## **EPA - New England**

## Reassessment of Technically Based Industrial Discharge Limits

Under 40 CFR §122.210)(4), all Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with approved Industrial Pretreatment Programs (IPPs) shall provide the following infonnation to the Director: a written evaluation of the need to revise local industrial discharge limits under 40 CFR §403.5(c)(1).

Below is a fonn designed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA - New England) to assist POTWs with approved IPPs in evaluating whether their existing Technically Based Local Limits (TBLLs) need to be recalculated. The fonn allows the permittee and EPA to evaluate and compare pertinent infonnation used in previous TBLLs calculations against present conditions at the POTW.

## Please read direction below before filling out form.

#### ITEM I.

- \* In Column (I), list what your POTW's influent flow rate was when your existing TBLLs were calculated. In Column (2), list your POTW's present influent flow rate. Your current flow rate should be calculated using the POTW's average daily flow rate from the previous 12 months.
- \* In Column (1) list what your POTW's SIU flow rate was when your existing TBLLs were calculated. In Column (2), list your POTW's present SIUflow rate.
- \* In Column (I), list what dilution ratio and/or 7Q10 value was used in your old/expired NPDES pennit In Column (2), list what dilution ration and/or 7QI0 value is presently being used in your new/reissued NPDES permit.
  - The 7Q10 value is the lowest seven day average flow rate, in the river, over a ten year period. The 7QI0 value and/or dilution ratio used by EPA in your new NPDES pennit can be found in yourNPDES permit "Fact Sheet."
- \* In Column (l), list the safety factor, if any, that was used when your existing TBLLs were calculated.
- \* In Column()), note how your bio-solids were managed when your existing TBLLs were calculated. In Column (2), note how your POTW is presently disposing of its biosolids and how your POTW will be disposing of its biosolids in the future.

#### ITEM II.

\* List what your existing TBLLs are - as they appear in your current Sewer Use Ordinance (SUO).

#### ITEMIII.

\* Identify how your existing TBLLs are allocated out to your industrial community. Some pollutants may be allocated differently than others, if so please explain.

#### ITEM IV.

- \* Since your existing TBLLs were calculated, identify the following in detail:
  - if your POTW has experienced any upsets, inhibition, interference or pass-through as a result of an industrial discharge.
  - (2) if your POTW is presently violating any of its current NPDES pennit limitations include toxicity.

#### ITEMV.

- \* Using current sampling data, list in Column ()) the average and maximum amount of pollutants (in pounds per day) received in the POTW's influent. Current sampling data is defined as data obtained over the last 24 month period.
  - All influent data collected and analyzed must be in accordance with 40 CFR §136. Sampling data collected should be analyzed using the lowest possible detection method(s), e.g. graphite furnace.
- \* Based on your existing TBLLs, as presented in Item 11., list in Column (2), for each pollutant the Maximum Allowable Headwork Loading (MAHL) values derived from an applicable environmental criteria or standard, e.g. water quality, sludge, NPDES, inhibition, etc. For more infonnation, please see EPA's Local Limit Guidance Document (July 2004).

#### Item VI.

\* Using current sampling data, list in Column (1) the average and maximum amount of pollutants (in micrograms per liter) present your POTW's effluent. Current sampling data is defined as data obtained during the last 24 month period.

Attachment F: Reassessment of TBIDL Page 3 of 9

## (Item VI. continued)

All effluent data collected and analyzed must be in accordance with 40 CFR §136. Sampling data collected should be analyzed using the lowest possible detection method(s), e.g. graphite furnace.

\* List in Column (2A) what the Water Quality Standards (WQS) were (in micrograms per liter) when your TBLLs were calculated, please note what hardness value was used at that time. Hardness should be expressed in milligram per liter of Calcium Carbonate.

List in Column (2B) the current WQSs or "Chronic Gold Book" values for each pollutant multiplied by the dilution ratio used in your new/reissued NPDES permit. For example, with a dilution ratio of 25:1 at a hardness of 25 mg/1- Calcium Carbonate (copper's chronic WQS equals 6.54 ug/1) the chronic NPDES pennit limit for copper would equal 156.25 ug/1.

#### ITEM VII.

\* In Column (1), list all pollutants (in micrograms per liter) limited in your new/reissued NPDES permit. In Column (2), list all pollutants limited in your old/expired NPDES pennit.

#### ITEM VIII.

\* Using current sampling data, list in Column (I) the average and maximum amount of pollutants in your POTW's biosolids. Current data is defined as data obtained during the last 24 month period. Results are to be expressed as total dry weight.

All biosolids data collected and analyzed must be in accordance with 40 CFR §136.

In Column (2A), list current State and/or Federal sludge standards that your facility's biosolids must comply with. Also note how your POTW currently manages the disposal of its biosolids. If your POTW is planing on managing its biosolids differently, list in Column (2B) what your new biosolids criteria will be and method of disposal.

In general, please be sure the units reported are correct and all pertinent information is included in your evaluation. If you have any questions, please contact your pretreatment representative at EPA - New England.

