

**APPENDIX F**  
Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved TMDL

**Table of Contents**

A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In State TMDL .....	2
I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements.....	2
II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements.....	23
III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements .....	35
IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements.....	48
V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements .....	52
B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL .....	55
I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements .....	55
II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements.....	59
III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements .....	63
IV. Metals TMDL Requirements .....	66
C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL.....	69
I. The “Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)” .....	69
 Attachment 1 – Method To Calculate Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load For Lake And Pond Phosphorus TMDLs (Applicable To part II Of Appendix F Only) And Method To Calculate Increases in Phosphorus Load due to Development	
 Attachment 2 – Phosphorus and Nitrogen Reduction Credits For Selected Enhanced Non-Structural BMPs	
 Attachment 3 – Phosphorus and Nitrogen Reduction Credits For Selected Structural BMPs	

**A. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved MassDEP In State TMDL**

**I. Charles River Watershed Phosphorus TMDL Requirements**

On October 17, 2007, EPA approved the *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin* (Lower Charles TMDL)<sup>1</sup> and on June 10, 2011 EPA approved the *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River* (Upper/Middle Charles TMDL)<sup>2</sup>. The following phosphorus reduction requirements address phosphorus in MS4 discharges.

1. To address the discharge of phosphorus from its MS4, the permittee shall develop a Phosphorus Control Plan (PCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater (SW) discharges from its MS4 to the Charles River and its tributaries. The PCP shall be completed in phases and the permittee shall add it as an attachment to its written SWMP upon completion and report in annual reports pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit on its progress toward achieving its Phosphorus Reduction Requirement. The PCP shall be developed and fully implemented as soon as possible but no later than 20 years after the permit effective date in accordance with the phases and schedule outlined below. Each Phase shall contain the elements required of each phase as described in parts a. through c. below. The timing of each phase over 20 years from the permit effective date is:

1-5 years after permit effective date	5-10 years after permit effective date	10-15 years after permit effective date	15-20 years after permit effective date
Create Phase 1 Plan	Implement Phase 1 Plan		
	Create Phase 2 Plan	Implement Phase 2 Plan	
		Create Phase 3 Plan	Implement Phase 3 Plan

**a. Phase 1**

- 1) The permittee shall complete a written Phase 1 plan of the PCP five years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 1 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 10 years after the permit effective date.
- 2) The Phase 1 plan of the PCP shall contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

Item Number	Phase 1 of the PCP Component and Milestones	Completion Date
-------------	---	-----------------

<sup>1</sup> Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2007. *Final TMDL for Nutrients in the Lower Charles River Basin*. CN 301.1

<sup>2</sup> Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. 2011. *Total Maximum Daily Load for Nutrients in the Upper/Middle Charles River Basin, Massachusetts*. CN 272.0

1-1	Legal analysis	2 years after permit effective date
1-2	Funding source assessment.	3 years after permit effective date
1-3	Define scope of PCP (PCP Area) Baseline Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load	4 years after permit effective date
1-4	Description of Phase 1 planned nonstructural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-5	Description of Phase 1 planned structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-6	Description of Operation and Maintenance program for structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-7	Phase 1 implementation schedule	5 years after permit effective date
1-8	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the PCP	5 years after permit effective date
1-9	Complete Written Phase 1 PCP	5 years after permit effective date
1-10	Full implementation of nonstructural controls	5 years after permit effective date
1-11	Performance Evaluation	6, and 7 years after permit effective date
1-12	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load( $P_{allow}$ ) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement ( $P_{RR}$ ) multiplied by 0.80 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)$	8 years after permit effective date
1-13	Performance Evaluation	9 years after permit effective date
1-14	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the PCP Area in	10 years after permit effective date

	mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load ( $P_{allow}$ ) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement ( $P_{RR}$ ) multiplied by 0.75 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.75)$	
--	---	--

**Table F-1: Phase 1 of the PCP components and Milestones**

3) Description of Phase 1 PCP Components

Legal Analysis- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as by-laws and ordinances, and describes any changes to regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the entire PCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

Funding source assessment – The permittee shall describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms (e.g. general funding, enterprise funding, stormwater utilities) that will be used to fund PCP implementation. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities.

Scope of the PCP, Baseline Phosphorus Load ( $P_{base}$ ), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement ( $P_{RR}$ ) and Allowable Phosphorus Load ( $P_{allow}$ ) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which it plans to implement the PCP. The permittee must choose one of the following: (1) to implement its PCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction (for municipalities this would be the municipal boundary) within the Charles River Watershed; or (2) to implement its PCP only in the urbanized area portion of the permittee’s jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed. The implementation area selected by the permittee is known as the “PCP Area” for that permittee. Table F-2<sup>3</sup> and Table F-3<sup>4</sup> list the permittees subject to phosphorus reduction requirements along with the estimated Baseline Phosphorus Loads in mass/yr, the calculated Allowable Stormwater Phosphorus Load in mass/yr, the Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr and the respective percent reductions necessary. The two tables contain different reduction requirements for each permittee based on the PCP Area they choose (see above). If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its entire jurisdiction, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load

---

<sup>3</sup> The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-2 apply to the entire watershed land area that drains to the Charles River and its tributaries within the permittee’s jurisdiction.

<sup>4</sup> The estimated Baseline Phosphorus Load, Allowable Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and percent reductions presented in Table F-3 apply only to the urbanized area portion of the permittee’s jurisdiction that drains to the Charles River or its tributaries.

requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur outside the regulated area. If the permittee chooses to implement the PCP in its regulated area only, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the regulated area only.

The permittee shall select the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load that corresponds to the PCP Area selected. The selected Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load will be used to determine compliance with PCP milestones of this Phase and Phase 2 and Phase 3. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP in all areas within its jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-2 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area. If the permittee chooses to implement its PCP only within the regulated area within the Charles River Watershed, then the permittee shall use Table F-3 to determine the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load for its PCP Area.

The Permittee may submit more accurate land use data from 2005, which is the year chosen as the baseline land use for the purposes of permit compliance, for EPA to recalculate baseline phosphorus stormwater loads for use in future permit reissuances. Updated land use maps, land areas, characteristics, and MS4 area and catchment delineations shall be submitted to EPA along with the year 4 annual report in electronic GIS data layer form for consideration for future permit requirements<sup>5</sup>. Until such a time as future permit requirements reflect information submitted in the year 4 annual report, the permittee shall use the Baseline Phosphorus Load, Stormwater Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load Table F-2 (if its PCP Area is the permittee's entire jurisdiction) or Table F-3 (if its PCP Area is the regulated area only) to calculate compliance with milestones for Phase 1, 2, and 3 of the PCP.

Description of Phase 1 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation

---

<sup>5</sup> This submission is optional and needs only be done if the permittee has more accurate land use information from 2005 than information provided by MassGIS (<http://www.mass.gov/anf/research-and-tech/it-serv-and-support/application-serv/office-of-geographic-information-massgis/datalayers/lus2005.html>, retrieved 10/1/2013) or the permittee has updated MS4 drainage area characteristics and the permittee would like to update the Baseline Phosphorus Load.

in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of Phase 1 planned structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of structural phosphorus controls during Phase 1. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this priority ranking a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the results of this priority ranking shall be included in Phase 1 of the PCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-1. The description of structural controls shall include the planned and existing measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented or are currently implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 1 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 1 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 1 BMPs, including, as appropriate: obtaining funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, operation and maintenance activities, and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 1 Plan, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 8 and 10 year phosphorus load milestones established in Table F-1. The Phase 1 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 10 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 1 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 1 non-structural and structural

controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to assess the validity of the funding source assessment completed by year 3 after the permit effective date and to update funding sources as necessary to complete Phase 1.

Complete written Phase 1 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 1 Plan of the PCP no later than 5 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 1 Plan shall include Phase 1 PCP item numbers 1-1 through 1-7 in Table F-1. The permittee shall make the Phase 1 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 1 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase I Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs<sup>6</sup> and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases since 2005 due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee's annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

Alternative Schedule Request – If the permittee determines that the schedule to meet required Phase I phosphorus reductions contained in Table F-1 is impracticable, the permittee may submit to EPA and MassDEP an Alternative Schedule Request to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements in Table F-1 on the shortest schedule that is achievable considering the factors below.<sup>7</sup>

- a. A Phase 1 Alternative Schedule Request shall include an analysis demonstrating that the schedule to meet phosphorus reduction requirements in Table F-1 is unaffordable within the timeframe of Phase 1. EPA expects that such extraordinary circumstances would occur rarely, where meeting the phosphorus reductions in Table F-1

---

<sup>6</sup> In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-2 or F-3.

<sup>7</sup> See part A.I.4 for information regarding the Alternative Schedule Request submittal and review process.

is unaffordable.<sup>8</sup> A Phase 1 Alternative Schedule Request is limited to alternative schedules to meet the requirements of items numbered 1-11 through 1-14 in Table F-1. Requests must include the following:

- i. A narrative of the reasons for the permittee’s request for an alternative schedule, including information demonstrating the applicant’s efforts and extent of progress made toward meeting the required phosphorus reductions in Table F-1,
- ii. Analysis of the nonstructural controls implemented to date,
- iii. A description of the planned Phase 1 structural controls for which schedule adjustment is requested,
- iv. Estimated cost of the planned Phase 1 structural controls for which schedule adjustment is requested,
- v. Affordability for taxpayers or ratepayers (as applicable), including a projection of sources and uses of funds, taking into consideration existing or potential financial capability and funding mechanisms (e.g., property taxes, stormwater rate changes, or stormwater utility fees), and
- vi. A requested schedule to meet all phosphorus reduction requirements in Table F-1.

