DRAFT MODIFICATION OF
AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM


Brayton Point Energy, LLC
One Brayton Road
Somerset, MA 02725

is authorized to discharge from the facility located at

Brayton Point Station
One Brayton Point Road
Somerset, MA 02725

into receiving water named

Mount Hope Bay (Mount Hope Bay Basin, MA61-06, 61-07)

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in the permit issued February 29, 2012, except as listed:

Part I.A.2.a (footnote 1), Outfall 003 – Cooling Tower Blowdown
Part I.A.24.a, Biological and Hydrological Monitoring

which has been modified as shown in strikethrough and italics on pages 4, 13, 14 and 15.

This permit modification shall become effective 30 days from the date of signature if no comments are received during public notice. If comments are received during public notice, this permit will become effective 60 days after signature.

This permit modification does not affect the expiration date of the permit signed February 29, 2012. The original permit stated, "This permit shall become effective on May 13, 2012. This permit and the authorization to discharge expire at midnight, five (5) years from the effective date." Therefore, the original permit and this permit modification expire May 13, 2017.

Signed this day of

Ken Moraff, Director
Office of Ecosystem Protection
Environmental Protection Agency
Region I

David Ferris, Director
Massachusetts Wastewater Management Program
Department of Environmental Protection
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
A. Effluent Limitations, Conditions, and Monitoring Requirements

1. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge process water from *outfall serial number 001: the combined flow from internal outfall serial numbers 003, 004 and 005.*

   a. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave. Monthly</td>
<td>Max. Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate, MGD Effluent</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate, MGD, Influent²</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, °F³</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Oxidant (TRO)⁴, mg/l</td>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH, s.u.</td>
<td>6.5 - 8.5⁵</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrus CT1300, ppm⁶</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, mg/l</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Effluent Toxicity⁷,⁸</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC50 (%)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-NOEC (%)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Carbon (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aluminum (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Chromium (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cadmium (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Copper (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lead (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nickel (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Zinc (mg/l)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The flow rate may be recorded using flow meters or estimated from pump capacity curves. The discharge flow rate is the total blowdown from cooling tower(s) plus flow from the wastewater treatment facility (including flow from metal cleaning operations).

The influent flow shall be the total flow of cooling water withdrawn from the Taunton River intake structure.

The discharge temperature shall be monitored approximately in the center of the discharge canal at the venturi. The hourly average discharge temperature shall not exceed 95 °F.

The TRO concentration shall not exceed 0.065 mg/l as an “instantaneous maximum concentration” at the point of discharge into Mount Hope Bay. Samples shall be collected daily when chlorine is in use.

Total Residual Oxidants shall be measured using EPA approved method(s), 40 CFR Part 136, Table 1B.

For this permit, the Minimum Level (ML) for TRO has been defined as 0.02 mg/l and that value may be reduced as more sensitive test methods are approved by the EPA and the State. For any value below the ML of 0.02, the permittee shall use zero in the calculation of the monthly average TRO value.

The pH shall be in the range of 6.5 through 8.5 standard units and not more than 0.2 units outside of the natural background range.

See Part I.A.7 of this permit for Spectrus CT1300 use requirements. The grab sample shall be taken after Spectrus CT1300 has been applied in the service water system for at least 4 hours. Only one grab sample per use of Spectrus CT1300 is required. The permittee shall use GE Betz’s AP412 Methyl Orange Method to determine the outlet concentration.

The permittee is required to report the results of chronic (and modified acute) WET tests using Inland Silverside (Menidia beryllina), acute WET tests using Mysid Shrimp (Mysidopsis bahia) and chronic Sea Urchin (Arbacia punctulata) WET tests on a quarterly basis. A 24-Hour composite sample is the required "sample type" for WET testing. If after eight consecutive sampling periods (two years of closed cycle operation), no test shows a LC50 < 100 % and a C-NOEC < 20%, the permittee may request a reduction in toxicity testing to twice per year. The permittee shall use the procedures and protocols contained in Attachment B to this permit when conducting the WET testing.

At least one test per year shall be conducted during metal cleaning, if possible (i.e., during reduced operations including shutdown). The other three tests shall be conducted during normal operation. The permittee shall document and submit to EPA and MassDEP the operating conditions occurring during each WET test.

Composite samples shall be comprised of at least 24 flow-weighted individual samples taken hourly over one 24 hour period (a full operational day, for example 7:00 am Monday through 7:00 am Tuesday).
2. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from **internal outfall serial number 003: Cooling Tower Blowdown**.

a. Internal outfall 003 shall be monitored at a location that is representative of cooling tower blowdown (from one of the two blowdown pipes, except flow which shall be the combined total from the two blowdown pipes) and prior to mixing with any other wastewater stream. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave. Daily</td>
<td>Max. Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow, MGD</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Temperature², °F</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature², °F</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Difference³, (ΔT) °F</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Load, BTU⁴</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Available Chlorine (FAC), mg/l</td>
<td>0.2⁵</td>
<td>0.5⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 priority pollutants</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The flow rate may be recorded using flow meters. Flow will be reported as the combined value from the two blowdown pipes, as measured using in-line flow meters located after the static mixers, when the Station is generating electricity. When the Station is off-line, flow may be measured at the weir located on top of the water control structure at the north end of the discharge canal.

² The discharge and intake temperatures will be recorded by instruments or computers. The average daily temperature shall be calculated as the 24-hour average of the hourly average (per calendar day) based upon at least twelve readings per hour (12 times per hour). The average daily temperature value will then be reported in the monthly DMRs.

³ The Temperature Difference (ΔT) is the difference between the temperature of the discharge water and the temperature of the intake water, as determined by the method in footnote 2, above.
The heat load shall be calculated on a daily basis using the following equation:

\[ Q = C_p m (\Delta T) \]

Where

- \( Q \) = Heat Load, BTU/Day
- \( C_p \) = Heat Capacity (Specific Heat) of pure water
  - \( = 1.0 \text{ BTU/pound}^{\circ}\text{F} \)
- \( m \) = mass of water
  - \( = \text{blowdown flow rate (MGD)} \times 8.34 \text{ pounds/gal} \)
- \( \Delta T \) = discharge temperature at 003 - intake temperature, \( ^\circ\text{F} \)

The intake and discharge temperature will be recorded by instruments or computers. The average daily intake temperature shall be calculated as the 24-hour average (per calendar day) of the hourly average based upon at least twelve readings per hour.

The monthly heat load shall be calculated by adding each day’s average heat load for that month. The facility shall sum the monthly heat loads (January - December DMRs) for the previous year, and shall report this value to EPA and the MassDEP in the following January DMR.

5The FAC concentration shall not exceed an instantaneous maximum concentration of 0.5 mg/l and an average daily concentration of 0.2 mg/l. Chlorine may be discharged from the cooling tower blowdown stream for more than two hours per day. Samples shall be taken when chlorine is in use.

