized. From 1990 to 1992 Golder prepared Preliminary, Intermediate, Pre-Final and Final Design Reports in conformance with the RDAP.

The Remedial Trust entered into an agreement with Chemical Waste Management, Inc. Remediation Services Group of Princeton, New Jersey, (CWM, also Contractor) to perform the Remedial Action in accordance with the RDAP and the Remedial Design plans and specifications. The name of the Contractor changed January 1, 1993 when CWM was acquired by Rust Remedial Services Inc. (Rust), then again in May of 1995 when OHM acquired Rust. The name Chemical Waste Management was retained as the legal name of the Contractor throughout the period covered by this report.

Several subcontractors assisted the Contractor with specific tasks during the remedial work. A list of the subcontractors and the services they provided is presented below:

- Rust Environment and Infrastructure, formerly SEC Donohue Inc., of Burlington, Massachusetts provided engineering support;
- Earth Tech Inc. (Earth Tech), formerly HMM Associates Inc., of Concord, Massachusetts provided surveying services from 1992 to 1993 and Meridian Land Services Inc. (Meridian) of Milford, New Hampshire provided surveying services from 1993 to 2001. Both surveying companies collected field documentation that would be used to establish the as-built drawings for this report;
- Eastmont Environmental Inc. of Walpole, Massachusetts conducted perimeter air monitoring;
- Beattie Enterprises of Lancaster, New Hampshire assisted with clearing and grubbing the Site;
- Midway Paving of Chelmsford, MA or its subcontractors performed paving work for the Site during 1992-1995;

- HMM Associates, Inc. (HMM) of Concord, MA performed surface water monitoring services;
- Toxikon Laboratories, of Woburn, Massachusetts, and 21st Century Environmental Inc. of Bridgeport, New Jersey, assisted the Contractor with water and soil analytical testing; and,
- Reliable Fence Company of Woburn, Massachusetts installed chain link fence on the Site.

In accordance with the Consent Decree, EPA contracted with Halliburton NUS (HNUS) of Wilmington, Massachusetts to provide technical oversight. Representatives of EPA and the MassDEP met with the Remedial Trust monthly (approximately) throughout the Remedial Action to oversee the performance of the work. Minutes of the meetings were recorded but are not included in this report.

Golder provided engineering quality assurance (QA) for the Remedial Action from September 1992 through December 1995. QA included examining and testing materials and procedures to verify and assure the Remedial Trust that the construction conformed to the specifications and drawings. The Remedial Trust directed Golder to perform a geophysical investigation during May 1993. Golder Construction Services Inc. (Golder Construction) provided on-Site construction management services for the Remedial Trust from March 1995 through December 1995.

The Remedial Trust contracted with Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI) of Canton, Massachusetts to perform soil moisture/density testing of compacted soils, soil laboratory testing, and asphalt testing. PSI also performed on-Site QA testing from August 1993 through December 1995.

During 1995, the Remedial Trust contracted with *de maximis, inc.* to be the Site manager for the Remedial Trust and to coordinate the work conducted by Golder, CWM, and other contractors. In 1998, the Site manager role was assumed by Maverick Construction Management Services, Inc. (Maverick). Following remedial construction activities, the Remedial Trust contracted

directly with Maverick to coordinate the documentation of as-built cover conditions, to manage construction activities necessary to bring the cover into compliance with the 100% Design and to prepare a Draft Cover Certification Report. In 2007, the Remedial Trust contracted with Roux Associates to complete the certification of the cover, including the completion of the draft and final Cover Certification Report.

3.0 CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

RD/RA work performed for the Remedial Trust was completed according to the documents, plans, and specifications described in Sections 3.1 through 3.4.

3.1 Consent Decree

The Consent Decree (EPA, 1989) entered into between the Plaintiffs [*i.e.*, EPA and the MassDEP (Agencies)] and the Settlers defined the work that was to be undertaken at the Site. This definition is within the Consent Decree as well as the RDAP. The Consent Decree was based on the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site (EPA, 1986). While the Consent Decree, the RDAP, and the ROD were consulted for the specific definition of the remedies to be implemented at the Site, the RDAP generalized the remedy and formed the basis for Golder's preparation of the Remedial Design Work Plan and ultimately the Final 100% Design Report. This certification applies to the Consent Decree but the primary component is the RDAP.

3.2 100% Design Report and Addenda

Golder developed the design and specifications and produced the "Final 100% Design Report, Part I" for the Industri-Plex Site (**Appendix A**), which was submitted to EPA and MassDEP in December 1991. This report applied to the remedy for soil, sediments, and air for the Site. Other Consent Decree requirements were deferred in accordance with the Agencies' instructions. The Agencies provided comments on the 100% Design Report, and responses to those comments were submitted April 3, 1992. A revised final 100% Design Report was issued April 3, 1992. The 100% Design was issued for bid April 25, 1992. The 100% Design Report was approved on May 18, 1992.

Subsequent addenda were issued for the 100% Design Report including the following:

- Addendum 1 issued May 1992 (EPA/MassDEP Approval March 11, 1993)
- Addendum 2 issued June 1992 (EPA/MassDEP Approval March 11, 1993)
- Addendum 3 issued May 14, 1993 (EPA/MassDEP Approval May 27, 1993)
- Addendum 3 revision 1 August 27, 1993 (EPA/MassDEP Approval September 10, 1993)
- Addendum 3 revision 2 October 18, 1993 (EPA/MassDEP Approval November 2, 1993)

On October 1, 1996, EPA approved an alternative permeable cover design for the RTC entitled RTC Alternate Cover Design (Golder, 1996). Details of the construction and certification of the RTC Alternative Cover Design are presented in the RTC Cover Certification Report (Golder, 1998), which was approved by EPA in April 28, 1998.

3.3 Remedial Action Work Plan

According to the Consent Decree, the Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) was to be submitted to the Agencies within sixty (60) days after EPA and the Commonwealth received notification of the selected Remedial Action Contractor. The RAWP was prepared by the Remedial Action Contractor for the Remedial Trust to implement the Site remedy consistent with the approved design for each Site area. The Consent Decree required that the RAWP contain:

- (1) A description of all the activities necessary to implement the Remedial Actions; and,
- (2) A timetable for the completion of all these activities, which shall also identify major and minor milestone events in the Remedial Action process. The schedule of significant events shall be consistent with Attachment D, [Project Schedule and Remedial Design/Action Milestones].

On August 18, 1992, prior to EPA's receipt, review, and acceptance of the RAWP, the Remedial Trust requested EPA and MassDEP approval of a preparatory, non-intrusive work plan for work that would begin in September. Submittal of this work plan allowed the Contractor to maximize the construction work season while awaiting final approval of the RAWP. An addendum to the August request was submitted to EPA and MassDEP on October 9, 1992 expanding the earlier request to include debris removal and non-intrusive work and above ground structure demolition. Both the August 18 and October 9 requests were tacitly approved by EPA in consultation with MassDEP. As required, the Remedial Trust submitted a RAWP to EPA on October 5, 1992 (Consent Decree Attachment, Section B, Subsection 3B).

An interim RAWP was submitted to EPA on October 22, 1992 with a request to begin work west of the MBTA railroad tracks. EPA in consultation with MassDEP provided comments on the interim RAWP on November 25, 1992 and a revised interim work plan was submitted to EPA in December 1992. With EPA and MassDEP concurrence, the Remedial Trust authorized the Contractor to begin remediation of the Site on December 2, 1992.

EPA's review of the original RAWP, in consultation with MassDEP, continued through the first half of 1993. EPA, in consultation with MassDEP, provided a conditional approval of the RAWP on March 11, 1993. The Agencies had two main concerns, 1) "the effect of the proposed groundwater treatment changes on the 'Created Wetlands' (CW); and 2) the maintenance of air and stream water quality (ARARs) during the construction of the Remedy." EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, requested the following: 1) a revised CW design with a buffer and separation from the groundwater; and 2) implementation of a program for surface water sampling for contaminants.

Following the Remedial Trust's responses, EPA after consultation with MassDEP, presented an approval of the RAWP on May 19, 1993, contingent upon: 1) sampling of surface water to measure water quality; 2) resolution of water treatment design questions; 3) provision of a copy of the Contractor drilling and blasting plan; and, 4) blasting plan and a requirement to cover all frequently used roads with a minimum of 4 inches of crushed stone. On July 2, 1993, EPA, after consultation with MassDEP and the Remedial Trust, reached an agreement on procedures for testing surface water and revisions to the CW.

