

ISO NEWSWIRE

A Wholesale Electricity Industry Update

« [ISO-NE elects slate of board members](#) | [Main](#) | [ISO-NE files proposal to retain retiring resources for fuel security](#) »

in [Industry News & Developments](#)

Labor Day was no holiday for New England's power grid: Weather and resource outages led to capacity shortage conditions

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2018 AT 9:08 AM



ISO New England provides these newsletter updates on power system conditions when data and other information become available and can be released on a preliminary basis.

Labor Day was expected to be hot, but not as hot as it got. Between the hotter-than-forecasted weather and a string of unplanned generator outages, power system operating reserves ran short in New England on Monday, September 3, 2018. ISO New England system operators implemented several steps of a well-established operating procedure to address the reserve shortage and recover the required level of operating reserves.

Temps were hotter than weather forecast, so consumer demand was higher than load forecast

Monday was a holiday, and demand is typically lower on holidays than on regular weekdays. However, consumer demand for power soared as people cranked up their air conditioning to deal with the swampy air around the region. As a result, the peak demand on Monday was the highest ever recorded on a Labor Day in New England.

Weather is the biggest factor in day-to-day load variations, and Labor Day illustrated that linkage. The weather forecast for Boston called for a high temperature of 89° Fahrenheit (F) and a dew point of 70°, but the actual high temperature was 94° and the dew point was 73°. Similarly, for Hartford, the forecasted temperature and dew point were 90° and 71°, but the actual temperature and dew point came in at 94° and 74°, respectively.

Dew point levels—a measure of humidity—in the mid-60s or greater make hot temperatures feel more uncomfortable and contribute to increased demand for air conditioning, which raises demand for power. In fact, when the dew point is above 70, every one-degree increase can cause load to rise by about 500 megawatts. Similar effects on load are caused by rising temperatures.

Demand for electricity peaked at about 22,956 MW during the hour from 5 to 6 p.m., about 2,400 MW higher than expected when the day began, based on forecasted weather conditions for the day. Breaking down the hourly integrated peak to the more granular five-minute intervals, the system saw a five-minute peak of about 23,106 MW at 5:50 p.m. As an indicator of more typical holiday-weekend-sized loads, the peak on Sunday, the day before, was just 16,752 MW. (Figures are preliminary.)

Unplanned generator outages cut into reserves



SEARCH

CATEGORIES

- [Features & Interviews \(23\)](#)
- [Industry News & Developments \(179\)](#)
- [Inside ISO New England \(69\)](#)
- [Market Participant Announcements \(45\)](#)
- [Market Participant Training \(13\)](#)
- [Recent Publications & Events \(57\)](#)

ARCHIVE

- [September 2018 \(6\)](#)
- [August 2018 \(11\)](#)
- [July 2018 \(6\)](#)
- [June 2018 \(7\)](#)
- [May 2018 \(13\)](#)
- [April 2018 \(4\)](#)
- [March 2018 \(18\)](#)
- [February 2018 \(13\)](#)
- [January 2018 \(11\)](#)
- [December 2017 \(5\)](#)
- [November 2017 \(8\)](#)
- [October 2017 \(10\)](#)
- [September 2017 \(2\)](#)
- [August 2017 \(10\)](#)
- [July 2017 \(3\)](#)

Several power plants also went offline unexpectedly throughout the day. In all, about 1,600 MW of forced generation outages occurred on Monday.

To make up for the generation outages, some resources that had been providing reserves began generating electricity, and as a result the power system dropped below the required operating reserve requirements.

Between 3:30 p.m. and 4 p.m., the ISO implemented 5 of the 11 actions available in [Operating Procedure 4 Action During a Capacity Deficiency](#), to address the capacity deficiency. These actions enabled the ISO to purchase emergency energy from New York and New Brunswick and to ask market participants to reduce energy consumption at their own facilities. The actions also include alerts to notify market participants of stressed system conditions.

One of the actions declares a Power Watch to signal the seriousness of system conditions. While the ISO didn't issue a request for voluntary conservation Monday, that was an option if conditions had deteriorated. However, conditions improved steadily as offline generators were able to come online to restore operating reserves and demand began to decline throughout late afternoon.

Wholesale power prices spiked when energy was short

When system conditions require the use of operating reserves, wholesale electricity prices will go up to reflect the value of the reserve power that is needed during these shortage conditions. Monday, prices rose as high as about \$2,454/MWh during the hour from 5 to 6 p.m. That compares to a price of about \$68/MWh during the hour from 9 to 10 p.m., after the operating reserve shortage had been addressed. For the entire day, the average hourly price was about \$262/MWh. (Figures are preliminary and subject to reconciliation.)

While these price spikes can be eye-catching, the real-time market price applies to a small proportion of the total load. Utilities and other power suppliers buy most of the power they'll need the next day in the day-ahead energy market, and that's the price they pay for the power they committed to buy. If they use more than they purchased in the day-ahead market, they pay the real-time price for the difference. For Monday, the day-ahead energy price was about \$60.85/MWh for the hour from 5 to 6 p.m.