For this permit, the Minimum Level (ML) for FAC has been defined as 0.02 mg/l and that value may be reduced as more sensitive test methods are approved by the EPA and the State. For any value below the ML of 0.02, the permittee shall use zero.

FAC shall be measured using the Amperometric Method, See 40 CFR Part 136, Table 1B.

6No detectable amounts from chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance (including chromium and zinc). Compliance with this limitation may be determined by engineering calculations (mass balance) which demonstrate that the regulated pollutants are not detectable in the final discharge by analytical methods in 40 CFR Part 136. EPA and/or MassDEP have discretion as to whether to accept this means of compliance in lieu of sampling.
3. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from **internal outfall serial number 004: Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent**.

   a. Internal outfall 004 shall be monitored at a location that is representative of wastewater treatment plant effluent and prior to mixing with any other wastewater stream. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Maximum Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate, MGD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids (TSS), mg/l</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Grease, mg/l</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Iron¹, mg/l</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126 Priority Pollutants</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate as N, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia as N, mg/l</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Iron samples shall be taken after treatment of metal cleaning waste and during normal station operation.

²Composite samples shall be comprised of at least 24 flow-weighted individual samples taken hourly over one 24 hour period (a full operational day, for example 7:00 am Monday through 7:00 am Tuesday).
4. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from **internal outfall serial number 005: Metal Cleaning Effluent (including Equipment Wash and Rinse Water)**.

   a. Internal outfall 005 shall be monitored at a location that is representative of metal cleaning wastewater and prior to mixing with any other wastewater stream. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Monthly</td>
<td>Total Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Rate, MGD</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Copper, mg/l</td>
<td>1.0(^1)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Average Monthly limit shall be determined by summing the values of the daily discharge concentrations, and then dividing that value by the number of daily discharges for the month.

\(^2\)Daily samples shall be collected during days on which metal cleaning waste is discharged to the WWTF.

5. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from **outfall serial number 017A: Intake Screen Wash**.

   a. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave. Monthly</td>
<td>Max. Hourly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow (million gallons per hour)</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. There shall be no discharge of floating solids, oil sheen or visible foam.

c. All live fish, shellfish, and other aquatic organisms collected or trapped on the intake screens shall be returned to their natural habitat. All other material shall be removed from the intake screens and disposed of in accordance with all existing Federal, State, and/or Local laws and regulations that apply to waste disposal. Such material shall not be returned to the receiving waters.
6. During the period beginning on the permit’s effective date and lasting through its expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from **outfall serial number 017B**: **Auto Strainer Backwash**.

   a. Such discharge shall be limited, monitored, and reported as specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Characteristic</th>
<th>Discharge Limitations</th>
<th>Monitoring Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave. Monthly</td>
<td>Max. Hourly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow (million gallons per hour)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   b. There shall be no discharge of floating solids, oil sheen or visible foam.

7. Spectrus CT1300 may be used as a biocide subject to the following conditions:

   a. Spectrus CT1300 shall not be applied more than 6 times per year to any system. Each treatment shall not last longer than 18 hours.

   b. The dosing concentration of Spectrus CT1300 shall not exceed 8 ppm. The effluent concentration of CT1300 shall not exceed 0.2 ppm (see Part I.A.1 above).

8. The annual heat load to Mount Hope Bay shall not exceed 1.7 Trillion British Thermal Units (BTUs), as determined at outfall 003 (See Part I.A.2, footnote 4).

9. The combined intake of water for cooling shall not exceed 70 Million Gallons per Day (MGD).

10. During operation of Brayton Point Station, the permittee shall conduct biological/environmental studies as included in Part I.A.24 of this permit. Additional studies may be requested by the Regional Administrator of Region I EPA or his or her designee (“The Regional Administrator”) and/or the Commissioner of MassDEP or his or her designee (“The Commissioner”). The purpose of any such studies shall be to evaluate the effects of Brayton Point Station’s operation(s) on the balanced, indigenous population of shellfish, fish and wildlife in and on Mount Hope Bay.

11. This NPDES permit may be modified to contain additional or different thermal limitations if the above studies and/or other available information indicate such modifications are necessary to assure the protection and propagation of a balanced indigenous population of shellfish, fish and wildlife in and on the receiving waters.

12. Sodium hypochlorite, Halogen hydantoin (chlorine) and/or Spectrus CT1300 may be used as a biocide. No other biocide shall be used without explicit approval from the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner.

13. The chemicals listed in Attachment A are approved, with limits, for discharge. The permittee may conduct pilot studies to test various chemicals (not listed in Attachment A)
and their effectiveness in the closed cycle cooling system (anti-scalants, dispersants, corrosion inhibitors, and foam control). The Permittee shall gain approval from EPA and MassDEP before any such studies take place. A report summarizing the results of any such studies shall be submitted to EPA and MassDEP regarding discharge frequency, concentration, and the impact, if any, on the receiving water. EPA and MassDEP may require, among other things, Whole Effluent Toxicity testing as part of feasibility studies. The Permittee may not discharge any chemicals (other than those listed in Attachment A or otherwise limited by this permit) until it receives written approval from EPA.

14. The discharges shall not jeopardize any Massachusetts Class SA or SB use of Mount Hope Bay and shall not violate applicable water quality standards or degrade the aquatic habitat quality.

15. Any change in the location, design or capacity of the cooling water intake structures shall be approved by the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner.

16. This permit shall be modified, revoked or reissued to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b) (2), and 207(a) (2) of the Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

   a. contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in this permit; or

   b. controls any pollutant not limited by this permit.

If the permit is modified or reissued, it shall be revised to reflect all requirements of the Act applicable at the time of reissuance.

17. There shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) compounds such as those commonly used for transformer fluid. Compliance with this requirement shall be determined using EPA Method 608, as listed in 40 CFR Part 136. The permittee shall dispose of all known PCB equipment, articles, and wastes in accordance with 40 CFR 761. The permittee shall certify that this disposal has been accomplished.

18. All existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural dischargers must notify the Director as soon as they know or have reason to believe (40 CFR §122.42):

   a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":

      1. One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/l);

      2. Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/l) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/l) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;
3. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.21(g)(7); or

4. Any other notification level established by the Director in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(f).

b. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a non-routine or infrequent basis, of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":

1. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/l);

2. one milligram per liter (1 mg/l) for antimony;

3. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.21(g)(7); or

4. Any other notification level established by the Director in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(f).

c. That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant which was not reported in the permit application.

19. There shall be no discharge of floating solids, oil sheen, or visible foam.

20. The use of Betz Foam-Trol 301 may be used at a concentration of 0.08 mg/l during periods of heavy foam conditions. Foamtrol AF3551 may also be used to control foam. Foamtrol AF3551 may be applied at a concentration not to exceed 0.08 mg/l.

