In The Matter Of:

Conservation Law Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Deval Patrick, et al.

May 11, 2010 Conference

Donald E. Womack
P.O. Box 51062
Boston, MA 02205-1062

Original File 051110e.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

THE CLERK: Calling Civil Action 06-11295, CLF
versus the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, and if counsel could identify themselves.

MR. KILIAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Chris Kilian and Cynthia Liebman for Conservation Law Foundation.

MR. KIM: Good afternoon, your Honor. Tori Kim from the Attorney General's office.

THE COURT: All right. I've called this in for status, and various submissions have been made. I have carefully reviewed these submissions, and what I'm going to use this conference for is a way to inform you of the Court's position. It may be that further hearings are required, but you're going to have to reflect once you've heard the Court's position.

Now, after careful review of the entire record, I must tell the Commonwealth that at least in respect to the three designated areas as to which the Court ordered a remediation process and set a date for the first of the year, that the Commonwealth has, I won't say ignored the order, but in essence has done nothing other than plan.

The time for planning should have taken place months ago. We held a trial on this matter. At the end of that not short trial, the Court, satisfied with the bona fides of the Commonwealth, satisfied with its promptitude

and its willingness to address the required environmental issues, accepted, entered an order, but in large measure accepted the Commonwealth's recommendations, and, notwithstanding that there had been a complete trial, denied the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees.

To say I'm disappointed is mild. We're not having another trial. This is where we're going to start, within three weeks from today. That will take us to the 1st of June. The Commonwealth, with respect to the three areas, will submit their detailed engineering plans to the Court. This is not some sort of future plan. This is how you're going to get out there and how you're going to remediate the matter as required. Three weeks.

By the 8th of June there will be equipment on all three sites engaged in such remediation. And without any further hearing, I know where the sites are, I'll drive around and check. I want to see the equipment there. I want to see action.

Now, that's just for starters. Second, the injunction as previously decreed by the Court is modified, in light of the dilatory record of the Commonwealth, to include the three requirements set forth in the letter of the United States Environmental Protection Agency dated April 22nd, 2010. One of those requirements set forth on page 4 of that letter says beginning immediately identify

control measures and BMPs, et cetera.

One month from today's date, that's the 8th of
June, the Commonwealth will submit a detailed report to this
Court setting forth its compliance with requirement number
1, and requirements 1, 2 and 3 are now an injunctive order
of this Court. Failure of the Commonwealth to comply with
any of these requirements will result in sanctions. I mean,
make no mistake, I'm not going back here. I'm going
forward. I've tried -- I've bent over backwards to give the
Commonwealth the time and the flexibility.

Now, at least these things are ordered, without prejudice to the Conservation Law Foundation seeking more, these things are ordered, and if my orders are not carried out, I've got to explore sanctions.

Now, I know the Commonwealth's doing a lot. I know we've had flooding. I know we've got bridge problems. I understand that. But at least those three there better be equipment out there in a month's time. And I want these reports. Because I will ride herd on this now monthly to get compliance.

Now, now, given this Court's orders, it's unmistakable that the Conservation Law Foundation is a prevailing party in this, in this proceeding and it may within 60 days of today's date submit its full application for attorneys' fees. Not, not to complete, because there

may be more litigation, but what was necessary to bring us to this point. The order that I enter today is because of the work, the groundwork that you did. I hoped for, I expected compliance. There has not been compliance. You prevail. You should be -- I was going to say reward.

That's wrong. -- compensated for the time.

Now, you may not be satisfied with this. In my mind I've acted only so far as is necessary to effectuate what seems to me clear failures to comply with the earlier injunctive relief of the Court. I urge you, but I do not require, hold off a month and see what they do, at least at the three sites where we're going to get those sites remediated if nothing else happens. All I've ordered beyond that is that they now comply -- and it's now an order of this Court. It's not just some letter from, I mean no disrespect, but it's not just some letter from the Environmental Protection Agency. You seem to want more relief than that. Wait a month. Make appropriate application to the Court. Talk to the defendants here.