<b>Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed</b>				
<b>Community</b>	<b>Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr</b>	<b>Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)</b>
Arlington	106	68	38	64%
Ashland	67	28	39	42%
Bellingham	947	398	549	42%
Belmont	202	105	97	52%
Boston <sup>9</sup>	6886	4145	2741	60%
Brookline	1,635	968	667	59%
Cambridge	512	317	195	62%

<sup>8</sup> EPA notes that such expectation regarding infrequency does not constitute or establish an additional criterion for the applicant to satisfy.

<sup>9</sup> Boston is included for reference and for non-traditional MS4s located within the city of Boston. Boston is covered by an individual Phase I MS4 permit. Boston’s individual Phase I MS4 permit will also reflect this phosphorus load reduction

<b>Community Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed</b>				
<b>Community</b>	<b>Baseline Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement kg/yr</b>	<b>Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)</b>
Dedham	805	404	401	50%
Dover	831	180	652	22%
Foxborough	2	0	2	0%
Franklin	2,344	1012	1332	43%
Holliston	1,543	496	1046	32%
Hopedale	107	47	60	44%
Hopkinton	292	89	203	31%
Lexington	530	242	287	46%
Lincoln	593	127	466	21%
Medfield	955	345	611	36%
Medway	1,063	400	662	38%
Mendon	29	11	17	40%
Milford	1,611	809	802	50%
Millis	969	301	668	31%
Natick	1,108	486	622	44%
Needham	1,772	974	797	55%
Newton	3,884	2365	1519	61%
Norfolk	1,004	286	718	28%
Somerville	646	400	245	62%
Sherborn	846	156	690	18%
Walpole	159	37	121	24%
Waltham	2,901	1755	1146	60%
Watertown	1,127	703	424	62%
Wayland	46	19	27	42%
Wellesley	1,431	821	609	57%
Weston	1,174	375	799	32%
Westwood	376	150	226	40%
Wrentham	618	210	407	34%
Mass-DCR	421	91	330	22%

**Table F-2: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load**

**from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be the entire community within the Charles River Watershed.**

<b>Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed</b>				
<b>Community</b>	<b>Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr</b>	<b>Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)</b>
Arlington	106	68	38	64%
Ashland	67	28	39	42%
Bellingham	801	352	449	44%
Belmont	202	105	97	52%
Boston	6886	4145	2741	60%
Brookline	1,635	968	667	59%
Cambridge	512	317	195	62%
Dedham	805	404	401	50%
Dover	282	82	199	29%
Foxborough	2	0	2	0%
Franklin	2,312	1007	1305	44%
Holliston	1,359	466	892	34%
Hopedale	107	47	60	44%
Hopkinton	280	88	191	32%
Lexington	525	241	284	46%
Lincoln	366	84	282	23%
Medfield	827	335	492	41%
Medway	1,037	390	647	38%
Mendon	10	6	5	57%
Milford	1,486	798	688	54%
Millis	501	200	300	40%
Natick	994	456	538	46%
Needham	1,771	974	797	55%
Newton	3,884	2365	1519	61%
Norfolk	1,001	285	716	29%
Somerville	646	400	245	62%
Sherborn	203	52	151	26%

<b>Urbanized Area Annual Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction by Permittee, Charles River Watershed</b>				
<b>Community</b>	<b>Baseline Watershed Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Phosphorus Load Reduction Requirement, kg/yr</b>	<b>Allowable Phosphorus Load, kg/yr</b>	<b>Stormwater Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load (%)</b>
Walpole	159	37	121	24%
Waltham	2,901	1755	1146	60%
Watertown	1,127	703	424	62%
Wayland	46	19	27	42%
Wellesley	1,431	821	609	57%
Weston	1,174	375	799	32%
Westwood	346	143	203	41%
Wrentham	556	196	361	35%
Mass DCR	396	89	307	22%

**Table F-3: Baseline Phosphorus Load, Phosphorus Reduction Requirement, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Percent Reduction in Phosphorus Load from Charles River Watershed. For use when PCP Area is chosen to be only the urbanized area portion of a permittee’s jurisdiction within the Charles River Watershed.**

**b. Phase 2**

- 1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 2 Plan of the PCP 10 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 2 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 15 years after the permit effective date.
- 2) The Phase 2 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

<b>Item Number</b>	<b>Phase 2 of the PCP Component and Milestones</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
2-1	Update Legal analysis	As necessary
2-2	Description of Phase 2 planned nonstructural controls	10 years after permit effective date
2-3	Description of Phase 2 planned structural controls	10 years after permit effective date

2-4	Updated description of Operation and Maintenance Program	10 years after permit effective date
2-5	Phase 2 implementation schedule	10 years after permit effective date
2-6	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP	10 years after permit effective date
2-7	Complete written Phase 2 Plan	10 years after permit effective date
2-8	Performance Evaluation.	11, and 12 years after permit effective date
2-9	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(<math>P_{allow}</math>) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (<math>P_{RR}</math>) multiplied by 0.65  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.65)</math> </li> </ol>	13 years after permit effective date
2-10	Performance Evaluation	14 years after permit effective date
2-11	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load(<math>P_{allow}</math>) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (<math>P_{RR}</math>) multiplied by 0.50  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.50)</math> </li> </ol>	15 years after permit effective date

**Table F-4: Phase 2 of the PCP components and Milestones**

3) Description of Phase 2 PCP Components

Updated Legal Analysis- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 of the PCP as necessary to include any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 2 Plan.

Description of Phase 2 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of planned Phase 2 structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 2. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for Phase 1. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-4. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party<sup>10</sup> may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1 and 2 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 2 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 2 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 2 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 2 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee will comply with the 13 and 15 year milestones established in Table F-4. The Phase 2 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible, but no later than 15 years after the effective date of permit.

---

<sup>10</sup> See footnote 6

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 2 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 2 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 2.

Complete written Phase 2 Plan – The permittee must complete a written Phase 2 Plan of the PCP no later than 10 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 2 Plan shall include Phase 2 PCP item numbers 2-1 through 2-6 in Table F-4. The permittee shall make the Phase 2 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 2 plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 2 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee’s annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

Alternative Schedule Request– If the permittee determines that the schedule to meet required Phase 2 phosphorus reductions contained in Table F-4 is impracticable, the permittee may submit to EPA and MassDEP an Alternative Schedule Request to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements of items numbered 2-9 and 2-11 in Table F-4 on the shortest schedule that is achievable considering the factors below.<sup>11</sup>

- a. A Phase 2 Alternative Schedule Request shall include an analysis demonstrating that the schedule to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements in items numbered 2-9 and 2-11 in Table F-4 is impracticable. Requests must include, where relevant, the following:
  - i. A narrative of the reasons for the permittee’s request for an alternative schedule, including information demonstrating the applicant’s efforts and extent of progress made toward meeting the required phosphorus reductions in Table F-4,

---

<sup>11</sup> See part A.I.4 for information regarding the Alternative Schedule Request submittal and review process.

- ii. A description of the planned structural controls to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
- iii. Suitability and availability of areas for siting and constructing structural controls, including, if appropriate, a review of third-party partnerships considered for within-watershed structural control sites,
- iv. Access and acquisition of real property rights for constructing and maintaining structural controls,
- v. Timelines for the permittee’s planning, design, financing, easement or property interest acquisition, and procurement for and construction of structural controls,
- vi. Timelines for and constraints due to the federal, state and/or local approval(s) and permitting processes for structural controls,
- vii. Anticipated phosphorus reductions due to the rate of redevelopment within the community and the degree to which future redevelopment may be reasonably anticipated to achieve the desired reductions in lieu of reliance upon structural controls by the permittee,
- viii. Estimated cost of the planned structural controls to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
- ix. Scale of structural BMP controls required and phasing considerations with other capital improvement projects that are being implemented by the permittee or other parties that impact the permittee, municipality or relevant taxpayers or ratepayers,
- x. Affordability for taxpayers or ratepayers (as applicable), including a projection of sources and uses of funds, taking into consideration existing or potential financial capability and funding mechanisms (e.g., property taxes, stormwater rate changes, or stormwater utility fees),
- xi. Other relevant information, and
- xii. A requested schedule to meet all phosphorus reduction requirements in Table F-4.

**c. Phase 3**

- 1) The permittee shall complete the Phase 3 Plan of the PCP 15 years after the permit effective date and fully implement the Phase 3 plan of the PCP as soon as possible but no longer than 20 years after the permit effective date.
- 2) The Phase 3 plan of the PCP shall be added to the Phase 1 Plan and the Phase 2 Plan to create the comprehensive PCP and contain the following elements and has the following required milestones:

<b>Item Number</b>	<b>Phase 3 of the PCP Component and Milestones</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
3-1	Update Legal analysis	As necessary

3-2	Description of Phase 3 planned nonstructural controls	15 years after permit effective date
3-3	Description of Phase 3 planned structural controls	15 years after permit effective date
3-4	Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program	15 years after permit effective date
3-5	Phase 3 implementation schedule	15 years after permit effective date
3-6	Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP	15 years after permit effective date
3-7	Complete written Phase 3 Plan	15 years after permit effective date
3-8	Performance Evaluation.	16, and 17 years after permit effective date
3-9	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (<math>P_{allow}</math>) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (<math>P_{RR}</math>) multiplied by 0.30  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.30)</math> </li> </ol>	18 years after permit effective date
3-10	Performance Evaluation	19 years after permit effective date
3-11	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the PCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (<math>P_{allow}</math>)  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow}</math> </li> </ol>	20 years after permit effective date

**Table F-5: Phase 3 of the PCP components and Milestones**

3) Description of Phase 3 PCP Components

Updated Legal Analysis- The permittee shall update the legal analysis completed during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the PCP as necessary to include

any new or augmented bylaws, ordinances or funding mechanisms the permittee has deemed necessary to implement the PCP. The permittee shall use experience gained during Phase 1 and Phase 2 to inform the updated legal analysis. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes as soon as possible to implement the Phase 3 Plan.

