79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

PRETREATMENT PERMIT issued to

Location Address:

Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P. (of The Kraft Group, LLC)

370 Route 163 Montville, Connecticut 06353

Facility ID: 086-049 Permit ID: SP0002032 Permit Expires: DRAFT

SECTION 1: GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (A) This permit is re-issued in accordance with Section 22a-430 of Chapter 446k, Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS"), and Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("RCSA") adopted thereunder, as amended, and a modified Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") dated June 3, 1981, by the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency which authorizes the State of Connecticut to administer a Pretreatment Program pursuant to 40 CFR Part 403.
- (B) **RAND-WHITNEY CONTAINERBOARD, L.P.** ("Permittee") shall comply with all conditions of this permit including the following sections of the RCSA which have been adopted pursuant to Section 22a-430 of the CGS and are hereby incorporated into this permit. Your attention is especially drawn to the notification requirements of subsection (i)(2), (i)(3), (j)(1), (j)(6), (j)(8), (j)(9)(C), (j)(11)(C), (D), (E), and (F), (k)(3) and (4) and (l)(2) of section 22a-430-3.

Section 22a-430-3: General Conditions

- (a) Definitions
- (b) General
- (c) Inspection and Entry
- (d) Effect of a Permit
- (e) Duty
- (f) Proper Operation and Maintenance
- (g) Sludge Disposal
- (h) Duty to Mitigate
- (i) Facility Modifications; Notification
- (j) Monitoring, Records and Reporting Requirements
- (k) Bypass
- (1) Conditions Applicable to POTWs
- (m) Effluent Limitation Violations (Upsets)
- (n) Enforcement
- (o) Resource Conservation
- (p) Spill Prevention and Control
- (q) Instrumentation, Alarms, Flow Recorders
- (r) Equalization

Section 22a-430-4: Procedures and Criteria

- (a) Duty to Apply
- (b) Duty to Reapply
- (c) Application Requirements
- (d) Preliminary Review
- (e) Tentative Determination
- (f) Draft Permits, Fact Sheets
- (g) Public Notice, Notice of Hearing
- (h) Public Comments
- (i) Final Determination
- (j) Public Hearings
- (k) Submission of Plans and Specifications. Approval.
- (1) Establishing Effluent Limitations and Conditions
- (m) Case by Case Determinations
- (n) Permit issuance or renewal
- (o) Permit Transfer
- (p) Permit revocation, denial or modification
- (q) Variances
- (r) Secondary Treatment Requirements
- (s) Treatment Requirements for Metals and Cyanide
- (t) Discharges to POTWs Prohibitions
- (C) Violations of any of the terms, conditions, or limitations contained in this permit may subject the Permittee to enforcement action, including but not limited to, seeking penalties, injunctions and/or forfeitures pursuant to applicable sections of the CGS and RCSA. Specifically, civil penalties of up to twenty-five thousand dollars may be assessed per violation per day.
- (D) Any false statement in any information submitted pursuant to this permit may be punishable as a criminal offense under section 22a-438 or 22a-131a of the CGS or in accordance with Section 22a-6, under Section 53a-157b of the CGS.
- (E) The authorization to discharge under this permit may not be transferred without prior written approval of the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection ("the Commissioner"). To request such approval, the Permittee and proposed Transferee shall register such proposed transfer with the Commissioner at least 30 days prior to the Transferee becoming legally responsible for creating or maintaining any discharge which is the subject of the permit transfer. Failure by the Transferee to obtain the Commissioner's approval prior to commencing such discharge(s) may subject the Transferee to enforcement action for discharging without a permit pursuant to applicable sections of the CGS and RCSA.
- (F) Nothing in this permit shall relieve the Permittee of other obligations under applicable federal, state and local law.
- (G) An annual fee shall be paid for each year this permit is in effect as set forth in Section 22a-430-7 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.
- (H) This permitted discharge is consistent with the applicable goals and policies of the Connecticut Coastal Management Act (section 22a-92 of the Connecticut General Statutes).

SECTION 2: DEFINITIONS

- (A) The definitions of the terms used in this permit shall be the same as the definitions contained in Section 22a-423 of the CGS and Sections 22a-430-3(a) and 22a-430-6 of the RCSA.
- (B) In addition to the above, the following definitions shall apply to this permit:

"---" in the limits column on the monitoring table means a limit is not specified but a value must be reported on the DMR.

"Average Monthly Limit" means the maximum allowable "Average Monthly Concentration" as defined in section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA when expressed as a concentration (e.g., mg/l). Otherwise, it means "Average Monthly Discharge Limitation" as defined in Section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA.

"Chlorophenolic-containing Biocides" are biocides that contain either pentachlorophenol or trichlorophenol compounds.

"Daily Concentration" means the concentration of a substance as measured in a daily composite sample, or the arithmetic average of all grab sample results defining a grab sample average.

"Daily Quantity" means the quantity of waste generated during an operating day.

"Department" means the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection.

"Instantaneous Limit" means the highest allowable concentration of a substance as measured by a grab sample, or the highest allowable measurement of a parameter as obtained through instantaneous monitoring.

"Maximum Daily Limit" means the maximum allowable "Daily Concentration" (defined above) when expressed as a concentration (e.g., mg/l). Otherwise, it means the maximum allowable "Daily Quantity" as defined above unless it is expressed as a flow quantity. If expressed as a flow quantity it means "Maximum Daily Flow" as defined in section 22a-430-3(a) of the RCSA.

"NA" as a Monitoring Table abbreviation means "Not Applicable".

"NR" as a Monitoring Table abbreviation means "Not Required".

"Quarterly" means in the months of March, June, September, and December.

"Range During Sampling" or "RDS", as a sample type, means the maximum and minimum of all values recorded as a result of analyzing each grab sample of: 1) a Composite Sample, or 2) a Grab Sample Average. For those permittees with continuous monitoring and recording pH meters, Range During Sampling shall mean the maximum and minimum readings recorded with the continuous monitoring device during the Composite or Grab Sample Average sample collection.

"Range During Month" or "RDM", as a sample type, means the lowest and the highest values of all of the monitoring data for the reporting month.

"Semi-Annual" means in the months of June and December.

SECTION 3: COMMISSIONER'S DECISION

- (A) The Commissioner has made a final determination and found that the continuance of the existing system to treat the discharge will protect the waters of the state from pollution. The Commissioner's decision is based on Application No. 199902202 for permit reissuance received on June 30, 1999 and the administrative record established in the processing of that application.
- (B) The Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the provisions of this permit, the above referenced application, and all approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharges and/or activities authorized by, or associated with, this permit as follows:

- (1) From the issuance of this permit through and including [INSERT LAST DAY OF PERMIT TERM], the Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the terms and conditions of Permit No. SP0002032, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on December 29, 1994, the previous application submitted by the Permittee on August 5, 1992, and all modifications and approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharge and/or activities authorized by, or associated with, Permit No. SP0002032, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on December 29, 1994.
- (2) From [INSERT FIRST DAY OF PERMIT TERM] until this permit expires or is modified or revoked, the Commissioner hereby authorizes the Permittee to discharge in accordance with the terms and conditions of Permit No. SP0002032, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on the issuance date noted on the signature page of this permit, Application No. 199902202 received by the Department on June 30, 1999, and all modifications and approvals issued by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's authorized agent for the discharge and/or activities authorized by, or associated with Permit No. SP0002032, issued by the Commissioner to the Permittee on the issuance date noted on the signature page of this permit.
- (C) The Commissioner reserves the right to make appropriate revisions to the permit in order to establish any appropriate effluent limitations, schedules of compliance, or other provisions that may be authorized under the Federal Clean Water Act or the Connecticut General Statutes or regulations adopted thereunder, as amended. The permit as modified or renewed under this paragraph may also contain any other requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act or Connecticut General Statutes or regulations adopted thereunder which are then applicable.

SECTION 4: EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- (A) The discharges shall not exceed and shall otherwise conform to specific terms and conditions listed below. The discharges are restricted by, and shall be monitored in accordance with, the tables below.
- (B) All samples shall be comprised of only those wastewaters identified in the tables. Therefore, samples shall be taken prior to combination with wastewaters of any other type and after all approved treatment units, if applicable. All samples taken shall be representative of the discharge during standard operating conditions.
- (C) In cases where limits and sample type are specified but sampling is not required, the limits specified shall apply to all samples which may be collected and analyzed by, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection personnel, the Permittee, or other parties.

Table A

(THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS TABLE ARE IN EFFECT FROM PERMIT ISSUANCE UNTIL SIX MONTHS AFTER THE START-UP DATE OF THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 8(E))

Discharge Serial Number: 001-A

Monitoring Location: 0

Wastewater Description: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters

Monitoring Location Description: Temperature: Before the heat exchangers; Flow: Flowmeter in the Clarifier Building; Other: At the sampling location prior to the DAFs

Discharge is to: **DSN 001**

			FLOW/7	TIME-BASED MONIT	TORING	INSTANTANEOUS MONITORING			
PARAMETER	UNITS	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Instantaneous Limit or Required Range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Minimum L
Flow, Instantaneous	gpm			Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow Rate (Average Daily) ¹	gpd		NA	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Maximum during 24 hr period ¹	gpd	NA Continuous Daily Flow NA NR		NA					
Flow (Day of Sampling)	gpd	NA		Twice/Week	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Temperature, Daily Average (June 1-September 30)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (June 1-September 30)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous ⁵	
Temperature, Daily Average (October 1-May 31)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (October 1-May 31)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous ⁵	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/l		,	Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	

TABLE A FOOTNOTES AND REMARKS

Footnotes:

- ¹ For this parameter the Permittee shall maintain at the facility a record of the Total Daily Flow for each day of discharge and shall report the Average Daily Flow and the Maximum Daily Flow for each month.
- ² The first entry in this column is the 'Sample Frequency'. If this entry is not followed by a 'Reporting Frequency' and the 'Sample Frequency' is more frequent than monthly then the 'Reporting Frequency' is the same as the 'Sample Frequency'.
- ³ Minimum levels specified in this table represent the concentrations at which quantification must be achieved and verified during the chemical analyses for those noted parameters. Analyses for these parameters must include check standards within ten percent of the specified Minimum Level or calibration points equal to or less than the specified Minimum Level.
- ⁴ This value must be calculated by summing every temperature reading obtained during the "day" and dividing that value by the number of temperature readings taken in that "day". Report the highest average monthly value on the DMR.
- ⁵ Report the maximum instantaneous value on the DMR.

Remarks:

- 1. Abbreviations used for units are as follows: gpd means gallons per day; gpm means gallons per minute; mg/L means milligrams/liter; SU means Standard Units; F means degrees Fahrenheit. Other abbreviations are as follows: NA means Not Applicable; NR means Not Reportable; RDS means Range During Sampling.
- 2. Collection of the influent sample shall precede collection of the effluent sample by one detention period. The operating record shall note the time that the influent and effluent samples are taken.
- 3. Supplemental discharge monitoring data shall be entered on Appendix A of this permit and submitted in accordance with the Reporting Requirements in Section 5 of this permit.