21. Untreated Coal Pile Runoff overflow, associated with a 10 year, 24 hour rainfall event, may be discharged from the facility in accordance with 40 CFR Part 423.12(b)(10).

22. Discharge Canal Net Requirements

a. The terminal end of the discharge canal shall be blocked by a net with a stretched mesh size not greater than 2 ½ inches to keep fish out of the canal.

b. The permittee shall count, identify, and estimate the size (total length in inches) of any dead fish collected in the net every week and report this information quarterly to the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner. The report(s) shall also contain an estimate on the number, size and species of fish sighted upstream and downstream of the net. If the report(s) indicate to the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner that the net fish barrier is ineffective, this permit may be modified to require an alternative fish barrier and an implementation schedule for its installation.
c. To ensure maximum survival of the fish entering the discharge canal, the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner may direct the permittee to alter the canal net conditions: (1) by changing the design of net installed; (2) by changing the net mesh size; (3) by modifying the existing net; or (4) by removing the net on either a short term (experimental or seasonal) basis or on a permanent basis.

d. The cod end of the net may be opened during maintenance and cleaning activities and, upon approval from Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF), during periods of high discharge temperatures.

e. The permittee will maintain a second barrier net for immediate replacement of an installed barrier net in the canal should the installed net become badly damaged.

f. The installed net shall be inspected every week from March 1st to December 1st each year and repaired as required.

23. Fish Mortality Provisions

a. Initial Notification and Response

1. If the permittee observes: (a) 50 or more dead fish of a single species from the following list: striped bass or bluefish or winter flounder or tautog or white perch; or (b) 100 of any other single species of fish (not named above) within any 24 hour period, the permittee will provide telephone notification to the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) and EPA, Office of Ecosystem Protection, within 4 hours of the observation (during normal business). If dead fish are observed during weekend, holiday or evening periods, the permittee will notify the DMF and EPA on the next business day.

2. On observation of fish mortalities sufficient to require notification, the permittee shall immediately initiate a separate hourly record showing: (a) the Discharge 001 temperature; (b) the dissolved oxygen levels at the Taunton River Intake Structures and at the venturi; and, (c) the number of dead fish observed by species.

3. On observation of fish mortalities sufficient to require notification, the permittee shall suspend all unit chlorination operations.

4. If after a 24 hour period from the suspension of chlorination, the fish mortalities do not exceed the levels set out in Paragraph a.1, the permittee will cease special monitoring and return to normal station operation (including unit chlorination).

b. Notification and Response in the Event of a Fish Kill

1. If the permittee observes, or the cumulative number of dead fish observed within any 24 hour period including a 24 hour period following the initial
observation exceeds: (a) 100 fish of any of the following species: striped bass, bluefish or winter flounder; or (b) 200 of any other single species of fish not named above, the permittee shall provide prompt telephone notification (within 4 hours during normal business hours) to the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Southeast Regional Office in Lakeville and Division of Watershed Management in Worcester, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ecosystem Protection, that a "Fish Kill" has occurred. If dead fish are observed during weekend, holiday or evening periods, the permittee will notify the DMF, MassDEP and EPA on the next business day.

2. On observation of a Fish Kill, the permittee shall immediately initiate a separate hourly record showing: (a) the Discharge 001 temperature; (b) the dissolved oxygen levels at the Taunton River Intake Structures and at the venturi; and (c) the number of dead fish observed by species. This record shall be maintained until advised by the DMF, MassDEP, or EPA to change to another monitoring program or discontinue the special monitoring effort.

3. On observation of a Fish Kill, the permittee shall immediately terminate all unit chlorination operations. Chlorination will be resumed only after approval has been received from the Regional Administrator and the Commissioner.

c. In the event of a Fish Kill in the discharge canal or in the thermal plume requiring telephone notification, the permittee will begin removing all dead fish from the canal, receiving waters, and/or from the affected beaches within four hours after the fish mortalities have been observed. The dead fish shall be enumerated in accordance with paragraph d below.

d. The dead fish shall be sampled and weighed as follows:

1. All dead fish shall be enumerated and recorded by species.

2. All dead fish shall be weighed to the nearest gram and measured to the nearest millimeter total length.

3. Scale samples shall be collected for the DMF for analysis from a representative sample of 25% of each fish species killed up to a maximum of 25 total fish specimens from each species: striped bass, bluefish, winter flounder, tautog, white perch, alewife/blueback herring, and menhaden. The scale samples shall be collected from the acceptable body locations for each individual species (as directed by the DMF). Sampled fish shall be appropriately preserved for future pathological examinations as may be directed by the DMF.

e. The permittee shall make a written report on any reported fish mortalities, within 10 business days to DMF, EPA Office of Ecosystem Protection, and MassDEP
24. Biological and Hydrological Monitoring

a. The permittee shall conduct the following programs of sampling and analysis each year:

1. Hydrological Data

   i. The permittee shall profile salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen at the five sampling stations shown in Figure 1 in Attachment C at surface and bottom each time ichthyoplankton sampling is conducted. 5-foot vertical intervals except for Station A where the samples will be taken 2 feet below the surface. The sampling frequency shall be: monthly from October through February through mid May, and approximately every 4 days from March through September when Mount Hope Bay ichthyoplankton samples are taken.

   ii. The five stations in Figure 1 in Attachment C are identified as follows: "I" (intake), "A" (at the canal discharge venturi), "A'" (200 yards south of the venturi), "C" (mouth of the Lees River), and "F" (south of Spar Island).

   iii. The permittee shall monitor temperature at the surface and bottom at the locations identified in Figure 6 in Attachment C. The temperature shall be monitored continuously at 15-minute intervals.

2. Ichthyoplankton Data

   i. The permittee shall sample ichthyoplankton with paired, 60 cm bridleless "bongo" nets. From February through April, 0.333-mm mesh nets will be used to better retain small flounder larvae and in May, when larval flounder are larger, fitted with 0.505 mm mesh nets will be employed. Samples will be collected at the 5 stations indicated on Figure 2 in Attachment C, (Nos. 1, 4, 5, 9 and 10) and one in the Brayton Point Intake Basin.

   ii. The permittee shall collect Mount Hope Bay ichthyoplankton samples once in the month of February and then weekly approximately every four days from March through mid-May.

   iii. The samples shall be analyzed for species type and abundance.

   iv. Ichthyoplankton entrained in intake cooling water will be enumerated separately in triplicate, once in February and every 4 days from March through mid-May using 0.505-mm mesh, 60-centimeter plankton nets.
3. Finfish Data

i. The permittee shall sample the finfish populations once each month by means of a fine-mesh Wilcox trawl program consisting of ten (10) randomly selected otter trawls along a series of six transects shown on Figure 3 in Attachment C, (Taunton River, Intake, Lee, Discharge, Cole, and Spar Island). The "Discharge Tow" shall be on the centerline of the plume at the time of the tow, if the bottom so permits. The ten tows will be randomly selected with six transects being located in shallow water and four transects being located in deep water (>20-ft deep).