Description of Phase 3 planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-5. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation in units of mass/yr. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F.

Description of planned Phase 3 structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices during Phase 3. The ranking shall build upon the ranking developed for Phase 1 and 2. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones. in Table F-5. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party<sup>12</sup> may be included in a municipal PCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F.

Updated description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit as part of Phase 1, 2 and 3 of the PCP. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented during Phase 3 of the PCP. The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the PCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Phase 3 Implementation Schedule – A schedule for implementation of all planned Phase 3 BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M activities and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the Phase 3 Plan. Structural BMPs shall be designed and constructed to ensure the permittee

---

<sup>12</sup> See footnote 6.

will comply with the 18 and 20 year milestones established in Table F-5. The Phase 3 plan shall be fully implemented as soon as possible., but no later than 20 years after the effective date of permit.

Estimated cost for implementing Phase 3 of the PCP – The permittee shall estimate the cost of implementing the Phase 3 non-structural and structural controls and associated Operation and Maintenance Program. This cost estimate can be used to plan for the full implementation of Phase 3.

Complete written Phase 3 Plan – The permittee must complete the written Phase 3 Plan of the PCP no later than 15 years after the permit effective date. The complete Phase 3 Plan shall include Phase 3 PCP item numbers 3-1 through 3-6 in Table F-5. The permittee shall make the Phase 3 Plan available to the public for public comment during Phase 3 Plan development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the Phase 3 Plan online to facilitate public involvement.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the PCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs and tracking increases resulting from development. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F (non-structural BMP performance) and Attachment 3 to Appendix F (structural BMP performance) for all BMPs implemented to date. Phosphorus export increases due to development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in unit of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the applicable Baseline Phosphorus Load given in Table F-2 or Table F-3 depending on the Scope of PCP chosen to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the PCP Area. The permittee shall also include all information required in part I.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation. Performance evaluations will be included as part of each permittee’s annual report as required by part 4.4 of the Permit.

Alternative Schedule Request– If the permittee determines that the schedule to meet required Phase 3 phosphorus reductions contained in Table F-5 is impracticable, the permittee may submit to EPA and MassDEP an Alternative Schedule Request to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements in items numbered 3-9 and 3-11 in Table F-5 on the shortest schedule that is achievable considering the factors below.<sup>13</sup>

- a. A Phase 3 Alternative Schedule Request shall include an analysis demonstrating that the schedule to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements in items numbered 3-9 and 3-11 in Table F-5 is impracticable. Requests must include, where relevant, the following:

---

<sup>13</sup> See part A.I.4 for information regarding the Alternative Schedule Request submittal and review process.

- i. A narrative of the reasons for the permittee's request for an alternative schedule, including information demonstrating the applicant's efforts and extent of progress made toward meeting the required phosphorus reductions in Table F-5,
- ii. A description of the planned structural to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
- iii. Suitability and availability of areas for siting and constructing structural controls, including, if appropriate, a review of third-party partnerships considered for within-watershed structural control sites,
- iv. Access and acquisition of real property rights for constructing and maintaining structural controls,
- v. Timelines for the permittee's planning, design, financing, easement or property interest acquisition, and procurement for and construction of structural controls,
- vi. Timelines for and constraints due to the federal, state and/or local approval(s) and permitting processes for structural controls,
- vii. Anticipated phosphorus reductions due to the rate of redevelopment within the community and the degree to which future redevelopment may be reasonably anticipated to achieve the desired reductions in lieu of reliance upon structural controls by the permittee,
- viii. Estimated cost of the planned structural controls to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
- ix. Scale of structural BMP controls required and phasing considerations with other capital improvement projects that are being implemented by the permittee or other parties that impact the permittee, municipality or relevant taxpayers or ratepayers,
- x. Affordability for taxpayers or ratepayers (as applicable), including a projection of sources and uses of funds, taking into consideration existing or potential financial capability and funding mechanisms (e.g., property taxes, stormwater rate changes, or stormwater utility fees),
- xi. Other relevant information, and
- xii. A requested schedule to meet all phosphorus reduction requirements in Table F-5.

## 2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the PCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

- a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr ( $P_{NSred}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F

- b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
  - a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
  - b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr ( $P_{Sred}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
  - c. Date of last completed maintenance and inspection for each Structural control
- c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred since 2005 ( $P_{DEVinc}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
- d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the PCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation 2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with the applicable phosphorus reduction milestones.

$$P_{exp} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) = P_{base} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) - \left( P_{Sred} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) + P_{NSred} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) \right) + P_{DEVinc} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right)$$

**Equation 1. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen PCP Area.  $P_{exp}$ =Current phosphorus export rate from the PCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{base}$ =baseline phosphorus export rate from PCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{Sred}$ = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{NSred}$ = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the PCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{DEVinc}$ = yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since 2005 in the PCP Area in mass/year.**

- e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

*I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.*

- f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see <http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf>).

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.I.1. as follows.
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:



Schedule Request within 90 days of the close of the public comment period, the Alternative Schedule Request shall be deemed approved.

- b. Any action by EPA approving or denying an Alternative Schedule Request is a final agency action that shall be subject to judicial review in federal district court.
- c. When the permittee meets the conditions in Appendix F part A.I.4.a, the permittee shall incorporate the approved Alternative Schedule Request and the approval date in its PCP. An approved Alternative Schedule Request will supersede any remaining schedules and milestones for the phase for which schedule adjustment is requested and approved. The permittee shall:
  - i. Identify in its PCP all activities implemented to date in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.I and conducted to reduce phosphorus in its discharges pursuant to the submitted Alternative Schedule Request, including non-structural BMP planning and implementation schedules and any structural BMP maintenance requirements; and
  - ii. Continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I required to be implemented prior to the date of Alternative Schedule Request approval, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications
  - iii. Continue to implement their PCP, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F Part A.I.2 remain in place.

**II. Lake and Pond Phosphorus TMDL Requirements**

Between 1999 and 2010 EPA has approved 13 Lake TMDLs<sup>17</sup> completed by MassDEP covering 78 lakes and ponds within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-6 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Permittees that operate regulated MS4s (traditional and non-traditional) that discharge to the identified impaired waters or their tributaries must reduce phosphorus discharges to support achievement of phosphorus load reductions identified in the TMDLs. To address phosphorus, all permittees with a phosphorus reduction requirement greater than 0% shall develop a Lake Phosphorus Control Plan (LPCP) designed to reduce the amount of phosphorus in stormwater discharges from its MS4 to the impaired waterbody or its tributaries in accordance with the phosphorus load reduction requirements set forth in Table F-6 below. Permittees discharging to waterbodies in Table F-6 with an associated 0% Phosphorus Required Percent Reduction are subject to Appendix F part II.2.f and are relieved of the requirements of Appendix F part II.1.i through Appendix F part II.2.e Table F-6 identifies the primary municipalities<sup>18</sup> located within the watershed of the respective lake or pond and the percent phosphorus reductions necessary from urban stormwater sources. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a lake or pond listed in Table F-6 or its tributaries is subject to the same phosphorus percent reduction requirements associated with that lake or pond.

<b>Primary Municipality</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>	<b>Required Percent Reduction</b>
Auburn	Leesville Pond	31%
	Auburn Pond	24%
	Eddy Pond	0%
	Pondville Pond	8%
	Stoneville Pond	3%
Charlton	Buffumville Lake	28%
	Dresser Hill Pond	17%
	Gore Pond	14%
	Granite Reservoir	11%
	Jones Pond	13%
	Pierpoint Meadow Pond	27%

<sup>17</sup> Final TMDLs for lakes and ponds in the Northern Blackstone River Watershed, Chicopee Basin, Connecticut Basin, French Basin, Millers Basin and Bare Hill Pond, Flint Pond, Indian Lake, Lake Boon, Leesville Pond, Salisbury Pond, White Island Pond, Quaboag Pond and Quacumquasit Pond can be found here: <http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html>

<sup>18</sup> Primary municipalities indicate the municipality in which the majority of the lake or pond is located but does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has urbanized area that discharges to the lake or pond or its tributaries.

<b>Primary Municipality</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>	<b>Required Percent Reduction</b>
	Pikes Pond	38%
Dudley	Gore Pond	14%
	Larner Pond	55%
	New Pond	56%
	Pierpoint Meadow Pond	27%
	Shepherd Pond	25%
	Tobins Pond	62%
	Wallis Pond	54%
Gardner	Hilchey Pond	27%
	Parker Pond	47%
	Bents Pond	52%
	Ramsdall Pond	49%
Grafton	Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond	49%
Granby	Aldrich Lake East	0%
Hadley	Lake Warner	24%
Harvard	Bare Hill Pond	2%
Hudson	Lake Boon	28%
Leicester	Smiths Pond	30%
	Southwick Pond	64%
	Cedar Meadow Pond	17%
	Dutton Pond	23%
	Greenville Pond	14%
	Rochdale Pond	8%
Ludlow	Minechoag Pond	48%
Millbury	Brierly Pond	14%
	Dorothy Pond	1%
	Howe Reservoir	48%
Oxford	Buffumville Lake	28%
	Hudson Pond	37%
	Lowes Pond	51%
	McKinstry Pond	79%
	Robinson Pond	8%
	Texas Pond	21%
Shrewsbury	Flint Pond/Lake Quinsigamond	49%
	Jordan Pond	60%
	Mill Pond	43%

<b>Primary Municipality</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>	<b>Required Percent Reduction</b>
	Newton Pond	19%
	Shirley Street Pond	30%
Spencer	Quaboag Pond	29%
	Quacumquasit Pond	2%
	Jones Pond	13%
	Sugden Reservoir	31%
Springfield	Loon Pond	10%
	Long Pond	56%
	Mona Lake	57%
Stow	Lake Boon	28%
Templeton	Brazell Pond	62%
	Depot Pond	50%
	Bourn-Hadley Pond	49%
	Greenwood Pond 2	56%
Wilbraham	Spectacle Pond	45%
Winchendon	Lake Denison	22%
	Stoddard Pond	24%
	Whitney Pond	16%
	Whites Mill Pond	21%