Table B

(THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS TABLE ARE IN EFFECT FROM PERMIT ISSUANCE UNTIL SIX MONTHS AFTER THE START-UP DATE OF THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 8(E))

Discharge Serial Number: 001-1

Monitoring Location: 1

Wastewater Description: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters

Monitoring Location Description: **Temperature**: After the last heat exchanger; **pH**: pH meter between the CTM and the DAFs; **Flow**: Flow meter in the Clarifier Building; **Other**: At the sampling location after the DAFs

Discharge is to: Town of Montville Water Pollution Control Facility

			FLOW/TI	ME-BASED MONI	TORING	INSTANTANEOUS MONITORING			
PARAMETER	UNITS	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Instantaneous Limit or Required Range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Minimum Level ³
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)	lb/day	14,000	18,700	Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Cyanide, Total	mg/l			Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Flow Rate (Average Daily) ¹	gpd	1,080,000	NA	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Maximum during 24 hr period ¹	gpd	NA	1,100,000	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow (Day of Sampling)	gpd	NA	1,100,000	Twice/Week	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Instantaneous	gpm			Continuous	Daily Flow	NA NR		NA	
Oil & Grease, Total	mg/l	75	100	Weekly	Grab Sample Average	NA	NR	NA	
Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	5
pH, Day of Sampling	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	6.0 to 10.0	Twice/Week	RDS	
pH, Maximum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	10.0	Continuous	Continuous	
pH, Minimum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NR NA		Continuous	Continuous	
Phenols, Total	mg/l			Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Sulfate, Total	mg/l			Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Temperature, Daily Average (June 1-September 30)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA	95	Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Daily Maximum (2-Hour Rolling Average) (June 1-September 30)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA	105 ⁷	Continuous	Calculated ⁵	
Temperature, Daily Average (October 1-May 31)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NR NA 95		Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum per Day (October 1-May 31)	rature, Maximum per Day		NA	NR	NA	1177	Continuous	Continuous ⁶	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/l			Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	lb/day	4,350	5,750	Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2.5
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2.5

TABLE B FOOTNOTES AND REMARKS

Footnotes:

- ¹ For this parameter the Permittee shall maintain at the facility a record of the Total Daily Flow for each day of discharge and shall report the Average Daily Flow and the Maximum Daily Flow for each month.
- ² The first entry in this column is the 'Sample Frequency'. If this entry is not followed by a 'Reporting Frequency' and the 'Sample Frequency' is more frequent than monthly then the 'Reporting Frequency' is the same as the 'Sample Frequency'.
- ³ Minimum levels specified in this table represent the concentrations at which quantification must be achieved and verified during the chemical analyses for those noted parameters. Analyses for these parameters must include check standards within ten percent of the specified Minimum Level or calibration points equal to or less than the specified Minimum Level.
- ⁴ This value must be calculated by summing every temperature reading obtained during the "day" and dividing that value by the number of temperature readings taken in that "day". Report the highest average daily value on the DMR.
- ⁵ Report the highest two-hour average temperature recorded over a "day". The data used for the two-hour averaging shall be collected once every minute. Data collected over a period that overlaps a month shall be counted in the month that includes the higher number of data points in the two-hour averaging period.
- ⁶ Report the maximum instantaneous value (i.e., the result of any individual sample).
- These are interim limits only. Final limits will be established in accordance with Paragraph 8(F) of the permit.

Remarks:

- 1. Abbreviations used for units are as follows: gpd means gallons per day; gpm means gallons per minute; mg/L means milligrams/liter; µg/l means micrograms/liter; lbs/day means pounds per day; SU means Standard Units; °F means degrees Fahrenheit. Other abbreviations are as follows: NA means Not Applicable; ND means Non-Detectable; NR means Not Reportable; RDS means Range During Sampling.
- 2. Supplemental discharge monitoring data shall be entered on Appendix A of this permit and submitted in accordance with the Reporting Requirements in Section 5 of this permit.
- 3. The maximum daily BOD₅ limit of 18,700 lbs/day is a five-year temporary limit. One day prior to the expiration date of this permit, a maximum daily BOD₅ limit of 16,000 lbs/day shall take effect.

Table C

(THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS TABLE ARE IN EFFECT SIX MONTHS AFTER START-UP DATE OF THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 8(E) UNTIL PERMIT EXPIRATION)

Discharge Serial Number: 001-A

Monitoring Location: 0

Wastewater Description: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters

Monitoring Location Description: "Influent Monitoring Location"

Discharge is to: **DSN 001**

			FLOW/T	TIME-BASED MONIT	TORING	INSTANTANEOUS MONITORING			
PARAMETER	UNITS	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Instantaneous Limit or Required Range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Minimum Level ³
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Instantaneous	gpm			Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow Rate (Average Daily) ¹	gpd		NA	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Maximum during 24 hr period ¹	gpd	NA		Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow (Day of Sampling)	gpd	NA		Twice/Week	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
pH, Day of Sampling	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA		Twice/Week	RDS	
pH, Maximum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous	
pH, Minimum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous	
Soluble BOD ₅	mg/L			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Sulfate, Total	mg/L			Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Temperature, Daily Average (June 1-September 30)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (June 1-September 30)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous ⁵	
Temperature, Daily Average (October 1-May 31)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (October 1-May 31)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA		Continuous	Continuous ⁵	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	

TABLE C FOOTNOTES AND REMARKS

Footnotes:

- ¹ For this parameter the Permittee shall maintain at the facility a record of the Total Daily Flow for each day of discharge and shall report the Average Daily Flow and the Maximum Daily Flow for each month.
- ² The first entry in this column is the 'Sample Frequency'. If this entry is not followed by a 'Reporting Frequency' and the 'Sample Frequency' is more frequent than monthly then the 'Reporting Frequency' is monthly. If the 'Sample Frequency' is specified as monthly, or less frequent, then the 'Reporting Frequency' is the same as the 'Sample Frequency'.
- ³ Minimum levels specified in this table represent the concentrations at which quantification must be achieved and verified during the chemical analyses for those noted parameters. Analyses for these parameters must include check standards within ten percent of the specified Minimum Level or calibration points equal to or less than the specified Minimum Level.
- ⁴ This value must be calculated by summing every temperature reading obtained during the "day" and dividing that value by the number of temperature readings taken in that "day". Report the highest average monthly value on the DMR.
- ⁵ Report the maximum instantaneous value on the DMR.

Remarks:

- 1. Abbreviations used for units are as follows: gpd means gallons per day; gpm means gallons per minute; mg/L means milligrams/liter; SU means Standard Units; F means degrees Fahrenheit. Other abbreviations are as follows: NA means Not Applicable; NR means Not Reportable; RDS means Range During Sampling.
- 2. Collection of the influent sample shall precede collection of the effluent sample by one detention period. The operating record shall note the time that the influent and effluent samples are taken.
- 3. Supplemental discharge monitoring data shall be entered on Appendix A of this permit and submitted in accordance with the Reporting Requirements in Section 5 of this permit.

Table D

(THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS TABLE ARE IN EFFECT SIX MONTHS AFTER START-UP DATE OF THE SYSTEM DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 8(E) UNTIL PERMIT EXPIRATION)

Discharge Serial Number: 001-1

Monitoring Location: 1

Wastewater Description: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters

Monitoring Location Description: "Town Monitoring Point"

Discharge is to: Town of Montville Water Pollution Control Facility

			FLOW/TI	ME-BASED MONI	TORING	INSTANTANEOUS MONITORING			
PARAMETER	UNITS	Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Instantaneous Limit or Required Range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Minimum Level ³
Ammonia, Nitrogen	mg/l			Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)	lb/day	$3,000^6$	$4,500^6$	Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day (BOD ₅)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Cyanide, Total	mg/l			Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Flow Rate (Average Daily) ¹	gpd	1,080,000	NA	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Maximum during 24 hr period ¹	gpd	NA	1,100,000	Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow (Day of Sampling)	gpd	NA	1,100,000	Twice/Week	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Flow, Instantaneous	gpm			Continuous	Daily Flow	NA	NR	NA	
Oil & Grease, Total	mg/l	75	100	Weekly	Grab Sample Average	NA	NR	NA	
Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	5
pH, Day of Sampling	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	6.0 to 10.0	Twice/Week	RDS	
pH, Maximum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	10.0	Continuous	Continuous	
pH, Minimum	SU	NA	NA	NR	NA	6.0	Continuous	Continuous	
Phenols, Total	mg/l			Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Soluble BOD ₅	lb/day			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Soluble BOD ₅	mg/L			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Sulfate, Total	mg/l			Monthly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Temperature, Daily Average (June 1-September 30)	°F	NA	NA	NR	NA	95	Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (June 1-September 30)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA	104	Continuous	Continuous ⁵	
Temperature, Daily Average (October 1-May 31)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA	95	Continuous	Calculated ⁴	
Temperature, Maximum (October 1-May 31)	° F	NA	NA	NR	NA	104 Continuo		Continuous ⁵	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/l			Quarterly	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	lb/day	$2,100^6$	$3,150^6$	Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	

Table D

 $(THE\ REQUIREMENTS\ OF\ THIS\ TABLE\ ARE\ IN\ EFFECT\ SIX\ MONTHS\ AFTER\ START-UP\ DATE\ OF\ THE\ SYSTEM\ DESCRIBED\ IN\ PARAGRAPH\ 8(E)\ UNTIL\ PERMIT\ EXPIRATION)$

Discharge Serial Number: 001-1

Monitoring Location: 1

Wastewater Description: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters

Monitoring Location Description: "Town Monitoring Point"

Discharge is to: Town of Montville Water Pollution Control Facility

Ü	UNITS		FLOW/TI	ME-BASED MONI	TORING	INSTANTANEOUS MONITORING			
PARAMETER		Average Monthly Limit	Maximum Daily Limit	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Instantaneous Limit or Required Range	Sample// Reporting Frequency ²	Sample Type or Measurement to be Reported	Minimum Le
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/l			Twice/Week	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2.5
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/L	ND	ND	Semi-annual	Daily Composite	NA	NR	NA	2.5

TABLE D FOONOTES AND REMARKS

Footnotes:

- ¹ For this parameter the Permittee shall maintain at the facility a record of the Total Daily Flow for each day of discharge and shall report the Average Daily Flow and the Maximum Daily Flow for each month.
- ² The first entry in this column is the 'Sample Frequency'. If this entry is not followed by a 'Reporting Frequency' and the 'Sample Frequency' is more frequent than monthly then the 'Reporting Frequency' is the same as the 'Sample Frequency'.
- ³ Minimum levels specified in this table represent the concentrations at which quantification must be achieved and verified during the chemical analyses for those noted parameters. Analyses for these parameters must include check standards within ten percent of the specified Minimum Level or calibration points equal to or less than the specified Minimum Level.
- ⁴ This value must be calculated by summing every temperature reading obtained during the "day" and dividing that value by the number of temperature readings taken in that "day". Report the highest average daily value on the DMR.
- ⁵ Report the maximum instantaneous value on the DMR.
- ⁶ These limits are interim limits only. Final limits will be determined upon evaluation of the efficiency of the anaerobic pretreatment system.

Remarks:

- 1. Abbreviations used for units are as follows: gpd means gallons per day; gpm means gallons per minute; mg/L means milligrams/liter; µg/l means micrograms/liter; lbs/day means pounds per day; SU means Standard Units; ° F means degrees Fahrenheit. Other abbreviations are as follows: NA means Not Applicable; ND means Non-Detectable; NR means Not Reportable; RDS means Range During Sampling.
- 2. The same analytical method shall be used to test for BOD₅ and soluble BOD₅.
- 3. Supplemental discharge monitoring data shall be entered on Appendix A of this permit and submitted in accordance with the Reporting Requirements in Section 5 of this permit.

