# Appendix G ECOTOX Open Literature Reviews

Bromadiolone

#### CAS No:

28774-56-7

#### PC Code:

112001

#### **Citation and MRID:**

Giraudoux, P., Tremollieres, C., Barbier, B., Defaut, R., Rieffel, D., Bernard, N., Lucot, E., Berny, P. 2006. Persistence of bromadiolone anticoagulant rodenticide in *Arvicola terrestris* populations after field control. Environmental Research 102: 291-298. MRID: 48590801

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Review:**

08/29/11

#### **Summary of Findings:**

Residues of bromadiolone were measured in the water voles (*Arvicola terrestris*) that were allowed to feed on bromadiolone bait placed in artificial burrows. Wheat bait (50 mg a.i./kg, Lipatech) was applied at a rate of 1 kg bait per 100 m over 21 acres. Rodents were trapped or collected above and below ground every day from day 1 to day 3, day 6 to day 8, and day 10 to day 20. No captures were possible on days 4, 5, and 21 due to snowstorms. "The average concentration of bromadiolone was 5.95 mg/kg (95% CI 4.30–7.79), maximum 30.23, in the liver; 2.28 mg/kg (95% CI 1.70–2.95), maximum 12.37, in the digestive tract; 0.75 mg/kg (95% CI 0.58–0.92), maximum 3.19, in the rest of the body." In this study, the average whole body weight of *A. terrestris* was 77.02 g (SE 1.89), and the average quantity of bromadiolone/vole was 93.97  $\mu$ g (95% QI: 73.8–113.5) yielding an average whole body bromadiolone concentration of 1.22 mg a.i./kg-carcass.

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

Quantitative

#### **Rational for Use:**

Provides bromadiolone residues in whole carcasses of voles for use in exposure assessment via secondary exposure

#### **Major Limitations of Study:**

- (1) Numerical data for concentrations of bromadiolone in water voles were limited to those provided in the above summary of findings.
- (2) Although the authors reported that the site had never been treated with bromadiolone before the trial, no concentrations of bromadiolone were quantified in voles collected pre-treatment.

## **Primary Reviewers:** Robin Sternberg

Bromadiolone

#### **CAS No:**

28772-56-7

#### PC Code:

112001

#### **Citation and MRID:**

Lund, M., and Rasmussen, A.M. 1986. Secondary Poisoning Hazards to Stone Martens (*Martes foina*) Fed Bromadiolone-Poisoned Mice. *Nord. Vet.-Med.* 38, 241-243. MRID: 48590802

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Review:**

8/18/2011

#### **Summary of Study Findings:**

Four stone martens (*Martes foina*) were fed yellow-necked field mice (*Apodemus flavifollis*) for either 1 or 4 days. Field mice were caged individually and given bromadiolone bait (0.005%) in excess to feed on for 4 days. At the end of 4 days, each mouse was killed via CO<sub>2</sub> and feed consumption and mouse body weights were measured. The bromadiolone-fed mice were then fed to 2 stone martens as the only food source for 1 day, and to 2 stone martens for 4 days. Mice remains not eaten were weighed. Measured parameters included sex and weight of each marten, the number of mice consumed, total weight of mice offered as food minus mice remains not eaten, bromadiolone (mg) in mice consumed, and maximum amount of bromadiolone consumed (mg ai/kg-bw). Martens were monitored for 3 weeks and were killed by ether and autopsied at study termination. Results are presented in the Table (reproduced) below.

Table 1. Calculated maximum amount of bromadiolone consumed by single caged stone

martens offered bromadiolone-fed field mice for one or four days

Stone Marten				Total weight		Maximum	
Sex	Weight (g)	Feeding Date	No. of mice consumed	of mice minus possible remains (g)	Bromadiolone consumed in mice (mg a.i.)	bromadiolone consumed (mg a.i./kg- bw)	
1. Female	1171	1/15/85	7	200.6-26.2= 174.4	4.44	3.8	
2. Male	1518	1/15/85	8	239.1-7.5= 231.6	5.19	3.4	
	1525	1/15/85	7	246.6-40.2= 206.4	5.33		
		1/16/85	8	202.8	6.15		
3. Female		1525	1/17/85	8	280.2-6.6= 273.6	6.50	
		1/18/85	8	149.6	3.23		
		total	31	832.4	21.1	13.9	
		1/15/85	6	291.4-75.9= 215.5	5.11		
4. Male		1/16/85	7	248.4-33.1= 215.3	5.45	;	
	1437	1/17/85	6	293.3-90.9= 202.4	4.78		
		1/18/85	4	169.3-83.0= 86.3	-83.0=		
		total	34	719.5	17.42	12.1	

At the end of the observation period, all four stone martens survived with no apparent signs of sublethal effects. Autopsies of the four carcasses revealed no internal hemorrhaging or discolored livers. In the male and female fed mice for 4 days, an increased fragility of the small blood vessels of the muscles on top of the skull was found.