Erosion and sediment control issues prompted further revisions to the RAWP. On March 1, 1994, a major revision to the RAWP was submitted to EPA. EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, approved the revision on July 11, 1994. Subsequent revisions were submitted and the latest version of the RAWP at the preparation of this report is August 21, 1995.

3.4 Health and Safety Plan

A Health and Safety Plan (HASP) prepared by CWM and dated August 1992, for the remediation of the Site was transmitted to EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, on September 2, 1992. The submission was made in fulfillment of the requirements to the Consent Decree Appendix I, Section F. The Remedial Trust was informed at the March 22, 1993 meeting that EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, would not approve the HASP but would provide comments. The HASP was revised on March 16, 1994; December 20, 1994; May 5, 1995; and June 29, 1995 largely to address changes to the Emergency Response Plan. In accordance with the Agencies' policy, the HASP was reviewed but not approved. The latest version of the HASP as of this report is June 29, 1995.

4.0 REMEDIAL DESIGN/ACTIONS

4.1 Soil Remedy

The soil remedy for the Site involved covering on-Site soils containing lead, arsenic, or chromium at or above the action levels established by the Consent Decree with permeable soil cover. An impermeable cover was designed for a four-acre hide pile (East Hide Pile) on Site, which was an active odor source. The MBTA Property (Tax Map 31-2-2), however, does not include the East Hide Pile and therefore required only permeable soil cover.

4.1.1 Soil Remedy - Consent Decree Requirements

The RDAP is included as Appendix I of the Consent Decree. Throughout the RDAP, the remedy for the Site is referred to as the “cap”. However, the 100% Design refers to the Site remedy as the “cover”. The term “cover” has been retained for the text of this report, excluding the RDAP.

Page 1 of the RDAP states the following:

“The remedial action for soils, sediments, and sludges contaminated with Hazardous Substances, other than those emitting odors (the East Hide Pile), shall include site grading, capping with a permeable soil cover, excavation, dredging, and/or consolidation for all areas containing Hazardous Substances at concentrations above established action levels (arsenic = 300 ppm, lead = 600 ppm, chromium = 1,000 ppm)...”

Furthermore the RDAP states, “Settlers shall design and implement remedial action for soils contaminated with Hazardous Substances above the action level for metals that shall consist of site grading and capping together with Institutional Controls. Areas already covered adequately by buildings, roadways, parking lots, or other ground covering features, would not receive cover material, instead allowing the structures themselves to act as the protective cap.

For small areas on-Site, such as the landscaped areas between buildings and parking lots, Settlers may propose location-specific alternatives to capping consisting of excavation of contaminated soil and consolidation on-site with similarly contaminated soils, or placement of a protective layer such as asphalt to cap the contaminated soils.

Settlers shall design and implement the remedial actions for contaminated soils in accordance with the following requirements:

(1) cap design and construction activities shall be in accordance with regulations and/or guidance on cap design for permeable covers as summarized in [RDAP] Attachment A provided that an alternative permeable cap design including a permeable synthetic fabric and a soil layer less than 30 inches in depth, may be used in all areas of the Site where Settlers demonstrate to EPA and the Commonwealth that the alternative cap design will perform as well as or better than the permeable cap design summarized in Attachment A.”

Attachment A to the RDAP states that:

“Permeable covers shall be designed and constructed to include at a minimum the following:

A. A vegetated top layer which shall be:

1. of a minimum thickness of six (6) inches;
2. capable of supporting vegetation that minimizes erosion and minimizes continued maintenance;
3. planted with a persistent species with roots that will not penetrate into the contaminated soils;
4. designed and constructed with a top slope of between 3 percent and 5 percent after settling and subsidence or, if designed and constructed with less than 3 percent, a drainage plan to ensure that the ponding of surface water does not occur or, if designed and constructed with a slope of greater than 5 percent, an expected soil loss of less than 2 tons/acre/year using the USDA universal soil loss equation; and,
5. designed and constructed with a surface drainage system capable of conducting effective run-off across the cap.

B. A base layer that shall be:

1. of a minimum thickness of twenty-four (24) inches of appropriate fill material; and,
2. designed and constructed to prevent clogging.”

Two alternative permeable covers were designed as part of the remedy under the Consent Decree. The first alternative permeable cover design concept utilizing a 16-inch thick borrow cover overlaying a geotextile was developed in the Alternative Cover Design Report (Golder, 1989). This design was subsequently approved by the EPA and MassDEP in a letter dated September 11, 1989. The second alternative permeable cover design was the design to accommodate the RTC Alternative Cover (VHB/Golder, 1996). The EPA, in consultation with the MassDEP, approved the RTC Alternate Cover design in a letter dated October 1, 1996. The RTC Alternative Cover was properly constructed and documented in the RTC Cover Certification Report (Golder, 1998), approved by EPA on April 28, 1998.

4.2 Sediment Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]

4.3 Air Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]

5.0 SITE CONTROLS AND DOCUMENTATION

5.1 Survey Control

The Contractor utilized Meridian and Earth Tech to provide record survey documentation of the extent of cover, configuration of grading and general as-built conditions of the cover and any buried or concealed construction. The results of these record surveys are provided in **Attachment 1** (Sheets A-93 through A-100). The record drawings are based on the survey control provided in the 100% Design Report plans.

5.2 Construction Control

During the RA work, the Contractor was required by the project specifications to provide controls to maintain a safe work environment and protect the public health and safety. Such controls included air monitoring and surface water monitoring (**Appendix D**).

Air Monitoring

The objective of the ambient air monitoring program was to monitor total reduced sulfur (TRS) compounds and total suspended particulate (TSP) and inhalable particulate (PM10) as well as heavy metals (arsenic, lead and chromium) in TSP at fence line locations during remediation efforts.

Specification section 01562 - Dust Control of the 100% Design Report required the contractor to employ construction methods and means that would keep airborne particulates below the following action levels:

- PM10 particulates were to be limited to an annual average of less than 150 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) at Site monitoring points; and
- Respirable dust concentrations were limited to $90 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ at Site monitoring points and $5,000 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in the worker's breathing zone.

Data gathered by dust monitoring devices was used to monitor metals in the particulates to ensure that they were below the following threshold limit values (TLVs) outlined in the American Council of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists:

Arsenic	Chromium	Lead
0.02 µg/m ³ (of air)	1.36 µg/m ³ (of air)	1.36 µg/m ³ (of air)

Appendix B to Volume 6 of the 100% Design Report provides a detailed Odor Control Plan which specifies that TRS compounds in air at the perimeter of the Site may not exceed 47 parts per billion (ppb).

Eastmount Environmental Inc. conducted ambient air quality testing, beginning in September 1992. The particulates and heavy metals were sampled at four perimeter monitoring locations. TRS sampling was conducted at seven perimeter monitoring locations. See **Appendix D.1** for a map indicating sampling points.

TSP and PM10 Sampling

TSP and PM10 samples were collected using Hi-Volume samplers. Each Hi-Volume sampler was programmed to sample at each of the four sample locations from midnight to midnight on six day intervals. In addition to the four sample locations, a duplicate TSP sampler was stationed at Location 4 and a duplicate PM10 sampler was stationed at Location 2. The duplicate TSP sample was also analyzed for metals (arsenic, chromium, and lead).

Eastmount Environmental prepared Hi-Volume Sampling Summary reports. The Summary of Hi-Volume Results tables from those reports issued for periods during performance of work on the RA are included in **Appendix D.1**. Analytical results showed levels of TSP, PM10, and metals below the action levels.

TRS Sampling

The ambient TRS sampling was conducted using a Photovac 10S Plus portable gas chromatograph capable of measuring odorous sulfur compounds in the low part per billion range. Ambient TRS sampling was conducted twice a week from the beginning of the sampling program up until December 1992. After that, the sampling frequency was reduced to once every six days.

Eastmount Environmental prepared Ambient Air Sampling Summary reports. The Summary of Ambient TRS Results tables from those reports issued for periods during performance of work on the RA are included in **Appendix D.1**. The majority of TRS results were non-detects. Hydrogen sulfide was detected on a few occasions; however, there were no exceedances of the 47 ppb action level.

Surface Water Monitoring

CWM was also required to monitor surface water during remedial activities. According to the Site Surface Water Monitoring Plan (RAWP, Section 5.2), the following Ambient Water Quality Control (AWQC) concentrations were used as the response action levels for the Industri-Plex Site:

- AWQC chronic concentration for arsenic = 0.190 milligrams per liter (mg/L)
- AWQC chronic concentration for chromium = 0.210 mg/L
- AWQC acute concentration for lead = 0.082 mg/L

The above-tabulated AWQC limits correspond to a hardness of 100 parts per million (ppm). Water hardness values on-Site indicated moderately hard to very hard conditions (EPA, 1986). Historical background surface water data collected from surface water drainways periodically contained lead concentrations of 0.025 mg/L. Since these background levels routinely exceeded the threshold value of the AWQC chronic concentration for lead, the AWQC acute concentration was approved on June 8, 1994 as the response action level by MassDEP and EPA.