SECTION 5: SAMPLE COLLECTION, HANDLING AND ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

(A) Chemical analyses to determine compliance with effluent limits and conditions established in this permit shall be performed using the methods approved by the Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to 40 CFR 136 unless an alternative method has been approved in writing in accordance with 40 CFR 136.4 or as provided in section 22a-430-3(j)(7) of the RCSA. Chemicals which do not have methods of analysis defined in 40 CFR 136 shall be analyzed in accordance with methods specified in this permit. The following test methods shall be used to analyze the parameters identified below:

PARAMETER

METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Soluble BOD₅

Filter sample through a 0.45 micron filter and analyze the filtrate for BOD₅ using an approved method in 40 CFR 136

2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

EPA Method 1625

- (B) All metals analyses identified in this permit shall refer to analyses for Total Recoverable Metal as defined in 40 CFR 136 unless otherwise specified.
- (C) The results of chemical analysis required above shall be entered on the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), provided by this office, and reported to the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance at the following address. Except for continuous monitoring, any monitoring required more frequently than monthly shall be reported on an attachment to the DMR, and any additional monitoring conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 136 or other methods approved by the Commissioner shall also be included on the DMR, or as an attachment, if necessary. Appendix A of this permit shall be used for that purpose. The report shall also include a detailed explanation of any violations of the limitations specified. The DMR shall be received at this address by the last day of the month following the month in which samples are taken.

Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance
Water Permitting and Enforcement Division (Attn: DMR Processing)
Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106-5127

- (D) If this permit requires monitoring of a discharge on a calendar basis (e.g., monthly, quarterly, etc.) but a discharge has not occurred within the frequency of sampling specified in the permit, the Permittee must submit the DMR as scheduled, indicating "NO DISCHARGE". For those permittees whose required monitoring is discharge dependent (e.g., per batch), the minimum reporting frequency is monthly. Therefore, if there is no discharge during a calendar month for a batch discharge, a DMR must be submitted indicating such by the end of the following month.
- (E) NetDMR Reporting Requirements
 - 1. Prior to one-hundred and eighty (180) days after the issuance of this permit, the Permittee may either submit monitoring data and other reports to the Department in hard copy form or electronically using NetDMR, a web-based tool that allows Permittees to electronically submit discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) and other required reports through a secure internet connection. Unless otherwise approved in writing by the Commissioner, no later than one-hundred and eighty (180) days after the issuance of this permit the Permittee shall begin reporting electronically using NetDMR. Specific requirements regarding subscription to NetDMR and submittal of data and reports in hard copy form and for submittal using NetDMR are described below:
 - a. Submittal of NetDMR Subscriber Agreement

On or before fifteen (15) days after the issuance of this permit, the Permittee and/or the person authorized to sign the Permittee's discharge monitoring reports ("Signatory Authority") as described in RCSA Section 22a-430-3(b)(2) shall contact the Department at deep.netdmr@ct.gov

and initiate the NetDMR subscription process for electronic submission of Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) information. Information on NetDMR is available on the Department's website at www.ct.gov/deep/netdmr. On or before ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit the Permittee shall submit a signed and notarized copy of the Connecticut DEEP NetDMR Subscriber Agreement to the Department.

b. Submittal of Reports Using NetDMR

Unless otherwise approved by the Commissioner, on or before one-hundred and eighty (180) days after issuance of this permit, the Permittee and/or the Signatory Authority shall electronically submit DMRs and reports required under this permit to the Department using NetDMR in satisfaction of the DMR submission requirement of Section 5(C) of this permit.

DMRs shall be submitted electronically to the Department no later than the 30th day of the month following the completed reporting period. All reports required under the permit, including any monitoring conducted more frequently than monthly or any additional monitoring conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 136, shall be submitted to the Department as an electronic attachment to the DMR in NetDMR. Once a Permittee begins submitting reports using NetDMR, it will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs or other reports to the Department. The Permittee shall also electronically file any written report of non-compliance described in Section 6 of this permit as an attachment in NetDMR. NetDMR is accessed from: http://www.epa.gov/netdmr.

c. Submittal of NetDMR Opt-Out Requests

If the Permittee is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis, such as technical or administrative infeasibility, that precludes the use of NetDMR for electronically submitting DMRs and reports, the Commissioner may approve the submission of DMRs and other required reports in hard copy form ("opt-out request"). Opt-out requests must be submitted in writing to the Department for written approval on or before fifteen (15) days prior to the date a Permittee would be required under this permit to begin filing DMRs and other reports using NetDMR. This demonstration shall be valid for twelve (12) months from the date of the Department's approval and shall thereupon expire. At such time, DMRs and reports shall be submitted electronically to the Department using NetDMR unless the Permittee submits a renewed opt-out request and such request is approved by the Department.

All opt-out requests and requests for the NetDMR subscriber form should be sent to the following address or by email at deep.netdmr@ct.gov:

Attn: NetDMR Coordinator

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106-5127

(F) Copies of all DMRs shall be submitted concurrently to the local Water Pollution Control Authority ("WPCA") involved in the treatment and collection of the permitted discharge.

SECTION 6: RECORDING AND REPORTING OF VIOLATIONS, ADDITIONAL TESTING REQUIREMENTS

- (A) If any sample analysis indicates that an effluent limitation specified in Section 4 of this permit has been exceeded, a second sample of the effluent shall be collected and analyzed for the parameter(s) in question and the results reported to the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance (Attn: DMR Processing) within 30 days of the exceedance.
- (B) The Permittee shall immediately notify the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance and the local WPCA of all discharges that could cause problems to the Publicly Owned Treatment Works ("POTW"), including but not limited to slug loadings of pollutants which may cause a violation of the

- POTW's NPDES permit, or which may inhibit or disrupt the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal.
- (C) In addition to the notification requirements specified in Section 1B of this permit, if any sampling and analysis of the discharge performed by the Permittee indicates a violation of limits specified in Section 4 of this permit, the Permittee shall notify the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance within 24 hours of becoming aware of the violation.

SECTION 7: COMPLIANCE CONDITIONS

The Commissioner may provide public notification, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the respective POTW, of permittees that at any time in the previous twelve months were in significant noncompliance with the provisions of this permit. For the purposes of this provision, a Permittee is in significant noncompliance if its violation(s) meet(s) one or more of the following criteria:

- Chronic violations: Those in which sixty-six (66%) percent or more of all measurements taken for the same pollutant parameter during a six-month period exceed (by any magnitude) the Average Monthly, Maximum Daily, or Maximum Instantaneous Limit(s).
- Technical Review Criteria violations: Those in which thirty-three (33%) or more of all of the measurements taken for the same pollutant parameter during a six-month period equal or exceed the Average Monthly, Maximum Daily, or Maximum Instantaneous Limit(s) multiplied by 1.4 for BOD, TSS, fats, oil, and grease, or 1.2 for all other pollutants except pH.
- Monitoring Reports: Failure to provide, within 45 days after the due date, required reports such as DMRs.
- Compliance Schedule: Failure to meet within 90 days after the schedule date, a compliance schedule milestone
 contained in or linked to a respective permit for starting construction, completing construction, or attaining final
 compliance.
- Noncompliance Reporting: Failure to accurately report noncompliance in accordance with provisions identified in Section 6 of this permit.
- Discretionary: Any other violation of an effluent limit that the Department determines has caused, alone or in combination with other discharges, a violation of the POTW's NPDES permit, inhibition or disruption of the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal.
- Imminent Endangerment: Any discharge of a pollutant that has caused imminent endangerment to human health, welfare or to the environment, or has resulted in the Department's exercise of its emergency authority under 40 CFR §403.8(f)(1)(vi)(B) to halt or prevent such a discharge.
- BMPs: Any other violation or group of violations, which may include a violation of Best Management Practices, which the Department determines will adversely affect the operation or implementation of the pretreatment program.

SECTION 8: SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- (A) Section 40 CFR 430.107 requires that Permittees not using chlorophenolic-containing biocides must certify to the permit-issuing authority that they are not using these biocides. This certification shall be submitted annually and is due on December 31st of each year that this permit is in effect. [See Appendix B.]
- (B) If the Permittee submits a notification to the Department under RCSA Section 22a-430-3i requesting approval for the permanent or temporary use of any chemical at its facility, the Permittee shall include as part of its submittal, an evaluation demonstrating that the subject chemical will not cause or contribute to interference or pass-through at the Montville Water Pollution Control Facility ("WPCF").

- (C) The Permittee shall "pig" the pipeline that conveys the effluent from the Permittee's facility to the Montville WPCA at a mutually agreed frequency as requested by the Montville WPCF. Currently, this operation occurs approximately twice per week in the summer months and once per week in the non-summer months.
- (D) The Permittee shall maintain compliance with the most current, Department-approved version of the "Surge Basin SOP".
- (E) In May 2012, the Permittee notified the Department that it intends to install an anaerobic pretreatment system to treat its wastewater. On June 1, 2012, the Department approved the conceptual design for this project based on the submittal entitled *Anaerobic Pretreatment System Evaluation*, May 2012, by Woodard and Curran. Submission of complete and detailed plans and specifications are pending. Within six months of the start-up date of the anaerobic pretreatment system, the Permittee's discharge, DSN 001, shall meet the requirements set forth in Tables C & D of this permit.
- (F) The Permittee shall conduct an evaluation in order to establish final temperature limits for its pretreatment system. Within sixty days of issuance of this permit, the Permittee shall submit a scope of study for the Commissioner's review and written approval that outlines the manner in which the evaluation will be performed. Within thirty-six months of issuance of this permit, the Permittee shall submit the results of its evaluation for the Commissioner's review and written approval. At a minimum, this evaluation shall be made in consideration of: influent/effluent temperature data, design capacity and performance of the heat exchangers, seasonal source water usage, production schedules, and potential treatment system modifications. Based upon this evaluation, the Permittee shall propose final temperature effluent limits. The Permittee must demonstrate that its proposed final limits will be protective of the Montville WPCF at all times. If necessary, the interim temperature limits in Table B of the permit shall be modified in accordance with RCSA Section 22a-430-4(p)(5)(B) to incorporate final temperature limits.
- (G) Consistent with the September 5, 2012 letter from the Town of Montville's consultant, Fay, Spofford & Thorndike, the maximum daily BOD₅ limit of 18,700 lbs/day is a five-year temporary limit. One day prior to the expiration date of this permit, a maximum daily BOD₅ limit of 16,000 lbs/day shall take effect.
- (H) Any document, other than a discharge monitoring report, required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this section of the permit shall, unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, be directed to:

Christine Gleason, Sanitary Engineer
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance
Water Permitting and Enforcement Division
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106-5127

This permit is hereby issued on

MACKY MCCLEARY
Deputy Commissioner

MM:CMG

copy: Town of Montville WPCF

^{1 &}quot;Pig" means the practice of using a "pig" (i.e., a tool/device that is sent down the pipeline which allows for the inside of the pipeline to be cleaned/scoured) to clean out the pipeline.

APPENDIX A

Supplemental Discharge Monitoring Data: DSN 001A

			Month:						
DAY	FLOW	FLOW (max)	BODs	Soluble BOD ₅	TSS	pH (min)	pH (max)	TEMP (MAXIMUM PER DAY)	TEMP (AVERAGE PER DAY)
	gpd	gpm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	SU	SU	°F	°F
1 2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26 27									
28									
29									
30									
50	i Table	i.		1	l	1	ı		

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Authorized Official (Print Name):		Title:		
Signature:	Date:			

31

APPENDIX A

Supplemental Discharge Monitoring Data: DSN 001

Month:

DAY	ELOW pdg	ELOW (max)	BOD5	BOD lbs/day	Soluble BODs	Soluble BODs	SSI mg/L	SSI lbs/day	SU (uim)	Hd SU	OIL & GREASE	TEMP "(MAXIMUM PER DAY)	TEMP (MAX, 2-HOUR ROLLING)	TEMP display TEMP A (AVERAGE PER DAY)
1	gpu	gpiii	mg/L	105/444	mg/L	105/day	IIIg/L	105/449	30	30	IIIg/L	1	1.	1
2														
3														
4														
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
11														
12														
13														
14														
15														
16														
17														
18														
19														
20														
21														
22														
23														
24														
25														
26														
27														
28														
29														
30														
31														

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Authorized Official (Print Name):	Title:	Title:	
Signature:	Date:		

APPENDIX B

Chlorophenolic Biocide Certification

In accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 430.107, I hereby certify that [NAME OF COMPANY] does not utilize chlorophenolic-containing biocides in any of the processes at its facility located at [ADDRESS].

with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel pro- inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or the	ents were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance perly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my nose persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.
Authorized Official (Print Name):	Title:
Signature:	Date:

FACT SHEET

SPDES PERMIT RENEWAL

APPLICANT RAND-WHITNEY CONTAINERBOARD, L.P.