Pay-for-Performance Shortage Event

The power system remained in Operating Procedure No. 4 from about 3:30 to 8 p.m., and the region was in a Capacity Scarcity Condition for about two hours and 40 minutes. Under the Pay-for-Performance market design implemented June 1, 2018, an operating reserve scarcity constitutes a capacity scarcity condition.

When that occurs, resources that did not perform according to their capacity supply obligation will be required to compensate the resources that made up for those resources' shortfall by performing above their capacity supply obligation. Charges for underperformance are paid by the underperforming resources, not electricity ratepayers.

Underperforming resources will be penalized at a rate of \$2,000/MWh for failing to meet their obligation during energy shortfalls, while resources that over-perform (including resources with no obligation) will receive \$2,000/MWh of additional revenue. The performance payment rate is scheduled to increase to \$5,455/MWh over the next six years.

Read more

- [Heat wave recap: Reliable operations through holiday heat & humidity](#)
- [Beating the heat: how ISO-NE prepares for the dog days of summer](#)

[Email Article](#) | [Share Article](#) | [Print Article](#)

Tags  [summer](#)

- [June 2017](#) (5)
- [May 2017](#) (6)
- [April 2017](#) (7)
- [March 2017](#) (9)
- [February 2017](#) (11)
- [January 2017](#) (5)
- [December 2016](#) (7)
- [November 2016](#) (3)
- [October 2016](#) (6)
- [September 2016](#) (4)
- [August 2016](#) (4)
- [July 2016](#) (4)
- [June 2016](#) (11)
- [May 2016](#) (3)
- [April 2016](#) (6)
- [March 2016](#) (6)
- [February 2016](#) (2)
- [January 2016](#) (3)
- [December 2015](#) (5)
- [November 2015](#) (8)
- [October 2015](#) (3)
- [September 2015](#) (4)
- [August 2015](#) (1)
- [July 2015](#) (2)
- [June 2015](#) (3)
- [May 2015](#) (3)
- [April 2015](#) (5)
- [March 2015](#) (1)
- [February 2015](#) (4)
- [January 2015](#) (3)
- [December 2014](#) (2)
- [November 2014](#) (1)
- [September 2014](#) (1)
- [August 2014](#) (2)
- [July 2014](#) (1)
- [June 2014](#) (6)
- [May 2014](#) (4)
- [April 2014](#) (4)
- [March 2014](#) (1)
- [February 2014](#) (4)
- [January 2014](#) (2)
- [December 2013](#) (3)
- [November 2013](#) (1)
- [October 2013](#) (1)
- [September 2013](#) (4)
- [August 2013](#) (3)
- [July 2013](#) (3)
- [June 2013](#) (2)
- [May 2013](#) (4)
- [April 2013](#) (1)
- [March 2013](#) (4)

[February 2013 \(1\)](#)
[January 2013 \(4\)](#)
[December 2012 \(1\)](#)
[October 2012 \(5\)](#)
[September 2012 \(1\)](#)
[July 2012 \(1\)](#)
[June 2012 \(2\)](#)
[April 2012 \(1\)](#)
[February 2012 \(1\)](#)
[January 2012 \(2\)](#)
[December 2011 \(2\)](#)
[November 2011 \(1\)](#)
[September 2011 \(1\)](#)
[December 2010 \(3\)](#)

TAGS

[annual prices \(6\)](#) [capacity \(22\)](#)
[cloud computing \(1\)](#)
[consumer liaison group \(13\)](#)
[cybersecurity \(6\)](#) [demand resources \(28\)](#)
[energy efficiency \(20\)](#) [energy markets \(10\)](#)
[energy storage \(12\)](#) [environment \(8\)](#)
[fcm \(48\)](#) [FERC \(27\)](#)
[financial assurance policy \(1\)](#) [financials \(2\)](#)
[forecast \(23\)](#) [fuel security \(9\)](#)
[generation \(15\)](#)
[generation information system \(1\)](#)
[generator retirement \(13\)](#) [hydro \(5\)](#)
[imports \(1\)](#)
[inter-regional energy transactions \(3\)](#)
[locational marginal price \(6\)](#)
[market data \(6\)](#) [market development \(22\)](#)
[market monitoring \(9\)](#) [microgrid \(1\)](#)
[monthly prices \(26\)](#) [natural gas \(44\)](#)
[new england states \(32\)](#) [nuclear energy \(5\)](#)
[oil \(6\)](#) [peak demand \(16\)](#)
[planning advisory committee \(5\)](#)
[quarterly prices \(8\)](#) [regulation market \(7\)](#)
[renewable resources \(56\)](#) [rsp \(4\)](#)
[settlements \(6\)](#) [smart grid \(8\)](#) [solar \(18\)](#)
[strategic planning initiative \(18\)](#)
[summer \(13\)](#) [system operations \(50\)](#)
[training \(7\)](#) [transmission planning \(36\)](#)
[video \(2\)](#) [weather \(2\)](#) [website \(16\)](#)
[wholesale markets \(26\)](#)
[wholesale prices \(50\)](#) [wind \(16\)](#)
[winter \(18\)](#)