Surface water sampling was conducted to meet the project specifications and the RAWP requirements. The surface water controls established by EPA and included in the Contractor's RAWP required the following procedures:

- Each work day, field measurements were conducted at various stations (whenever there was flow) for turbidity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, specific conductivity, and pH. The sample from each station with the highest turbidity during the week was submitted for laboratory analyses of total and dissolved arsenic, lead, and chromium, total suspended solids (TSS), and hardness. Any sample with a turbidity greater than or equal to 85 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) was also submitted for the same laboratory analyses.

- Additional sampling was conducted if a storm and/or a construction event caused the turbidity to rise above 85 NTU at the monitoring stations. The samples were analyzed for total and dissolved metals (arsenic, chromium, and lead), TSS, and hardness. Field measurements for turbidity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, specific conductivity, and pH were conducted at the time of sampling.

HMM conducted surface water quality sampling as a subcontractor to CWM. Test results indicate that the surface water quality remained below the response action thresholds with the exception of exceedances as listed in **Appendix D.2**. Specific reasons and mitigating actions for each exceedance are described in the Quarterly Reports of 1993-1995. Generally, the Agencies were notified and the mitigating actions were performed to the satisfaction of the Agencies.

5.3 Decontamination

CWM was required to decontaminate all equipment that came in contact with contaminated soils, sediments, and sludges during the work. Water used during the pressure washing was collected and treated at the on-Site storage areas. The decontamination was performed in accordance with the specifications and the project work plans. Water generated from decontamination activities was stored in a Modu-tank on the east side (across the MBTA rail lines) of the Site. The water was treated and properly disposed of on-Site as approved by the Agencies.

Personnel entering work areas (exclusion zones) during the RA wore protective equipment as specified by CWM's Health and Safety Plan (HASP). The HASP also specified personal decontamination procedures. All personnel leaving work areas were required to properly clean or dispose of all protective equipment, small tools and instruments.

5.4 Facility Documentation for Off-Site Disposal

Prior to disposing of any materials off-Site during the RA, EPA was to determine if the proposed facilities were of "acceptable status" and could receive materials from the Site. Only non-hazardous vegetation (cleared/cut above ground surface) was disposed off-Site during the RA. During the work, as previously discussed, wastewater from decontamination activities was stored on the east side of the Site and treated prior to disposal.

All grubbed vegetation (containing soil), and contaminated soil, sediments, and sludges excavated from the Site were consolidated in other areas of the Site in accordance with the RDAP. All contaminated materials excavated from the Site were placed on the hide piles that were covered as part of the approved RA. However, prior to placement on the hide piles, saturated sediments and sludges were dried over large areas east of the MBTA rail lines on the Site within the remedial cover area.

6.0 SOURCE AND CONFORMANCE TESTING

Testing performed for the Remedial Trust, such as testing of soil and soil products and geosynthetics, is described in Sections 6.1 and 6.2, respectively. The testing methods according to the specifications are summarized in **Table 2** [*i.e.*, Golder's Quality Assurance Procedure Plan (QAPP) Table 1-1]. Abbreviations used in the supporting documentation found in the appendices are summarized in **Table 3**.

6.1 Soil and Soil Products

6.1.1 Compacted Fill

The majority of compacted fill materials were derived from on-Site grubbing and dredging operations. Compacted fills were used as stabilizing fill to flatten hide pile slopes and re-grade low relief areas to promote drainage. A portion of rock and concrete demolition debris generated by crushing and screening operations was also used to a limited degree as compacted fill material. The remaining compacted fill was imported from off-Site borrow areas. Most of the off-Site fill was composed of silty sand from a quarry in Hubbardston, Massachusetts and glacial till from a borrow pit on Deer Island, Boston Harbor, Massachusetts. Compacted fill tests included grain size distribution and primarily Standard Proctor tests with some Modified Proctor tests as needed.

6.1.2 Cover Soil

All cover soil used on-Site was from off-Site sources. Cover soil placed on slopes flatter than 8 horizontal to 1 vertical (8H:1V) was typically a granular silt from a glacial till deposit on Deer Island. Cover soil placed on slopes steeper than 8H:1V and some slopes flatter than 8H:1V was a silty sand from a quarry in Hubbardston. Cover soil tests included grain size distribution, Standard and Modified proctor densities, interface friction, and Atterburg Limits. Results of the testing are provided in **Appendix F**. Analytical testing was performed on Deer Island cover soil materials to verify the levels of potential contaminants. All soil materials tested and placed on-Site met the clean soil thresholds set up by EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, or were otherwise approved by a variance in accordance with EPA in consultation with MassDEP criteria. EPA in consultation with MassDEP clean soil threshold criteria for cover soil used at the Site are summarized in **Table 1**. Analytical test results are provided in **Appendix F.1**.

6.1.3 Topsoil [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.1.4 Subangular Stone

There were several varieties of subangular stone required by the 100% Design Report. Each of the subangular stone materials was a product of off-Site crusher/screener operations from PJ Keating Company of Lunenburg, Massachusetts or Bardon Trimount Inc. of Burlington, Massachusetts. The products required for the Remedial Action included American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) No. 8, the stone used in the gas collection layer material; AASHTO No. 57, a variety of stone used for bedding and armoring purposes; and both AASHTO 2 and 67, stone materials used in sediment filter construction. Testing of these stone materials consisted of the following: grain size, permeability, and carbonate content. Testing was performed on a per source basis unless the Remedial Trust requested additional testing. Test results are provided in **Appendix F.2.2**.

6.1.5 Stone Riprap [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.1.6 Subbase [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.2 Geosynthetics

6.2.1 Geotextile

6.2.1.1 Materials

Geotextile materials were supplied by the following three manufacturers: Nicolon/Mirafi, Polyfelt Americas Inc., and Synthetic Industries. Nicolon/Mirafi provided 6-ounce (oz), 10-oz, and 16-oz geotextile, Polyfelt Americas Inc. provided 6-oz and 16-oz geotextile and Synthetic Industries provided 16-oz geotextile. All fabrics are permeable, non-woven, needle-punched monofilament and allow percolation. The geotextile was used in the cover to primarily separate the contaminated soil from the clean cover soil (Golder, 1989). The geotextile also precludes upward migration of contaminated material by frost heave effects; provides a drainage capillary break layer at the base of the cover on slopes to prevent sloughing during thaws; and provides further means of reducing the chance of incidental contact through land use.

6.2.1.2 Quality Control Testing

The manufacturers of the geotextile material provided Quality Control certificates for the installed 6-, 10-, and 16-oz materials. Copies of the Quality Control Certificates are presented in **Appendix H.1.2**. As material was delivered to the Site, Golder reviewed the Quality Control Certificates for conformance with the 100% Design through the submittal process.

6.2.1.3 Quality Assurance Testing

Rolls of 6-, 10-, and 16-oz geotextile were tested for conformance to the 100% Design Report specifications. Conformance testing was performed by Golder Construction Service's Geosynthetic Laboratory (Golder Construction's Geosynthetic Laboratory) located in Atlanta, Georgia. Test results are provided in **Appendix H.1.3**. Before individual rolls of geotextile were deployed on-Site, Golder reviewed the test results for conformance with the project specifications.

6.2.2 Geomembrane [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.2.3 Geocomposite [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.2.4 Geogrid [Not Applicable To This Property]

6.2.5 Interface Friction

A key design concern for the cover is its internal stability on slopes. The 100% Design Report required testing of the interface friction between the cover soil and the geotextile. Representative tests of cover soil with geotextile or geocomposite materials were required to verify the design friction angle of 26 degrees. The Contractor presented a testing program and provided initial source test results of the interface friction. Through submittals, Golder reviewed the source test results and determined that, based on the Contractor's certification of source representative testing, the cover soil with geotextile or geocomposite met the 100% Design Report specification requirements. Additional testing was performed by Golder on representative samples of cover soil and geotextile or geocomposite materials for interface

friction from the East-Central Hide Pile. Conformance testing of interface friction was performed on a 12-inch by 12-inch direct shear apparatus in the Golder testing laboratory in Calgary, Canada. All conformance test results showed the cover soil with geotextile or geocomposite met the 100% Design Report specifications. Test results are provided in **Appendix H.6.**

6.3 Asphalt Cover Materials [Not Applicable To This Property]

7.0 REMEDY CONSTRUCTION

7.1 Construction Sequence

7.1.1 Decommissioning

7.1.1.1 Decommissioning Wells [Not Applicable To This Property]

7.1.1.2 Decommissioning Utilities and Structures

The 100% Design Report identified features that required decommissioning or abandonment prior to construction of the cover for the Remedial Action. Other abandoned below grade features that were discovered during construction of the cover were either removed to a depth 2 feet below the placement of the permeable cover or cleaned and backfilled with clean concrete. These features were left in place without any demolition or decommissioning if they did not otherwise impair the long-term effectiveness of the remedy. The general majority of the structure decommissioning occurred during construction of the RTC. A more detailed illustration of this decommissioning can be found in the “Final Report on RTC Cover Certification” dated April 1998 and prepared by Golder.

