



003A/B Limits?

palmeag to: John King

05/18/2011 04:56 PM

From: palmeag@nu.com

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been forwarded.

Sensing you're creeping toward the finish line, I looked back through my correspondence to see where everything ended up regarding limits at 003A/003B. I was disheartened to see that the last written offer I had was Jeff's original proposal that had an unreasonable number for arsenic, unsupported limits for aluminum and mercury and unnecessary limits for iron and copper. While we had some productive discussions after the proposal was sent and an encouraging meeting with Director Stewart, I don't believe we ever received anything back in writing. Hence my concern. What's the chance that you & I can review the progress on the development of these numbers and discuss details regarding the derivation? Coming to NH anytime soon? Thanks, Allan.

***** This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.
