DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)
Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: UTILITY MFG CO INC
Facility Address: 2443 Boston RD Wilbraham MA 01095
Facility EPA ID #: MAD 001116078

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

YE If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

_____ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

_____ if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)
Page 2

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**"[1] above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

YE If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.

_____ If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Cyanide AND hexavalent Chrome exceed GW-1 Standards. Since the Facility is mapped within a medium to high yield productive aquifer. Perimeter Cowngradient Compliance wells on the property AND Abutting Property Since 1993 AND the metals concentration has been substantially reduced to below GW-1 standards However on occasion a compliance well has GW-1 exceedances but has not triggered corrective action measures

Sources:
GIS BWSC 1 mile radius map - PPA
1992 - Ground water Monitoring Reports TIGHE & Bond (1)
March 1988 Ground Water Quality Assessment Report. By GZA (2)

Footnotes:

[1]"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater"[2] as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

YE If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]).

____ If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.

____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The Groundwater plume has been defined by assessment of Rate + Extent and is currently being monitored

Source
See (1) AND (2) listed for Item 2

[2] "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

4. Does "contaminated" groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

_____ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.

no If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

5. Is the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be **"insignificant"** (i.e., the maximum concentration[3] of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

_____ If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.

_____ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations[3] greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

_____ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

[3] As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

6. Can the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "**currently acceptable**" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented[4])?

_____ If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment,[5] appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.

_____ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "**currently acceptable**") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.

_____ If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

[4] Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

[5] The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

7. Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

YE If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."

_____ If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.

_____ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s): 1995 POST CLOSURE PLAN requires semi-annual monitoring Perimeter down gradient wells G7-4S, G7-6S & G7-8S, includes annual sampling of intra in wells

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

Y/E YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the UTILITY MFG CO. Inc. facility, EPA ID # MAD001116078, located at Wilbraham MA. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

_____ NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.

_____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) Ralph Fine Date 09/17/01
(print) RALPH FINE
(title) GEOLOGIST

Supervisor (signature) Jeffrey H. Chormann Date 9/17/01
(print) JEFFREY H. Chormann
(title) Chief, Assessment + Business Reporting
(EPA Region or State) State

REV'D BY FRANK BATTAGLIA 9-17-01 AND E. WATERMAN

Matthew R. Hoagland
Matthew R. Hoagland
Chief, RCRA Corrective Action Section
EPA Reg. I - New England
9/26/01

Locations where References may be found:

DEP/BWP/BOSTON

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)_____
(phone #)_____
(e-mail)_____



NOTES:

1. THE LOCATION OF WELLS MW-1 THROUGH MW-5 WERE OBTAINED FROM PLAN PROVIDED BY GARY R. SWANSON P.E. THE LOCATION OF WELLS GZ-1 THROUGH GZ-8 AND MW-3(D) WERE APPROXIMATELY DETERMINED BY LINE OF SIGHT FROM EXISTING TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES. THIS DATA SHOULD BE CONSIDERED ACCURATE ONLY TO THE DEGREE IMPLIED BY THE METHOD USED.
2. WELLS MW-1 THROUGH MW-5 WERE PERFORMED BY GARY R. SWANSON P.E. AND WERE NOT OBSERVED BY GZA PERSONNEL.
3. WELLS GZ-1 THROUGH GZ-5 WERE PERFORMED BY GZA BETWEEN JANUARY 14 AND 25, 1988. WELLS MW-3(D) AND GZ-6 INSTALLED BY GZA BETWEEN JULY 23, AND AUGUST 2, 1990. WELL GZ-8 INSTALLED BY GZA ON FEBRUARY 6, 1991. OBSERVED AND LOGGED BY GZA PERSONNEL.
4. BASE MAP DEVELOPED FROM PLAN PROVIDED BY J. O'NEIL, LAND SURVEYOR ENTITLED "PROPERTY PLAN, BOSTON ROAD, WILBRAHAM, MASSACHUSETTS" DATED FEBRUARY 7, 1964, ORIGINAL SCALE 1"=40'.

GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP
MAY 18, 2001

UTILITY MANUFACTURING CO.
2443 BOSTON ROAD
WILBRAHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

TIGHE & BOND INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WESTFIELD, MASS

SCALE: 1"=50'

DATE: JULY 2001

07/11/01

Well I.D.	Date Collected	Cyanide (Amenable)	Cyanide (Total)	Iron	Chromium	Chromium (+6)	Specific Cond.	pH
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	umhos/cm	
GZ-6	06/07/93	NA	<0.01	0.02	<0.005	<0.01	NA	NA
	12/08/93	NA	<0.02	<0.03	0.057	0.06	NA	NA
	06/14/94	NA	<0.02	<0.03	<0.05	0.048	NA	NA
	11/18/94	<0.02	<0.02	0.053	0.021	0.038	61	5.36
	05/11/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.02	0.012	69	5.87
	11/13/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.047	<0.5	91	4.74
	05/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.014	0.031	93	5.97
	11/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.028	<0.05	67	5.44
(Duplicate)	11/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.025	<0.05	71	5.46
	05/12/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.0079	<0.05	55	4.85
	11/24/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.029	<0.02	44	5.31
(Duplicate)	11/24/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.030	<0.02	46	4.9
	05/12/98	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.025	0.02	190	5.51
	11/03/98	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.026	0.046	32	5.76
	05/03/99	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.014	0.052	130	5.88
	11/22/99	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.033	0.056	50.1	6.00
	12/21/99	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.054	147	5.21
	05/26/00	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.018	0.021	125	5.68
	12/08/00	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.027	0.033	60	5.92
	05/18/01	**<0.01**	**<0.01**	**NA**	**0.033**	**<0.005**	**35.8**	**5.12**
GZ-8	06/07/93	NA	<0.01	0.06	0.049	0.049	NA	NA
	12/08/93	NA	<0.02	<0.03	<0.05	0.055	NA	NA
	06/14/94	NA	<0.02	0.042	<0.05	<0.05	NA	NA
	11/18/94	<0.02	<0.02	0.065	0.039	<0.05	120	4.38
	05/11/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.041	0.015	160	4.78
(Duplicate)	05/11/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.047	0.015	140	4.78
	11/13/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.043	0.025	110	4.53
(Duplicate)	11/13/95	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.046	0.025	95	4.54
	05/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.038	0.039	200	5
	05/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.059	0.045	210	5.4
	11/13/96	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.036	<0.05	120	4.55
	05/12/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.038	<0.05	110	4.26
(Duplicate)	05/12/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.035	<0.05	110	4.4
	11/24/97	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.033	<0.02	100	4.95
	05/12/98	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.04	0.035	140	5.06
	11/03/98	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.032	0.033	70	4.45
	05/03/99	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.034	0.036	120	5.32
(Duplicate)	05/03/99	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.034	0.027	120	5.32
	11/22/99	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.04	0.026	160	3.99
	05/26/00	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.034	0.027	128	4.83
(Duplicate)	05/26/00	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.034	0.023	117	4.92
	12/08/00	<0.01	<0.01	NA	0.041	0.023	120	4.64
	05/18/01	**<0.01**	**<0.01**	**NA**	**0.053**	**0.023**	**338**	**5.01**
(Duplicate)	**05/18/01**	**<0.01**	**<0.01**	**NA**	**0.052**	**0.028**	**355**	**5.08**
Reportable Concentration*		0.01	0.01	--	0.1	0.05	--	--

Bold type indicates most recent sampling round.

NA - sample not analyzed

Gray shading indicates exceedance of Reportable Concentration

*Reportable concentrations for GW-1 category groundwater.