MR. KILIAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Talk to them. My mind is open as to other things. But at least as I tried to explain, at least as to that, I've read this record, I expect my orders to be carried out.

All right. Any questions? It's not the time for

```
argument, but any questions?
 1
 2
          MR. KIM: Well, I did have a couple of points if
   your Honor --
 3
        THE COURT: Respectfully, it's all on the record.
 4
   I've read everything. It's not the time for argument.
 5
 6
    MR. KIM: Certainly, your Honor.
 7
          THE COURT: To the extent I've made orders those
 8
   are orders.
 9
    My mind is open as to other things. I'm not
10
   saying --
   MR. KIM: Certainly, your Honor.
11
12
  THE COURT: -- now they're going to win on
13
   everything they want. I don't know about that. On this I
   do know.
14
   MR. KIM: I just have one comment and maybe a
15
   question --
16
         THE COURT: Right.
17
18
         MR. KIM: -- as to the three sites. Obviously your
   Honor has reviewed the record. The Commonwealth does
19
20
   believe, your Honor, that remediation already is existing at
   those three sites because there are detention basins there
21
22
  THE COURT: Not in compliance with the Court's
23
24
   order.
25
  MR. KIM: So it's a bit unclear as to what
```

additional remediation is necessary. But we understand the Court's order and we'll take it back.

The only question I would have, your Honor, I did notice from the judge's findings that the prior order had not been entered as a final order. My question would be is whether the Court now contemplates entering this as a final order --

THE COURT: No.

MR. KIM: -- so that an appeal can be taken.

THE COURT: Oh, I think you -- I don't want to prevent you from appealing. I mean, you can appeal an injunctive order at any time. But that's a good procedural question, and my answer is no. But it would seem to me that you can take an interlocutory appeal of an injunction. I mean, I'm now, and I'm very serious, and you know I am, I'm now requiring the Commonwealth to spend money. And those basins are insufficient.

MR. KIM: Right.

THE COURT: They were clear from the order. And I'm expecting crews to be deployed and I want it all done virtually forthwith. So, if the Commonwealth wants to appeal from that that makes -- I was going to say good sense, but I should stand on my orders. The Commonwealth has that right. I am satisfied on the extensive trial record and the careful submissions thereafter that this, if

1 anything, is a conservative order. But that's my answer. 2 MR. KIM: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 3 MR. KIM: And the other just small point I would 4 5 raise, and I raised this with the plaintiffs this morning, 6 is DOT has already met with the EPA on the specific points 7 that your Honor has raised. And specifically with respect 8 to item number 1 there was a discussion at the prior meeting as to a specific measure that DOT could take, and I'm 9 10 wondering if your Honor would be amenable to waiting to see 11 what this --12 THE COURT: No. 13 MR. KIM: -- proposal is. 14 THE COURT: No. I'm not waiting. 15 MR. KIM: Or we can submit it simultaneously to the 16 Court as well with --17 THE COURT: Yes. I now have my orders. 18 MR. KIM: Certainly, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And of course what the DOT says and 20 what the EPA says can be given to me. I act independently 21 of them, though the language that they used seemed to me 22 modest and fully consonant with the trial record that was 23 before the Court. Now, if we want to do something else you 24 let, you let me know. 25 MR. KIM: Thank you, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: But it will have to be modified.
2	(Whereupon the Court and the Clerk conferred.)
3	THE COURT: Ms. Smith makes she says am I going
4	to enter a separate order. No, I am not because I want
5	action immediately. But to make my orders clear, I've made
6	specific reference to the EPA's letter of April 22nd, 2010,
7	paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 which in haec verba are now an
8	injunctive order of the Court. And you're right to raise
9	what do I really mean by that. I could modify it, but this
10	is as good language as I have before me.
L1	Thank you.
12	MR. KIM: Thank you, your Honor.
13	MR. KILIAN: Thank you.
14	(Whereupon the matter concluded.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

4 5

I, Donald E. Womack, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill and ability.

