

{In Archive} Fw: Re: So Why Is the Treatment System BAT?
John King
to:
Mark Stein
09/13/2010 01:07 PM
Show Details

History: This message has been replied to.
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

mark,

Do you want to be part of any of these discussions and/or site visits?

John

-----Forwarded by John King/R1/USEPA/US on 09/13/2010 01:07PM -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
From: palmeag@nu.com
Date: 09/11/2010 12:44AM
Subject: Re: So Why Is the Treatment System BAT?

John, The law only had to do with the FGD technology, it doesn't dictate the WWTS. PSNH and URS are in the midst of completing a document to provide a basic description and purpose of the system, the options considered, the basis for the technology selection and why it is BAT. It will be provided for your review next week. In the meantime, I'll have more time to discuss some of these details with you, starting Tuesday. If you'd like, I may be able to arrange a meeting for us with Rick Roy next week to tour the WWTS and provide more info. Hope this works, Allan.

From:
king.john@epamail.epa.gov
To:
Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU
Date:
09/09/2010 12:39 PM
Subject:
So Why Is the
Treatment System BAT?

Allan,

This is only a start for the explaining why PSNH considers the treatment system for the FGD discharge as BAT. Please refer to my voice mail that Linda Landis offered to provide that BAT to Mark Stein.

Thank you, John

-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

From: palmeag@nu.com

Date: 09/09/2010 10:48AM

Subject: Your skepticism is ill founded, once again Kemosabe...

<http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/X/125-O/125-O-11.htm>

II. The department of environmental services has determined that the best known commercially available technology is a wet flue gas desulphurization system, hereafter "scrubber technology," as it best balances the procurement, installation, operation, and plant efficiency costs with the projected reductions in mercury and other pollutants from the flue gas streams of Merrimack Units 1 and 2. Scrubber technology achieves significant emissions reduction benefits, including but not limited to, cost effective reductions in sulfur dioxide, sulfur trioxide, small particulate matter, and improved visibility (regional haze).

This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to

whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.