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

Qualitative

#### **Rationale for Use:**

Provides lethal and sublethal effect information on the secondary poisoning of mammals through bromadiolone-poisoned prey

#### **Major Limitations of Study:**

- (1) This study did not determine an endpoint for quantitative use.
- (2) No control was used.
- (3) Sample size was small.

Primary Reviewer: Alicia Korol

Bromadiolone

**CAS No:** 

28772-56-7

PC Code:

112001

#### **Citation and MRID:**

Pank, L.F., and Hirata, D.N., 1976. Primary and Secondary Toxicity of Anticoagulant Rodenticides. Work Unit DF-103.7. Wildlife Damage Research Station and Denver Wildlife Research Center, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hilo, Hawaii. MRID 157732

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Review:**

8/18/2011

#### **Summary of Study Findings:**

Secondary hazard of bromadiolone toxicity was studied with mongoose fed bromadiolonepoisoned rats. Mongooses were trapped from the wild and caged individually (41 x 24 x 18 cm). The mongooses were conditioned on a diet of rats with water ad libitum for a week. Mongoose were fed rats for 10 days straight and were divided into 4 treatment trials and a control. Two mongoose each were assigned to a feeding trial entailing daily feeding of one bromadioloneloaded rat for 1, 3, 5, and 6 days; after the mongoose were then fed bromadiolone-free rats for the remaining of the 10 days. The control mongooses were fed control rats throughout the 10 day test. Norway and black rats with the highest potential for containing bromadiolone residues were fed to the mongoose. The rats had previously been used for a primary exposure bioassay with bromadiolone and had received 5, 10, or 13 grams of bromadiolone treated or control bait for 5 days. Bait consumption was calculated by subtracting spilled or uneaten bait from offered bait. Bait consisted of technical grade bromadiolone, slightly crimped oat groats, and alcolec S (adhesive phospholipid) at either the registered or recommended concentration for bromadiolone; percent a.i. was not reported. Rats were frozen upon death for use in the secondary exposure study with mongoose. Rats serving as controls receiving untreated bait were sacrificed and also frozen. Parameters measured were mongoose weight at study initiation and termination, rat weight fed to mongoose, rat weight consumed by mongoose, numbers of livers, stomachs, and intestines consumed by mongoose, total bromadiolone consumed, and coagulation time. These results are presented in **Table 1** below. Coagulation time was measured 10 days after the last dosing on day 1, 3, 5 and 6, or on the day of death.

Table 1 (reproduced from article): Secondary Toxicity of Bromadiolone-killed rats to Mongoose

]	Mongoo	ose	An	_	ant-killed rats nongoose	Mongoose Consumption  Mongoose Coagulation  Time			ulation			
Sex	Weig Pre- trt	pht (g) Post- trt	No.	Total Wt.	Bromadiolone consumed (mg)	Rats (g)	No. Livers	No. Stomachs	No. Intestines	pre- test	post- test	Mongoose Days to death
Treat	ed Mon	goose										
1F	395	471	1	214	2.8	192	1	1	1	2.2	2.1	-
2F	412	436	3	479	6.6	371	3	3	3	2.1	30+	4
3F	488	497	5	764	11.9	432	5	4	4.8	2.7	30+	7
4M	613	678	6	985	13.3	745	5	6	6	2.6	30+	8
5M	499	578	1	167	2.03	145	1	1	1	2.0	3.0	-
6M	562	629	3	448	6.51	313	2.3	3	3	1.6	2.0	-
7M	646	615	5	530	9.50	333	3	3	4	1.3	30+	6
8M	803	770	6	694	10.59	552	6	5	5.3	1.5	27.0	8
Conti	ols		•				•					
F	620	634	10	1430	0	746	10	2	5.8	2.0	1.7	-
M	569	613	10	1442	0	864	7	2	6.8	1.0	1.5	-
F	412	434	10	1851	0	870	7.5	8	10	2.8	2.6	-
F	423	469	10	1598	0	1005	7.5	3	8.5	1.6	1.5	-
F	431	528	10	1709	0	1242	4.8	4	8.5	1.3	1.7	-
M	612	649	10	1698	0	1151	5	1	5.3	1.1	0.9	-

#### Reviewer's Calculations

The reviewer calculated bromadiolone consumption per unit body weight (as measured pretreatment) in the **Table 2**.