DONALD E. WOMACK
Official Court Reporter
P.O. Box 51062
Boston, Massachusetts 02205-1062
womack@megatran.com

Deval Patrick, et al.				May 11, 2010
	8:10	Certainly (3)	7:2	entire (1)
0	appeal (4)	6:6,11;8:18	crews (1)	2:16
	7:9,11,14,22	cetera (1)	7:20	environmental (3)
06-11295 (1)	appealing (1)	4:1	Cynthia (1)	3:1,23;5:17
2:1	7:11	check (1)	2:6	EPA (2)
2.1	application (2)	3:17		8:6,20
1	4:24;5:19	Chris (1)	D	EPA's (1)
	appropriate (1)	2:5		9:6
l (4)	5:18	Civil (1)	date (3)	equipment (3)
4:5,5;8:8;9:7	April (2)	2:1	2:19;4:2,24	3:14,17;4:18
1st (1)	3:24;9:6	clear (3)	dated (1)	essence (1)
3:8	areas (2)	5:9;7:19;9:5	3:23	2:21
3.0	2:18;3:9	CLERK (2)	days (1)	et (1)
2	argument (2)	2:1;9:2	4:24	4:1
-	6:1,5	CLF (1)	decreed (1)	existing (1)
. (2)	around (1)	2:1	3:20	6:20
2 (2)	3:17	comment (1)	defendants (1)	expect (1)
4:5;9:7	Attorney (1)	6:15	5:19	5:23
2010 (2)	2:8	Commonwealth (13)	denied (1)	expected (1)
3:24;9:6	attorneys' (2)	2:2,17,20,25;3:9,	3:4	5:4
22nd (2)	3:5;4:25	21;4:3,6,10;6:19;	deployed (1)	expecting (1)
3:24;9:6	5.5,4.25	7:16,21,23	7:20	7:20
20	В	Commonwealth's (2)	designated (1)	explain (1)
3				
	h1- (2)	3:3;4:15	2:18	5:22
3 (2)	back (2)	compensated (1)	detailed (2)	explore (1)
4:5;9:7	4:8;7:2	5:6	3:10;4:3	4:14
	backwards (1)	complete (2)	detention (1)	extensive (1)
4	4:9	3:4;4:25	6:21	7:24
	basins (2)	compliance (5)	dilatory (1)	extent (1)
4 (1)	6:21;7:17	4:4,20;5:4,4;6:23	3:21	6:7
3:25	beginning (1)	comply (3)	disappointed (1)	_
	3:25	4:6;5:9,14	3:6	F
6	bent (1)	concluded (1)	discussion (1)	
	4:9	9:14	8:8	Failure (1)
60 (1)	better (1)	conference (1)	disrespect (1)	4:6
4:24	4:17	2:12	5:16	failures (1)
7.27	beyond (1)	conferred (1)	done (2)	5:9
8	5:13	9:2	2:21;7:20	far (1)
0	bit (1)	Conservation (3)	DOT (3)	5:8
04h (2)	6:25	2:6;4:12,22	8:6,9,19	fees (2)
8th (2)	BMPs (1)	conservative (1)	drive (1)	3:5;4:25
3:14;4:2	4:1	8:1	3:16	fides (1)
A	bona (1)	consonant (1)	0.10	2:25
A	2:24	8:22	E	final (2)
	bridge (1)	contemplates (1)	E	7:5,6
accepted (2)	4:16	7:6	earlier (1)	findings (1)
3:2,3	LOOK ALTERNATION OF A STATE OF A	control (1)		
act (1)	bring (1)	4:1	5:9	7:4
8:20	5:1	The state of the s	effectuate (1)	first (1)
acted (1)	C	counsel (1)	5:8	2:19
5:8		2:3	else (2)	flexibility (1)
Action (3)	11-1 (4)	couple (1)	5:13;8:23	4:10
2:1;3:18;9:5	called (1)	6:2	end (1)	flooding (1)
additional (1)	2:9	course (1)	2:23	4:16
7:1	Calling (1)	8:19	engaged (1)	forth (3)
address (1)	2:1	COURT (32)	3:15	3:22,24;4:4
3:1	can (5)	2:3,9,18,24;3:10,	engineering (1)	forthwith (1)
afternoon (3)	7:9,11,14;8:15,20	20;4:4,6;5:10,15,19,	3:10	7:21
2:3,5,7	careful (2)	21;6:4,7,12,17,23;	enter (2)	forward (1)
	2:16;7:25	7:6,8,10,19;8:3,12,	5:2;9:4	4:9
Agency (2)	carefully (1)	14,16,17,19,23;9:1,2,	entered (2)	Foundation (3)
3:23;5:17	2:11	3,8	3:2;7:5	2:6;4:12,22
ago (1)	carried (2)	Court's (5)	entering (1)	full (1)
2:23 amenable (1)	4:13;5:24	2:13,15;4:21;6:23;	7:6	4:24
	III A w gw saw T		1.0	I saw T