**Table F-6: Phosphorus impaired Lakes or Ponds subject to a TMDL along with primary municipality and required percent reduction of phosphorus from urban stormwater sources**

- i. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:
  - a. LPCP Implementation Schedule – The permittee shall complete its LPCP and fully implement all of the control measures in its LPCP as soon as possible but no later than 15 years after the effective date of the permit.
  - b. The LPCP shall be implemented in accordance with the following schedule and contain the following elements:

<b>Number</b>	<b>LPCP Component and Milestones</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>
1	Legal Analysis	2 years after permit effective date
2	Funding source assessment	3 years after permit effective date

3	Define LPCP scope (LPCP Area)	4 years after permit effective date
4	Calculate Baseline Phosphorus, Allowable Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement	4 years after permit effective date
5	Description of planned nonstructural and structural controls	5 years after permit effective date
6	Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program	5 years after permit effective date
7	Implementation schedule	5 years after permit effective date
8	Cost and Funding Source Assessment	5 years after permit effective date
9	Complete written LPCP	5 years after permit effective date
10	Full implementation of nonstructural controls.	6 years after permit effective date
11	Performance Evaluation.	6 and 7 years after permit effective date
12	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (<math>P_{allow}</math>) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (<math>P_{RR}</math>) multiplied by 0.80  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.80)</math> </li> </ol>	8 years after permit effective date
13	Performance Evaluation	9 years after permit effective date
14	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Performance Evaluation.</li> <li>Update LPCP</li> <li>Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate (<math>P_{exp}</math>) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load (<math>P_{allow}</math>) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement (<math>P_{RR}</math>) multiplied by 0.60  <math display="block">P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.60)</math>                     OR that the permittee has reduced their phosphorus export rate by 30kg/year (whichever is greater, unless full Phosphorus Reduction Requirement has been met)                 </li> </ol>	10 years after permit effective date
15	Performance Evaluation	11 and 12 years after permit effective date

16	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load( $P_{allow}$ ) plus the applicable Phosphorus Reduction Requirement ( $P_{RR}$ ) multiplied by 0.30 $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow} + (P_{RR} \times 0.30)$	13years after permit effective date
17	Performance Evaluation	14 years after permit effective date
18	1. Performance Evaluation. 2. Full implementation of all structural controls used to demonstrate that the total phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the LPCP Area in mass/yr is equal to or less than the applicable Allowable Phosphorus Load( $P_{allow}$ ) $P_{exp} \leq P_{allow}$	15years after permit effective date

**Table F-7: LPCP components and milestones**

c. Description of LPCP Components:

Legal Analysis- The permittee shall develop and implement an analysis that identifies existing regulatory mechanisms available to the MS4 such as by-laws and ordinances and describes any changes to these regulatory mechanisms that may be necessary to effectively implement the LPCP. This may include the creation or amendment of financial and regulatory authorities. The permittee shall adopt necessary regulatory changes by the end of the permit term.

Scope of the LPCP (LPCP Area) - The permittee shall indicate the area in which the permittee plans to implement the LPCP, this area is known as the “LPCP Area”. The permittee must choose one of the following: 1) to implement its LPCP in the entire area within its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody (for a municipality this would be the municipal boundary) or 2) to implement its LPCP in only the urbanized area portion of its jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its entire jurisdiction discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee may demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur both inside and outside the urbanized area. If the permittee chooses to implement the LPCP in its urbanized area only discharging to the impaired waterbody, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the Phosphorus Reduction Requirement and Allowable Phosphorus Load requirements applicable to it through structural and non-structural controls on discharges that occur within the urbanized area only.

Calculate Baseline Phosphorus Load ( $P_{base}$ ), Phosphorus Reduction Requirement ( $P_{RR}$ ) and Allowable Phosphorus Load ( $P_{allow}$ ) –Permittees shall calculate their numerical Allowable Phosphorus Load and Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr by first estimating their Baseline Phosphorus Load in mass/yr from its LPCP Area consistent with the methodology in Attachment 1 to Appendix F, the baseline shall only be estimated using land use phosphorus export coefficients in Attachment 1 to Appendix F and not account for phosphorus reductions resulting from implemented structural BMPs completed to date. Table F-6 contains the percent phosphorus reduction required from urban stormwater consistent with the TMDL of each impaired waterbody. The permittee shall apply the applicable required percent reduction in Table F-6 to the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Allowable Phosphorus Load. The Allowable Phosphorus Load shall then be subtracted from the Baseline Phosphorus Load to obtain the permittee specific Phosphorus Reduction Requirement in mass/yr.

Description of planned non-structural controls – The permittee shall describe the non-structural stormwater control measures to be implemented to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7. The description of non-structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions that are expected to result from their implementation. Annual phosphorus reduction from non-structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned non-structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of planned structural controls – The permittee shall develop a priority ranking of areas and infrastructure within the municipality for potential implementation of phosphorus control practices. The ranking shall be developed through the use of available screening and monitoring results collected during the permit term either by the permittee or another entity and the mapping required pursuant to part 2.3.4.6 of the Permit. The permittee shall also include in this prioritization a detailed assessment of site suitability for potential phosphorus control measures based on soil types and other factors. The permittee shall coordinate this activity with the requirements of part 2.3.6.8.b of the Permit. A description and the result of this priority ranking shall be included in the LPCP. The permittee shall describe the structural stormwater control measures necessary to support achievement of the milestones. in Table F-7. The description of structural controls shall include the planned measures, the areas where the measures will be implemented, and the annual phosphorus reductions in units of mass/yr that are expected to result from their implementation. Structural measures to be implemented by a third party may be included in the LPCP. Annual phosphorus reduction from structural BMPs shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall update the description of planned structural controls as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Description of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Program for all planned and existing structural BMPs – The permittee shall establish an Operation and Maintenance Program for all structural BMPs being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit. This includes BMPs implemented to date as well as BMPs to be implemented. . . The Operation and Maintenance Program shall become part of the LPCP and include: (1) inspection and maintenance schedule for each BMP according to BMP design or manufacturer specification and (2) program or department responsible for BMP maintenance.

Implementation Schedule – An initial schedule for implementing the BMPs, including, as appropriate: funding, training, purchasing, construction, inspections, monitoring, O&M and other assessment and evaluation components of implementation. Implementation of planned BMPs must begin upon completion of the LPCP, and all non-structural BMPs shall be fully implemented within six years of the permit effective date. Where planned structural BMP retrofits or major drainage infrastructure projects are expected to take additional time to construct, the permittee shall within four years of the effective date of the permit have a schedule for completion of construction consistent with the reduction requirements in Table F-7. The permittee shall complete the implementation of its LPCP as soon as possible or at a minimum in accordance with the milestones set forth in Table F-7. The implementation schedule shall be updated as needed to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7, including an update in the updated written LPCP 10 years after the permit effective date.

Cost and funding source assessment – The permittee shall estimate the cost for implementing its LPCP and describe known and anticipated funding mechanisms. The permittee shall describe the steps it will take to implement its funding plan. This may include but is not limited to conceptual development, outreach to affected parties, and development of legal authorities

Complete written LPCP – The permittee must complete the written LPCP 4 years after permit effective date. The complete LPCP shall include item numbers 1-8 in Table F-7. The permittee shall make the LPCP available to the public for public comment during the LPCP development. EPA encourages the permittee to post the LPCP online to facilitate public involvement. The LPCP shall be updated as needed with an update 10 years after the permit effective date at a minimum to reflect changes in BMP implementation to support achievement of the phosphorus export milestones in Table F-7. The updated LPCP shall build upon the original LPCP and include additional or new BMPs the permittee will use to support the achievement of the milestones in Table F-7.

Performance Evaluation – The permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the LPCP by tracking the phosphorus reductions achieved through implementation of structural and non-structural BMPs<sup>19</sup> and tracking increases in phosphorus loading

---

<sup>19</sup> In meeting its phosphorus reduction requirements a permittee may quantify phosphorus reductions by actions undertaken by another entity, except where those actions are credited to MassDOT or another permittee identified in Appendix F Table F-7

from the LPCP Area beginning six years after the effective date of the permit. Phosphorus reductions shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 2 (non-structural BMP performance), Attachment 3 (structural BMP performance) and Attachment 1 (reductions through land use change), to Appendix F for all BMPs implemented to date.<sup>20</sup> Phosphorus load increases resulting from development shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F. Phosphorus loading increases and reductions in units of mass/yr shall be added or subtracted from the calculated Baseline Phosphorus Load to estimate the yearly phosphorous export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/yr. The permittee shall also include all information required in part II.2 of this Appendix in each performance evaluation.

Alternative Schedule Request– If the permittee determines that the schedule to meet required phosphorus reductions contained in items 12, 14, 16 or 18 in Table F-7 is impracticable, the permittee may submit to EPA and MassDEP an Alternative Schedule Request to meet the phosphorus reduction requirements in items 12, 14, 16 or 18 in Table F-7 on the shortest schedule that is achievable considering the factors below.<sup>21</sup>

- a. The Alternative Schedule Request shall include an analysis demonstrating that the schedule to meet phosphorus reduction requirements in items 12, 14, 16 or 18 in Table F-7 is impracticable, EPA expects that an Alternative Schedule Request to meet the phosphorus reduction requirement in item number 12 in Table F-7 would only be submitted in extraordinary circumstances and would occur rarely, where meeting the phosphorus reductions in number 12 in Table F-7 is unaffordable<sup>22</sup>. All Alternative Schedule Requests must include, where relevant, the following:
  - i. A narrative of the reasons for the permittee’s request for an alternative schedule, including information demonstrating the applicant’s efforts and extent of progress made toward meeting required phosphorus reductions in Table F-7,
  - ii. A description of the planned structural controls to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
  - iii. Suitability and availability of areas for siting and constructing structural controls, including, if appropriate, a review of third-party partnerships considered for within-watershed structural control sites,
  - iv. Access and acquisition of real property rights for constructing and maintaining structural controls,

---

<sup>20</sup> Annual phosphorus reductions from structural BMPs installed in the LPCP Area prior to the effective date of this permit shall be calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. Phosphorus Reduction Credit for previously installed BMPs will only be given if the Permittee demonstrates that the BMP is performing up to design specifications and certifies that the BMP is properly maintained and inspected according to manufacturer design or specifications. This certification shall be part of the annual performance evaluation during the year credit is claimed for the previously installed BMP.

