



Superfund Records Center

SITE: CentredaleBREAK: 13.1OTHER: 253331

February 14, 2006

Tim Mooney  
US Senator Lincoln Chafee  
170 Westminister St. – Suite 1100  
Providence RI 02903

Dear Mr. Mooney,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.


EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Anna Krasko".

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo".

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

A. Ralph Mollis, Mayor  
Town of North Providence  
2000 Smith St.  
North Providence RI 02911

Dear Mayor Mollis,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.



EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

William R. Macera, Mayor  
City of Johnston  
Johnston Town Hall  
1385 Hartford Ave.  
Johnston RI 02919

Dear Mayor Macera,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Jane Sherman  
WRWC  
532 Kinsley Ave.  
Providence RI 02909

Dear Ms. Sherman,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.


EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anna Krasko", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo", with a small flourish at the end.

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Jenny Pereira  
WRWC  
532 Kinsley Ave.  
Providence RI 02909

Dear Ms. Pereira,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.


EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Roland Mergener  
North Providence Conservation Commission  
24 Oak Grove Blvd.  
North Providence RI 02911

Dear Mr. Mergener,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.


EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Micahel Merrill  
NRCS  
Department of Agriculture  
60 Quaker Lane, Suite 46  
Warwick RI 02886

Dear Mr. Merrill,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.


With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Anna Krasko".

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo".

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Michael Milito  
RI Housing and Mortgage Finance Corp.  
44 Washington St.  
Providence RI 02903

Dear Mr. Milito,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward

in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anna Krasko". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo". The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized, with a large loop at the end.

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Eugenia Marks  
Audubon Society of RI  
12 Sanderson Rd.  
Smithfield RI 02917

Dear Ms. Marks,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.


EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.

EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward


in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anna Krasko". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo". The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized, with a large loop at the end.

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Robert R. Vanderslice  
RI Department of Public Health  
Environmental Health Risk Assessment  
Three Capitol Hill, Room 208  
Providence RI 02908

Dear Dr. Vanderslice,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Louis R. Maccarone II  
RI Department of Environmental Management  
Office of Waste Management  
235 Promenade Street, Suite 380  
Providence RI 02908

Dear Mr. Maccarone,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.


With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the *various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue.* In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Johanna Hunter  
US EPA  
1 Congress St.  
Suite 1100 (CRI)  
Boston MA 02114

Dear Ms. Hunter,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Kenneth Finklestein  
NOAA Resource Trust Delegate  
c/o EPA Region 1  
1 Congress Street  
Suite 1100 (HIO)  
Boston MA 02114

Dear Mr. Finklestein,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.

With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,



Anna Krasko  
Project Manager



Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator

February 14, 2006

Bill Sweet  
ATSDR  
c/o EPA Region 1  
1 Congress Street  
Suite 1100 (HIO)  
Boston MA 02114

Dear Mr. Sweet,

Last winter, EPA engaged a neutral facilitator, Marion Cox, to speak with various parties about participating in a structured dialogue regarding the future of the Centredale Manor Restoration Project site and cleanup alternatives for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds.

The outcome of those discussions was captured in a report which Ms. Cox prepared and EPA distributed in October. From the report, EPA learned that there was strong interest among the various parties to participate in a dialogue. However, before doing so, many of the parties wanted more information from EPA on the Agency's cleanup goals for the area, Human Health Risk Assessment information, and the potential cleanup alternatives likely to be considered.

Last fall, EPA released a revised Human Health Risk Assessment and the Preliminary Remedial Goals. This January EPA released an introductory table of remedial alternatives that the Agency will further evaluate in a Feasibility Study for Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. EPA also shared with the Management Action Committee, in January, 2006, a list of the criteria that EPA is required to consider when evaluating those remedial alternatives.


With this information now available, EPA has asked Ms. Cox to initiate phone calls to the various stakeholders in order to determine when, and how to proceed with the proposed dialogue. In the coming weeks, you will be contacted by Ms. Cox as part of her effort to assist us in developing an effective structure or process that enables us to move forward in a cooperative and productive manner with the proposed dialogue.

EPA anticipates that the dialogue would focus primarily on the Agency's upcoming cleanup decision at Allendale and Lyman Mill Ponds and their associated wetlands. If the dialogue proves effective and productive for participating parties, discussions about future investigations, monitoring, and impacts of the cleanup decisions on downstream areas might also be included in the dialogue as it moves forward.


EPA is aware of the challenges associated with choosing a cleanup alternative and designing a remedy. The case team for this project is optimistic that a dialogue allowing us to move forward in an open and cooperative fashion is the right direction to proceed at this time. We hope that you will once again accept this invitation to participate.

Should you have any questions about our proposal to move forward in this manner, please feel free to contact Elissa Tonkin of our ADR program at 617-918-1726 or Angela Bonarrigo at 617-918-1034.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anna Krasko". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Anna Krasko  
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Angela Bonarrigo". The signature is cursive and somewhat stylized, with a large loop at the end.

Angela Bonarrigo  
Community Involvement Coordinator