7.1.2 Soil Remedy

7.1.2.1 Subgrade and Drainage

Existing vegetation was cleared and root matter grubbed to a minimum depth of one foot prior to placement of the permeable cover. No herbicides were employed to control re-establishment of vegetative growth. Tree roots were grubbed to a depth of 2 feet. Woody material from above ground, roots and other vegetation were chipped and stockpiled for later placement as fill under the permeable cover. Rocks and concrete debris grubbed from the surface were crushed on-Site in order to comply with the fill material specifications. Reinforcing steel was removed from the concrete during the crushing operations and stockpiled for off-Site disposal.

The cover area in the vicinity of bedrock outcrops or exposed concrete structures was grubbed of vegetation and cleaned in accordance with recommendations of the Site Health and Safety Officer and documented by the Contractor. The surrounding soil cover was extended up to the outcrop or structure.

Existing subgrade soils were proof rolled prior to placing the cover and fill materials were compacted and tested. The final prepared grade was rolled with a 10-ton smooth wheel compactor or in small areas compacted with a hand operated plate vibratory compactor. Where positive drainage was called for in the 100% Design Report plans, such drainage was achieved in the finish grade of the cover. Throughout construction, erosion and sedimentation measures were generally utilized and maintained in accordance with the 100% Design Report specifications to control soil loss. Any deficiencies in the erosion and sedimentation measures were corrected in accordance with EPA in consultation with MassDEP guidelines.

7.1.2.2 Geosynthetics

After proof rolling, the prepared subgrade was inspected and any protruding debris or roots greater than ½-inch in diameter were manually removed prior to placing geosynthetics. After geosynthetics were placed, filling was performed to reach final elevations.

A 6oz per square yard non-woven geotextile was used in the permeable cover on the subject property. The geotextile materials were sewn together using white nylon thread for dark fabric and black thread for white fabric.

The geotextile seam was initially placed with a minimum slack along the seam to protect it and allow for movement in the geotextile during placement of cover soil. This procedure was primarily practiced in the developed areas of the Site with little topographic relief. Subsequent reviews of the procedure and the 100% Design Report concluded the extra slack was unnecessary and the procedure was discontinued for the remainder of the Remedial Action (**Appendix C, DSCR-030-R2**).

7.1.2.3 Cover Soil

Cover soils placed over the geotextile on slopes greater than 8H:1V were granular materials from off-Site sources that had an inherently low potential to clog the geotextile. For slopes flatter than 8H: 1V, the cover soil from off-Site sources could contain more than 12 percent by weight passing the #200 sieve. The cover soil was placed in a manner that minimized imposed stresses

on the underlying geosynthetics by using low ground pressure earth moving equipment and maintaining a minimum thickness of 12 inches of soil between the rubber tire equipment and the geosynthetic. Cover soil placed in unpaved areas with permeable cover was nominally compacted by the action of the placing equipment only.

Other cover sections used in limited areas or for access roads were comprised of various combinations of cover soil and dense graded aggregate subbase or riprap. Each modified section of cover is designed to be a minimum of 16 inches in accordance with the specifications of the 100% Design Report. The types and locations of these modified sections are included in the record drawing documentation, **Attachment 1**.

Minimum thicknesses of cover soil are detailed in Section 02242 of the 100% Design Report. Generally, the permeable cover consists of 12 inches of select soil fill and 4 inches of topsoil. The tolerance, in thickness is -0.0 feet and +0.3 feet. Based upon survey data collected both at the time of construction, as well as post construction data collected, the vast majority of the Site met the design thickness within the tolerances.

Any isolated areas identified by multiple post construction survey data points to be below the acceptable tolerances, were corrected by the placement of additional cover fill to meet the required thickness. This repair of cover fill was performed during the summer of 1999 by Maverick.

7.1.2.4 Topsoil and Vegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]

7.1.2.5 Revegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]

7.1.3 Sediment Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]

7.1.4 Air Remedy [Not Applicable To This Property]

8.0 DESIGN CHANGES

Section 8.0 describes design changes associated with the Alternative Cover Design Report (Golder, 1989), approved by EPA on September 11, 1989, and the RTC Alternative Cover Certification Report (VHB/Golder, 1996), approved by EPA on October 1, 1996.

8.1 Change Management

During the Remedial Action from 1992 to 1994 for the Site, changes were managed through the Remedial Trust. At the start of 1995, the Remedial Trust and Contractor agreed to a new scope and cost contract for the remaining remedial work. The Construction Management contractor, Golder Construction, performed change management during 1995 as an agent for the Remedial Trust.

Managing changes for the Remedial Action primarily included changing the agreed upon scope of work or technical details of the 100% Design Report. Requirements identified in the Consent Decree were not changed unless approved by EPA, after consultation with MassDEP. Changes could be initiated from any of the following: EPA or MassDEP, the Contractor, the Remedial Trust or Golder as the designer, and later, Golder Construction in the role of Construction Managers.

Changes were divided into two categories, design specification changes and administrative, cost and schedule changes. Design specification changes were usually technical in nature and involved specific changes to the details of the specifications and plans presented in the 100% Design Report. Generally these changes were minor and EPA, after consultation with MassDEP, initially wanted only to review significant changes. Design changes were originally documented as design/specification change requests (DSCR). Impacts to cost and schedule were handled by another system administered by the Remedial Trust.

Early in 1994, the Contractor made several management revisions including a new method for managing changes. The Contractor introduced a change management system that included Variance Requests (VRs), Change Request Authorizations (CRAs), Corrective Action Requests (CARs), and Requests for Information (RFIs), procedures that subsequently were accepted by the Remedial Trust. The DSCR system was phased out by mid 1994 with the introduction of this

change management system. Copies of all the associated forms pertaining to this Cover Certification Report are included in **Appendix C**.

8.2 Site Wide Design Changes

A series of DSCRs, CARs, and VRs were adopted for Site wide application.

The Site wide design changes listed below were approved by the resident design engineer, project manager, EPA and/or MassDEP. The design changes generally related to grubbing, geotextile selection, geotextile installation, fill materials selection, and fill materials sampling. Several design changes applied to design details that required revision to match the 100% Design Report. The approved design changes included:

- DSCR-001
- DSCR-002
- DSCR-003
- DSCR-023
- DSCR-027
- DSCR-030
- DSCR-056
- DSCR-069
- VR-064
- VR-090

Additional Site wide design changes were identified as requiring further review in order to verify compliance with the 100% Design Specifications. These design changes include:

- CAR-053 involved a request for resampling of Deer Island Stockpile materials due to incorrect initial sampling procedures. The stockpile was resampled on March 30, 1994 and approved by the Agencies on April 28, 1994. The CAR was not signed completely by the design engineer, which appears to be an administrative discrepancy that does not affect the integrity of the cover.
- CAR-071 involved a request for resampling of soil Stockpiles 5 and 6. Hold times for volatiles in the soils were exceeded. The Remedial Trust decided to accept data for Stockpile 5, but requested Stockpile 6 be resampled. Stockpile 6 was resampled on March 30, 1994, and test results were approved by the Agencies on April 28, 1994. The CAR was not signed completely by the design engineer, which appears to be an administrative discrepancy that does not affect the integrity of the cover.

Additional details and documentation of Site wide design changes are located in **Appendix C**.

8.3 Property-Specific Design Changes

A series of DSCRs, CARs, VRs, and CRAs were adopted for application on the subject property.

The property-specific design changes listed below were approved by the resident design engineer, project manager, EPA and/or MassDEP. The design changes generally related to geosynthetics materials, materials placement, grading, and wetland specifications. The approved design changes included:

- VR-084
- CRA-007

In addition, MBTA approved ballast stone was substituted for AASHTO No. 57 stone on Engineered Gravel Surface Cover on this property. No design change documentation was prepared for this change, however Roux Associates has determined that this change does not affect the integrity of the cover.