<sup>21</sup> See part A.II.4 for information regarding the Alternative Schedule Request submittal and review process.

<sup>22</sup> EPA notes that such expectation regarding infrequency does not constitute or establish an additional criterion for the applicant to satisfy

- v. Timelines for the permittee's planning, design, financing, easement or property interest acquisition, and procurement for and construction of structural controls,
- vi. Timelines for and constraints due to the federal, state and/or local approval(s) and permitting processes for structural controls,
- vii. Anticipated phosphorus reductions due to the rate of redevelopment within the community and the degree to which future redevelopment may be reasonably anticipated to achieve the desired reductions in lieu of reliance upon structural controls by the permittee,
- viii. Estimated cost of the planned structural controls to meet applicable phosphorus reduction milestones,
- ix. Scale of structural BMP controls required and phasing considerations with other capital improvement projects that are being implemented by the permittee or other parties that impact the permittee, municipality or relevant taxpayers or ratepayers,
- x. Affordability for taxpayers or ratepayers (as applicable), including a projection of sources and uses of funds, taking into consideration existing or potential financial capability and funding mechanisms (e.g., property taxes, stormwater rate changes, or stormwater utility fees),
- xi. Other relevant information, and
- xii. A requested schedule to meet all phosphorus reduction requirements from which relief is sought.

## 2. Reporting

Beginning 1 year after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include a progress report in each annual report on the planning and implementation of the LPCP.

Beginning five (5) years after the permit effective date, the permittee shall include the following in each annual report submitted pursuant to part 4.4 of the Permit:

- a. All non-structural control measures implemented during the reporting year along with the phosphorus reduction in mass/yr ( $P_{NSred}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 2 to Appendix F
- b. Structural controls implemented during the reporting year and all previous years including:
  - a. Location information of structural BMPs (GPS coordinates or street address)
  - b. Phosphorus reduction from all structural BMPs implemented to date in mass/yr ( $P_{Sred}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F
  - c. Date of last completed maintenance for each Structural control
- c. Phosphorus load increases due to development over the previous reporting period and incurred to date ( $P_{DEVinc}$ ) calculated consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix F.
- d. Estimated yearly phosphorus export rate ( $P_{exp}$ ) from the LPCP Area calculated using Equation 2. Equation 2 calculates the yearly phosphorus export rate by subtracting yearly phosphorus reductions through implemented nonstructural controls and structural controls to date from the Baseline Phosphorus Load and adding loading increases incurred through development to date. This equation shall be used to demonstrate compliance with applicable phosphorus reduction milestones.

$$P_{exp} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) = P_{base} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) - \left( P_{Sred} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) + P_{NSred} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right) \right) + P_{DEVinc} \left( \frac{\text{mass}}{\text{yr}} \right)$$

**Equation 2. Equation used to calculate yearly phosphorus export rate from the chosen LPCP Area.  $P_{exp}$ =Current phosphorus export rate from the LPCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{base}$ =baseline phosphorus export rate from LPCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{Sred}$ = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year.  $P_{NSred}$ = yearly phosphorus reduction from implemented non-structural controls in the LPCP Area in mass/year. Area in mass/year.  $P_{DEVinc}$ = yearly phosphorus increase resulting from development since the year baseline loading was calculated in the LPCP Area in mass/year.**

- e. Certification that all structural BMPs are being inspected and maintained according to the O&M program specified as part of the PCP. The certification statement shall be:

*I certify under penalty of law that all source control and treatment Best Management Practices being claimed for phosphorus reduction credit have been inspected, maintained and repaired in accordance with manufacturer or design specification. I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all Best Management Practices being claimed for a phosphorus reduction credit are performing as originally designed.*

- f. Certification that all municipally owned and maintained turf grass areas are being managed in accordance with Massachusetts Regulation 331 CMR 31 pertaining to proper use of fertilizers on turf grasses (see <http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/300-399cmr/330cmr31.pdf>).

3. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.II.1. as follows:
- a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
  - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of

identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F part A.II.2. remain in place.

4. The permittee may be relieved of the schedules and milestones contained in Table F-7 as follows:
  - a. The permittee is relieved of the applicable schedules and milestones when all the following conditions are met:
    - i. The permittee has submitted an Alternative Schedule Request package to EPA and MassDEP.<sup>23,24</sup>
    - ii. EPA has determined the Alternative Schedule Request submittal is complete. The Alternative Schedule Request will be deemed complete 30 days from submittal, unless EPA requests additional information from the permittee.
    - iii. Following a 30-day public comment period on the complete Alternative Schedule Request, EPA approves the request in writing.<sup>25</sup> If EPA has not acted to approve, modify with permittee consent, or deny an Alternative Schedule Request within 90 days of the close of the public comment period, the Alternative Schedule Request shall be deemed approved.
  - b. Any action by EPA approving or denying an Alternative Schedule Request is a final agency action that shall be subject to judicial review in federal district court.
  - c. When the permittee meets the conditions in Appendix F part A.II.4.a, the permittee shall incorporate the approved Alternative Schedule Request and the approval date in its LPCP. An approved Alternative Schedule Request will supersede any remaining schedules and milestones in Table F-7. The permittee shall:
    - i. Identify in its LPCP all activities implemented to date in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.II and conducted to reduce phosphorus in its discharges pursuant to the submitted Alternative Schedule Request, including non-structural BMP planning and implementation schedules and any structural BMP maintenance requirements;
    - ii. Continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.II required to be implemented prior to the date of Alternative Schedule Request approval, including ongoing implementation of identified non-

---

<sup>23</sup> Alternative Schedule Request package must be made available to the public consistent with 2.3.3. of the permit.

<sup>24</sup> Submittal of an alternative schedule request does not relieve the permittee of noncompliance and potential enforcement for failure to comply with any permit requirements prior to the date of approval of an Alternative Schedule.

<sup>25</sup> EPA expects that an Alternative Schedule Request by a permittee that at the time of such request is in non-compliance with applicable Table F-7 phosphorus reduction percentages would be denied unless the permittee provides information regarding its phosphorus reduction efforts that EPA finds acceptable for this purpose.

- structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications and
- iii. Continue to implement their LPCP, and the reporting requirements of Appendix F Part A.II.2 remain in place.

**III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements**

There are currently approved 16 approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or mixed pathogen (fecal coliform, E. coli, and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbodies in Massachusetts.<sup>26</sup> Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that discharges to a waterbody segment in Table F-8 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities listed in Table F-8 and/or that discharge to a waterbody listed on Table F-8 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
      1. part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.IV, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
      2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

<b>Primary Municipality</b>	<b>Segment ID</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>	<b>Indicator Organism</b>
Abington	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Abington	MA62-33	Shumatuscant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Acushnet	MA95-31	Acushnet River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

<sup>26</sup> Final bacteria or pathogen TMDLs can be found here: <http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html>

Acushnet	MA95-32	Acushnet River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Acushnet	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-04	Rogers Brook	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-15	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Andover	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Avon	MA62-07	Trout Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Barnstable	MA96-01	Barnstable Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-02	Bumps River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-04	Centerville River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-05	Hyannis Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-06	Maraspin Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-07	Prince Cove	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-08	Shoestring Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-36	Lewis Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-37	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-63	Cotuit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-64	Seapuit River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-66	North Bay	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-81	Snows Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-82	Hyannis Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-92	Santuit River	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-93	Halls Creek	Fecal Coliform
Barnstable	MA96-94	Stewarts Creek	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-01	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-08	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-10	Kiln Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-14	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Bedford	MA83-17	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bellingham	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Bellingham	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Belmont	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Berkley	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Berkley	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Berkley	MA62-20	Assonet River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-08	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Beverly	MA93-20	Beverly Harbor	Fecal Coliform

Beverly	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Billerica	MA83-14	Spring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Billerica	MA83-17	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Billerica	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-01	Buttermilk Bay	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-14	Cape Cod Canal	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-15	Phinneys Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-16	Pocasset River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-17	Pocasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-18	Red Brook Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-47	Back River	Fecal Coliform
Bourne	MA95-48	Eel Pond	Fecal Coliform
Brewster	MA96-09	Quivett Creek	Fecal Coliform
Brewster	MA96-27	Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform
Bridgewater	MA62-32	Matfield River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-05	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-07	Trout Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-08	Salisbury Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brockton	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Brookline	MA72-11	Muddy River	Pathogens
Burlington	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Burlington	MA83-11	Long Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Burlington	MA83-13	Sandy Brook	Fecal Coliform
Cambridge	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Cambridge	MA72-38	Charles River	Pathogens
Canton	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Canton	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-05	East Branch	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-20	Beaver Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-22	Pequid Brook	Fecal Coliform
Canton	MA73-25	Pecunit Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Canton	MA73-27	Ponkapog Brook	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-11	Stage Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-41	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-42	Taylors Pond	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-43	Harding Beach Pond	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-44	Bucks Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-45	Oyster Pond	Fecal Coliform

