



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES  
AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

February 19, 2009

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Effects Determinations for DCPA Relative to the California Red-Legged Frog and Designated Critical Habitat

FROM: Jean Holmes, DVM, MPH *Jean Holmes*  
ERB 2  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division

TO: Arthur-Jean B. Williams, Associate Director  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Attached is the assessment of potential direct and indirect effects to the California red-legged frog (CRLF) and potential modification to designated critical habitat from uses of the pesticide chlorthal dimethyl (DCPA). While the Endangered Species Act requires we assess uses of pesticides relative to any potentially affected listed species, this assessment focuses only on the CRLF, including designated critical habitat, addressing provisions of a settlement agreement entered into by the federal government to resolve claims made by plaintiffs against EPA in a court case (CBD v. EPA<sup>1</sup>).

The attached assessment was conducted consistent with the Agency's Overview Document<sup>2</sup>. Effects determinations for the assessment are summarized below:

- A "Likely to Adversely Affect" (LAA) determination is made for all uses. The determination is supported by the finding of direct effects on the terrestrial phase CRLF from exposure to the parent (DCPA) and product impurities and direct effects to the aquatic phase CRLF resulting from exposure to DCPA and the degradate, tetrachloroterephthalic acid (TPA); indirect effects to the aquatic prey base (non-vascular plants, aquatic invertebrates, fish, and frogs) and terrestrial prey base (terrestrial invertebrates, mammals, and amphibians); and indirect effects to the aquatic and terrestrial habitat (aquatic and terrestrial plants). Modification to designated critical habitat from these uses is also expected primarily due to changes to food sources for juvenile and adult CRLFs. Effects to terrestrial and aquatic plants of designated critical habitat are also expected. Table 1-1 and Table 1-2 of the Executive Summary of the Pesticide Effects Determination document provides a more detailed account of the effects determination.

<sup>1</sup> Settlement agreement of October 20, 2006: Center for Biological Diversity v. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Civ. No: 02-1580-JSW(JL)

<sup>2</sup> Overview of the Ecological Risk Assessment: Process in the Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Endangered and Threatened Species Effects Determinations: January 23, 2004.

As required by the Alternative Consultation Agreement EPA entered into with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service (Services), I have been trained by the Services to make such determinations. Additionally, this assessment was subjected to internal Agency peer review throughout its development. The review panel included one other scientist who has been trained by the Services to make such determinations.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this assessment and effects determination for DCPA relative to the CRLF and its designated critical habitat.

Attachments