



"Ducharme, Sharon"
<sducharme@des.state.nh.us>

To: "palmeag@NU.COM" <palmeag@NU.COM>, "Ducharme, Sharon" <sducharme@des.state.nh.us>

04/03/2001 02:41 PM

cc: "Larson, Stephanie" <slarson@des.state.nh.us>, Joy Hilton/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Surfactant Monitoring

Allan,

Upon preliminary review of the information you sent, I don't see a problem with expanding the current testing program to include the Dustreat DC9136. However, I would like to review the ingredients of the Dustreat DC9136 to see if the sampling protocol should be modified. Please let me know if this information is available.

Thanks,
Sharon Ducharme

-----Original Message-----

From: palmeag@NU.COM [mailto:palmeag@NU.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2001 1:38 PM
To: sducharme@des.state.nh.us
Cc: s_larson@des.state.nh.us; hilton.Joy@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Surfactant Monitoring

Sharon, Just received the 1st quarter results (samples collected 3/20/01) for surfactant monitoring at the Schiller Station coal yard per your letter dated 11/28/00. Both samples (collected directly from the retention pond and the WTP outfall) were nondetectable to 0.025 mg/l. Appears our assumption that the chemical will bind to the coal was correct. I'll inform you as later rounds are completed.

On a related item, any thoughts on our supplemental proposal to treat with Dustreat DC9136 from coal yard spray guns?

Thanks, Allan.