Additional details and documentation of property-specific design changes are located in **Appendix C**.

9.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBSERVATION AND TESTING

Construction documentation includes daily field reports and weekly reports to the Remedial Trust. Inspection field diaries were also prepared, and photographs were taken on a regular basis throughout construction. The Golder reports and diaries are not included in this document, but are available for review at Golder's Manchester, New Hampshire office.

9.1 Decommissioning

Decommissioning of underground concrete tanks, steel tanks, abandoned pipelines, vaults or pits, concrete slabs, above ground steel tanks, gas pumps, above ground structures, and the features listed on the decommissioning plan, sheet 11-5 of the 100% Design Report were intermittently observed by Golder as a representative for the Remedial Trust. These features were decommissioned as part of the RTC cover installation and are addressed in the "Final Report on RTC Cover Certification" dated April 1998 by Golder.

9.2 Compacted Fill

Field moisture-density tests were generally performed at least once per 5,000 square feet per lift using a Troxler Model 3440 Nuclear Density gauge. Golder periodically monitored the soil testing operations performed by PSI. Failing tests were retested. During 1993 to 1994 the Contractor performed soil moisture density tests as quality control testing. The QC testing was performed by Express Geotesting, Concord, Massachusetts. A summary of field moisture density tests is located in **Appendix F.3**.

9.3 Subgrade Preparation

Subgrade preparation was inspected by Golder or PSI and the Contractor prior to geotextile deployment. A subgrade inspection form was prepared by Golder, PSI, or the Contractor for areas in which deployment would take place. Subgrade inspection forms are provided in **Appendix I.1**.

9.4 Permeable Cover

Geotextile was deployed over the prepared subgrade and seamed. The seams were inspected by Golder or PSI and the Contractor to verify the connection. A geotextile seam inspection form was prepared by Golder, PSI, or the Contractor. Geotextile seam inspection forms are provided in **Appendix I.2**.

Cover soil was placed as permeable cover over the geotextile in accordance with the 100% Design Report, and was nominally compacted by the placing equipment. No inspection or testing was required according to the 100% Design Report. Surveyors verified the cover thickness prior to placing topsoil or gravel. Topsoil, soil amendments, and seeds were then added, and the seed germinated with rainfall or water applied from water trucks. The quality of vegetative cover was evaluated. Erosion control matting was utilized in areas where seed did not germinate well.

9.5 Impermeable Liner Installation [Not Applicable To This Property]

9.6 Geocomposite Drainage [Not Applicable To This Property]

9.7 Geogrid Reinforcing [Not Applicable To This Property]

9.8 Manholes and Culverts

Pre-cast reinforced concrete culverts, outlet control structures, drain inlets and trench drains were installed as part of the Remedial Action to redirect surface and stream flows. Golder intermittently observed construction of these concrete features. Alignment and elevation of culverts were verified by survey. Golder inspections of pre-cast concrete structures consisted of:

- Observing the material dimensions and condition;
- Confirming the joint connections; and
- Confirming joint or void mortaring.

Part of the Remedial Design required cleaning and removing sediments that collected in existing culverts. Culverts to be cleaned were located in the Atlantic Avenue drainway.

9.9 Seeding and Wetland Vegetation [Not Applicable To This Property]

10.0 RECORD DRAWINGS

Based on the Survey Control (Section 5.1) established for the Industri-Plex Site, Record Drawings of the as-built conditions were established for the soil, sediment, and air remedies constructed at the Site, and certified by a Massachusetts Land Surveyor (Meridian Land Services, Inc.). The Record Drawings for this property at the Site are included in **Attachment 1**.

The Record Drawings include an elaborate survey network and extensive details on the horizontal and vertical locations of the various protective covers installed for the soil, sediment, and air remedies. These details may aid in the future monitoring and management of the remedy and Institutional Controls/Grant of Environmental Restrictions for the Site. The Record Drawings also illustrate the Institutional Controls/Grant of Environmental Restrictions boundaries denoted as Class A, B, C and D Lands.

Where located in Class C lands, existing concrete structures such as concrete pads, stairways, ramps, and loading docks remained in-place as an equivalent cover. These structures are similar to cover types 4, paved equivalent cover, and 5, building equivalent cover. However, because they were not specifically identified in the 100% Design Report, they have not been identified as a specific equivalent cover type herein.

The Record Drawings have plan views and points charts. The plan view shows grid points and intermediate point locations. The points chart shows elevation data collected at each point shown on the plan view. The plan views include contour lines for subgrade and finish grade. A summary of the separate sections of the Record Drawings is as follows:

- Sheet A-93: Specific Property Location;
- Sheet A-94: Boundary Lines, Land Classifications, Easements and As-Built Drainage;
- Sheet A-95: Boundary Lines, Land Classifications, Easements and As-Built Drainage;
- Sheet A-96: Record Points, Topography & Limits of Engineer Cover;
- Sheet A-97: Record Points, Topography & Limits of Engineer Cover;
- Sheet A-98: Cover Types and Transitions; and
- Sheet A-99: Cover Types and Transitions; and
- Sheet A-100: Details and Transitions

11.0 CERTIFICATION

On behalf of the Remedial Trust, Roux Associates certifies that the remedial action carried out on the MBTA Property (Tax Map 31-2-2) was completed in compliance with the approved remedial design and work plans, approved design variances, and the Consent Decree. Any exceptions to this design are noted within this Cover Certification Report. Changes to the cover made following construction completion on June 28, 1996 are not addressed in this report. Approved changes to the cover made since that date are documented in the Administrative Record. The Professional Engineer's certification (below) comprises a declaration of his professional judgment. It does not constitute a warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, nor does it release any other party of their responsibility to abide by contract documents or applicable codes, standards, regulations, and ordinances. The Professional Engineer's certification is based upon a review of the remedial action documentation. Roux Associates' certification relies upon the accuracy of the as-built survey and record drawings prepared by Meridian and upon the representations made and information provided by the Remedial Trust and its representatives, contractors and consultants involved with the remedial action effort. These contractors and consultants include CWM, Golder, PSI, and Maverick.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROUX ASSOCIATES, INC.



Glen Gordon, P.E.
Certifying Engineer for Roux Associates, Inc.
MA License No. 41819



Lawrence McTiernan
Project Principal



Table 1
ISRT Clean Soil Thresholds
in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

Adapted from Table 02223-1

The following table is presented as the clean soil guideline for the Industri-Plex (I-Plex) Site. Metals which are naturally rock-forming compounds may vary from the guideline values on a case by case basis.

Tests	Proposed Threshold Levels for Clean Soil Used at I-Plex		
<i>Volatile Organic (TCL)</i>	Non-detectable (3)	EPA Method	8240
<i>Acid/Base Neutrals (TCL)</i>	Non-detectable (3)	EPA Method	3550/8270/8270
<i>Pesticides/PCBs (TCL)</i>	Non-detectable	EPA Method	3550/8080
<i>Metals - Target Analyte List (TAL) (4)</i>			
Aluminum	< 100,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Antimony	< 10	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Arsenic	< 25	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/7060
Barium	< 500	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Beryllium	< 1	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Cadmium	< 10	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Calcium	< 50,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Chromium	< 23	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Cobalt	< 20	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Copper	< 50	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Iron	< 70,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/7420
Lead	< 87	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Magnesium	< 10,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Manganese	< 1,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Mercury	< 1	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/7470
Nickel	< 100	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Potassium	< 10,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Selenium	< 20	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/7740
Silver	< 20	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Sodium	< 4,000	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Thallium	< 5	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/7840
Vanadium	< 150	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Zinc	< 200	mg/kg	EPA Method 3050/6010
Cyanide	< 10	mg/kg	EPA Method 9010
TPH (Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon)	< 200	mg/kg	EPA Method 418.1

Notes:

- 1) At any time the Trust may revise this list to include testing for additional constituents which may pose a health threat.
- 2) TCL = Target Compound List
- 3) Excludes common laboratory contaminants given in the EPA Region 1 Contract Laboratory Program Data Validation Functional Guidelines.
- 4) TAL Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) and Atomic Absorption (AA) Methods, Test 6010, except run the following constituents by the following methods: (As) 7060, (Pb) 7420, (Se) 7740, (Th) 7840, (Hg) 7470. The 7000's are "furnace and cold vapor AA" methods.

