



SDMS DocID 466097

Superfund Records Center

SITE: Centredale

BREAK: 13-1

OTHER: 466097



**Centredale Manor Restoration Project**

Stacy Greendlinger to: ✓

Bcc: Anna Krasko

05/11/2010 09:21 AM

Hello Dialogue Group,

I hope this finds you well. We are happy to announce the completion of the Interim Final Feasibility Study for the Centredale Manor Restoration Project. CDs of the Study are being sent to representatives of each of the stakeholder groups. If you do not receive a disk, it is available on-line ([www.epa.gov/region1/superfund/sites/centredale](http://www.epa.gov/region1/superfund/sites/centredale)) or you can request a CD. Attached is a Centredale Manor Restoration Project update with more detailed information. Please note: the update incorrectly lists Anna's email. Her proper email address is: [krasko.anna@epa.gov](mailto:krasko.anna@epa.gov)

Regards,

Stacy



5710 Final Dialogue Letter FS.pdf

Stacy Greendlinger  
EPA-New England  
5 Post Office Sq., Suite 100  
Mail Code ORA20-1  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

617-918-1403  
[Greendlinger.stacy@epa.gov](mailto:Greendlinger.stacy@epa.gov)



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION I  
5 Post Office Square, SUITE 100  
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109-3912

May 7, 2010

Hello All,

It is with great excitement that we send you this Centredale Manor Restoration Project update and accompanying disk: the Interim Final Feasibility Study, which has been completed and is enclosed for your consideration. The Study also will be available shortly online at [www.epa.gov/region1/superfund/sites/centredale](http://www.epa.gov/region1/superfund/sites/centredale). A draft Administrative Record file compiled with site documents to-date is also available on a CD, upon request.

**Feasibility Study: Potential Cleanup Approaches**

A tremendous amount of work has culminated in this Interim Final Feasibility Study. We appreciate the patience you demonstrated during this exhaustive process. The cleanup alternatives analyzed in the Feasibility Study are intended to address contaminated sediment, floodplain soil, source area soil, and groundwater. For a quick synopsis, we draw your attention to the Executive Summary which provides an overview of the site history, actions, and cleanup goals, and all of the identified cleanup approaches and the screening process that was undertaken to yield the feasible alternatives to be considered in the forthcoming Proposed Plan.

**National Remedy Review Board**

As we described in our August 2009 letter, before issuing its Proposed Plan outlining its preferred cleanup approach, EPA-New England must present site information and cleanup strategies to the EPA National Remedy Review Board because cleanup costs are likely to exceed \$25 million. Currently, we are scheduled to make this presentation at the August Board meeting. Again, the Board provides the opportunity for input by the community groups, the State, Natural Resource Trustees, and the potentially responsible parties. These groups each have the opportunity to summarize in writing, 10 pages or less, any issues they believe are pertinent to the cleanup decision, including their recommended approach and rationale for their site cleanup recommendation. These summaries are expected to be included in EPA-New England's site package which will be submitted to the Board four weeks in advance of its meeting. Hence, should you plan on submitting comments, please send them, by July 15, 2010, by email to [Krasko.anna@epa.gov](mailto:Krasko.anna@epa.gov) or to:

Anna Krasko  
EPA-New England  
5 Post Office Sq., Suite 100  
Mail Code OSRR07-1  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

The Board was created in 1995 as a means of promoting consistent and cost-effective Superfund cleanup decisions, and making sure proposed cleanup approaches are consistent with current law, regulations, and Agency policy and guidance. The Board generally meets quarterly and is comprised of EPA senior managers and technical experts from each region and headquarters. Although EPA-New England retains decision making authority, it is expected that the Board's recommendations will be given substantial weight. The Contaminated Sediment Technical Advisory Group (CSTAG) and the Board will issue a set of coordinated recommendations to EPA-New England. The Board's review is considered an internal, deliberative, and enforcement sensitive process, however its recommendations, as well as Regional response, will be in the administrative record at the time the Proposed Plan is published.

### **Projected Schedule and Proposed Meetings**

Based on EPA's current schedule, we anticipate the following key milestones:

- National Remedy Review Board undertakes site review at August 2010 meeting;
- Proposed Dialogue Group winter 2011 meeting to preview EPA's Proposed Plan;
- Proposed Plan published winter 2011 kicking off broader public outreach efforts and formal public comment process.

### **Removal Action 2009-2010**

In our August 2009 update, we previewed a Removal Action to excavate contaminated soil alongside and under portions of the Brook Village parking lot as a means of addressing the elevated dioxin levels in surface and subsurface soil that were migrating in groundwater to the adjacent Woonasquatucket River. Emhart Industries, Inc., a potentially responsible party, undertook the \$3 million short-term cleanup removing nearly 2,300 tons of contaminated soil. EPA and the Rhode Island Dept. of Environmental Management (RIDEM) supervised the work done by Loureiro Engineering Associates and its subcontractors on behalf of Emhart. Feedback received through a total of 4 public meetings with the residents of the apartment complexes was positive. Initial groundwater sampling earlier this year confirmed reduction of dioxin levels discharging into the river. This spring some damage caused by the March flooding event will be repaired prior to final landscaping.

With the rainfall and flooding that occurred this past March, several of you expressed concern with the potential impacts of the flooding on the source area controls, such as the soil caps. Following the recent flooding, these site controls were inspected and found to be largely intact. The flooding caused no significant structural damage to the caps or armoring. Fencing damage and minor erosion at Centredale Manor were limited to two areas of backfill. Inspection of the riverbank at Centredale Manor and below the Allendale Dam did not indicate any significant erosion or deposition of sediment. The Lee Romano Little League Field immediately below Allendale Dam was not flooded by the river. The banks of Lyman Mill Pond did not appear to be either eroded or coated with sediment.

There has been a tremendous amount of work conducted to assess and investigate the Centredale Manor Restoration Project. We look forward to continuing our dialogue as we move along the Superfund process and select a cleanup plan and implement it. As always, EPA welcomes any thoughts you may have.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko, EPA Remedial Project Manager



Stacy Greendlinger, EPA Community Involvement Coordinator



Eve Vaudo, EPA Senior Enforcement Counsel

Enclosure: Interim Final Feasibility Study Centredale Manor Restoration Project Superfund Site

Recipients list of the May 7, 2010 EPA's letter

All Dialogue Group members (except the 7 who do not have emails) received an email notifying them of the on-line availability of the Interim Final Feasibility Study. Additionally, the following Dialogue Group members received hard copies of the letter and a disk of the Interim Feasibility Study:

Nancy Beattie, Office of Congressman Langevin  
Drapper Bodden, Office of Congressman Kennedy  
Frank Bursie, Town of North Providence  
Lorriane Caruso, Town of Johnston  
Gina DeMarco, Northern RI Conservation District  
David Graham, Kaufman & Canoles  
Patrick Gwinn, Integral Consulting  
Elizabeth Hoover, Brown University  
Jeffrey Karp, Sullivan & Worcester  
Nancy Langrall, Office of Senator Reed  
Alicia Lehrer, WRWC  
Jeff Loureiro, LEA  
Eugenia Marks, Audubon Society of RI  
Jerome Muys, Sullivan & Worcester  
Tim O'Connor, VHB  
Andrew Raddant, U.S. Dept. of the Interior  
Jane Sherman, WRWC  
Tony Simon, Office of Senator Whitehouse  
William Sweet, ATSDR  
Dr. Robert Vanderslice, RIDOH

Additionally the Johnston and North Providence libraries were sent disks to add to their CMRP information repositories.