SPDES PERMIT NO. SP0002032

APPLICATION NO. 199902202

DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED June 30, 1999

FACILITY ID. 086-049

LOCATION ADDRESS 370 Route 163

Montville, Connecticut 06353

FACILITY CONTACT Paul Schaffman, P.E., Director of Regulatory Affairs

Phone: 860-425-3712 FAX: 860-848-8900 E-mail: PAULS@rwcb.com

MAILING ADDRESS P.O. Box 336

Montville, Connecticut 06353

DMR CONTACT Paul Schaffman

BILLING CONTACT Paul Schaffman

PERMIT TERM 5 years

PERMIT CATEGORY Significant Industrial User

Categorical Industrial User (40 CFR 430, Subpart J)

PRIMARY SIC CODE 2631 (Paperboard Mills)

PERMIT TYPE Renewal

OWNERSHIP Private

POTW THAT RECEIVES DISCHARGE Town of Montville Water Pollution Control Facility

[Thames River]

DEP STAFF ENGINEER Christine Gleason (860/424-3278)

christine.gleason@ct.gov

PERMIT FEES

Application Filing Fee: \$700. Paid on June 30, 1999

Application Processing Fee: \$12,925.00 (Invoice 76261). Paid on January 22, 2009

Annual Fee:

DISCHARGE CODE	WASTEWATER CATEGORY (per 22a-430-7)	MAXIMUM GPD or CATEGORY	DSN	ANNUAL FEE (per 22a-430-7)
-------------------	--	-------------------------------	-----	----------------------------------

501054Z	Pulp & Paper Mills (Posiflow Cleaner Wastewater, Uniflow Cleaners Wastewater, Screen Reject Wastewater, Stock Prep Cleaner Reject Wastewater, Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor filtrate, Wash-up water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate)	>50,000	001	8,425.00
5060000	Water Production Wastewater (Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water)		001	525
5170000	Blowdown from Heating & Cooling Equipment (Cooling Tower Blowdown)		001	4087.50
502000a	Cooling Water (Non-Contact) (Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Steam Condensate, Boiler Water Treatment System Condensate, Starch Make-down System Flush Water)	0-100,000	001	525
TOTAL				\$13,562.50



I. APPLICANT

Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P. is seeking a renewal of its SPDES permit (SP0002032) for authorization of the discharge of treated wastewaters generated from its linerboard processing operations. On June 30, 1999, the Department received Application 199902202 for the subject SPDES permit. This application was noticed in the *Norwich Bulletin* on July 2, 1999. On October 7, 1999, the application was determined to be timely and administratively sufficient.

The applicant seeks authorization for the following:

DSN	PROPOSED AVERAGE MONTHLY FLOW (gpd)	PROPOSED MAXIMUM DAILY FLOW (gpd)	PROPOSED WASTESTREAMS	TREATMENT TYPE(S)	DISCHARGE TO
001-A			Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, DAF Rejects, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate,	Equalization; Neutralization	001-1
001-1	1,080,000	1,100,000	Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Non-contact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from the Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters	Equalization; Neutralization; Solids removal; Heat removal	Town of Montville's collection system

II. BACKGROUND/PERMIT HISTORY

Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P. (RWC), a part of the Kraft Group, operates a linerboard mill in Montville. The wastewater that is generated from the mill is treated on-site and discharged into the Town of Montville's Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) by way of a dedicated sewer line. This discharge is subject to the terms and conditions of SP0002032 which was issued on December 29, 1994. General permits exist for other wastewater discharges, including stormwater (GSI000723), water treatment wastewater (GWT000231), and miscellaneous wastewaters (GMI000086).

In the early 1990s, RWC proposed construction of a mill in Montville for processing linerboard from old corrugated containers (OCC). In July 1992, it submitted an application to obtain a permit to discharge the wastewater from its mill to the Montville WPCF. The application sought authorization for the discharge of an average of 1,080,000 gallons per day of wastewater generated from the pulping, cleaning, stock preparation, and paper forming operations, as well as discharges from ancillary operations, including cooling water, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, and water production wastewaters. The raw wastewater, as represented in the permit application, was expected to have an average 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) of 10,400 lbs/day, an average Total Suspended Solids (TSS) of 8,000 lbs/day, temperature ranging from 60-90 °F, and an average sulfate concentration of 500 mg/L. The application also included a conceptual design for a system which would treat this raw waterwater prior to being conveyed to the Montville WPCF.

In June 1993, as construction of the mill proceeded, a revised permit application was submitted. This application revised the proposed linerboard production from 400 tons/day to 450 tons/day and also revised the BOD₅ and TSS projections for the raw wastewater (i.e., the raw wastewater would contain an average BOD₅ of 16,300 lbs/day and an average TSS of 4,350 lbs/day). This application also provided additional information as to the type of treatment the raw wastewater would receive. Specifically, it indicated that two Krofta dissolved air flotation (DAF) units would be installed to treat the wastewater prior to discharge to the Montville WPCF. Treated effluent from the DAFs would then be directed via a dedicated pipeline to the Montville WPCF where it would be treated in the WPCF's Extended Aeration (EA) System and

ultimately in the Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) system yet to be constructed. The projected plans also provided for the Montville WPCF to partially treat and return a portion of RWC's discharge ("return water") which would be used by RWC as process water in its operations. In June 1993, the Department drafted and noticed RWC's discharge permit based on these projections. Soon thereafter, the Town of Montville allowed RWC a higher BOD₅ raw wastewater limit (i.e., 16,300 lbs/day average monthly and 18,700 lbs/day maximum daily).

As the construction activities progressed, certain agreements were finalized between RWC and the Town of Montville. In June 1993, two agreements were entered into: the Amended and Restated Wastewater Treatment Agreement ("Wastewater Treatment Agreement"), which set forth the terms and conditions concerning the pre-treatment of RWC's discharge, and the Second Amended and Restated Water Supply Agreement ("Water Supply Agreement") which set forth the terms and conditions concerning the quality and quantity of the return water supplied to RWC. Among other things, the Wastewater Treatment Agreement provided for the Town to construct two DAFs in a building on RWC's property ("Pre-Treatment Facility") and to construct two dedicated pipelines ("Pipelines"), one for the conveyance of RWC's wastewater from the Pre-Treatment facility to the Montville WPCF and the other for the return water from the Montville WPCF to RWC. The Wastewater Treatment Agreement also contained certain limits that RWC's wastewater would need to meet prior to discharge to the Montville WPCF (i.e., after treatment in the DAFs). In September 1994, construction was completed on the two Town-owned, RWCoperated DAFs; the terms and conditions of the operation and maintenance of the units were set forth in the Operation and Maintenance Agreement. Toward the end of 1994, the construction of the Pipelines and the three SBRs at the Montville WPCF were nearly completed. In December 1994, RWC's sewer discharge permit, SP0002032, was issued; it included the higher raw wastewater BOD₅ limits that the Town approved in June 1993 and required monitoring at a point prior to treatment of the wastewater in the DAFs¹.

In January 1995, operations began at the mill. As planned, return water was supplied to RWC from the Montville WPCF for use in various operations at the mill. Within the first year of operation, however, RWC experienced some operational issues at its facility that it claimed were related to the quality of the return water (e.g., equipment/piping corrosion, impaired boiler operations, the need for increased chemical consumption). Consequently, an alternative source of supply water was sought. In 1997, RWC obtained a diversion permit which allowed for the withdrawal of up to 803,000 gpd of water from the Oxoboxo Brook during non low-flow conditions. With the diversion permit in place, Oxoboxo Brook water would be the main water source from November to June and return water would be the main water source in the summer months.

As mill operations got underway, other issues arose:

BOD₅ and TSS Loading in RWC's Effluent: As noted above, two DAFs were installed at the RWC site to remove BOD₅ and TSS in the raw wastewater. The design criteria for this system was 90% solids removal and 35% BOD₅ removal as set forth in the Water Supply Agreement. However, upon start-up of the system, the anticipated levels of removal were not met. As early as mid-1995, various operational, chemical, and mechanical measures, were undertaken in an attempt to meet the level of BOD₅ and TSS effluent quality that had been anticipated. This resulted in some success with additional TSS removal. However, the projected BOD₅ removal levels were never fully met, due in part, because the BOD₅ in RWC's effluent was soluble BOD₅ and the system was not designed to remove soluble BOD₅. In 1996, the Town assigned all rights under the Krofta contract to RWC and the Wastewater Treatment Agreement was modified to increase the average monthly BOD₅ limit to 14,000 lbs/day and the maximum daily limit to 16,000 lbs/day.

At or around this time, the Montville WPCF began experiencing problems meeting the BOD₅ and TSS limits in its NPDES permit. In order to determine the source of the problems, RWC was issued a NOV requiring that it evaluate the characteristics of its discharge in order to determine if or how its discharge was impacting the treatment facility. In response to the NOV, RWC retained Malcolm-Pirnie (MP) to conduct an evaluation of the treatability of RWC's effluent. MP

Fact Sheet for Permit SP0002032

¹ RWC is currently monitoring its wastewater at two different locations: The Town of Montville has established its compliance monitoring point after the DAFs ("Town Monitoring Point"); the compliance monitoring point in SP0002032 is located before the DAFs ("DEP Monitoring Point").

evaluated RWC's operations, the TSS and BOD₅ loading and variability of the effluent, and the operations at the Montville WPCF. MP concluded that the RWC wastewater could be effectively treated for BOD₅ and TSS and that there was nothing in RWC's wastewater that was determined to be inhibitory or could cause pass-through at the Montville WPCF. MP proposed no changes to the management of RWC's wastewater, but did suggest that the performance difficulties at the Montville WPCF lay with the operation of the facility itself and suggested that certain operational changes be undertaken at the Montville WPCF to eliminate/reduce these problems. The Montville WPCF's consultant, Fay Spofford, and Thorndike (FST) responded to the suggestions in this report by noting that the performance difficulties at the Montville WPCF were due to the excessive BOD₅ loadings and variations in the RWC wastewater. FST made recommendations to RWC to improve facility performance so that the Montville WPCF would be able to meet its NPDES limits. While both reports arrived at differing conclusions as to the source of the problems at the treatment facility, each did, however, conclude that additional treatment capacity at the Montville WPCF would at least partially address the BOD₅ and TSS exceedances. In 1999, a fourth SBR was constructed at the Montville WPCF. This resulted in an improvement in Montville's effluent quality with respect to BOD₅ and TSS. However, the issue of the variability of BOD₅ in RWC's effluent remained outstanding.

