



{In Archive} Re: Enercon Report #2 and More

palmeag to: John King

04/01/2010 02:34 PM

From: palmeag@nu.com
To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Thanks. Just ask what the reason is for such an intense amount of scrutiny. To add 50,000 gallons of wastewater, which is offset by the 1 mgd we will now reuse, Jeff's talking about a 7 NANOgram/l mercury limit and forcing WQC of 0.3 mg/l iron and 18 NANOgram/l arsenic down our throat. Insanity.

king.john@epamail.epa.gov

04/01/2010 02:25 PM

To Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU
cc
Subject Re: Enercon Report #2 and More

I have no idea how EPA's meeting with NHDES will play out. Stay tuned.

Have a great trip.

-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
From: palmeag@nu.com
Date: 04/01/2010 11:44AM
Subject: Re: Enercon Report #2 and More

I understand. Don't care right now, still too angry at Jeff.

king.john@epamail.epa.gov

04/01/2010 10:19 AM

To
Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU

cc

Subject
Enercon Report #2 and More

Allan,

Thank you for ensuring the 308 responses remain on schedule.

Concerning the question you posed on whether I felt, and by implication the EPA, pursuing an investigation of using the surface waters of the Hooksett Pool to cool Merrimack Stations effluent discharge was worth the effort well, we are still weighing to what extent the Regulatory Agency should reply. For us it is not a simple "Good idea" or "Bad idea" response.

As soon as Mark Stein reviews my reply you will have my response.

V/R, John

-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

From: palmeag@nu.com

Date: 03/31/2010 04:56PM

Cc: mmattson@normandeau.com

Subject: Enercon Report #2

John, A hardcopy is in overnight mail

to you. The third, and final, report is due June 24.

This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.

[attachment "March 31 2010 Cover Letter.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]

[attachment "Merrimack Report Revised 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]

[attachment "Attachment 1 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]



{In Archive} Re: Enercon Report #2 and More 
John King to: palmeag

04/01/2010 02:26 PM

From: John King/R1/USEPA/US
To: palmeag@nu.com
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

I have no idea how EPA's meeting with NHDES will play out. Stay tuned.

Have a great trip.
-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
From: palmeag@nu.com
Date: 04/01/2010 11:44AM
Subject: Re: Enercon Report #2 and More

I understand. Don't care right now, still too angry at Jeff.

king.john@epamail.epa.gov

04/01/2010 10:19 AM

To
Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU

cc

Subject
Enercon Report #2 and More

Allan,

Thank you for ensuring the 308 responses remain on schedule.

Concerning the question you posed on whether I felt, and by implication the EPA, pursuing an investigation of using the surface waters of the Hooksett Pool to cool Merrimack Stations effluent discharge was worth the effort well, we are still weighing to what extent the Regulatory Agency should reply. For us it is not a simple "Good idea" or "Bad idea" response.

As soon as Mark Stein reviews my reply you will have my response.

V/R, John

-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

From: palmeag@nu.com

Date: 03/31/2010 04:56PM

Cc: mmattson@normandeau.com

Subject: Enercon Report #2

John, A hardcopy is in overnight mail to you. The third, and final, report is due June 24.

This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast

Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.

[attachment "March 31 2010 Cover Letter.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]

[attachment "Merrimack Report Revised 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]

[attachment "Attachment 1 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]



{In Archive} Re: Enercon Report #2 and More
palmeag to: John King

04/01/2010 11:47 AM

From: palmeag@nu.com
To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been replied to.
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

I understand. Don't care right now, still too angry at Jeff.

king.john@epamail.epa.gov

04/01/2010 10:19 AM

To Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU
cc
Subject Enercon Report #2 and More

Allan,

Thank you for ensuring the 308 responses remain on schedule.

Concerning the question you posed on whether I felt, and by implication the EPA, pursuing an investigation of using the surface waters of the Hooksett Pool to cool Merrimack Stations effluent discharge was worth the effort well, we are still weighing to what extent the Regulatory Agency should reply. For us it is not a simple "Good idea" or "Bad idea" response.

As soon as Mark Stein reviews my reply you will have my response.

V/R, John
----palmeag@nu.com wrote: ----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
From: palmeag@nu.com
Date: 03/31/2010 04:56PM
Cc: mmattson@normandean.com
Subject: Enercon Report #2

John, A hardcopy is in overnight mail to you. The third, and final, report is due June 24.

This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with

it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.

[attachment "March 31 2010 Cover Letter.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]
[attachment "Merrimack Report Revised 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]
[attachment "Attachment 1 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]



{In Archive} Enercon Report #2 and More 
John King to: palmeag

04/01/2010 10:17 AM

From: John King/R1/USEPA/US
To: palmeag@nu.com
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Allan,

Thank you for ensuring the 308 responses remain on schedule.

Concerning the question you posed on whether I felt, and by implication the EPA, pursuing an investigation of using the surface waters of the Hooksett Pool to cool Merrimack Stations effluent discharge was worth the effort well, we are still weighing to what extent the Regulatory Agency should reply. For us it is not a simple "Good idea" or "Bad idea" response.

As soon as Mark Stein reviews my reply you will have my response.

V/R, John
-----palmeag@nu.com wrote: -----

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
From: palmeag@nu.com
Date: 03/31/2010 04:56PM
Cc: mmattson@normandeau.com
Subject: Enercon Report #2

John, A hardcopy is in overnight mail to you. The third, and final, report is due June 24.

This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.

[attachment "March 31 2010 Cover Letter.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]
[attachment "Merrimack Report Revised 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]
[attachment "Attachment 1 3-30-10.pdf" removed by John King/R1/USEPA/US]



{In Archive} Enercon Report #2

palmeag to: John King
Cc: mmattson

03/31/2010 04:58 PM

From: palmeag@nu.com
To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: mmattson@normandeau.com

History: This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

John, A hardcopy is in overnight mail to you. The third, and final, report is due June 24.

***** This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and/or proprietary and is intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.



March 31 2010 Cover Letter.pdf Merrimack Report Revised 3-30-10.pdf Attachment 1 3-30-10.pdf