



**{In Archive} Re: Condenser Heat Duty**

**palmeag** to: John King

04/28/2009 12:34 PM

From: palmeag@nu.com

To: John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been replied to.

Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

I never forget you. What do you think of these numbers?

From full load design heat balances, I calculate the following for heat rejected to the river via the condenser.

U1 532 mmBTU/hr [1.0 in hga, 121 MWg]

U2 1439 mmBTU/hr [1.5 in hga, 336 MWg]

king.john@epamail  
.epa.gov

04/17/2009 11:29  
AM

Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU

Re: Condenser Heat Duty

To

cc

Subject

How much BTU's per time are the condensers rated to remove.

palmeag@nu.com

04/17/2009 11:18  
AM

John King/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Re: Condenser Heat Duty

To

cc

Subject

Heat duty? Never heard that term.

I will send chlorine info this afternoon.

king.john@epamail

.epa.gov

To

Allan G. Palmer/NUS@NU

04/17/2009 11:11

cc

AM

Subject

Condenser Heat Duty

Allan,

Could you supply the heat duty for Merrimack Station's two condensers?  
Also, any progress on documenting the chlorine test level of accuracy?

Thanks, John

\*\*\*\*\*  
This e-mail, including any files or attachments transmitted with it, is confidential and intended for a specific purpose and for use only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Any disclosure, copying or distribution of this e-mail or the taking of any action based on its contents, other than for its intended purpose, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Any views or opinions expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Northeast Utilities, its subsidiaries and affiliates (NU). E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be error-free or secure or free from viruses, and NU disclaims all

liability for any resulting damage, errors, or omissions.

\*\*\*\*\*