Table 2
Testing Methods for Soil and Geosynthetics
 adapted from Golder's QAPP Table 1-1

7.2 TESTING METHODS	STANDARD	PRECONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY	CONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY
BACKFILL & FILL (Specification Section 02223)			
Backfill and fill tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
Compacted Fill			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Plasticity Index	ASTM D4318	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Standard Compaction	ASTM D698	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Modified Compaction	ASTM D1557	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Field Moisture/Density	ASTM D2922	Not Required	9/Lift or 1/100 LF
In-Place Methods	ASTM D1556 or D2167	Not Required	1/Day
Sand Bedding			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Carbonate Content	ASTM D3042	1/Source	Not Required
SUBANGULAR STONE (Specification Section 02233)			
Subangular stone tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
AASHTO No. 2, 57, 67			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/1,000 CY
Carbonate Content	ASTM D3042	1/Source	Not Required
AASHTO No. 8			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/1,000 CY
Carbonate Content	ASTM D3042	1/Source	Not Required
Permeability Test	USCO EM1110-2-1905	1/Source	Not Required
IMPERMEABLE & PERMEABLE COVER FILL (Specification Section 02242)			
Impermeable and permeable cover fill test will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc. unless designated with **			
Cover Soil (Select Cover Fill)			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/2,000 CY
Plasticity Index	ASTM D4318	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Direct Shear Test**	Section 02242	1/Source	1/2,000 CY
** Test to be performed by Golder Associates Ltd.			
Top Soil			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/2,000 CY
pH Test	ASTM D4972	1/Source	Not Required
Baker Soil Fertility Test**	Section 02242	1/Source	1/2,000 CY
** Test to be performed by Land Management Decisions, Inc.			
WETLANDS SEDIMENT REMEDIATION COVER SOILS (Specification Section 02243)			
Wetland sediment cover soil tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc. unless designated with **			
Wetland Gravel (Road Structural Fill: Section 02223)			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Wetland Topsoil (Topsoil: Section 02937)			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
pH Test	ASTM D4972	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Organic Matter Content	Section 02937, Tbl 2	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
Soil Fertility Test**	Section 02937, Tbl 2	1/Source	1/5,000 CY
** Test to be performed by Land Management Decisions, Inc.			
STREAM SEDIMENT REMEDIATION COVER (Specification Section 02244)			
Stream sediment cover tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
Gravel/Cobbles (Section 02271)			
Abrasion Test	ASTM C535	Not Required	Not Required
Freeze Thaw Test	AASHTO T103	Not Required	Not Required
Specific Gravity	ASTM C127	Not Required	Not Required
Gradation Test-Aggregate	ASTM C136	1/Source	Not Required

Table 2
Testing Methods for Soil and Geosynthetics
 adapted from Golder's QAPP Table 1-1

7.2 TESTING METHODS	STANDARD	PRECONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY	CONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY
STONE RIPRAP (Specification Section 02271) Stone riprap tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
Gravel/Cobble (d_{50}=3 inches) (Section 02271)			
Abrasion Test	ASTM C535	Not Required	Not Required
Freeze Thaw Test	AASHTO T103	Not Required	Not Required
Specific Gravity	ASTM C127	Not Required	Not Required
Gradation Test-Aggregate	ASTM C136	1/Source	Not Required
Streambed Sediment Filter and Gabion Rock (d_{50}=6 inches)			
Abrasion Test	ASTM C535	Not Required	Not Required
Freeze Thaw Test	AASHTO T103	Not Required	Not Required
Specific Gravity	ASTM C127	Not Required	Not Required
Gradation Test-Aggregate	ASTM C136	1/Source	Not Required
SUBBASE AND PAVEMENT (Specification Section 02575) Subbase and Pavement tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
Graded Aggregate Base Course			
Gradation Test	AASHTO T11 & T27	1/Source	1/5,000 SY or 1 Day
Compacted Density	AASHTO T180 Method D	1/Source	1/5,000 SY or 1 Day
Abrasion Test*	AASHTO T96	1/Source	1/5,000 SY or 1 Day
Freeze Thaw Test*	AASHTO T103	1/Source	1/5,000 SY or 1 Day
(* as required by MDPW specifications)			
Binding and Wearing Asphalt Courses			
Extraction Test (Plant)	AASHTO T168	Not Required	1/500 Tons
Gradation Test (Plant)	AASHTO T11 or T27	Not Required	1/500 Tons
Density/Stability (Plant)	AASHTO T209, T245, T246, T247	Not Required	1/500 Tons
Max. Theoretical Density	ASTM D2041	Not Required	1/500 Tons
Max. Density - Marshall	AASHTO T209 or T245	Not Required	2/500 Tons
In place Density	ASTM D2950	Not Required	1/100 LF
In place Density (Core)	AASHTO T166	Not Required	1 Core/500 SY
In place Thickness (Core)	AASHTO T166	Not Required	1 Core/500 SY
In place Smoothness Test	Section 02575	Not Required	1/100 LF
GEOTEXTILE (Specification Section 02595) Geotextile tests will be performed by Golder Construction Services, Inc.			
Non-woven, 6, 10, and 16 ounces/square yard			
Mass Per Unit Area	ASTM D5261	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Grab Strength	ASTM D4632	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Trapezoidal Tear Strength	ASTM D4533	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Burst Strength	ASTM D3786	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Puncture Strength	ASTM D4833	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Thickness	ASTM D5199	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Apparent Opening Size	ASTM D4751	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
GEOMEMBRANE (Specification Section 02597) Geomembrane tests will be performed by Golder Construction Services, Inc.			
Textured HDPE			
Thickness	ASTM D5199	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Density	ASTM D1505	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Minimum Tensile Properties:	ASTM D638	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Tensile Strength, Yield			
Tensile Strength, Break			
Elongation at Yield			
Elongation at Break			
Tear Resistance	ASTM D1004 Die C	Not Required	Not Required
Low Temperature Brittleness	ASTM D746 Proc. B	Not Required	Not Required
Dimensional Stability	ASTM D1204	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Environmental Stress Crack	ASTM D1693	Not Required	Not Required
Puncture Resistance	FTMS 101C Method 206C	Not Required	Not Required
Carbon Black Content	ASTM D1603	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Carbon Black Dispersion	ASTM D3015	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Shear Test	ASTM D4437 NSF Mod.	Not Required	1/500 LF
Peel Adhesion (Hot Wedge Fusion Weld)	ASTM D4437 NSF Mod.	Not Required	1/500 LF
Peel Adhesion (Fillet Extrusion Weld)	ASTM D4437 NSF Mod.	Not Required	1/500 LF

Table 2
Testing Methods for Soil and Geosynthetics
 adapted from Golder's QAPP Table 1-1

7.2 TESTING METHODS	STANDARD	PRECONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY	CONSTRUCTION FREQUENCY
GEOCOMPOSITE (Specification Section 02598)			
Geocomposite tests will be performed by Golder Construction Services, Inc.			
Geocomposite (TEX-NET TN3002CN)			
Geocomposite Transmissivity @ 500 psf; Gradient = 1	ASTM D4716	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Geocomposite Transmissivity @ 20,000 psf; Gradient = 1	ASTM D4716	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Tensile Strength - Net only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D5035	Not Required	Not Required
Tensile Strength - Geotextile only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D4632	Not Required	Not Required
Geocomposite Peel Strength	ASTM D413	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Density - Net only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D1505	Not Required	Not Required
Carbon Black Content - Net only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D1603	Not Required	Not Required
Thickness - Net only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D5199	Not Required	Not Required
Thickness - Geotextile only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D5199	Not Required	Not Required
Geotextile Mass/Unit Area	ASTM D5261	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Apparent Opening Size - Geotextile only (prior to lamination)	ASTM D4751	Not Required	Not Required
GEOGRID (Specification Section 02599)			
Geocomposite tests will be performed by Golder Construction Services, Inc.			
Geocomposite (TEX-NET TN3002CN)			
Open Area	COE CW 02215-89	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Thickness:	ASTM D5199	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Ribs			
Junctions			
Long Term Design Load (MD)	ASTM D5262	Not Required	Not Required
Flexural Rigidity	ASTM D1388	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Geogrid Rib Tensile Strength	GRI GG1	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Junction Node Strength	GRI GG2	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Strength			
Efficiency			
Density	ASTM D1248	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
Carbon Black Content	ASTM D1603	1/100,000 SF	Not Required
WETLAND MITIGATION (Specification Section 02937)			
Wetland sediment cover soil tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc. unless designated with **			
Wetland Cover Soil			
Gradation Test	ASTM D422	1/Source	1/Acre/Lift
Plasticity Index	ASTM D4318	1/Source	1/Acre/Lift
Standard Compaction	ASTM D698	1/Source	1/Source
Flexible Wall Perm Test **	ASTM D5084	1/Source	1/Acre/Lift
Field Moisture/Density	ASTM D2922	Not Required	1/10,000 SF
** Test will be performed by Golder Associates, Inc.			
CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE (Specification Section 03300)			
Cast in place concrete tests will be performed by Professional Service Industries, Inc.			
Compression Test Cylinders	ASTM C39	Not Required	4/Class/100 CY to
Making of Test Cylinders	ASTM C31	Not Required	4/Class/5,000 SF of
Testing of Aggregate	ASTM C33	Not Required	Concrete Place As