ii. The permittee shall sample shallow water finfish populations by beach seine each month at the four locations shown on Figure 4 in Attachment C (Intake, Lee, Cole, and Spar Island) during the period from March through November. On two occasions in June, twice in July and once in August, the permittee shall survey the four main tributaries to Mount Hope Bay for abundance of young-of-the-year winter flounder. Beach seine hauls shall be completed at 18 to 21 fixed and random locations. On two occasions in June and July and once in August, the permittee shall survey upper Mount Hope Bay for abundance of young-of-the-year winter flounder. Ten fixed stations and between 4 and 11 random stations locations within the location shaded on Figure 4 in Attachment C will be sampled in triplicate by beach seine on each occasion.

iii. The permittee shall record the bottom, mid-depth and surface temperatures of the open water trawls (Figure 3 in Attachment C) and the surface temperature during seining operations (Figure 4 in Attachment C) in conjunction with the Wilcox trawl and young of year flounder beach seine programs.

iv. The permittee shall identify, count, and measure the finfish impinged on the intake screens during three cleaning periods one 8-hour cleaning cycle per week. The three cleaning periods shall be representative of one 8-hour cleaning cycle for each of the three work shifts: day shift, afternoon shift, and night shift. The cleaning cycles need not be consecutive during any one 16 or 24 hour period. Sampling shall be conducted on one occasion each week and vary the time of day to cover all three work shifts during the course of each month. For example, an 8-hour sample shall be taken during the morning work shift during week 1, an 8-hour sample shall be taken during the afternoon shift during week 2, and an 8-hour sample shall be taken during the night shift during week 3. The cycle shall be repeated beginning with week 4 and so forth.

v. The permittee shall report all "unusual impingement events" at Brayton Point Station. An "unusual impingement event" is the
impingement of a school of fish or a large number of a single species that exceeds historical normal impingement for the screens as developed through the statistical review of the historical data.

The unusual impingement events will be reported to the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, EPA’s Office of Ecosystem Protection, and MassDEP at the Southeast Regional Office in Lakeville and Division of Watershed Management in Worcester, or their respective designees by telephone as soon as the permittee knows or has reason to believe (not to exceed 4 hours during normal business hours) an unusual fish impingement event has occurred. If dead fish are observed during weekend, holiday or evening periods, the permittee will notify the DMF, MassDEP, and EPA on the next business day. The permittee shall make a written report on the fish impingement incident within 5 work days to MA DMF, MassDEP, and EPA.

4. Benthic Invertebrate Data

The permittee shall collect quahogs annually from Stations A', and F on Figure 5 in Attachment C in April, July, and October and shall analyze them for: cadmium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, nickel, vanadium and zinc.

b. Contingency Plan

This Contingency Plan identifies actions that Brayton Point Station may undertake when improvements to the Biological and Hydrological Monitoring Program (BHP) are necessary. The Contingency Plan authorizes the evaluation, annually at a minimum, of the BHP and associated data, and, if necessary, requires recommendations for improvements in the BHP and the development of a Management Plan (See Management Plan, below).

1. BHP Evaluation

At a minimum, the BHP is evaluated through the following:

i. An annual review of the environmental/biological sampling and analysis plan and data.

ii. The identification of change in the aquatic or biological system.

iii. The determination of statistically significant change.

iv. The determination of biological importance.

v. The determination of the likelihood that Brayton Point Station contributed to the change.

vi. A review and analysis of BHP data variability and power analysis
vi. The identification of improved sampling and/or analysis technologies, including, but not limited to: statistical methods, sampling equipment, and modeling technologies.

2. BHP Evaluation Schedule

The BHP will undergo an annual review according to the following schedule:

i. **Sept. 1**: Permittee submits the results from the previous year’s BHP to the Permitting Authorities.

ii. **Nov. 1**: Permitting Authorities submit comments and questions to the Permittee, if any.

iii. **Dec. 1**: Permittee schedules meeting to present data and review proposed BHP for the following year.

iv. **Feb. 1**: Improvements reviewed and approved by the Permitting Authorities.

v. **Mar. 1**: Permittee continues BHP and implements improvements, if applicable.

3. Management Plan

The BHP requires the Permittee to determine whether any adverse environmental impacts are occurring due to facility operations. If they are, then the Permittee shall, in a timely manner, develop and implement a Management Plan, approved by the Permitting Authorities, to prevent such impacts. A report on these efforts shall be submitted to EPA, MassDEP, and DMF every thirty days until the issue has been resolved.

c. BHP Improvements

This permit authorizes improvements, as approved by the Permitting Authorities, to the BHP when indicated by results and analysis of BHP data (acceptable data from other sources may also be considered). Analysis of data from measured parameters such as temperature, delta T, and rates of impingement, and entrainment may indicate the need for monitoring program enhancements or improvements.

The Permitting Authority will require a review, at least annually, of sampling data and protocols and an evaluation of the need for modifications to the BHP. Additional or reduced sampling locations and any other justified analytical or biological program improvements or modifications may be authorized. Prior to authorization, the permittee must seek input from biologists from DMF, MassDEP, CZM, RI DEM, NMFS, and/or EPA. This review will be chaired by the EPA with
input from DMF, MassDEP, CZM, RI DEM, NMFS, and other agencies or experts as appropriate.

Within 30 days of authorization of biological program improvements, the permittee shall update and resubmit the Biological and Hydrological Monitoring Program to include any such improvements.

Examples of BHP improvements include, but are not limited to:

1. Additional sampling stations;
2. Increased sampling frequency;
3. Changes demonstrated to reduce data variability or increased analysis sensitivity;
4. Changes demonstrated to increase the power to detect statistical significance;
5. Collection of additional data demonstrated to more definitively determine Brayton Point Station impacts;
6. Additional predictive models such as species-specific population, community, and/or trophic level risk.

d. Results of biological and hydrological monitoring required in this section shall be summarized in a report and submitted on a semi-annual basis with an annual report summarizing the previous year's information and conclusions.

1. The annual report conclusions will indicate the trends of the various parameters analyzed and identify any anomalies that appear in the annual historical data comparison. These differences will be explained, if possible. The permittee will make recommendations for any remediation considered necessary or for any programs to better understand the anomaly.