Devai I attick, et al.		**		May 11, 20
fully (1)	7:17	3:2;8:9	order (15)	8:13
8:22	interlocutory (1)	measures (1)	2:21;3:2;4:5;5:2,	Protection (2)
further (2)	7:14	4:1	14;6:24;7:2,4,5,7,12,	3:23;5:17
2:13;3:16	issues (1)	meeting (1)	19;8:1;9:4,8	
future (1)	3:2	8:8	ordered (4)	R
3:11	item (1)	met (1)	2:18;4:11,13;5:13	K
179000	8:8	8:6	orders (8)	. (0)
G	0.0			raise (2)
G	*	mild (1)	4:13,21;5:23;6:7,	8:5;9:8
	J	3:6	8;7:23;8:17;9:5	raised (2)
General's (1)		mind (3)	out (4)	8:5,7
2:8	judge's (1)	5:8,21;6:9	3:12;4:14,18;5:24	read (2)
given (2)	7:4	mistake (1)	over (1)	5:23;6:5
4:21;8:20	June (3)	4:8	4:9	
Good (6)			4.9	really (1)
	3:9,14;4:3	modest (1)	444	9:9
2:3,5,7;7:12,22;		8:22	P	recommendations (1)
9:10	K	modified (2)		3:3
groundwork (1)		3:20;9:1	page (1)	record (7)
5:3	KILIAN (4)	modify (1)	3:25	
	2:5,6;5:20;9:13	9:9	755.7	2:16;3:21;5:23;
Н		193782	paragraphs (1)	6:4,19;7:25;8:22
11	Kim (17)	money (1)	9:7	reference (1)
21 434	2:7,7;6:2,6,11,15,	7:16	party (1)	9:6
haec (1)	18,25;7:9,18;8:2,4,	month (3)	4:23	reflect (1)
9:7	13,15,18,25;9:12	4:2;5:11,18	place (1)	2:14
happens (1)		monthly (1)	2:22	relief (2)
5:13	L	4:19		
			plaintiffs (1)	5:10,18
heard (1)	Navigation and a second	months (1)	8:5	remediate (1)
2:15	language (2)	2:23	plaintiffs' (1)	3:12
hearing (1)	8:21;9:10	month's (1)	3:5	remediated (1)
3:16	large (1)	4:18	plan (2)	5:13
hearings (1)	3:2	more (3)	2:21;3:11	remediation (4)
2:13	Law (3)	4:12;5:1,17		
		U 5 %) V 5 %) C	planning (1)	2:19;3:15;6:20;7:1
held (1)	2:6;4:12,22	morning (1)	2:22	report (1)
2:23	least (6)	8:5	plans (1)	4:3
herd (1)	2:17;4:11,17;5:11,	must (1)	3:10	reports (1)
4:19	22,22	2:17	point (2)	4:19
hold (1)	letter (5)		5:2;8:4	The state of the s
5:11		N		require (1)
	3:22,25;5:15,16;	10	points (2)	5:11
Honor (14)	9:6		6:2;8:6	required (3)
2:5,7;6:3,6,11,19,	Liebman (1)	necessary (3)	position (2)	2:14;3:1,13
20;7:3;8:2,7,10,18,	2:6	5:1,8;7:1	2:13,15	requirement (1)
25;9:12	light (1)	notice (1)	prejudice (1)	4:4
hoped (1)	3:21	7:4	4:12	requirements (4)
5:3	litigation (1)	53975.555		
3.3		notwithstanding (1)	prevail (1)	3:22,24;4:5,7
*	5:1	3:4	5:5	requiring (1)
I	lot (1)	number (2)	prevailing (1)	7:16
200 - 200 - 200	4:15	4:4;8:8	4:23	respect (3)
identify (2)		78	prevent (1)	2:17;3:9;8:7
2:4;3:25	M	0	7:11	Respectfully (1)
ignored (1)	AVA.			
	(2)	Obstant (1)	previously (1)	6:4
2:20	makes (2)	Obviously (1)	3:20	result (1)
immediately (2)	7:22;9:3	6:18	prior (2)	4:7
3:25;9:5	Massachusetts (1)	off (1)	7:4;8:8	review (1)
include (1)	2:2	5:11	problems (1)	2:16
3:22	matter (3)	office (1)	4:16	reviewed (2)
ndependently (1)	2:23;3:13;9:14	2:8		
		3,033,040	procedural (1)	2:11;6:19
8:20	may (4)	once (1)	7:12	reward (1)
inform (1)	2:13;4:23;5:1,7	2:14	proceeding (1)	5:5
0.10	maybe (1)	One (3)	4:23	ride (1)
2:12		3:24;4:2;6:15	process (1)	4:19
	0:13		Landann (T)	1142
injunction (2)	6:15 mean (5)		2.10	wight (6)
injunction (2) 3:20;7:14	mean (5)	only (2)	2:19	right (6)
injunction (2) 3:20;7:14 injunctive (4)	mean (5) 4:7;5:15;7:11,15;	only (2) 5:8;7:3	promptitude (1)	2:9;5:25;6:17;
injunction (2) 3:20;7:14	mean (5)	only (2)		

