<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Type:</th>
<th>IV.A.3 Site Inspection Prioritization</th>
<th>Task:</th>
<th>Sampling SIP</th>
<th>General Task Description:</th>
<th>SIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completion Date:</td>
<td>01/16/98</td>
<td>Created On:</td>
<td>11/13/97</td>
<td>DPO/PO:</td>
<td>Caroline Marchant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Task Monitor:</td>
<td>LaVonne Johnson</td>
<td>Task Codes:</td>
<td>21; J; SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site/Project Name:</td>
<td>Covitch Property/Former ATF Davidson Co.</td>
<td>Estimated Cost:</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Estimated Hrs:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip:</td>
<td>Northbridge, MA</td>
<td>Dedicated:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Non-Dedicated:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSID #:</td>
<td>CERCLIS #:</td>
<td>MACD046128559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Source:</td>
<td>CERCLA Site Assessment</td>
<td>Deliverable:</td>
<td>Letter Report</td>
<td>Overtime:</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN #(s):</td>
<td>SCP111 (AAG) Site Assessment $0.00</td>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDD Expenditure Limit:</td>
<td>$21,229.00</td>
<td>Staffing:</td>
<td>Dedicated Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours:</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Hours:</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>Start Date:</td>
<td>01/10/97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Dedicated Hours:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: Completion date extended. Refer to status report dated 11/10/97.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Caroline Marchant/R1/USEPA/US on 11/13/97 11:31:05 AM, according to

Caroline Marchant

Signed On: 11/13/97

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Caroline Marchant/R1/USEPA/US on 11/13/97 11:31:05 AM, according to

Project Officer: Caroline Marchant

Signed On: 11/13/97
Site/Project Name: Covitch Property/Former ATF Davidson Co.
Activity Type: IV.A.3 Site Inspection Prioritization
Task: Sampling SIP
General Task Description: SIP

DPO/PO: Caroline Marchant
Created On: 11/10/97
Estimated Completion Date: 11/14/97

Ceiling for This TDD #
Estimated Cost: $21,229.00
Estimated Hours: 785
  Dedicated: 785
  Non-Dedicated: 0

Staffing: Dedicated Staff
Priority: High

Status Section - Signed by Roy F. Weston Inc. START I/R1/USEPA/US on 11/10/97 03:45:09 PM, according to Estimated additional funds and hours, above TDD ceiling amounts, required to continue performance for the period specified in the TDD:
  Additional Cost: $0.00
  Additional Hours: 0.0

Contractor Comments:
START is requesting an extended completion date of 16 January 1998 in order to address EPA and State comments to the draft report, which was submitted on 30 October 1997. No additional hours or dollars are requested at this time. Project budget remains 785 hours and $21,229.

Contractor Signature:

Mark M. Duffer
Roy F. Weston Inc. START I
11/10/97

Signed On:
To Amend Projects through ATGS, please provide the following information:

Site Leader’s Name: Mike Jennings 
Today’s Date: 5 November 1997

Amendment Required by: 14 November 1997

Site/Project Name: Covitch Property/Arcade Property (FMR)
TDD No./PCS No.: 95-07-0065-D / 2725 (current) - 1162 (original)

Additional Hours needed to complete project: 0
Additional Dollars needed to complete project: 0
New Completion date, if applicable: 16 January 1998
New Estimated Budget for the project (hours/dollars): 785 hours / $21,229

Short explanation of why amendment is needed:

START is requesting an extended completion date of 16 January 1998 in order to address EPA and State comments to the draft report. No additional hours and dollars are requested at this time. Project budget remains 785 hours and $21,229. Which was submitted on 30 October 1997.

Site Leader’s initials ___________ Date ___________ 
Project Leader’s initials ___________ Date ___________
ATL/TL’s initials ___________ Date ___________ 
ATGS Coordinator’s initials ___________ Date ___________

NOTE: PLEASE BE AWARE THAT BOTH PROJECT OFFICERS DO NOT WANT TO SEE THAT "HIGHER PRIORITY ASSIGNMENTS" ARE THE REASON FOR DELAYS IN PROJECT COMPLETION.