2. The semi-annual or mid-year report will be a letter report providing the status of the present programs, the expected effort in the ensuing six months, and an alert to EPA and the State of any anomalies that may be evident in the first 6-months of data collection.

e. The permittee shall submit a yearly summary of the condenser cooling water biocide program as an integral part of the annual hydrological and biological report. The summary shall include the status of the biocide program in each unit: the chemicals being used, chemical consumption (daily/seasonal), equipment being used, TRO concentration in unit discharges and in Discharge 001, and environmental impacts noted. Annual program changes can be proposed (as with the biological and hydrological programs) concerning the biocide program: chemicals, equipment, procedures, sampling, analysis, etc.
B. Best Management Practices for Flue Gas Desulfurization Truck Wash Water

The permittee shall implement the following Best Management Practices (BMPs) for flue gas desulfurization waste truck loading and truck wash water:

1. All trucks loaded with desulfurization waste(s) shall be loaded within a closed area.

2. Desulfurization waste material shall be loaded: 1) when dry, waste shall be loaded pneumatically into enclosed trucks; or 2) when moist (40 - 50% moisture), waste shall be loaded into 18 wheel dump trucks. If loaded into dump truck(s), the material must be discharged through a long nozzle hose which extends into the truck or truck bed.

3. All trucks shall enter a truck wash area for cleaning before leaving the property. During cold weather conditions where freezing could be an issue, the trucks shall be visually inspected for cleanliness before leaving the property. All scrubber wash water shall be collected and recycled back into the process in a closed system.

C. Monitoring and Reporting

1. For a period of one year from the effective date of the permit, the permittee may either submit monitoring data and other reports to EPA in hard copy form or report electronically using NetDMR, a web-based tool that allows permittees to electronically submit discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) and other required reports via a secure internet connection.

   Beginning no later than one year after the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall begin reporting using NetDMR, unless the facility is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis that precludes the use of NetDMR for submitting DMRs and reports. Specific requirements regarding submittal of data and reports in hard copy form and for submittal using NetDMR are described below:

   a. Submittal of Reports Using NetDMR

      NetDMR is accessed from: http://www.epa.gov/netdmr. Within one year of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall begin submitting DMRs and reports required under this permit electronically to EPA using NetDMR, unless the facility is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis, such as technical or administrative infeasibility, that precludes the use of NetDMR for submitting DMRs and reports (“opt out request”).

      DMRs shall be submitted electronically to EPA no later than the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period. All reports required under the permit shall be submitted to EPA, including the MassDEP Monthly Operations and Maintenance Report, as an electronic attachment to the DMR. Once a permittee begins submitting reports using NetDMR, it will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs or other reports to EPA and will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs to MassDEP. However, permittees shall continue to send hard copies of reports other than DMRs (including Monthly Operation and Maintenance Reports) to MassDEP until further notice from MassDEP.

   b. Submittal of NetDMR Opt Out Requests
Opt out requests must be submitted in writing to EPA for written approval at least sixty (60) days prior to the date a facility would be required under this permit to begin using NetDMR. This demonstration shall be valid for twelve (12) months from the date of EPA approval and shall thereupon expire. At such time, DMRs and reports shall be submitted electronically to EPA unless the permittee submits a renewed opt out request and such request is approved by EPA. All opt out requests should be sent to the following addresses:

Attn: NetDMR Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Water Technical Unit  
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4)  
Boston, MA 02109-3912  

and  
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection  
Surface Water Discharge Permit Program  
627 Main Street, 2nd Floor  
Worcester, Massachusetts 01608

c. Submittal of Reports in Hard Copy Form

Monitoring results shall be summarized for each calendar month and reported on separate hard copy Discharge Monitoring Report Form(s) (DMRs) postmarked no later than the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period. MassDEP Monthly Operation and Maintenance Reports shall be submitted as an attachment to the DMRs. Signed and dated originals of the DMRs, and all other reports or notifications required herein or in Part II shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Water Technical Unit (OES04-SMR)  
5 Post Office Square - Suite 100  
Boston, MA 02109-3912

Duplicate signed copies of all reports or notifications required above shall be submitted to the State at the following addresses:

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection  
Southern Regional Office - Bureau of Waste Prevention  
20 Riverside Drive  
Lakeville, Massachusetts 02347

and

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection  
Surface Water Discharge Permit Program  
627 Main Street, 2nd Floor  
Worcester, Massachusetts 01608
Any verbal reports, if required in Parts I and/or II of this permit, shall be made to both EPA and to MassDEP.

D. State Permit Conditions

1. This authorization to discharge includes two separate and independent permit authorizations. The two permit authorizations are (i) a federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§1251 et seq.; and (ii) an identical state surface water discharge permit issued by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) pursuant to the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, M.G.L. c. 21, §§ 26-53, and 314 C.M.R. 3.00. All of the requirements contained in this authorization, as well as the standard conditions contained in 314 CMR 3.19, are hereby incorporated by reference into this state surface water discharge permit.

2. This authorization also incorporates the state water quality certification issued by MassDEP under § 401(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act, 40 C.F.R. 124.53, M.G.L. c. 21, § 27 and 314 CMR 3.07. All of the requirements (if any) contained in MassDEP's water quality certification for the permit are hereby incorporated by reference into this state surface water discharge permit as special conditions pursuant to 314 CMR 3.11.

3. Each agency shall have the independent right to enforce the terms and conditions of this permit. Any modification, suspension or revocation of this permit shall be effective only with respect to the agency taking such action, and shall not affect the validity or status of this permit as issued by the other agency, unless and until each agency has concurred in writing with such modification, suspension or revocation. In the event any portion of this permit is declared invalid, illegal or otherwise issued in violation of state law such permit shall remain in full force and effect under federal law as a NPDES Permit issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In the event this permit is declared invalid, illegal or otherwise issued in violation of federal law, this permit shall remain in full force and effect under state law as a permit issued by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

E. Reopener Clause

1. This permit shall be modified, or alternatively, revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable standard or limitation promulgated or approved under sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (d), 304 (b)(2), and 307(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

   a. Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or

   b. Controls any pollutants not limited in the permit.
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NEW ENGLAND
5 POST OFFICE SQUARE
BOSTON, MA  02109-3912

STATEMENT OF BASIS

DRAFT NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT MODIFICATION TO DISCHARGE TO THE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

NPDES PERMIT No.:  MA0003654

NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:

Brayton Point Energy, LLC
One Brayton Road
Somerset, MA  02725

NAME AND ADDRESS OF FACILITY WHERE DISCHARGE OCCURS:

Brayton Point Station
One Brayton Point Road
Somerset, MA  02725

RECEIVING WATER:  Mount Hope Bay (Mount Hope Bay Basin, MA61-06, 61-07)

CLASSIFICATION:  SB

PUBLIC NOTICE NO.:  MA-017-14

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:  June 6, 2014 – July 5, 2014
I. PROPOSED ACTION, TYPE OF FACILITY AND DISCHARGE LOCATION:

This action is a proposed modification to the NPDES permit issued to Brayton Point Energy, LLC on February 29, 2012 and which became effective on May 13, 2012. Brayton Point Station is a 1600 megawatt fossil fuel-burning electrical generation facility, relying mostly on coal for fuel. The Station discharges pollutants to and withdraws water from Mount Hope Bay. The bay is an interstate waterbody transected by the Massachusetts/Rhode Island state line and makes up part of the larger Narragansett Bay estuary. The facility’s discharges of pollutants and cooling water withdrawals are subject to various requirements under Federal law and the laws of both States.

II. LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

This modification changes certain conditions of the Permit: Part I.A.2.a (Outfall 003- Cooling Tower Blowdown) and Part IA.24.a (Biological and Hydrological Monitoring). All other conditions of the existing Permit, including effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, will remain unchanged.

III. BASIS FOR MODIFICATIONS

On September 17, 2012, the owners of Brayton Point Station (then Brayton Point Energy Resource Services, Inc.) requested in writing modifications to the existing monitoring program at their Brayton Point Station in Somerset, Massachusetts (NPDES Permit Number MA0003654). On March 17, 2014, Brayton Point Energy, LLC (Brayton Point Energy) sent another letter requesting modifications for internal outfall locations 003 and 005. Summarized below are the specific changes requested and EPA’s response to each request.

Changes to Part I.A.2.a (Outfall 003 and Outfall 005)

Outfall 003- Cooling Tower Blowdown - For internal Outfall 003, the existing permit states that

[t]he flow rate may be recorded using flow meters. Flow will be reported as the combined value from the two blowdown pipes, as measured using in-line flow meters located after the static mixers.

The Permittee requests that the permit also allow the measurement of flow through a weir during times when the facility is off-line (i.e., not generating electricity). This would obviate the need to use the large cooling tower pumps (180,000 gpm), which is “a tremendous consumption of electrical energy that would otherwise [be] used by other consumers.”

EPA’s Response: EPA agrees that the use of a large cooling tower pump is unnecessary when the station is off-line and only service water (24,300 gpm maximum) is needed to cool equipment other than the condensers. EPA therefore approves the request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.2.a (footnote 1) of the Draft Permit Modification.
Outfall 005 – Metal Cleaning Wastes – The existing permit requires compliance monitoring for copper at internal Outfall 005, “metal cleaning wastewater …prior to mixing with any other wastewater” in accordance with the Steam Electric Power Generating Point Source Category Effluent Limitations Guidelines (Steam Electric ELGs) at 40 C.F.R. Part 423. Brayton Point Energy requests that this monitoring location is changed to internal Outfall 004, the discharge location of the wastewater treatment plant.

The basis of this request is that levels of copper in the metal cleaning wastewater necessitates treatment to meet the 1.0 mg/L limit. Therefore the metal cleaning wastewater must be segregated from other wastestreams being treated, which “causes considerable operational complexities, expense and potential reduction in the [wastewater treatment system] WWTS efficiency with only one treatment basin in use for the waste streams.”

EPA’s Response: As indicated in the 2011 Fact Sheet, metal cleaning wastes may not be combined with other types of wastes prior to compliance monitoring, because the metal cleaning wastes are subject to additional effluent limitations for copper and iron. Applying the copper and iron limits of 1.0 mg/l to combined waste streams potentially allows permittees to meet the limits by diluting the metal cleaning waste stream rather than treating it, which is not an acceptable means of compliance. In addition, if metal cleaning wastes are greatly diluted, removal of the metals in the metal cleaning wastes during treatment becomes more difficult and less efficient. Indeed, EPA expects that metal cleaning waste water requires treatment to meet the Steam Electric ELG’s. For the existing permit, EPA found that the iron was present in the other wastewater streams at the facility (often at high levels) and therefore allowed compliance monitoring for iron to take place at an outfall location that includes these other wastestreams.

In this case, Brayton Point Energy has not shown that the other wastewaters it wishes to combine with the metal cleaning effluent contains comparable amounts of copper. Further, it does not appear that segregation and treatment (in the existing treatment system) of one basin contents at a time would cause operational complexities, expense and potential reduction in WWTS efficiency. Brayton Point Energy does not provide a persuasive reason to make this change. Therefore, EPA will not grant the Permittee’s request to change the compliance monitoring location for copper. A denial for a request for modification is not subject to public notice, comment, or hearings but may be informally appealed to the Environmental Appeals Board. See 40 C.F.R. § 124.5(b).

Changes to Part IA.24.a (Biological and Hydrological Monitoring)

Hydrographic data – Currently, Brayton Point Energy collects salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen data at 5 sampling stations at 5 foot intervals, except for Station A where samples are taken 2 feet below the surface. Sampling frequency is monthly October through February and every 4 days from March through September. Brayton Point Energy requests that the frequency of this sampling be reduced to coincide with their revised ichthyoplankton sampling (once in February, weekly from March to mid-May).

Brayton Point Energy provides the following rationale for this reduction. In light of their >90% reduction in flow and thermal discharge due to the installation and operation of cooling towers,
they do not believe the facility has the ability to impact these parameters in Mount Hope Bay any longer. Additionally, statistical analyses of summer dissolved oxygen concentrations show a significant increase over the study period (1972-2011).

**EPA’s Response:** EPA has reviewed the historical water quality data in light of Brayton Point Energy’s request and draws the following conclusions: 1) The significant decline in flow and thermal discharge dramatically reduces the likelihood of the facility negatively impacting the measured parameters in Mount Hope Bay; 2) The facility will still continue to monitor temperature continuously at 8 locations within Mount Hope Bay; and 3) Dissolved oxygen concentrations have increased through time and if anything will only continue to improve with the reduction in the facility’s thermal discharge. Thus, EPA believes that the reduced discharge has a significantly lower potential to cause harm to Mount Hope Bay. The facility will still be tracking water temperature, and therefore EPA does not believe the proposed reductions in water column monitoring will have any effect on the integrity of the monitoring program. EPA approves the request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.1.i of the Draft Permit Modification.

**Ichthyoplankton data** – Currently, Brayton Point Energy samples ichthyoplankton with paired, 60-cm bridleless “bongo” nets fitted with 0.505 mesh at 5 stations. Sampling is required once in February and every 4 days from March through mid-May. Brayton Point Energy requests a change in sampling gear and a reduction in sampling frequency. Specifically, Brayton Point Energy requests that sampling be done with paired, 60-cm bridleless “bongo” nets fitted with 0.333 mesh from February to April. In May, Brayton Point Energy would switch back to the 0.505 mesh nets. Sampling frequency would be changed to weekly from March to mid-May.