In November 1999, RWC was requested to provide additional information to supplement the existing reports on BOD₅ variability in its wastewater. Specifically, RWC was directed to investigate the source of the BOD₅ in its wastewater (i.e., from OCC or chemical additions), determine the impact that the internal wastestreams have on the variability of the loading, determine the hour-to-hour/day-to-day variability of the discharge, and determine what impact the BOD₅ variability and strength have on the operations of the Montville WPCF. In February 2000, RWC submitted a preliminary response to the November request. This evaluation attempted to identify the source of the BOD₅ in the discharge, as well as to determine the hourly variance of BOD₅ during normal and shutdown periods. The results of this study indicated that the source of the BOD₅ is from the OCC and not the chemicals used in the process. Specifically, the study determined that the source of BOD₅ was primarily from the starch-based glue used on the boxes, and to a lesser extent, the organic material in the OCC fibers. In addition, RWC evaluated the variability of the BOD₅ in the effluent during production, as well as during shutdowns. This report was followed up by another, more comprehensive report submitted in January 2001 by MP. This report evaluated the variability of the BOD₅ by investigating the individual sources of BOD₅ in the wastestreams generated at the site during routine operations and those generated during shutdown/clean-out operations. The report also evaluated the statistical relationships between the operational variables. The report concluded that the main factor in BOD₅ variability is the mill's production rate. The report did not propose any specific changes to be made to address this issue, but did request a higher maximum BOD₅ limit. The Montville WPCF, through its consultant, continued to note that proper operation of the treatment facility was not achievable due to the variable flows and loading from RWC. By 2002, however, two additional SBRs (SBRs 5 & 6) were installed at the Montville WPCF in anticipation of increased flows from Mohegan Sun Casino. While the Montville WPCF continued to employ strategies to deal with the impacts of the RWC discharge, this additional capacity allowed the treatment facility to better manage the discharge. Gradually, as treatment efficiencies improved, Montville was able to meet its permit limits. However, the issue of whether pre-treatment was necessary to address the variability in RWC's wastewater remained outstanding.

In late 2002, RWC was informed that it needed to investigate pre-treatment measures to address the BOD₅ variability in its discharge. In January 2003, RWC proposed installation of an equalization tank at its site and the use of Aerated Equalization to treat the soluble BOD₅ in its discharge. However, from late 2003 to early 2004, RWC pilot tested a Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR) to determine if it would be a more effective alternative instead. The results of the pilot study indicated that a soluble BOD₅ reduction of about 20% may be achieved. By October 2004, RWC proposed the use of an MBBR system to address the BOD₅ variability but this proposal planned for the MBBR system to be installed at the Montville WPCF and operated by RWC. This operating arrangement proved to be infeasible and by 2005, the MBBR was ruled out as a treatment alternative. In May 2010, through Consent Order WC 5516, RWC was required

to further evaluate the need for equalization in order to address the variability of its wastewater. RWC's consultant, Woodard and Curran (W&C) re-evaluated the past studies and evaluated existing conditions at the Montville WPCF and determined that equalization alone would not provide any real benefit to the treatment facility. RWC continues, however, to investigate treatment alternatives as set forth in the June 2011 Memorandum of Understanding with the Town of Montville entitled "Exploration of Treatment Options". Currently RWC is investigating the possibility of an anaerobic digester to treat its wastewater. This project is on-going.

Issues with the Dedicated Pipeline, Odor, & Filamentous Bacteria Issues at the Montville WPCF: Within several months of start-up of the mill, the Montville WPCF began experiencing odor problems. The source of the odors was determined to be the RWC discharge. Upon further investigation, it was determined that higher than expected sulfate levels in the RWC discharge, coupled with the anaerobic conditions present in the dedicated pipeline, were causing the formation of sulfide-bearing compounds in the pipeline. The initial instances of odor were addressed by the addition of potassium permanganate at RWC to reduce sulfate levels. This was followed-up by "pigging" the dedicated pipeline. These remedies provided only limited mitigation of the odor problems. In addition to the problems with odor, the WPCF, over time, began to experience problems with filamentous bacteria in its SBRs. FST attributed the WPCF's problems with excess filamentous bacteria on the elevated temperature, nutrient deficiency, and sulfide content in RWC's discharge. In order to address the sulfide issue, the WPCF proposed a sulfide control program consisting of the addition of calcium nitrate to RWC's wastewater in order to reduce the filamentous population in the SBR basin and to mitigate odor problems at the WPCF. In addition, by late 1999, a daily pipeline pigging trial was undertaken in an attempt to reduce the levels of sulfide at the pipeline exit. However, odor and other problems persisted and by late 1999 through early 2000, the Montville WPCF was evaluating operational modifications to address these issues. By 2002, the issue of the odor was substantially addressed by the installation of two wet scrubbers at the WPCF. However, the issue of filamentous bacteria remained outstanding. This and other problems at the treatment facility led the Town of Montville to issue a NOV to RWC in December 2002, requiring that it address those conditions associated with its discharge (e.g., sulfur-bearing compounds, etc.) which were causing the excessive filamentous growth at the WPCF. In December 2002, RWC proposed to address the issue of sulfur compounds in its discharge by adding hydrogen peroxide to its pre-treated wastewater in order to increase dissolved oxygen levels and thereby reduce the generation of sulfide. This was followedup by a proposal in 2004 to trial a caustic flushing program designed to reduce the amount of fermentation occurring in the pipeline. Yet another report was submitted in 2004 proposed treatment for RWC's wastewater in an MBBR system in order to address the sulfur-bearing compounds. None of these alternatives materialized. However, the additional capacity provided by SBRs 5 & 6 and the routine use of polymer at the WPCF allowed for better control of the filamentous bacteria so that the bulking issues were no longer a problem.

In January 2006, there was a break in the pipeline that conveys RWC's effluent to the WPCF. The break occurred in a section of the pipe located at the RWC facility. Corrosion Probe, Inc. (CPI) investigated this pipeline, as well as the parallel return water pipeline. It also tested the soils at various locations along the pipeline route. CPI concluded that the cause of the break was external (i.e., caused by the surrounding soils). This was confirmed by soil testing conducted in several areas along the pipelines. Visual inspection of the pipelines also indicated that the effluent pipeline contained scale in the break area, while the supply pipeline did not. CPI concluded that the higher temperature of the water in the effluent line versus the supply line could have contributed to this situation. To date, the dedicated wastewater line continues to be pigged by RWC staff once a week in the non-summer months and twice a week in the summer months.

Thermal Issues Associated with RWC's Effluent: As noted above, the permit applications submitted in 1992/1993 projected that the temperature of RWC's wastewater would be between 60-90 °F. However, the actual temperature was considerably higher. In 1996, the WPCF conducted temperature monitoring of RWC's wastewater at the outlet of the dedicated pipeline. This monitoring indicated that the temperature (from May to July) varied from 99.7 °F to 115.7 °F. By 1997, plans were initiated by the Montville Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA) to

control the temperature in RWC's discharge. Several options were identified by Camp Dresser & McGee (CDM), the Town's consultant. Ultimately, a heat exchanger located at the RWC property was the agreed-upon option. The terms and conditions of the installation, maintenance, and design capacity of the heat exchanger were finalized through a Standstill Agreement executed in March 1998 by RWC and the Town of Montville. The Standstill Agreement provided for the WPCA to install a heat exchanger at the RWC site designed to reduce the temperature of RWC's effluent to no more than 97 °F; RWC was obligated to maintain the heat exchanger as set forth in the Standstill Agreement. In July 1998, the heat exchanger (an Alpha Laval spiral heat exchanger) was installed at the RWC facility. An initial evaluation was conducted soon after the heat exchanger was installed which indicated that it appeared to be performing as intended. However, problems with the heat exchanger's performance began to occur soon thereafter. In October 1998, CDM conducted a site inspection at RWC to evaluate the performance of the heat exchanger system. That inspection revealed that the amount of solids in the influent to the heat exchanger exceeded the design constraints specified in the Standstill Agreement. This, and other related operational problems, were causing a decrease in thermal performance. By mid-2000, the heat exchanger had deteriorated to the point where major maintenance was necessary. By December 2000, RWC contracted with an engineering firm, Neill & Gunter, (N&G) to fix and/or re-design the heat exchanger. Based on recommendations from N&G, RWC proposed to install a new plateand-frame heat exchanger with the existing, repaired Alpha Laval serving as a backup. RWC proposed that the design criteria for the new plate-and-frame heat exchanger would meet the 97 °F limit set forth in the Standstill Agreement. However, the Montville WPCF indicated that the 97 °F limit identified in the Standstill Agreement was based on "limited data and assumptions" and it wanted the proposed heat exchanger to be designed to treat the wastewater to 85 °F. Regardless, in June 2001, RWC installed (at risk) a Mueller plate-and-frame heat exchanger designed to achieve an average daily temperature of 97 °F; the Alfa Laval was designated as the back-up. Despite installing the new heat exchanger, the WPCF was still experiencing problems associated with elevated temperature. As a result, RWC was required to investigate a long-term option for controlling the temperature of its effluent. RWC directed its consultant, W&C, to evaluate the temperature of its effluent, as well as the effects of the temperature on the operations at the Montville WPCF, and propose certain long-term alternatives. As a result of this evaluation, W&C proposed the installation of a new closed loop evaporative cooling tower and another heat exchanger. By 2008, RWC replaced the Alpha Laval with a second Mueller plate-and-frame heat exchanger; no approval appears to exist for this heat exchanger. In May 2010, through Consent Order WC 5516, RWC was required to further evaluate the need for temperature reduction for its effluent. In response to this requirement, W&C conducted another evaluation to investigate the cooling options for RWC's effluent. Based on this evaluation, W&C recommended installation of a third Mueller plate-and-frame heat exchanger. This heat exchanger became operational in July 2011.

Spills at RWC: In addition to the above-noted issues, spills from the RWC facility began to occur soon after operations began in January 1995. In August 1995, the DEP issued RWC a Notice of Violation (NOV) for, among other things, three process water spills that entered Oxoboxo Brook. In response to this NOV, RWC's consultant submitted a report in December 1995 which proposed to install additional containment capacity at the facility in an effort to address any future spills. Specifically, it proposed to install spill curbing in the OCC and Paper Machine ("PM") Basements and to install a "Surge Basin". The construction of the spill curbing and the installation of the Surge Basin was completed in 1996, however, spills continued to occur. In July 1998, RWC was issued a Consent Order (WC 5253) as the result of nine spills that occurred from August 1996 to September 1997. The consent order required RWC to investigate the source of the spills and to take necessary remedial actions to prevent future occurrences of spills. In 1999, RWC submitted a report designed to prevent any future process water spills from occurring at the facility. The report proposed certain facility/operational changes, including: installation of a U-drain and sump in the OCC Warehouse, changes to the Surge Basin discharge piping, process control modifications, upgrading the sump pump system, installing curbing at the Pre-Treatment Building, and increasing the pumping capacity to the sewer main. However, seven additional spills occurred after submission of this report, so in 2002, RWC submitted a supplemental report to address the additional spills that had occurred since submission of the original report. In 2004, another spill

occurred and this required a further evaluation, as required by the Consent Order, WC 5253. In response to this requirement, W&C submitted a report in November 2005 (Conceptual Engineering Design Report) which summarized its evaluation of the existing measures in place to address spills and made recommendations for future improvements. The report concluded that existing spill prevention measures on-site appeared appropriate and recommended only that the Surge Basin SOP be revised to modify the sequence in which the structures used to contain excess wastewater were to be used. The recommendation made to revise the Surge Basin SOP was incorporated into the March 2010 Consent Order, WC 5516. However, after issuance of this Consent Order, W&C proposed an alternative revision to the Surge Basin SOP (i.e., to install an overflow pipe that would by-pass the valve that directs excess water from the PM Basement to the Surge Basin). On March 3, 2011, the Department approved this alternative and installation has been completed. To date, one spill (related to the cooling tower) has been reported since issuance of the Consent Order.