Chatham	MA96-46	Oyster Pond River	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-49	Frost Fish Creek	Pathogens
Chatham	MA96-50	Ryder Cove	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-51	Muddy Creek	Pathogens
Chatham	MA96-79	Cockle Cove Creek	Fecal Coliform
Chatham	MA96-79	Cockle Cove Creek	Enterococcus Bacteria
Cohasset	MA94-01	Cohasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-19	The Gulf	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-20	Little Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Cohasset	MA94-32	Cohasset Cove	Fecal Coliform
Concord	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-01	Waters River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-02	Crane Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Danvers	MA93-04	Porter River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Danvers	MA93-36	Frost Fish Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Danvers	MA93-41	Crane River	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-13	Buttonwood Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dartmouth	MA95-34	Slocums River	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-38	Clarks Cove	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-39	Apponagansett Bay	Fecal Coliform
Dartmouth	MA95-40	East Branch Westport River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dartmouth	MA95-62	Buzzards Bay	Fecal Coliform
Dedham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Dedham	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Dedham	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-09	Quivett Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-12	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-13	Sesuit Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-14	Swan Pond River	Fecal Coliform
Dennis	MA96-35	Chase Garden Creek	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-50	Broad Cove	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-51	Muddy Cove Brook	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-55	Segreganset River	Fecal Coliform
Dighton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Dighton	MA62-57	Three Mile River	Fecal Coliform
Dover	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Dover	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens

Duxbury	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Duxbury	MA94-30	Bluefish River	Fecal Coliform
East Bridgewater	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-32	Matfield River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-33	Shumatuscancant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
East Bridgewater	MA62-38	Meadow Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Eastham	MA96-15	Boat Meadow River	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-16	Rock Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-34	Wellfleet Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Eastham	MA96-68	Town Cove	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-11	Essex River	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Essex	MA93-45	Alewife Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Essex	MA93-46	Alewife Brook	Fecal Coliform
Everett	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Fairhaven	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-42	New Bedford Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-62	Buzzards Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-63	Outer New Bedford Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-64	Little Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fairhaven	MA95-65	Nasketucket Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fall River	MA61-06	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Fall River	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-20	Wild Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-21	Herring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-22	West Falmouth Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-23	Great Sippewisset Creek	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-24	Little Sippewisset Marsh	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-25	Quissett Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA95-46	Harbor Head	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-17	Falmouth Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-18	Great Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-19	Little Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-20	Quashnet River	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-21	Waquoit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-53	Perch Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-54	Great Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-55	Green Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-56	Little Pond	Fecal Coliform

Falmouth	MA96-57	Bournes Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-58	Hamblin Pond	Fecal Coliform
Falmouth	MA96-62	Oyster Pond	Fecal Coliform
Foxborough	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Foxborough	MA62-47	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Foxborough	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Foxborough	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Franklin	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Freetown	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Freetown	MA62-20	Assonet River	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-12	Annisquam River	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-18	Gloucester Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Gloucester	MA93-28	Mill River	Fecal Coliform
Hanover	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Hanover	MA94-21	Drinkwater River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanover	MA94-24	Iron Mine Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanover	MA94-27	Third Herring Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Hanson	MA62-33	Shumatuscant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Harwich	MA96-22	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Harwich	MA96-23	Saquatucket Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Harwich	MA96-51	Muddy Creek	Pathogens
Holliston	MA72-16	Bogastow Brook	Pathogens
Hopedale	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Hopkinton	MA72-01	Charles River	Pathogens
Ipswich	MA93-16	Essex Bay	Fecal Coliform
Kingston	MA94-14	Jones River	Fecal Coliform
Kingston	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Lawrence	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Lexington	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Lexington	MA83-06	Vine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lexington	MA83-10	Kiln Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lincoln	MA83-05	Elm Brook	Fecal Coliform
Lincoln	MA83-08	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Lynn	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Lynnfield	MA93-30	Beaverdam Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Lynnfield	MA93-32	Hawkes Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Lynnfield	MA93-34	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

Lynnfield	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Malden	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Manchester	MA93-19	Manchester Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Manchester	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Manchester	MA93-29	Cat Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Manchester	MA93-47	Causeway Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mansfield	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mansfield	MA62-47	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Mansfield	MA62-49	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Marblehead	MA93-21	Salem Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marblehead	MA93-22	Marblehead Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marblehead	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-05	Weweantic River	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-07	Sippican River	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-08	Sippican Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-09	Aucoot Cove	Fecal Coliform
Marion	MA95-56	Hammett Cove	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-06	North River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-09	South River	Fecal Coliform
Marshfield	MA94-11	Green Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-08	Shoestring Bay	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-21	Waquoit Bay	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-24	Mashpee River	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-39	Popponesset Creek	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-58	Hamblin Pond	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-61	Little River	Fecal Coliform
Mashpee	MA96-92	Santuit River	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-09	Aucoot Cove	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-10	Hiller Cove	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-35	Mattapoissett Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-60	Mattapoissett River	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-61	Eel Pond	Fecal Coliform
Mattapoissett	MA95-65	Nasketucket Bay	Fecal Coliform
Medfield	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Medfield	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
Medfield	MA73-09	Mine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Medway	MA72-04	Charles River	Pathogens
Medway	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Melrose	MA93-48	Bennetts Pond Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

Mendon	MA72-03	Charles River	Pathogens
Milford	MA72-01	Charles River	Pathogens
Millis	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Millis	MA72-16	Bogastow Brook	Pathogens
Milton	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-03	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-04	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-26	Unquity Brook	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-29	Pine Tree Brook	Fecal Coliform
Milton	MA73-30	Gulliver Creek	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Nahant	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Natick	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Natick	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-18	Fuller Brook	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Needham	MA72-25	Rosemary Brook	Pathogens
New Bedford	MA95-13	Buttonwood Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
New Bedford	MA95-33	Acushnet River	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-38	Clarks Cove	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-42	New Bedford Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform
New Bedford	MA95-63	Outer New Bedford Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Newton	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-23	Sawmill Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-24	South Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-29	Cheese Cake Brook	Pathogens
Newton	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Norfolk	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens
Norfolk	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
North Andover	MA83-19	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Norton	MA62-49	Wading River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norwell	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Norwell	MA94-27	Third Herring Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Norwell	MA94-31	Second Herring Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

Norwood	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-15	Germany Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-16	Hawes Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-24	Purgatory Brook	Fecal Coliform
Norwood	MA73-33	Unnamed Tributary	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Orleans	MA96-16	Rock Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-26	Little Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-27	Namskaket Creek	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-68	Town Cove	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-72	Paw Wah Pond	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-73	Pochet Neck	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-76	The River	Fecal Coliform
Orleans	MA96-78	Little Pleasant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Peabody	MA93-01	Waters River	Fecal Coliform
Peabody	MA93-05	Goldthwait Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Peabody	MA93-39	Proctor Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Pembroke	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-15	Duxbury Bay	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-16	Plymouth Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Plymouth	MA94-34	Ellisville Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Raynham	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Rehoboth	MA53-03	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-04	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-05	Palmer River	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-07	Palmer River - West Branch	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-08	Palmer River - East Branch	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-09	Rumney Marsh Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-10	Beaver Dam Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-11	Bad Luck Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-12	Fullers Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-13	Clear Run Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-14	Torrey Creek	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-15	Old Swamp Brook	Pathogens
Rehoboth	MA53-16	Rocky Run	Pathogens
Revere	MA93-15	Pines River	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-51	Unnamed Tributary	Enterococcus Bacteria
Revere	MA93-52	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Revere	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform

Rockland	MA94-03	French Stream	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Rockport	MA93-17	Rockport Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-09	Danvers River	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-20	Beverly Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-21	Salem Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-25	Salem Sound	Fecal Coliform
Salem	MA93-39	Proctor Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Salem	MA93-40	Proctor Brook	Enterococcus Bacteria
Salem	MA93-42	North River	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA95-14	Cape Cod Canal	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-30	Scorton Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-84	Old Harbor Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-85	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-86	Dock Creek	Fecal Coliform
Sandwich	MA96-87	Springhill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-15	Pines River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-33	Hawkes Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-43	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-44	Saugus River	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-48	Bennetts Pond Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Saugus	MA93-49	Shute Brook	Fecal Coliform
Saugus	MA93-50	Shute Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Scituate	MA94-01	Cohasset Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-02	Scituate Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-05	North River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-06	North River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-07	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-09	South River	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-19	The Gulf	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-32	Cohasset Cove	Fecal Coliform
Scituate	MA94-33	Musquashcut Pond	Fecal Coliform
Seekonk	MA53-01	Runnins River	Fecal Coliform
Seekonk	MA53-12	Fullers Brook	Pathogens
Seekonk	MA53-13	Clear Run Brook	Pathogens
Seekonk	MA53-14	Torrey Creek	Pathogens
Sharon	MA62-39	Rumford River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Sharon	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Sharon	MA73-31	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Sherborn	MA72-05	Charles River	Pathogens

Somerset	MA61-01	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA61-02	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA61-06	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-03	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-04	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Somerset	MA62-50	Broad Cove	Fecal Coliform
Stoughton	MA73-20	Beaver Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Stoughton	MA73-32	Unnamed Tributary	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Swampscott	MA93-24	Nahant Bay	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA53-03	Palmer River	Pathogens
Swansea	MA53-06	Warren River Pond	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA53-16	Rocky Run	Pathogens
Swansea	MA61-01	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-02	Lee River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-04	Cole River	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-07	Mount Hope Bay	Fecal Coliform
Swansea	MA61-08	Kickemuit River	Pathogens
Taunton	MA62-02	Taunton River	Fecal Coliform
Taunton	MA62-56	Three Mile River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Taunton	MA62-57	Three Mile River	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-07	Strong Water Brook	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-15	Unnamed Tributary	Fecal Coliform
Tewksbury	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Wakefield	MA93-31	Mill River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wakefield	MA93-34	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wakefield	MA93-35	Saugus River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Walpole	MA72-10	Stop River	Pathogens
Walpole	MA73-01	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-01	Neponset River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Walpole	MA73-06	School Meadow Brook	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-09	Mine Brook	Fecal Coliform
Walpole	MA73-17	Traphole Brook	Fecal Coliform
Waltham	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Waltham	MA72-28	Beaver Brook	Pathogens
Wareham	MA95-01	Buttermilk Bay	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-02	Onset Bay	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-03	Wareham River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-05	Weweantic River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-07	Sippican River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-29	Agawam River	Fecal Coliform