Brayton Point Energy’s rationale for the change in sampling gear is that the smaller mesh will retain more small flounder larvae. Brayton Point Energy has for a number of years sampled nets with both mesh sizes concurrently and their data supports the supposition that the smaller mesh retains a greater number of larvae. Brayton Point Energy’s rationale for reducing sampling frequency is that larval flounder numbers in the bay continue to be at historic lows.

**EPA’s Response:** EPA has reviewed the historic ichthyoplankton data in light of Brayton Point Energy’s request and has drawn the following conclusions: 1) EPA agrees that the 0.333 mesh net retains a greater number of winter flounder larvae than the 0.505 mesh net and as a result is a more appropriate tool to use to sample during the initial larval stages for winter flounder; and 2) The reduction in sampling frequency will result in approximately 12-13 sampling dates instead of 17 or 18. In light of the dramatic reduction in cooling water flow from the facility, EPA believes this modest reduction of sampling effort is warranted. Thus, EPA approves the requested changes and has modified the permit accordingly. In addition, for clarification purposes, EPA is adding the following sampling location: “Brayton Point Intake Basin.” See Part I.A.24.a.2.i and ii of the Draft Permit Modification.

**Finfish Trawl Program** – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires monthly trawls along six pre-specified transect lines identified within the permit. Since 1993, Brayton Point Energy has greatly exceeded this permit requirement in terms of effort. Brayton Point Energy has been collecting 10 tows of its standard trawl on a monthly basis. In addition, Brayton Point Energy
has been conducting tows with a second fine-mesh Wilcox trawl. Using the Wilcox trawl, 10 tows have been collected on a monthly basis.

Brayton Point Energy has requested that the trawl program required in the permit be limited to the use of the Wilcox trawl once each month at 10 randomly selected stations in Mount Hope Bay. The randomly selected transects would be split by water depth in the following manner. Six of the trawl transects would be randomly located within shallow water (≤ 20 feet), while 4 transects would be randomly selected from deep water (> 20 feet).

EPA’s Response: EPA has reviewed historical trawl data in light of Brayton Point Energy’s request and has drawn the following conclusions: 1) Brayton Point Energy’s request represents a 50% reduction in the current trawling effort. However, the current trawling effort exceeds what is required by the permit. In fact, the sampling effort being requested represents an increase in sampling effort over the existing permit requirement; 2) Brayton Point Energy requests a change from the standard trawl to the Wilcox fine mesh trawl. Brayton Point Energy has sampled with both nets since 1993 and the Wilcox fine mesh trawl consistently retained more fish than the standard trawl did. That fact aside, every net fishes slightly differently. The standard trawl has been used consistently since the initiation of the program in 1972. It is extremely rare to find a trawl survey dataset of such duration with consistent methodology. If this were an academic exercise, one might insist on the continuation of the standard trawl survey. However, the point of the trawling monitoring program is to track changes in fish abundance through time. The Wilcox trawl now has a sufficient historical database built up to which to compare future catches against, so it will provide a reasonable means of tracking fish abundance through time going forward; and 3) Brayton Point Energy requests a change from sampling pre-assigned transects to randomly generated transects. There is a general concern that continually trawling the same area of bottom will diminish the productivity of that area. Additionally, the random sampling design will not dramatically change the power of the statistical analysis. Thus, EPA approves the requests and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.3.i of the Draft Permit Modification.

Beach Seine – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires that samples be taken at 4 locations monthly from March through November by beach seine. Brayton Point Energy requests the discontinuance of this program. Brayton Point Energy’s rationale for the request is that their reduced operations should not result in impacts to the near shore finfish populations sampled in the seine survey. Additionally, Brayton Point Energy points out that the data generated by these surveys have been highly variable and thus the interpretation of the data is difficult.

EPA’s Response: EPA has reviewed the historical beach seine data in light of Brayton Point Energy’s request and has concluded that the location of the sampling stations was supposed to represent shallow water habitat that had a high likelihood of being impacted by the thermal discharge. With the significant reduction in flow and the thermal discharge, it is unlikely that these stations would still be impacted by the resulting thermal discharge. EPA agrees that interpretation of highly variable datasets is difficult, but does not necessarily agree with that as a rationale to discontinue monitoring. However, in light of the lack of a potential thermal impact from the reduced discharge, EPA approves the request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.3.ii of the Draft Permit Modification.
**Young-of-the-year winter flounder beach seine** – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires sampling young-of-the-year winter flounder by beach seine at 10 random locations in the northern part of the bay. Sampling will be conducted on 2 occasions in June. The current sampling practice by Brayton Point Energy greatly exceeds that requirement. Brayton Point Energy currently samples 10 fixed locations and between 4 and 11 randomly selected stations in the four tributaries to Mount Hope Bay. Samples are taken twice in June and July and once in August. Brayton Point Energy is not requesting a change to the current sampling program, but is asking that the permit be modified to reflect the higher level of sampling effort.

**EPA’s Response:** Brayton Point Energy’s request does not represent any change from the current sampling program, simply a memorialization of that level of effort in the permit. EPA approves the request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.3.ii of the Draft Permit Modification.

**Finfish water quality** – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires that bottom, mid-depth and surface water temperature be measured during open water trawls and that surface temperature be measured during beach seining. Brayton Point Energy requests the permit specifically identify water temperature be collected in conjunction with the Wilcox trawl and the young-of-the-year winter flounder seine survey.

**EPA’s Response:** Brayton Point Energy’s request represents an administrative change to the permit to more specifically identify when the water samples are taken. EPA has agreed to some changes in trawl and beach seine efforts, which by design would result in a minor reduction in the collection of water temperature data currently being collected in conjunction with those operations. This reduction in effort is acceptable due to the dramatic reduction in thermal discharge from the facility. Thus, EPA approves this request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.3.iii of the Draft Permit Modification.

**Impingement monitoring of intake screens** – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires impingement monitoring 3 times per week, each sample representing an 8 hour time period. The samples would ultimately cover the morning, afternoon and night. Brayton Point Energy requests that the frequency of sampling be reduced to once per week and over the course of the month they will vary the time of the collection to assure that morning, afternoon and night samples are collected. Brayton Point Energy points to the 95% reduction in cooling water flow as the main rationale for the request. They present recent data from 2011 when facility flow was greatly reduced due to the construction of the cooling towers. The 2011 impingement totals were greatly reduced as well and represented close to the lowest total (38th out of 40) in the dataset.

**EPA’s Response:** EPA has reviewed the historical impingement data in light of Brayton Point Energy’s request and has drawn the following conclusions: 1) There is a positive correlation between cooling water flow and number of fish impinged; and 2) Recent data, including impingement data from 2012, were collected with greatly reduced facility cooling water flow. Fish impingement numbers in 2012 was greatly reduced compared to the long term average. EPA believes the dramatic reduction in cooling water flow has greatly reduced the risk of impingement mortality for fish in Mount Hope Bay. Thus, EPA approves the request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.3.iv of the Draft Permit Modification.
**Trace metal analysis in quahogs** – Brayton Point Energy’s current permit requires the annual collection of quahogs from 2 stations in April, July and October and to analyze them for cadmium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, nickel, vanadium and zinc. Brayton Point Energy requests to discontinue this sampling program. Brayton Point Energy’s rationale for this request is that many of the metals measured have been showing a declining trend over time and that measured concentrations in tissue rarely if ever exceed levels that the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) would deem hazardous for human consumption.