Current Status of Issues: Resolution of the issues noted above has precluded re-issuance of SP0002032 until now. The problems caused by these issues have been reduced/eliminated either through actions taken by the Montville WPCF, in terms of upgrading its facility, or by actions taken by RWC to address the requirements of the recent Consent Order. The Montville WPCF currently operates 6 SBRs which allows it enough treatment capacity to manage the BOD_5 and temperature loads in the RWC discharge. The Montville facility has been successfully treating RWC's wastewater for several years now and it has not had a BOD_5 permit violation in several years.

III. ISSUES RELATED TO THE APPLICATION

A. FEDERALLY-RECOGNIZED INDIAN LAND

As provided in the permit application, the site is not located on federally-recognized Indian land.

B. COASTAL AREA/COASTAL BOUNDARY

The site is located in a coastal area, but not located within a coastal boundary. Renewal of this permit will not adversely impact coastal resources.

C. ENDANGERED SPECIES

The site is not located within an area identified as a habitat for endangered, threatened or special concern species.

D. AQUIFER PROTECTION AREAS

The site is not located in a town required to establish aquifer protection areas.

E. CONSERVATION OR PRESERVATION RESTRICTION

According to the Permittee, the property is not subject to a conservation or preservation restriction.

F. PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY WATERSHED

The site is not located within a public water supply watershed.

IV. NATURE OF THE BUSINESS GENERATING THE DISCHARGE

RWC is in the business of recycling cardboard. The primary SIC code, as provided by the applicant, is: 2631 (Paperboard Mills).

V. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

RWC is located in a mixed residential/commercial/industrial area in Montville and has operated at the site since 1995. Rand-Whitney Containerboard Limited Partnership owns and operates the papermill; Rand-Whitney Realty, LLC owns the land and the other buildings on-site. [See Attachment 1 for site map]. RWC is in the business of processing old corrugated containers (OCC) into linerboard. RWC reportedly produces approximately 650 tons of linerboard per day of varying weights. In addition to OCC, small amounts of baled "double-lined Kraft" (i.e., the box clippings generated by corrugated box plants) and "carrier stock" (i.e., boxes used for packing soft drink cans) are also processed through the facility. The waste paper is received into the facility in baled form, temporarily stored in the OCC Warehouse, and then processed as follows:

Papermill Operations:

OCC Operations: OCC bales are conveyed into the Pulper where they are combined with chemicals and hot water in order to break down the fibers in the cardboard. Following pulping, this stream is cleaned in a series of centrifugal cleaners and rotating mechanical screens. The rejects streams generated from the cleaning/screening operations (Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screen Rejects) are directed to the "Rejects Collection Tank" (RCT); the accepts stream is thickened to a consistency of approximately 7-8% solids in the OCC Thickener Tank and is then conveyed to the "High Density" (HD) Storage Chest for subsequent use in the paper machine operations. Solid waste is also generated from the OCC operations, primarily from the Pulper. The solid waste/trash that is generated is de-watered, if necessary, and shipped off-site; any wastewater generated in this process is directed back to the Pulper for re-use by way of the OCC sump.

Paper Machine (PM) Operations: Stock from the HD Chest is conveyed to the Stock Prep Tank where various sizing and strengthening chemicals are added to the stock. The stock is then diluted and mechanically cleaned prior to conveyance into the Fordrinier. The reject stream generated from the Stock Prep Tank is directed into the RCT; the prepared stock is conveyed to the Fordrinier for further processing. The Fordrinier forms the stock into a two-ply sheet of paper which is applied to a continuous wire that is transported over drainage boxes to remove water so that the consistency of the paper is approximately 20% solids. The wastewater removed in the process is collected in the "Save-All" and "AES Filter" and is re-used internally. The paper sheet is further dewatered in the Press to a consistency of approximately 40-50% solids. The wastewater from this operation is also collected in the "Save-All" and "AES Filter" for further re-use. The paper is then dried, wound on spools, and shipped off-site.

Water Use and Management: The source water used at the mill consists of either return water provided by the Montville WPCF or water from Oxoboxo Brook. In the non-summer months (October to May), the mill water mix ranges from 1:1 to 4:1; in the summer months, the mill is predominantly on return water. RWC currently has a Diversion Permit which allows for the withdrawal of up to 804,000 gpd of Oxoboxo Brook water. Water from Oxoboxo Brook is withdrawn though a single intake structure equipped with a standard 3/8" screen. The water that is withdrawn is filtered through a bank of sand filters and directed into the "Raw Water Blend Tank" where it is then combined with the return water, and treated with a biocide. From there, this water is then directed to the appropriate areas on-site.

The mill is designed to re-use a majority of the process water that it generates. Water from the PM Operations is collected in the "Save-All" and the "AES Filter", where the water is filtered and then pumped into the "Excess Whitewater Tank" where it can be re-used in various operations in the mill. Additionally, process water that overflows the various tanks and chests in the mill collects in the OCC Basement or the PM Basement which each contain lateral drains ("U-drains") which are connected to a sump which collects this overflow water. Under "normal conditions", the water collected in these sumps is directed back for re-use, generally to the Pulper. However, the contents of the sumps can also be directed to the RCT, if necessary. Under "excess water conditions", the water from the OCC and PM Basements gravity flow to the Surge Basin, a 100,000 gallon above-ground tank located outside

the OCC Area. In addition to the U-drains and sump in the OCC and PM basements, there is also a U-drain and sump in the OCC Warehouse which is designed to prevent water from exiting the facility if the OCC basement floods.

Cooling Towers & Heat Exchangers: There is a cooling tower on-site ("Paper Machine Cooling Tower") that is used to provide cooling for various mechanical and hydraulic systems throughout the mill. There is another cooling tower on-site that until 2011 had been used to provide cooling for the vacuum pumps on-site. It is now used to provide cooling for the heat exchangers associated with the effluent cooling, as necessary (i.e., in the summer months). This cooling tower has a blowdown associated with it which is directed to the OCC U-drains.

There are presently three Mueller plate-and-frame heat exchangers on-site used to cool the effluent prior to discharge to the Montville WPCF. The present operating configuration is as follows: June through September mode ("Summer Mode"): cooling tower on and one primary heat exchanger and one secondary heat exchanger both on-line with the third heat exchanger off-line, but in standby mode to be used as a back-up; October through May ("Maximum Heat Recovery Mode"): one primary heat exchanger and one secondary heat exchanger both on-line with the third heat exchanger off-line, but in standby mode to be used as a back-up; October through May ("Base Wastewater Cooling Mode"): one heat exchanger on-line only.

Mill Maintenance: Both scheduled and unscheduled maintenance operations occur on the OCC equipment and the paper machines. The majority of the maintenance operations are unscheduled with scheduled maintenance occurring every 7-8 weeks for approximately 16 hours. Maintenance operations can consist of any number of activities including maintenance, repair, or replacement of equipment. Certain maintenance-related activities can generate wastewater (e.g., wash-up water). In addition, a "boilout" operation is periodically (i.e., approximately once per year) performed as part of mill maintenance activities. This operation involves circulating a caustic cleaning solution through the PM machines (i.e., headboxes/Fordrinier) for several hours in order to remove scale from the paper machine parts. The spent caustic material would then be neutralized and discharged into the wastewater collection system.

Miscellaneous Operations/Activities:

Co-generation Operations: In 2005, a dual-fuel co-generation unit (~14 MW) was installed at the RWC facility. The unit is located in a separate building beside the mill. This unit replaced the existing boiler at the site. The electricity generated by the co-generation unit is used for on-site operations; a small amount of electricity is reportedly distributed off-site. Steam is also generated from the unit; the steam is used on-site for various operations, including heating process water and process air heating. Feed water for the co-generation unit is provided by Oxoboxo Brook. This water is treated prior to use in the Boiler Water Treatment Room; treatment consists of carbon filtration, de-aeration, and cation exchange. Wastewater generated from the backwash of the carbon filters and the regeneration of the cation exchange columns is discharged into the PM U-drains. Other miscellaneous discharges associated with the operation of the co-generation unit discharge to the sewer, but through a connection point separate from the papermill wastewater discharge point.

Secondary Boiler: There is a separate boiler on-site used to provide heating to the "old" building at the mill. Well water is used for make-up to this boiler. The discharges associated with the operation of this boiler (e.g., boiler blowdown, sand filter backwash) discharge to the sewer but through a connection point separate from the papermill wastewater discharge point.

Quality Control Labs: There are two quality control labs near the paper machine; these are used for testing paper stock/quality. The wastewater generated from these labs is discharged to the sewer, but through a connection point separate from the papermill wastewater discharge point. There is also another lab on-site used for testing TSS in the wastewater. The wastewater generated from this lab is discharged into the sanitary sewer system.

Stormwater Management: The majority of the stormwater from the facility is directed to Oxoboxo Brook via GSI000723. In 2002, a stormwater diversion trench was installed near the compactor area in order to reduce the potential for discharging stormwater to Oxoboxo Brook that could contain plastic or paper debris from the compactor area. The system is designed to collect the first one inch from a storm event and direct it into the OCC Basement and then into the Pulper. Any stormwater in excess of an inch is directed to Oxoboxo Brook.

A summary of the wastestreams generated at the site is as follows:

Wastestreams that discharge via DSN 001:

Wastestreams that discharge via DSN WASTESTREAM	DESCRIPTION
Posiflow Cleaner Wastewater	Wastewater generated from cleaning/screening the paperstock in the
Uniflow Cleaner Wastewater	centrifugal cleaners and the rotating mechanical screens.
	centificing in cleaners and the folding mechanical screens.
Screen Reject Wastewater	
Stock Prep Cleaner Reject Wastewater	Wastewater generated from cleaning the paperstock in the centrifugal cleaners
Clarifier Building Wastewater	Inadvertent spills, leaks from the DAF system
DAF Rejects	Sludge from the DAF system
Fordrinier Wastewater	Wastewater that is removed from the stock as it is processed through the Fordrinier. Under normal operations, this wastewater is re-used via the Save-All. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Press Wastewater	Wastewater that is removed from the paper sheet as it is processed through the Press. Under normal operations, this wastewater is reused via the Save-All or discharged to the PM U-drains for re-use in the Pulper. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Whitewater	Process water that is used as a source of shower water for the Rotary Screen Thickener. Under abnormal operating conditions, the contents of the "Excess Whitewater Tank" will overflow to the CTM tank.
Compactor Filtrate	Wastewater generated as a result of compacting trash from the Pulper. Under normal conditions, the filtrate is directed to the OCC sump for re-use in the Pulper. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Seal Water	Water that is generated from the various mechanical seals at the facility is directed into the PM Basement U-drain for re-use. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Steam Condensate	Steam condensate from several areas in the mill are directed into the OCC Sump and re-used in the Pulper. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Starch Make-down System Flush Water	Water is flushed from the starch make-down system at the beginning of each batch of starch that is "cooked". The flush water, which reportedly does not contain any starch, is directed to the OCC Udrains under normal operating conditions. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Wash-up Water	Whitewater is used in the wash-up hoses throughout the facility in order to clean paper fiber/stock from the floors. This water is directed to the U-drains under normal operating conditions. However, this wastewater could be directed into the discharge collection system.
Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water	Showers, fed by make-up water, are used to clean the fabrics that carry the paper sheet through the Fordrinier and the Press. This wastewater is directed to the PM-U drains and under normal operating conditions will be re-used.
AES Filter Backwash Water	The process water used for the showers and seals is filtered in the AES before use. The bank of filters used in this process is backwashed 3-4 times per day and the backwash water is discharged into the PM Udrains.
Non-contact Cooling Water	A small amount of non-contact cooling water is used to cool the paper scanner and is discharged into the PM U-drains.