Wareham	MA95-49	Broad Marsh River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-50	Wankinco River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-51	Crooked River	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-52	Cedar Island Creek	Fecal Coliform
Wareham	MA95-53	Beaverdam Creek	Fecal Coliform
Watertown	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-30	Unnamed Tributary	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-32	Unnamed Tributary	Pathogens
Watertown	MA72-36	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-06	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-18	Fuller Brook	Pathogens
Wellesley	MA72-25	Rosemary Brook	Pathogens
Wellfleet	MA96-32	Duck Creek	Fecal Coliform
Wellfleet	MA96-33	Herring River	Fecal Coliform
Wellfleet	MA96-34	Wellfleet Harbor	Fecal Coliform
West Bridgewater	MA62-06	Salisbury Plain River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Weston	MA72-07	Charles River	Pathogens
Westport	MA95-37	West Branch Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-40	East Branch Westport River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-41	East Branch Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-44	Snell Creek	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-45	Snell Creek	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-54	Westport River	Fecal Coliform
Westport	MA95-58	Bread And Cheese Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westport	MA95-59	Snell Creek	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA72-21	Rock Meadow Brook	Pathogens
Westwood	MA73-02	Neponset River	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-15	Germany Brook	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-24	Purgatory Brook	Fecal Coliform
Westwood	MA73-25	Pecunit Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Westwood	MA73-27	Ponkapog Brook	Fecal Coliform
Whitman	MA62-09	Beaver Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Whitman	MA62-33	Shumatuscant River	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Whitman	MA62-38	Meadow Brook	Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)
Wilmington	MA83-18	Shawsheen River	Fecal Coliform
Winthrop	MA93-53	Lynn Harbor	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-12	Bass River	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-35	Chase Garden Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-36	Lewis Bay	Fecal Coliform

Yarmouth	MA96-37	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-38	Parkers River	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-80	Mill Creek	Fecal Coliform
Yarmouth	MA96-82	Hyannis Inner Harbor	Fecal Coliform

**Table F-8: Bacteria or pathogens impaired waterbody names and segment IDs along with primary municipality and indicator organism identified by the applicable TMDL. The term primary municipality indicates the municipality in which the majority of the segment is located, but does not necessarily indicate each municipality that has regulated discharges to the waterbody segment.**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.III.1. as follows:
  - a. The permittee is relieved of additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable to the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
  - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any additional remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

#### IV. Cape Cod Nitrogen TMDL Requirements

There are 19 approved TMDLs for nitrogen for various watersheds, ponds and bays on Cape Cod.<sup>27</sup> The following measures are needed to ensure that current nitrogen loads from MS4 stormwater discharged into the impaired waterbodies do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-9 or any other MS4 (traditional and non-traditional) that discharges to any waterbody listed in Table F-9 or their tributaries shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
      1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.V, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
      2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking

---

<sup>27</sup> Final nitrogen TMDLs for Cape Cod can be found here:

<http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/water/watersheds/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls.html>

under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>
Barnstable	Centerville River
Barnstable	Popponesset Bay
Barnstable	Shoestring Bay
Barnstable	Cotuit Bay
Barnstable	North Bay
Barnstable	Prince Cove
Barnstable	West Bay
Barnstable	Hyannis Inner Harbor
Barnstable	Lewis Bay
Bourne	Phinneys Harbor
Chatham	Crows Pond
Chatham	Bucks Creek
Chatham	Harding Beach Pond
Chatham	Mill Creek
Chatham	Mill Pond
Chatham	Oyster Pond
Chatham	Oyster Pond River
Chatham	Stage Harbor
Chatham	Taylors Pond
Chatham	Frost Fish Creek
Chatham	Ryder Cove
Falmouth	Bournes Pond
Falmouth	Great Pond
Falmouth	Green Pond
Falmouth	Perch Pond

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Waterbody Name</b>
Falmouth	Little Pond
Falmouth	Oyster Pond
Falmouth	Quashnet River
Falmouth	Inner West Falmouth Harbor
Falmouth	West Falmouth Harbor
Falmouth	Snug Harbor
Falmouth	Harbor Head
Harwich	Muddy Creek - Lower
Harwich	Muddy Creek - Upper
Harwich	Round Cove
Mashpee	Mashpee River
Mashpee	Great River
Mashpee	Hamblin Pond
Mashpee	Jehu Pond
Mashpee	Little River
Orleans	Areys Pond
Orleans	Little Pleasant Bay
Orleans	Namequoit River
Orleans	Paw Wah Pond
Orleans	Pleasant Bay
Orleans	Pochet Neck
Orleans	Quanset Pond
Yarmouth	Mill Creek
Yarmouth	Hyannis Inner Harbor
Yarmouth	Lewis Bay

**Table F-9: Waterbodies subject to a Cape Cod nitrogen TMDL and the primary municipalities**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
  - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:

- i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
- ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

## V. Assabet River Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

On September 23, 2004 EPA approved the *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus*<sup>28</sup>. The following measures are needed to ensure that current phosphorus loads from MS4 stormwater discharged directly or indirectly via tributaries into the Assabet River do not increase.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-10 within the Assabet River Watershed shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
      1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, B.I, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
      2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.

---

<sup>28</sup> Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004. *Assabet River Total Maximum Daily Load for Total Phosphorus*. CN 201.0

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

<b>Municipality</b>
Acton
Berlin
Bolton
Boxborough
Boylston
Carlisle
Clinton
Concord
Grafton
Harvard
Hudson
Littleton
Marlborough
Maynard
Northborough
Shrewsbury
Stow
Westborough
Westford

**Table F-10: Municipalities located in the Assabet River Watershed**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part A.V.1. as follows.
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when following conditions are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
  - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
  - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part A.V.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

**B. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with an Approved Out of State TMDL****I. Nitrogen TMDL Requirements**

Discharges from MS4s in Massachusetts to waters that are tributaries to the Long Island Sound, which has an approved TMDL for nitrogen<sup>29</sup>, are subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-11 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
      1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (April/May) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the Fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of nitrogen to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.II and B.III where appropriate.
      2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for nitrogen removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs to reduce nitrogen discharges.

---

<sup>29</sup> Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection. 2000. *A Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis to Achieve Water Quality Standards for Dissolved Oxygen in Long Island Sound*

3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: establish requirements for use of slow release fertilizers on permittee owned property currently using fertilizer, in addition to reducing and managing fertilizer use as provided in in part 2.3.7.1; establish procedures to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two (2) times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Nitrogen Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
  1. Calculation of total urbanized area within the permittee's jurisdiction that is within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
  2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
  3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
  4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high nitrogen loading
  5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re-development
- ii. The final Nitrogen Source Identification Report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

- i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all properties identified as presenting retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii. or identified in the Nitrogen Source Identification Report. The evaluation shall include:
  1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
  2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and

3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high nitrogen load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.
  - iii. Any structural BMPs listed in Table 4-3 of Attachment 1 to Appendix H installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the nitrogen removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 1 to Appendix H. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated nitrogen removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

Adams	North Adams
Agawam	Northampton
Amherst	Oxford
Ashburnham	Palmer
Ashby	Paxton
Auburn	Pelham
Belchertown	Pittsfield
Charlton	Richmond
Cheshire	Russell
Chicopee	Rutland
Dalton	South Hadley
Douglas	Southampton
Dudley	Southbridge
East Longmeadow	Southwick
Easthampton	Spencer
Gardner	Springfield
Granby	Sturbridge
Hadley	Sutton
Hampden	Templeton
Hatfield	Ware
Hinsdale	Webster
Holyoke	West Springfield
Lanesborough	Westfield

Leicester	Westhampton
Lenox	Westminster
Longmeadow	Wilbraham
Ludlow	Williamsburg
Millbury	Winchendon
Monson	

**Table F-11: Massachusetts municipalities in which MS4 discharges are within the Connecticut River Watershed, the Housatonic River Watershed, or the Thames River Watershed.**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.I.1. as follows:
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when the following conditions are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of nitrogen are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
  - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 to date to reduce nitrogen in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
    - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.I.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

## II. Phosphorus TMDL Requirements

There are currently eight approved phosphorus TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing phosphorus to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit River, Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Lower Ten Mile River, and Omega Pond TMDLs.<sup>30</sup> Table F-12 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-12 and that discharges to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-12 is subject to the requirements of this part.

1. The operators of traditional and non-traditional MS4s located in municipalities listed in Table F-12 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-12 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
      1. part 2.3.2, Public education and outreach: The permittee shall supplement its Residential and Business/Commercial/Institution program with annual timed messages on specific topics. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the spring (March/April) timeframe that encourages the proper use and disposal of grass clippings and encourages the proper use of slow-release and phosphorous-free fertilizers. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the summer (June/July) timeframe encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee shall distribute an annual message in the fall (August/September/October) timeframe encouraging the proper disposal of leaf litter. The permittee shall deliver an annual message on each of these topics, unless the permittee determines that one or more of these issues is not a significant contributor of phosphorous to discharges from the MS4 and the permittee retains documentation of this finding in the SWMP. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.III where appropriate.

