

**From:** [Zachary Fabish](#)  
**To:** [Curley, Michael](#)  
**Cc:** [Schena, Cristeen](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Schiller document request  
**Date:** Thursday, February 4, 2021 11:42:56 AM

---

Thanks, Michael.

What's the basis for the "possibly CBI" redactions in this document? Particularly on the first page, it's unclear why the redacted material would be CBI.

Thanks again,

-Zack

On Mon, Feb 1, 2021 at 6:06 PM Curley, Michael <[Curley.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Curley.Michael@epa.gov)> wrote:

Hi Zack-

As you and I discussed last week, sending you a copy of the 12/30/20 letter that Region 1 received from GSP, with some redactions of possible CBI.

As we also discussed, Region 1 considers your FOIA request No. EPA-R1-2021-001089 withdrawn as to the potential CBI in the four documents withheld in full and the four documents withheld in part, and referred to in our letter dated January, 13, 2021.

---

Michael Curley

Assistant Regional Counsel

US EPA Region 1 – New England | Office of Regional Counsel

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 | Mailcode: 04-6 | Boston, MA 02109-3912 | (617) 918-1623

--

Zachary M. Fabish  
Senior Attorney  
50 F Street, NW - 8th Floor  
Washington, DC 20001

(650) 388 8446  
(202) 547-6009 (fax)  
[zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org](mailto:zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org)

CONFIDENTIAL LEGAL COMMUNICATION/WORK PRODUCT

This e-mail may contain privileged and confidential attorney-client communications and/or confidential attorney work product. If you receive this e-mail inadvertently, please notify me and delete all versions on your system. Thank you.