WASTESTREAM	DESCRIPTION
Boiler Water Treatment System	Condensate and feed water from the boiler is discharged through
Condensate	several sampling ports into the PM-U drains.
Cooling Tower Blowdown	Blowdown from the Cooling Tower (former "Vacuum Pump Cooling
	Tower") in directed into the U-drains.
	Wastewater generated from the backwash of the carbon filters and the
Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water	regeneration of the cation exchange columns is discharged into the PM
	U-drains.
Potentially-contaminated Stormwater	The first inch of stormwater from the compactor area stormwater
from the Compactor Area	diversion trench is collected in the OCC sump and can be directed to
from the Compactor Area	the Pulper, for use, or into the collection system.
	A caustic solution is circulated through the paper machines to allow
	for scale deposits on the machine parts to be removed. As part of the
Boilout Wastewaters	process, a de-foaming solution is also used. The caustic solution is
	neutralized with muriatic acid and the solutions are discharged to the
	RCT.
Screw Press Filtrate	The screw press is used to de-water the belt press rejects. The filtrate
Sciew Piess Filitate	generated from this operation is directed to the CTM Tank.
	The process tanks/chests are occasionally drained and cleaned (usually
Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters	during schedule outages). These wastewaters (consisting of paper
	stock and water) are routed to collection system via the U-drains.

Wastestreams that discharge via General Permits:

WASTESTREAM	DESCRIPTION	GENERAL PERMIT
Co-generation Boiler Blowdown	Blowdown from the co-generation boiler	GMI000086
Boiler Blowdown Sample Cooler Condensate	Condensate associated with the sample cooler	GMI000086
Exhaust Stack Sample Cooling Condensate	Condensate associated with the sample cooler	GMI000086
Air Compressor Condensate	Condensate from the air compressor	GMI000086
Building Maintenance Wastewater	Wastewater generated from the washdown of the turbine and related equipment	GMI000086
Secondary Containment Stormwater	Stormwater that collects in the outside fuel/chemical containment area	GMI000086
Building Heat Boiler Blowdown	Blowdown from the secondary boiler	General Permit for the Discharge of Minor Boiler Blowdown Wastewater
Sand Filter Backwater	Backwash associated with the sand filters used to filter the Oxoboxo Brook water	GWT000231
Backwash	Backwash associated with the system used to filter the well water	
	Wet End Lab: Test Paper Stock Drainage	
	Dry End Lab: Test Paper Absorption Properties	
Laboratory Wastewater	Environmental Lab: Test TSS of wastewater	
	Cogen Water Quality Lab: Test quality of the boiler water	

GMI000086 is issued to Northeast Generation Services

See Attachment 2 for the Line Diagram and Attachment 3 for the Chemical Inventory.

VI. THE ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM

The wastewaters from the various on-site operations collect in the 4,000 gallon Rejects Collection Tank (RCT). Polymer is added to the wastewater in the RCT and it is conveyed to a Rotary Screen Thickener to remove solids. [The Rotary Screen Thickener was installed in 2011 to replace the Belt Filter Press]. The wastewater is then directed to a Screw Press which further de-waters the wastewater. Solids from the Screw Press are collected and shipped off-site; effluent from the Screw Press is directed to the 9,000 gallon "Collection, Transfer, Monitoring" (CTM) tank where pH adjustment, if necessary, is performed. The

effluent from the CTM tank is transferred out the mill into the "Pre-Treatment Facility" to be treated in one of the two 3,000 gallon Krofta DAFs on-site. DAF rejects are collected in a holding tank and subsequently directed into the RCT and then to the Rotary Screen Thickener for solids removal. Treated wastewater from the DAF flows by gravity to a 3,000 gallon holding tank, to the Mueller plate-and-frame heat exchanger(s) for cooling, and back up to the Pre-Treatment Facility where the wastewater enters the dedicated pipeline to the Montville WPCF via DSN 001. This is a continuous discharge (24 hours per day 7 days a week); the average discharge flow is approximately 770,000 gallons per day.

VII. EFFLUENT QUALITY DATA

See Attachment 4 for effluent quality data for RWC.

See Attachment 5 for effluent quality data for the Montville WPCF.

VIII. EFFLUENT VIOLATIONS

Based on a review of the DMRs from 2009-2011, the following violations were identified:

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
April 2010	001	pH, Day of Sampling	Grab	6.0 SU	3.9 SU
REASON: Equipment Related Operator Error Other Unknown					
No information pro	ovided.			·	

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
August 2010	001	Total Suspended Solids	Average Monthly	4,350 lbs/day	6,488 lbs/day
REASON: ⊠ Equipment Related □ Operator Error □ Other □ Unknown					
The source of the exceedence was determined to be caused by the mis-alignment of the belt press roll on the belt press. This caused the dewatering belt to crease, allowing higher than normal amounts of paper fiber to discharge to the CTM tank.					

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE	
August 26, 2010 August 31, 2010	001	Total Suspended Solids	Maximum Daily	9,050 lbs/day	9,073 lbs/day 11,667 lbs/day	
REASON: ⊠ Equipment Related □ Operator Error □ Other □ Unknown						
The source of the exceedence was determined to be caused by the mis-alignment of the belt press roll on the belt press. This caused the dewatering belt to crease, allowing higher than normal amounts of paper fiber to discharge to the CTM tank.						

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
February 2011	001	BOD_5	Maximum Daily	18,700 lbs/day	19,892 lbs/day
REASON: Equipment Related Operator Error Other Unknown					
No information pro	No information provided.				

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
May 2011	001	BOD_5	Maximum Daily	18,700 lbs/day	19,052 lbs/day
REASON: Equipment Related Operator Error Other Unknown					
Information submitted with the DMR indicated that several possible sources of the elevated levels of BOD ₅ had been investigated but nothing conclusive was identified.					

MONTH/YEAR	DSN	PARAMETER VIOLATED	TYPE OF LIMIT	PERMITTED LIMIT	REPORTED VALUE
July 2011	001	BOD_5	Maximum Daily	18,700 lbs/day	18,951 lbs/day
REASON: ☐ Equipment Related ☐ Operator Error ☐ Other ☒ Unknown					
Information submitted with the DMR indicated that several possible sources of the elevated levels of BOD ₅ had been					
investigated (e.g., summertime water use conditions, process starch usage, replacement of the belt filter press, lab test					
variability) but not	hing conc	lusive was identified.			

IX. HISTORIC ENFORCEMENT (RELATED TO WASTEWATER ISSUES ONLY):

See Attachment 6.

X. SPILL HISTORY

See Attachment 7.

XI. EFFLUENT GUIDELINES

RWC produces linerboard from corrugated containers (i.e., it is engaged in the production of paperboard from wastepaper). The discharge associated with this operation, DSN 001, is subject to 40 CFR 430 ("The Pulp, Paper, & Paperboard Point Source Category"), Subpart J ("Secondary Fiber Non-Deink Subcategory"). RWC initiated this discharge after January 6, 1981. Therefore, the Pretreatment Standards for New Sources (PSNS) at 40 CFR 430 apply to the discharge.

XII. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

RE	CSOURCES USED TO DRAFT PERMIT	INFORMATION USED	DISCHARGE POINT(S)
\boxtimes	Federal Effluent Limitation Guideline (ELG)	40 CFR 430.107, July 1, 2011	DSN 001
\boxtimes	Performance Standards	Thermal data	DSN 001
	Federal Development Document		
	Treatability Manual		
	Department File Information	DMRs	DSN 001
	Other	Agreements with the Town	DSN 001

В	ASIS FOR LIMITS, STANDARDS OR CONDITIONS	REGULATION	DISCHARGE POINT(S)
	Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources (PSES)		
\boxtimes	Pretreatment Standards for New Sources (PSNS)	40 CFR 430.107	DSN 001
\boxtimes	General Pretreatment Standards	40 CFR 403	DSN 001
	Case-by-Case Determination using Best Professional Judgment (BPJ)	22a-430-4(m) 22a-430-4(t)	DSN 001

- A. WASTESTREAMS AUTHORIZED FOR DISCHARGE: DSN 001: Stock cleaning wastewaters including rejects from: Posiflow Cleaners, Uniflow Cleaners, Screening Wastewaters, Stock Prep Cleaners; Clarifier Building Wastewater, Building Fordrinier Wastewater, Press Wastewater, Whitewater, Compactor Filtrate, Wash-up Water, Press Shower Overspray/Machine Shower Water, AES Filter Backwash Water, Potentially-contaminated Stormwater, Boilout Wastewaters, Screw Press Filtrate, Boiler Regeneration Backwash Water, Cooling Tower Blowdown, Noncontact Cooling Water, Seal Water, Condensate from the Steam System, Boiler Water Treatment System Wastewaters, Starch Make-down System Flush Water, Tank and Chest Cleaning Wastewaters
- **B. MONITORING PARAMETERS & LIMITS:** DSN 001 consists of the wastewater generated from the papermill operations, which includes both process and non-process wastewaters. This is a continuous discharge and a new source. The discharge is subject to the requirements at 40 CFR 430.107. The discharge is also subject to certain permit limitations set forth in the Wastewater Treatment Agreement. A summary of the limits are noted below:

DSN 001

PARAMETER	UNITS	40 CFR 430.107 NEW SOURCE		Schedule 3.1(a) of the Wastewater Treatment Agreement (1996 Modification)	
		Average Monthly	Maximum Daily	Average Monthly	Maximum Daily
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	lbs/day			14,000	16,000*
Flow	gpd			1,080,000	1,100,000
Pentachlorophenol	mg/L			ND	ND
pH	SU			6.0	9.0
Suspended Solids (SS)	lbs/day			4,350	5,750
Total Oil and Grease	mg/L			75	100
Trichlorophenol	mg/L			ND	ND
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L			monitor only	monitor only

^{*} While the Wastewater Treatment Agreement does presently include a limit of 16,000 lbs/day, RWC requested an increase to 18,700 lbs/day on August 22, 2012. The Town of Montville subsequently engaged its consultant, FST, to conduct an evaluation as to whether a BOD₃ loading of 18,700 lbs/day from RWC would have negative impacts on Montville's treatment facility. FST's evaluation, dated September 5, 2012, indicates that no impacts would likely be expected on a temporary basis (i.e., a 5-year time frame) should RWC be authorized to discharge up to 18,700 lbs/day of BOD₅.

Comments on specific issues are as follows:

Sampling Points: Under SP0002032, the sample monitoring point is located prior to the DAFs ("DEP Monitoring Point"). However, the monitoring point identified in the Water Treatment Agreement ("Town Monitoring Point") is located after the DAFs. For consistency, both sampling points will now be located at the same point (i.e., "Town Monitoring Point") and will be known as DSN 001. The "DEP Monitoring Point" will continue to be used to measure the influent to the treatment system and will now be known as DSN 001A.

BOD₅: The average BOD₅ measured at the "Town Monitoring Point" from 2007 to June 2011 has ranged from 8,359 lbs/day to 14,044 lbs/day; the maximum BOD₅ measured at the "Town Monitoring Point" from June 2007 to June 2012 has ranged from 11,137 lbs/day to 18,679 lbs/day. During this timeframe, RWC has been meeting the BOD₅ limits (of 14,000 lbs/day average monthly and 16,000 lbs/day maximum daily) at this point 98% and 98.7% of the time, respectively. [See Attachment 8]. The BOD₅ limits in the proposed permit will be 14,000 lbs/day (average monthly) and 18,700 lbs/day (maximum daily). The maximum daily BOD₅ limit is consistent with FST's September 5,2012 letter.