---

<sup>30</sup> See <http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm> for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

2. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: the requirement for adoption/amendment of the permittee's ordinance or other regulatory mechanism shall include a requirement that new development and redevelopment stormwater management BMPs be optimized for phosphorus removal; retrofit inventory and priority ranking under 2.3.6.1.b shall include consideration of BMPs that infiltrate stormwater where feasible.
3. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: Establish program to properly manage grass cuttings and leaf litter on permittee property, including prohibiting blowing organic waste materials onto adjacent impervious surfaces; increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots subject to Permit part 2.3.7.a.iii.(c) to a minimum of two times per year, once in the spring (following winter activities such as sanding) and at least once in the fall (Sept 1 – Dec 1; following leaf fall).

b. Phosphorus Source Identification Report

- i. Within four years of the permit effective date the permittee shall complete a Phosphorus Source Identification Report. The report shall include the following elements:
  1. Calculation of total urbanized area draining to the water quality limited receiving water segments or their tributaries, incorporating updated mapping of the MS4 and catchment delineations produced pursuant to part 2.3.4.6,
  2. All screening and monitoring results pursuant to part 2.3.4.7.d., targeting the receiving water segment(s)
  3. Impervious area and DCIA for the target catchment
  4. Identification, delineation and prioritization of potential catchments with high phosphorus loading
  5. Identification of potential retrofit opportunities or opportunities for the installation of structural BMPs during re development, including the removal of impervious area of permittee owned properties
- ii. The phosphorus source identification report shall be submitted to EPA as part of the year 4 annual report.

c. Structural BMPs

- i. Within five years of the permit effective date, the permittee shall evaluate all permittee owned properties identified as presenting

retrofit opportunities or areas for structural BMP installation under permit part 2.3.6.d.ii or identified in the Phosphorus Source Identification Report that are within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries. The evaluation shall include:

1. The next planned infrastructure, resurfacing or redevelopment activity planned for the property (if applicable) OR planned retrofit date;
  2. The estimated cost of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs; and
  3. The engineering and regulatory feasibility of redevelopment or retrofit BMPs.
- ii. The permittee shall provide a listing of planned structural BMPs and a plan and schedule for implementation in the year 5 annual report. The permittee shall plan and install a minimum of one structural BMP as a demonstration project within the drainage area of the water quality limited water or its tributaries within six years of the permit effective date. The demonstration project shall be installed targeting a catchment with high phosphorus load potential. The permittee shall install the remainder of the structural BMPs in accordance with the plan and schedule provided in the year 5 annual report.
- iii. Any structural BMPs installed in the urbanized area by the permittee or its agents shall be tracked and the permittee shall estimate the phosphorus removal by the BMP consistent with Attachment 3 to Appendix F. The permittee shall document the BMP type, total area treated by the BMP, the design storage volume of the BMP and the estimated phosphorus removed in mass per year by the BMP in each annual report.

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Receiving Water</b>	<b>TMDL Name</b>
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond,	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed

Municipality	Receiving Water	TMDL Name
Rehoboth	Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir Upper Kickemuit River, Kickemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs: Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08 2004)
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Omega Pond and Turner Reservoir	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Swansea	Upper Kickemuit River, Kickemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs: Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08 2004)

Table F-12: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing phosphorus to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name.

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.II.1. as follows:
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of phosphorus are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL
  - b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
    - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 to date to reduce phosphorus in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs

- ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.II.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

### III. Bacteria and Pathogen TMDL Requirements

There are currently six approved bacteria (fecal coliform bacteria) or pathogen (fecal coliform and/or enterococcus bacteria) TMDLs for certain waterbody segments in Rhode Island that identify urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired segments. The TMDLs include the Kickemuit Reservoir, Upper Kikemuit River, Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River and Omega Pond TMDLs<sup>31</sup>. Table F-13 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-13 and that discharges to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-13 is subject to the requirements of this part.

- 1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-13 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-13 shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:

- a. Enhanced BMPs

- i. Enhancement of BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit that shall be implemented during this permit term:
  1. part 2.3.3. Public Education: The permittee shall supplement its Residential program with an annual message encouraging the proper management of pet waste, including noting any existing ordinances where appropriate. The permittee or its agents shall disseminate educational materials to dog owners at the time of issuance or renewal of a dog license, or other appropriate time. Education materials shall describe the detrimental impacts of improper management of pet waste, requirements for waste collection and disposal, and penalties for non-compliance. The permittee shall also provide information to owners of septic systems about proper maintenance in any catchment that discharges to a water body impaired for bacteria or pathogens. All public education messages can be combined with requirements of Appendix H

---

<sup>31</sup> See <http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm> for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

part I, II and III as well as Appendix F part A.III, A.IV, A.V, B.I, and B.II where appropriate.

2. part 2.3.4 Illicit Discharge: Catchments draining to any waterbody impaired for bacteria or pathogens shall be designated either Problem Catchments or HIGH priority in implementation of the IDDE program.

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Receiving Water</b>	<b>TMDL Name</b>
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Rehoboth	Upper Kikemuit River, Kickemuit Reservoir	Fecal Coliform and Total Phosphorus TMDLs: Kickemuit Reservoir, Rhode Island (RI0007034L-01) Upper Kickemuit River (RI 0007034R-01) Kickemuit River (MA 61-08 2004)
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed

**Table F-13: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing bacteria or pathogens to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island,, the impaired receiving water, and the approved TMDL name**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.III.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control of bacteria/pathogens are necessary for the permittee’s discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
  - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 to date to reduce bacteria/pathogens in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
  - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.III.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

#### IV. Metals TMDL Requirements

There are currently five approved metals TMDL for a waterbody segment in Rhode Island that identifies urban stormwater discharges in Massachusetts as sources that are contributing metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) to the impaired segment. The TMDLs include the Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir and Omega Pond TMDLs.<sup>32</sup> Table F-14 lists municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern. Any permittee (traditional or non-traditional) that operates an MS4 in a municipality listed in Table F-14 and the discharge is to a waterbody or tributary of a waterbody listed on Table F-14 is subject to the requirements of this part.

- 1) Traditional and non-traditional MS4s operating in the municipalities identified in Table F-14 and that discharge to a waterbody or a tributary of a waterbody identified on Table F-14 shall identify and implement BMPs designed to reduce metals discharges from its MS4. To address metals discharges, each permittee shall comply with the following BMPs in addition to the requirements of part 2.3 of the Permit, as described below:
  - a. Enhanced BMPs
    - i. The permittee remains subject to the requirements of part 2.3. of the permit and shall include the following enhancements to the BMPs required by part 2.3 of the permit:
      1. part 2.3.6, Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment: stormwater management systems designed on commercial and industrial land use area draining to the water quality limited waterbody shall incorporate designs that allow for shutdown and containment where appropriate to isolate the system in the event of an emergency spill or other unexpected event. EPA also encourages the permittee to require any stormwater management system designed to infiltrate stormwater on commercial or industrial sites to provide the level of pollutant removal equal to or greater than the level of pollutant removal provided through the use of biofiltration of the same volume of runoff to be infiltrated, prior to infiltration.
      2. part 2.3.7, Good House Keeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations: increased street sweeping frequency of all municipal owned streets and parking lots to a schedule determined by the permittee to target areas with potential for high pollutant loads. This may include, but is not

---

<sup>32</sup> See <http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/rest/reports.htm> for all RI TMDL documents. (retrieved 6/30/2014)

limited to, increased street sweeping frequency in commercial areas and high density residential areas, or drainage areas with a large amount of impervious area. Prioritize inspection and maintenance for catch basins to ensure that no sump shall be more than 50 percent full. Clean catch basins more frequently if inspection and maintenance activities indicate excessive sediment or debris loadings. Each annual report shall include the street sweeping schedule determined by the permittee to target high pollutant loads.

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>Receiving Water</b>	<b>TMDL Name</b>
Attleboro	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
North Attleborough	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Plainville	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed
Seekonk	Upper Ten Mile River, Lower Ten Mile River, Central Pond, Turner Reservoir, Omega Pond	Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis For The Ten Mile River Watershed

**Table F-14: Municipalities in Massachusetts identified in the TMDLs as containing MS4s contributing metals to the impaired waterbody segments in Rhode Island, the impaired receiving water, the approved TMDL name, and the pollutant of concern.**

2. At any time during the permit term the permittee may be relieved of additional requirements in Appendix F part B.IV.1. applicable to it when in compliance with this part.
  - a. The permittee is relieved of its additional requirements as of the date when one of the following criteria are met:
    - i. The applicable TMDL has been modified, revised or withdrawn and EPA has approved a new TMDL applicable for the receiving water that indicates that no additional stormwater controls for the control

of metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) are necessary for the permittee's discharge based on wasteload allocations in the newly approved TMDL

- b. In such a case, the permittee shall document the date of the approved TMDL in its SWMP and is relieved of any remaining requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 as of that date and the permittee shall comply with the following:
  - i. The permittee shall identify in its SWMP all activities implemented in accordance with the requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 to date to reduce metals (Cadmium, Lead, Aluminum, Iron) in their discharges including implementation schedules for non-structural BMPs and any maintenance requirements for structural BMPs
  - ii. The permittee shall continue to implement all requirements of Appendix F part B.IV.1 required to be implemented prior to the date of the newly approved TMDL, including ongoing implementation of identified non-structural BMPs and routine maintenance and replacement of all structural BMPs in accordance with manufacturer or design specifications.

**C. Requirements for Discharges to Impaired Waters with a Regional TMDL****I. The “Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL (2007)”**

The Northeast Regional Mercury TMDL does not specify a wasteload allocation or other requirements either individually or categorically for the MS4 discharges and specifies that load reductions are to be achieved through reduction in atmospheric deposition sources. No requirements related to this TMDL are imposed on MS4 discharges under this part. However, if the permittee becomes aware, or EPA or MassDEP determines, that an MS4 discharge is causing or contributing to such impairment to an extent that cannot be explained by atmospheric deposition (e.g. chemical spill, acid landfill leachate or other sources), the permittee shall comply with the requirements of part 2.1.1.d and 2.3.4 of the permit.