*EPA’s Response:* EPA has reviewed the historical quahog chemical analysis data and has drawn the following conclusions: 1) There are many sources of trace metals to the environment. The current sampling design is not robust enough to distinguish between various potential sources; 2) Tissue concentrations have rarely exceeded FDA action levels for any of the measured constituents; and 3) Quahog densities in Mount Hope Bay remain some of the highest in the state of Massachusetts. The current level of trace metals in their tissue does not seem to be causing any ecological problems. Thus, based on the historical data and the current abundance of quahogs in Mount Hope Bay, EPA approves this request and has modified the permit accordingly. See Part I.A.24.a.4 of the Draft Permit Modification.

**IV. STATE CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS**

EPA may not issue a permit in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts unless the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) certifies that the effluent limitations contained in the permit modification are stringent enough to assure that the discharge will not cause the receiving water to violate State’s Water Quality Standards. The staff of the MassDEP has reviewed the Draft Permit Modification and advised EPA that the changes to the Outfall 003 as well as changes to the biological and hydrological monitoring conditions are adequate to protect water quality. EPA has requested permit certification by the state pursuant to 40 CFR 124.53 and expects that the Draft Permit Modification will be certified.

**V. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND PROCEDURE FOR FINAL DECISION**

All persons, including applicants, who believe any condition of the Draft Permit Modification is inappropriate must raise all issues and submit all available arguments and all supporting material for their arguments in full by the close of the public comment period, to the U.S. EPA, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Industrial Permit’s Branch, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Mail Code OEP06-04, Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912. EPA and MassDEP are only taking public comment on the parts of the Permit proposed to be modified. Since the rest of the Permit is not being reopened at this time, we are not taking public comment on any other parts of the Permit.

Any person, prior to the close of the public comment period, may submit a request in writing to EPA and MassDEP for a public hearing to consider the Draft Permit Modification. Such requests shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. A public hearing may be held after at least thirty days public notice whenever the Regional Administrator finds
that response to this notice indicates significant public interest. No public hearing has been
scheduled at this time. In reaching a final decision on the Draft Permit Modification, the
Regional Administrator will respond to all significant comments and make these responses
available to the public at EPA's Boston office.

Following the close of the comment period, and after any public hearings, the Regional
Administrator will issue a final permit modification decision and forward a copy of the final
decision to the applicant and each person who has submitted written comments or requested
notice. Within 30 days following the notice of the final permit decision, any interested person
may submit a petition for review of the permit to EPA’s Environmental Appeals Board
consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 124.19.

VI.   EPA Contact

Additional information concerning the Draft Permit Modification may be obtained between the
hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays from:

Sharon DeMeo                                      Ms. Catherine Vakalopoulos
Office of Ecosystem Protection                   Mass. Department of Environmental Protection
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency            One Winter Street
5 Post Office Sq., Suite 100 (CIP)               Boston, MA 02108
Tel: (617) 918-1992                               Tel: (617) 348-4026
demeo.sharon@epa.gov                              Catherine.Vakalopoulos@state.ma.us

06/03/2014 (Brayton Point Mod.)

Date                                     Ken Moraff, Director*
________________________________________ Office of Ecosystem Protection
                                          U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
                                          Boston, MA

* Please address comments to both Ms. Sharon DeMeo and Ms. Catherine Vakalopoulos
JOINT PUBLIC NOTICE OF A DRAFT NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT MODIFICATION TO DISCHARGE INTO THE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES UNDER SECTIONS 301 AND 402 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT, AS AMENDED, AND UNDER SECTIONS 27 AND 43 OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CLEAN WATERS ACT, AS AMENDED, AND REQUEST FOR STATE CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 401 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT.

DATE OF NOTICE: June 6, 2014

PERMIT NUMBER: MA0003654

PUBLIC NOTICE NUMBER: MA-017-14

NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

Brayton Point Energy, LLC
One Brayton Road
Somerset, MA  02725

NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE FACILITY WHERE DISCHARGE OCCURS:

Brayton Point Station
One Brayton Road
Somerset, MA  02725

RECEIVING WATER: Mount Hope Bay

RECEIVING WATER CLASSIFICATION: Class SB

PREPARATION OF THE DRAFT PERMIT:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) have cooperated in the development of a permit modification for the above identified facility. The effluent limits and permit conditions imposed have been drafted to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. sections 1251 et seq., the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, G.L. c. 21, §§ 26-53, 314 CMR 3.00 and State Surface Water Quality Standards at 314 CMR 4.00. EPA has formally requested that the State certify this draft permit modification pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act and expects that the draft permit modification will be certified.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE DRAFT PERMIT MODIFICATION:
A statement of basis (describing the type of facility; type and quantities of wastes; a brief summary of the basis for the draft permit modification conditions; and significant factual, legal and policy questions considered in preparing this draft permit modification) and the draft permit modification may be obtained at no cost at [http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/draft_permits_listing_ma.html](http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/draft_permits_listing_ma.html) or by writing or calling EPA's contact person named below:

Sharon DeMeo  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region 1  
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OEP06-1)  
Boston, MA 02109-3912  
Telephone: (617) 918-1995

The administrative record containing all documents relating to this draft permit modification is on file and may be inspected at the EPA Boston office mentioned above between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

PUBLIC COMMENT AND REQUEST FOR PUBLIC HEARING:

All persons, including applicants, who believe any condition of this draft permit modification is inappropriate, must raise all issues and submit all available arguments and all supporting material for their arguments in full by **July 5, 2014**, to the U.S. EPA, 5 Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912. Any person, prior to such date, may submit a request in writing to EPA and the State Agency for a public hearing to consider this draft permit. Such requests shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. A public hearing may be held after at least thirty days public notice whenever the Regional Administrator finds that response to this notice indicates significant public interest. In reaching a final decision on this draft permit modification, the Regional Administrator will respond to all significant comments and make the responses available to the public at EPA's Boston office.

FINAL PERMIT DECISION:

Following the close of the comment period, and after a public hearing, if such hearing is held, the Regional Administrator will issue a final permit decision and forward a copy of the final decision to the applicant and each person who has submitted written comments or requested notice.

DAVID FERRIS, DIRECTOR  
KEN MORAFF, DIRECTOR  
MASSACHUSETTS WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM  
OFFICE OF ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION  
MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY – REGION 1