Soluble BOD₅: A significant portion of the BOD₅ content in the effluent is soluble BOD₅. Testing for soluble BOD₅ shall be conducted to monitor this level after treatment for BOD₅ is installed.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS): The average TSS measured at the "Town Monitoring Point" from 2007 to June 2011 has ranged from 161 lbs/day to 1,037 lbs/day; the maximum TSS measured at the "Town Sampling Point" from 2007 to June 2011 has ranged from 277 lbs/day to 5,659 lbs/day. During this timeframe, RWC has been meeting the TSS limits (of 4,350 lbs/day average monthly and 5,750 lbs/day maximum daily) at this point 100% of the time. [See Attachment 9]. The TSS limits presently set forth in the Wastewater Treatment Agreement will be the TSS limits in the permit.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS): TDS will continue to be measured in the effluent. From 2007 to June 2011 the level of TDS in the effluent ranged from 5,351 mg/L to 6,740 mg/L.

Temperature: There is a thermal component to the discharge and therefore, effluent limits for temperature will be included in this permit. RWC currently has three plate-and-frame heat exchangers on-site. They are presently operated under one of the following proposed operational modes: Summer Mode, Maximum Heat Recovery Mode, and Base Wastewater Cooling Mode. The temperature limits included in the permit are interim limits and will be in place only until such time as final limits are determined. These interim temperature limits were determined as follows:

Average Daily Limit: The Standstill Agreement established temperature limits for RWC's influent and effluent. Specifically, it noted that the temperature of the effluent discharged to the Town "...must not exceed 97 °F". This limit has been interpreted to mean "average daily". The 97 °F limit, established in 1998, was based on the use of one heat exchanger (Alfa Laval). Presently, this unit is no longer in service and has been replaced by the plate-and-frame heat exchangers. Therefore, it would be expected that a limit lower than 97 °F could be achieved. RWC proposed 95 °F. The data generated from August 2011 through September 2011 supports 95 °F as an interim limit. Therefore, 95 °F will be the interim average daily temperature limit. [See Attachment 10].

Maximum Daily Limit: The maximum temperature data from August 2011 to September 2011 ranged from 85.6 °F to 107.8 °F and the maximum temperature data from October 2011 to November 2011 ranged from 90.8 °F to 117.9 °F. The pretreatment prohibition at 40 CFR 403.5(b)(5) states that no discharge shall contain "...Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW Treatment Plant exceeds 40 °C (104 °F), unless the Approval Authority, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits." Alternate temperature limits have been approved in this case. The maximum temperature limits are: 105 °F (summer months) and 117 °F (non-summer months). These limits are based on a statistical evaluation of the data generated since installation of the third heat exchanger; the summer limit is a maximum value of a two-hour rolling average and the non-summer limit is an instantaneous maximum value. [See Attachments 11 and 12].

Pentachlorophenol & Trichlorophenol: Section 40 CFR 430.107 requires production-based maximum daily limits on pentachlorophenol and trichlorophenol if the Permittee uses chlorophenolic-containing biocides at its facility. The Permittee indicates that it does not use chlorophenolic-containing biocides at its facility. [The Permittee has been monitoring its discharge during this permit term for pentachlorophenol and trichlorophenol and neither compound has been detected at the reported minimum level]. The Water Treatment Agreement, however, requires that these parameters be monitored and that the results obtained be "non-detect". Therefore, SP0002032 will continue to require monitoring for these parameters with limits of "non-detect".

pH: The effluent will continue to be monitored for pH consistent with the standard limits for discharges to the sewer: 6.0 SU to 10.0 SU.

Phenols: Phenols will now be included for monitoring in this permit. Attachment O of the permit application indicates that the total phenols level in the effluent is 2.4 mg/L.

Oil & Grease: The effluent will continue to be monitored for oil and grease with limits consistent with the Water Treatment Agreement. From 2007 to 2011, the level of oil and grease in the effluent ranged from 20 mg/L to 100 mg/L.

Total Sulfate: Sulfate will now be included for monitoring in this permit as it could be present in the discharge. Attachment O of the permit application indicates that sulfate levels in the effluent range from 520 mg/L to 1,300 mg/L.

Total Cyanide: Cyanide will now be included for monitoring in this permit as it could be present in the discharge (i.e., by way of the Spectrum RX3801).

C. MONITORING FREQUENCY: The *Monitoring Schedule* set forth in RCSA 22a-430-3 prescribes a frequency of weekly for DSN 001 based on the category of discharge ("Pulp and Paper Mills") and the average permitted monthly flow (>50,000 gpd). RWC is presently testing the BOD₅ and TSS twice per week in accordance with the Water Treatment Agreement. This will therefore continue to be the monitoring frequency in this proposed permit. Monitoring for the other parameters in the discharge will be weekly in accordance with the *Monitoring Schedule*, unless a particular parameter warrants a less frequent schedule. The minimum frequency of monitoring shall be semi-annual as set forth in 40 CFR 403.12(e).

XII. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- **A. CERTIFICATION:** Section 40 CFR 430.107 requires that Permittees not using chlorophenolic-containing biocides must certify to the permit-issuing authority that they are not using these biocides.
- **B. NOTIFICATION:** If the Permittee submits notification seeking approval for the use of any new chemicals at the site, the Permittee shall, with that notification, provide information that evaluates the impact (e.g., interference, pass-through, etc.), that the use of that chemical would have on the Montville WPCF.
- C. PIPELINE CLEANING: The Permittee currently "pigs" the dedicated wastewater discharge pipeline twice per week in the summer months and once per week in the non-summer months in order to minimize the build-up of any solids in the line. This activity shall continue at these frequencies or any alternate frequency prescribed by the Montville WPCF.
- **D. SURGE BASIN OPERATION:** The Surge Basin provides for management of "excess water" in the mill. The operation of the Surge Basin occurs in accordance with the Surge Basin SOP. The most current, Department-approved Surge Basin SOP shall be maintained in full effect.
- **E. ANAEROBIC DIGESTER**: The Permittee is planning on installing an anaerobic digester to treat its wastewater. Should this occur, the Permittee will be required to comply with certain additional requirements.
- **F. TEMPERATURE STUDY:** The Permittee's effluent includes a thermal component. Consequently, the proposed permit will contain limits for temperature for DSN 001. In July 2011, the Permittee installed a third heat exchanger to treat its effluent prior to discharge to the Montville WPCF. Because this unit was only recently installed, there is only a limited amount of data available to determine temperature limits. Therefore, in order to develop final limitations for temperature, the Permittee shall collect additional data so that final temperature limits can be established. If the

Permittee installs the proposed anaerobic digester at the site, then an evaluation of the temperature-reducing capabilities of this system should be determined.

G. BOD₅ **LIMIT:** The BOD₅ limit of 18,700 lbs/day is a five-year temporary limit, consistent with the findings of an evaluation conducted by the Town of Montville's consultant, Fay Spofford & Thorndike, and summarized in a letter dated September 5, 2012.



79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

NOTICE OF TENTATIVE DETERMINATION INTENT TO RENEW A STATE PERMIT FOR THE FOLLOWING DISCHARGE INTO THE WATERS OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

TENTATIVE DETERMINATION

The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection hereby gives notice of a tentative determination to renew a permit based on an application submitted by **RAND-WHITNEY CONTAINERBOARD**, **L.P.** ("the applicant") under Section 22a-430 of the Connecticut General Statutes for a permit to discharge into the waters of the state.

In accordance with applicable federal and state law, the Commissioner has made a tentative determination that continuance of the existing system to treat the discharge would protect the waters of the state from pollution and the Commissioner proposes to renew a permit for the discharge to the sanitary sewer.

The proposed permit, if issued by the Commissioner, will require that all wastewater be treated to meet the applicable effluent limitations and will require periodic monitoring to demonstrate that the discharge will not cause pollution.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL

Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P. will be authorized to discharge up to 1,100,000 gallons per day of treated wastewater associated with its linerboard production operations into the Town of Montville's Water Pollution Control Facility. The treated wastewater consists of both paperboard-related wastewaters as well as wastewaters generated from ancillary operations at the mill.

The name and mailing address of the permit applicant are: Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P., 370 Route 163, Montville, Connecticut 06353.

The activity takes place at: 370 Route 163, Montville, Connecticut.

REGULATORY CONDITIONS

Type of Treatment

DSN 001: Paperboard and other miscellaneous wastestreams are treated to remove solids and to reduce temperature.

Effluent Limitations

This permit contains effluent limitations consistent with: 1) Pretreatment Standards for New Sources; 2) a Case-by-Case determination using the criteria of Best Professional Judgment; 3) Section 22a-430-4(s) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

In accordance with Section 22a-430-4(*l*) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, the permit contains effluent limitations for the following types of substances: BOD₅, oil and grease, and total suspended solids.

Special Conditions

This permit contains special conditions which: define certain regulatory and operating requirements, address monitoring requirements associated with potential changes to the treatment system, and require an evaluation of the treatment system used for effluent cooling.

COMMISSIONER'S AUTHORITY

The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection is authorized to approve or deny such permits pursuant to Section 22a-430 of the Connecticut General Statutes and the Water Discharge Permit Regulations (Sections 22a-430-3 and 4 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies).

INFORMATION REQUESTS

The application has been assigned the following numbers by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. Please use these numbers when corresponding with this office regarding this application.

APPLICATION NO. 199902202

PERMIT ID NO. SP0002032

FACILITY ID NO. 086-049

Interested persons may obtain copies of the application from Paul Schaffman, P.E., Director of Regulatory Affairs, Rand-Whitney Containerboard, L.P., 370 Route 163, Montville, Connecticut (860-425-3712).

The application is available for inspection by contacting Christine Gleason at (860) 424-3278 at the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106-5127 from 8:30-4:30, Monday through Friday.

Any interested person may request in writing that his or her name be put on a mailing list to receive notice of intent to issue any permit to discharge to the surface waters of the state. Such request may be for the entire state or any geographic area of the state and shall clearly state in writing the name and mailing address of the interested person and the area for which notices are requested.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Prior to making a final decision to approve or deny any application, the Commissioner shall consider written comments on the application from interested persons that are received within 30 days of this public notice. Written comments should be directed to Christine Gleason, Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT, 06106-5127. The Commissioner may hold a public hearing prior to approving or denying an application if in the Commissioner's discretion the public interest will be best served thereby, and shall hold a hearing upon receipt of a petition signed by at least twenty-five persons. Notice of any public hearing shall be published at least 30 days prior to the hearing.

Petitions for a hearing should include the application number noted above and also identify a contact person to receive notifications. Petitions may also identify a person who is authorized to engage in discussions regarding the application and, if resolution is reached, withdraw the petition. Original petitions must be *mailed or delivered* to: DEEP Office of Adjudications, 79 Elm Street, 3rd floor, Hartford, 06106-5127. Petitions cannot be sent by fax or email. Additional information can be found at www.ct.gov/deep/adjudications.

The Department of Energy and Environmental Protection is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer. Persons with a disability who may need information in an alternative format should contact the ADA Coordinator at 860-424-3194 or at DEEP.HRmed@CT.gov. Persons who are limited English proficient who may need information in another language should contact the Title VI Coordinator at (860) 424-3035 or at DEEP.aaoffice@ct.gov. Persons who are hearing impaired should call the State of Connecticut relay number 711. Discrimination complaints should be filed with the Title VI Coordinator.

OSWALD INGLESE, JR., Director Water Permitting and Enforcement Division Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance

Dated:9/26/2012