		9:2,14		
S	T	willingness (1)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	a pro-space prof
sanctions (2)	Talk (2)	win (1) 6:12		11 12 12
4:7,14 satisfied (4)	5:19,21 thereafter (1)	within (2)	1.0	
2:24,25;5:7;7:24 saying (1)	7:25 though (1)	3:7;4:24 without (2)		J. 1
6:10 Second (1)	8:21 three (10)	3:15;4:11 wondering (1)		
3:19	2:18;3:8,9,13,15,	8:10 work (1)	1 - 200	
seeking (1) 4:12	22;4:17;5:12;6:18,21 today (2)	5:3	40.0400	n = 1
seem (2) 5:17;7:13	3:8;5:2 today's (2)	wrong (1) 5:6		
seemed (1) 8:21	4:2,24 Tori (1)	Y		3
seems (1) 5:9	2:7 trial (6)	year (1)		
sense (1)	2:23,24;3:4,7;	2:20		1
7:23 separate (1)	7:24;8:22 tried (2)	4 8 890 1		
9:4 serious (1)	4:9;5:22			
7:15 set (3)	U			
2:19;3:22,24 setting (1)	unclear (1) 6:25	r = = 1	1000000	
4:4	United (1)		1	
short (1) 2:24	3:23 unmistakable (1)			
simultaneously (1) 8:15	4:22 urge (1)		7651	
sites (6) 3:15,16;5:12,12;	5:10 use (1)			
6:18,21 small (1)	2:12 used (1)			
8:4	8:21			0
Smith (1) 9:3	V			
sort (1) 3:11	various (1)			1 1 100
specific (3) 8:6,9;9:6	2:10 verba (1)			
specifically (1) 8:7	9:7	T		
spend (1)	versus (1) 2:2			7-0
7:16 stand (1)	virtually (1) 7:21			1.00
7:23 start (1)	w			
3:7 starters (1)				
3:19	Wait (1) 5:18	1 111		
States (1) 3:23	waiting (2) 8:10,14		1	
status (1) 2:10	wants (1) 7:21			
submissions (3) 2:10,11;7:25	way (1) 2:12	-	of may a	
submit (4)	weeks (2)		1	
3:10;4:3,24;8:15	3:8,13 Whereupon (2)		The state of	