Notes:
 QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan
 ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials
 CY = cubic yard
 LF = linear feet
 AASHTO = American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
 Tbl = Table
 MDPW = Massachusetts Department of Public Works
 SF = square foot
 PSF = pounds per square foot

Table 3
Summary of Abbreviations
Property-Specific Cover Certification Reports
Industri-Plex Site

Mapping Location:

@	=	at
AAD	=	Atlantic Avenue Drainway
AL	=	Above Geotextile
AP	=	Above Pipe
BECO	=	Boston Edison Company right of way
BLDG	=	Building
BRD	=	Bradford
BSG	=	Below Subgrade
BTOB	=	Below Top of berm
CO	=	Company
COMM	=	Commerce (Way Extension)
DET	=	Detention Basin
E	=	East
EEOS	=	East End of Seam
ECHP	=	East Central Hide Pile
EXT	=	Extension
HUB	=	Hubbardston
MID	=	Middle
N	=	North
PLYM	=	Plymouth
PRES	=	Presidential (Way Extension)
REV	=	Revere
S	=	South
SEOS	=	South End of Seam
SG	=	Subgrade
STK	=	Stock (yard)
UGT	=	Under Ground Tank
UTIL	=	Utility
W	=	West
w/	=	with
WEOS	=	West End of Seam
WIL	=	Wilmington
WOB	=	Woburn

Cover Materials:

GB	=	Gravel Borrow (Subbase)
LL	=	Liquid Limit
MOIST	=	Optimum Moisture Content
NP	=	Non-Plastic
PCF	=	Pounds per Cubic Foot
PL	=	Plastic Limit
PSI	=	Pounds per Square Inch
PROC	=	Processed
SCRND	=	Screened
SD	=	Sand
SS	=	Site Soil
TRI	=	(Bardon) Trimount

**INDUSTRI-PLEX SITE
OU-1 COVER CERTIFICATION REPORT
LOCUS OF TAX MAP LOT 31-2-2 (AKA LOT IC-15)
N/F MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
(WEST SIDE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY)**

RECORD DRAWINGS

**WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS
AUGUST 8, 2000**

REFERENCE PLANS:

1. "REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER - WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS" ALTERNATE COVER DESIGN - ISSUED FOR EIR/DEIR APPROVAL, DATE ISSUED: MAY 16, 1996. LATEST REVISION: JULY 26, 1996, BY VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC., WATERBURY, MA
2. "INDUSTRI-PLEX SITE - WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS, 100% DESIGN REPORT, PART 1 - REMEDIAL WORK FOR SOIL, SEDIMENT AND AIR - VOLUME 7 OF 8" PREPARED BY GOLDER ASSOCIATES, LTD., LONDON, ENGLAND, APRIL 23, 1998
3. "PLAN OF LAND IN WOBURN - PERFORMED BY G.T. HATHORNE & W.M. CANNING - MAY 1913", SCALE 1"=200'; CITY OF WOBURN, 100 WEST BOSTON STREET, WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS, RECORDED AS PLAN NO. 7923A ON APRIL 27, 1934 AT SOUTH REGISTRY DISTRICT OF MIDDLESEX COUNTY.
4. "TRUCK PLAN OF A LAND COURT SUBDIVISION - IN - WOBURN, MA" PREPARED FOR THE NORTHEAST REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER BY MASSACHUSETTS PORT AUTHORITY, DATED OCTOBER 21, 1996, SHEETS 01 - 07A, PLAN NO. 17688B.
5. "PLAN OF RELOCATION OF A PORTION OF NEW BOSTON STREET, WOBURN, AS ORDERED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, 1976, SCALE 1"=400", SHEETS 4 & 5 OF 5, DOCUMENT NO. 57926G.
6. "MASSACHUSETTS PORT AUTHORITY - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS - REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER - WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS - PROJECT NO. WPA 1727", SCALE 1"=400', DATED 1980 BY STONE & WEBSTER CIVIL AND TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC.

SUPPORT CONTROL NETWORK POINTS

POINT #	NORTHING (FEET)	EASTING (FEET)	ELEVATION (FEET)	DESC.
1	866283.24	895712.19	162.9	PRISM
2	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
3	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
4	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
5	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
6	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
7	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
8	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
9	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
10	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
11	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
12	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
13	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
14	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
15	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
16	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
17	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
18	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
19	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
20	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
21	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
22	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
23	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
24	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
25	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
26	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
27	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
28	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
29	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
30	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
31	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
32	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
33	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
34	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
35	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
36	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
37	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
38	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
39	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
40	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
41	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
42	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
43	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
44	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
45	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
46	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
47	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
48	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
49	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
50	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
51	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
52	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
53	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
54	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
55	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
56	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
57	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
58	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
59	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
60	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
61	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
62	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
63	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
64	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
65	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
66	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
67	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
68	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
69	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
70	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
71	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
72	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
73	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
74	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
75	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
76	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
77	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
78	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
79	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
80	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
81	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
82	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
83	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
84	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
85	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
86	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
87	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
88	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
89	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
90	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
91	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
92	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
93	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
94	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
95	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
96	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
97	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
98	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
99	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
100	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER

SUPPORT CONTROL NETWORK POINTS

POINT #	NORTHING (FEET)	EASTING (FEET)	ELEVATION (FEET)	DESC.
101	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
102	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
103	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
104	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
105	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
106	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
107	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
108	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
109	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
110	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
111	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
112	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
113	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
114	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
115	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
116	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
117	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
118	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
119	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
120	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
121	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
122	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
123	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
124	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
125	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
126	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
127	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
128	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
129	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
130	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
131	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
132	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
133	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
134	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
135	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
136	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
137	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
138	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
139	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
140	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
141	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
142	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
143	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
144	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
145	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
146	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
147	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
148	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
149	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER
150	866273.21	895729.79	162.9	MARKER


LOT # SHEET

LOT #	TAX MAP	ADDRESS
1	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
2	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
3	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
4	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
5	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
6	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
7	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
8	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
9	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
10	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
11	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
12	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
13	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
14	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
15	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
16	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
17	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
18	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
19	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
20	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
21	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
22	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
23	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
24	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
25	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
26	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
27	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
28	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
29	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
30	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
31	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
32	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
33	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
34	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
35	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
36	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
37	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
38	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
39	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
40	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
41	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
42	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
43	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
44	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
45	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
46	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
47	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
48	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
49	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
50	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
51	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
52	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
53	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
54	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
55	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
56	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
57	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
58	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
59	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
60	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
61	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
62	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
63	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
64	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
65	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
66	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
67	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
68	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
69	31-2-2	100 WEST BOSTON STREET
70	31-	

Point Number	Northing	Easting	Height	Class	Code	Notes
10001	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10002	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10003	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10004	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10005	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10006	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10007	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10008	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10009	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10010	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10011	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10012	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10013	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10014	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10015	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10016	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10017	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10018	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10019	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10020	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10021	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10022	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10023	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10024	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10025	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10026	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10027	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10028	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10029	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10030	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10031	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10032	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10033	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10034	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10035	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10036	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10037	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10038	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10039	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10040	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10041	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10042	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10043	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10044	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10045	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10046	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10047	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10048	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10049	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10050	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10051	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10052	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10053	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10054	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10055	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10056	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10057	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10058	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10059	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10060	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10061	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10062	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10063	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10064	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10065	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10066	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10067	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10068	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10069	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10070	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10071	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10072	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10073	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10074	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10075	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10076	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10077	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10078	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10079	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10080	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10081	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10082	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10083	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10084	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10085	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10086	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10087	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10088	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10089	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10090	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10091	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10092	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10093	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10094	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10095	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10096	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10097	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10098	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10099	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...
10100	55480.0	10000.0	100.0	A	1	...