# REASSESSMENT OF TECHNICALLY BASED LOCAL LIMITS (TBLLs)

| POTW Name & Address:   |                            |                              |     |                               |  |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|--|
| NPDES  | PERMIT                     |                              | #   |                               |  |
| Date EPA approved current T                                    | TBLLs:                     |                              |     |                               |  |
| Date EPA appro   | oved current               | Sewer                        | Use | Ordinance                     |  |
|  | ITEM                       | Π.                           |     |                               |  |
| In Column (1) list the condiction Column (2), list current con |                            |                              |     |                               |  |
|  | Column (1)<br>EXISTING TBL | Column (1)<br>EXISTING TBLLs |     | Column (2) PRESENT CONDITIONS |  |
| POTW Flow (MGD)  |                            |                              |     |                               |  |
| Dilution Ratio or 7QIO (from NPDES Permit)                     |                            |                              |     |                               |  |
| SIU Flow (MGD)   |                            |                              |     |                               |  |
| Safety Factor  |                            |                              |     | NIA                           |  |
| Biosolids Disposal<br>Method(s)                                |                            |                              |     |                               |  |

Attachment F: Reassessment of TBIDL Page 5 of 9

## ITEMII.

| EXISTING TBLLs |  |           |  |
|----------------|--|-----------|--|
| POLLUTANT      | NUMERICAL<br>LIMIT<br>(mg/]) or (lb/day) | POLLUTANT | NUMERICAL<br>LIMIT<br>(mg/I) or (lb/day) |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |
|                |  |           |  |

## ITEM ill.

Note how your existing TBLLs, listed in Item II., are allocated to your Significant Industrial Users (SlUs), i.e. unifonn concentration, contributory flow, mass proportioning, other. Please specify by circling.

## ITEM IV.

| •                       | enced any upsets, inhibition, interference ting TBLLs were calculated? | or pass-through from industrial |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| H c your POTW violate   | ed any of its NPDES permit limits and/or t                             | ovicity test requirements?      |
| 11 5 your 1 O1 w violan | any of its N1 DL3 permit mints and/of t                                | oxietty test requirements:      |
| If                      | yes,   | explain.                        |
|                         |  |                                 |

Page 6 of 9

## ITEMV.

Using current POTW influent sampling data fill in Column (1). In Column (2), list your Maximum Allowable Headwork Loading (MAHL) values used to derive your TBLLs listed in Item II. In addition, please note the Environmental Criteria for which each MAHL value was established, i.e. water quality, sludge, NPDES etc.

| established, i.e. w | ater quality, sludge,                                  | NPDES etc.                       |                                       |          |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| Pollutant           | Column (I)<br>Influent Data Ana<br>Maximum<br>(lb/day) | lyses<br>Average<br>(lb/da<br>y) | Column (2)<br>MAHL Values<br>(lb/day) | Criteria |
| Arsenic             |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Cadmium             |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Chromium            |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Copper              |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Cyanide             |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Lead                |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Mercury             |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Nickel              |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Silver              |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Zinc                |  |                                  |                                       |          |
| Other (List)        |  |                                  |                                       |          |
|                     |  |                                  |                                       |          |
|                     |  |                                  |                                       |          |
|                     |  |                                  |                                       |          |

Attachment F: Reassessment of TBIDL Page 7 of 9

### ITEMVI.

Using current POTW effluent sampling data, fill in Column (1). In Column (2A) list what the Water Quality Standards (Gold Book Criteria) were at the time your existing TBLLs were developed. List in Column (2B) current Gold Book values multiplied by the dilution ratio used in your new/reissued NPDES permit.

| used in your new/ | reissued NPDES pe   | ermit. |   |  |
|-------------------|---|--------|---|--|
| Pollutant         | Column (1)  Effluent Data Analyses  Maximum Average (ug/1) (ug/1) |        | Columns {2A) (2B) Water Quality Criteria (Gold Book) From TBLLs Today (ug/1) (ug/1) |  |
| Arsenic           |   |        |   |  |
| *Cadmium          |   |        |   |  |
| *Chromium         |   |        |   |  |
| *Copper           |   |        |   |  |
| Cyanide           |   |        |   |  |
| *Lead             |   |        |   |  |
| Mercury           |   |        |   |  |
| *Nickel           |   |        |   |  |
| Silver            |   |        |   |  |
| *Zinc             |   |        |   |  |
| Other (List)      |   |        |   |  |
|                   |   |        |   |  |
|                   |   |        |   |  |
|                   |   |        |   |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Hardness Dependent (mg/I - CaC03)

Attachment F: Reassessment of TBIDL

Page 8 of 9

## ITEMVII.

| In Column (1), identify all pollutants limited in your new/reissued NPDES permit. In Column (2), identify all pollutants that were limited in your old/expired NPDES permit. |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Column (1) NEW PERMIT Pollutants Limitations (ug/1)  | Column (2) OLD PERMIT Pollutants Limitations (ug/1) |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |

Attachment F: Reassessment of TBIDL

Page **9** of **9** 

## ITEM VIII.

Using current POTW biosolids data, fill in Column (1). In Column (2A), list the biosolids criteria that was used at the time your existing TBLLs were calculated. If your POTW is planing on managing its biosolids differently, list in Column (2B) what your new biosolids criteria would be and method of disposal.

| criteria woul | d be and method of                          | disposal. |   |
|---------------|---|-----------|---|
| Pollutant     | Column (1)  Data Analyses  Average  (mg/kg) | Biosolids | Columns (2A) (2B) Biosolids Criteria From TBLLs New (mg/kg) |
| Arsenic       | (mg/kg)                                     |           | (mg/kg)   |
| Cadmium       |   |           |   |
| Chromium      |   |           |   |
| Copper        |   |           |   |
| Cyanide       |   |           |   |
| Lead          |   |           |   |
| Mercury       |   |           |   |
| Nickel        |   |           |   |
| Silver        |   |           |   |
| Zinc          |   |           |   |
| Molybdenum    |   |           |   |
| Selenium      |   |           |   |
| Other (List)  |   |           |   |
|               |   |           |   |