Symbol	Description
1	ASPH
2	CONC
3	GRAVEL
4	CLAY
5	SAND
6	SILT
7	SHALE
8	SLATE
9	QUARTZITE
10	GNEISS
11	DIORITE
12	GRANITE
13	TRAP
14	ANDALUSITE
15	AMPHIBOLITE
16	CHERT
17	COAL
18	PEAT
19	ICE
20	WATER
21	SWAMP
22	WETLAND
23	WATERWAY
24	WATERWAY
25	WATERWAY
26	WATERWAY
27	WATERWAY
28	WATERWAY
29	WATERWAY
30	WATERWAY
31	WATERWAY
32	WATERWAY
33	WATERWAY
34	WATERWAY
35	WATERWAY
36	WATERWAY
37	WATERWAY
38	WATERWAY
39	WATERWAY
40	WATERWAY
41	WATERWAY
42	WATERWAY
43	WATERWAY
44	WATERWAY
45	WATERWAY
46	WATERWAY
47	WATERWAY
48	WATERWAY
49	WATERWAY
50	WATERWAY

Symbol	Description
1	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
2	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
3	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
4	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
5	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
6	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
7	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
8	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
9	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
10	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
11	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
12	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
13	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
14	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
15	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
16	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
17	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
18	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
19	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
20	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
21	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
22	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
23	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
24	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
25	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
26	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
27	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
28	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
29	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
30	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
31	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
32	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
33	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
34	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
35	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
36	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
37	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
38	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
39	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
40	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
41	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
42	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
43	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
44	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
45	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
46	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
47	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY
48	ADJUSTED PROPERTY LINE
49	ADJUSTED CENTERLINE
50	ADJUSTED RIGHT-OF-WAY



CLASS OF LAND	DESCRIPTION
A	MAY CONTAIN CONTAMINATED UNDERGROUND
B	MAY CONTAIN CONTAMINATED UNDERGROUND, AND MAY CONTAIN CONTAMINATED SOILS.
C	NO COVER HAS BEEN REQUIRED WITHIN CLASS B.
D	MAY CONTAIN CONTAMINATED UNDERGROUND, AND CONTAINS CONTAMINATED SOIL AND COVER. CLASS D ALSO CONTAINS FOUR ANIMAL WASTE PILES.

PROJECT RECORD TAX MAP LOT 31-2-2 (WEST ROW)

RECORD POINTS, TOPOGRAPHY & LIMITS OF ENGINEERED COVER

INDUSTRIAL-PLEX SITE REMEDIAL TRUST

WOBUEN, MASSACHUSETTS

DESIGNED BY: MFP
 CHECKED BY: JGA
 FILE: 20081112.dwg
 PROJECT: 2000.00
 SHEET: 0 OF 8

SCALE: 1" = 50'

AUGUST 18, 2009

ROUX ASSOCIATES, INC.
 Environmental Consulting & Management

MERIDIAN
 Environmental Consulting & Management

600-555-0880 • FAX 603-666-1199

600-555-0880 • FAX 603-666-1199

COVER TYPE

2A


AT GRADE ENGINEERED GRAVEL SURFACE COVER

NOT TO SCALE



TYPICAL TYPE 3 TRANSITION

NOT TO SCALE




LEGEND

- DETAIL/CROSS SECTION DESIGNATION
- SHEET NO. WHERE DETAIL/CROSS SECTION IS PROVIDED

NOTES

- FOR SLOPES FLATTER THAN 4:1, USE A 6in. NONWOVEN GEOTEXTILE AND INSTALL ON 12in. FILL AND A 6in. NONWOVEN GEOTEXTILE AND INSTALLED GEOTEXTILE.
- SEE SPECIFICATIONS FOR DETAILS AND MATERIALS USED.
- PLEASE REFER TO THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER COVER CERTIFICATION TO GET THE LATEST RECORDS FOR ADDITIONAL DETAILS RELATED TO THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CENTER.



1
A-100

TYPICAL AT GRADE ENGINEERED COVER AT BUILDING TIE-IN

NOT TO SCALE

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CHKD.
1	11/20/08	FINAL SUBMISSION	JK	JK
2	12/22/08	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
3	1/27/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
4	2/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
5	3/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
6	4/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
7	5/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
8	6/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
9	7/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
10	8/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
11	9/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
12	10/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
13	11/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
14	12/17/09	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
15	1/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
16	2/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
17	3/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
18	4/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
19	5/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
20	6/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
21	7/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
22	8/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
23	9/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
24	10/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
25	11/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
26	12/17/10	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
27	1/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
28	2/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
29	3/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
30	4/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
31	5/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
32	6/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
33	7/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
34	8/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
35	9/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
36	10/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
37	11/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
38	12/17/11	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
39	1/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
40	2/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
41	3/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
42	4/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
43	5/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
44	6/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
45	7/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
46	8/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
47	9/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
48	10/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
49	11/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK
50	12/17/12	FOR COMMENTS BY JURISDICTION	JK	JK

PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

ROUX ASSOCIATES, INC.
Environmental Consulting & Management

MERIDIAN
Environmental Consulting & Management

Geotech Associates
INCORPORATED, NEW HAMPSHIRE
1000 North Main Street, Suite 200
Manchester, NH 03104
603-686-0880 • FAX 603-686-1199

PROJECT RECORD (WEST RIGHT-OF-WAY)

DETAILS & TRANSITIONS

INDUSTRI-PLEX SITE REMEDIAL TRUST
WOBBURN, MASSACHUSETTS

SCALE: 1" = 10'

DESIGNED BY: MCF
DRAWN BY: MCF
CHECKED BY: JOK
FILE: 20081016.dwg
PROJECT: 2000.00
SHEET: 6 OF 8



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION I
ONE CONGRESS STREET SUITE 1100
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02114-2023

September 30, 2008

Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority
(property owner of Tax Map 31-2-2, Woburn, MA)
c/o J. Wolfson
10 Park Plaza, Room 5750
Boston, MA 02166

Re: Industri-plex Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1: Final Property-Specific Cover Certification Report for property owner of Tax Map 31-2-2, Woburn, MA.

Dear Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority:

Please find attached the property-specific final Cover Certification Report (CCR) for your property located at Tax Map 31-2-2, Woburn, MA. This CCR documents the completion of a portion of the Remedial Action for soil, sediments, and air at the Industri-Plex Superfund Site, Operable Unit 1, Woburn, MA, in accordance with approved 100% Design Report, dated April 1992. The Remedial Action implemented on your property was required by the Consent Decree entered on April 24, 1989 by the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts in the matter styled United States v. Stauffer Chemical Company et al., Civil Action No. 89-0195-MC, and Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Stauffer Chemical Company et al., Civil Action No. 89-0196-MC.

The CCR contains detailed full-size Record Drawings illustrating the Remedial Action implemented on your property, such as the location of Engineered and/or Equivalent Covers which serve as barriers preventing contact to the underlying Contaminated Soils. The Record Drawings also illustrate the location of various land classifications designated on your property (i.e. Land Class A, B, C and/or D), which represent various conditions and restrictions. The details contained in the CCR, particularly the Record Drawings, will be useful towards ensuring the long protectiveness of the remedy and compliance with institutional controls (i.e. Grant of Environmental Restriction).

In addition to the CCR, your are also being provided:

- 1) a set of half-size Record Drawings; and
- 2) a compact disc containing electronic versions of the CCR, as well as electronic CAD files of the Record Drawings.

The half-size drawings will be useful towards your periodic inspection of the remedial action implemented on your property, as well as any consideration you may have towards implementing future intrusive work on the property that may affect the remedial action. If you elect to alter the remedial action on your property (e.g. Engineered or Equivalent Covers), then you will be required to prepare As Built Records. The As Built Records are engineering drawings and other records depicting the location and details of remedial action alterations, and Clean Corridors, as constructed on the property. EPA expects the As Built Records to include engineering drawings which are similar in detail and quality as the Record Drawings. The electronic CAD files provided in the attached compact disc can be utilized by the owner and/or their designated surveyor to effectively and efficiently alter the Record Drawings and prepare adequate As Built Records.

The next steps in the superfund process for this property will be the inauguration and recording of the Grant of Environmental Restrictions (Grant). A package will be sent to you regarding the inauguration requirements for your property.

If you should have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (617) 918-1323.

Sincerely,



Joseph F. LeMay, P.E.
Remedial Project Manager
Office Site Remediation and Restoration

cc: Bob Cianciarulo, EPA (letter)
David Peterson, EPA (letter)
Jennifer McWeeney, MassDEP
Andy Cohen, MassDEP (letter)
Tim Cosgrave, ISRT Coordinator (letter)
Carol Dickerson, SMC (letter)
Randy Cooper, Monsanto (letter)
Mary Ellen Boyle, MBTA (letter)
Neil Thurber, M&E (letter)