Table 2: Bromadiolone consumption per unit body weight

Mongoose ID	Pre-Treatment Weight (kg)	Bromadiolone Consumed (mg)	Bromadiolone Consumed per pre-treatment body weight (mg a.i./kg-bw)
1F	0.395	2.8	7.09
2F	0.412	6.6	16.0
3F	0.488	11.9	24.4
4M	0.613	13.3	21.7
5M	0.499	2.03	4.07
6M	0.562	6.51	11.6
7M	0.646	9.50	14.7
8M	0.803	10.59	13.2

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

Qualitative

#### **Rationale for Use:**

Provides lethal and sublethal effect information on the secondary poisoning of mammals through bromadiolone-poisoned prey

- Major Limitations of Study:
  (1) This study did not determine an endpoint for quantitative use.
- (2) Sample size was small.
- (3) Concentration in the bait was not reported.

**Primary Reviewer:** Alicia Korol

Bromadiolone

CAS No:

28774-56-7

PC Code:

112001

#### **Citation and MRID:**

Sage, M., Coerdassier, M., Defaut, R., Gimbert, F., Berny, P., Giraudoux, P. 2008. Kinetics of bromadiolone in rodent populations and implications for predators after field control of the water vole, *Arvicola terrestris*. Science of the Total Environment 407: 211-222. MRID: 48590803

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Review:**

08/29/11

#### **Summary of Findings:**

Residues of bromadiolone were measured in water voles (*Arvicola terrestris*) and common voles (*Microtus arvali*) that were allowed to feed on bromadiolone bait placed in artificial burrows. Bait was prepared by mixing dried wheat grains with a commercial formulation of bromadiolone (Super Caid® A659, ref. R227002, France) to achieve a concentration of 50 mg a.i./kg-bait and applied to the field at a rate of 8.1 kg bait/A over 24 acres. Rodents were trapped or collected above and below ground every day for 10 days after treatment, and then every three to four or more days until day 135 (sampling occurred on day 1 to day 10, day 13, day 16, day 19, day 24, day 27, day 49, and day 135). The study focused on the kinetics of residues within rodent populations and thus did not present the measured residue data with the exception of one water vole trapped underground on day 135. The concentrations in the whole body, liver, and digestive tract for this one vole were 1.43, 16.63, and 0.86 mg a.i./kg-tissue, respectively. The study also reported that the average body concentration in water voles collected on day 1 was 1.83  $\pm$  0.96 mg a.i./kg-carcass and that the average concentration in collected common voles on D1 was lower. No bromadiolone residues were found in the eight rodents trapped before the treatment.

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

Quantitative

#### **Rational for Use:**

Provides bromadiolone residues in whole carcasses of voles for use in exposure assessment via secondary exposure

#### **Major Limitations of Study:**

(1) Numerical values for whole body bromadiolone residues were provided only for 1 water vole trapped underground on day 135 and for water voles collected on day 1 although kinetic

models indicate that concentrations of bromadiolone were maximal between 3.3 and 6.5 days and 1.3 and 3.7 days for water voles and common voles, respectively.

### **Primary Reviewers:**

Alicia Korol Robin Sternberg

Bromadiolone

#### CAS No:

28772-56-7

#### PC Code:

112001

#### **Citations:**

Teeters, W.R. 1981. Bromadiolone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 100. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

Teeters, W.R. 1981. Bromadiolone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 102. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

Teeters, W.R. 1981. Bromadiolone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 105. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

Teeters, W.R. 1981. Bromadiolone technical: Toxicity to Laboratory Rat: Test No. 107. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticides Regulation Div., Agricultural Research Center, Animal Biology Laboratory, unpublished report.)

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Data Review:**

9/2/11

#### **Brief Summary of Study Findings:**

#### Methods

All four studies were conducted in the same lab using very similar methodology. An outline of the available methodology information for each study is provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Study methods for Albino rat feeding studies with Bromadiolone conducted at Beltsville Lab

	Test 100	Test 102	Test 105	Test 107			
Date Started	1/9/81	1/23/81	2/6/81	2/20/81			
Active Ingredient		1	00%				
Mixing in feed	Bromadiolone	Bromadiolone was	Bromadiolone was	Bromadiolone was			
	was mixed with	dissolved in acetone	dissolved in acetone	dissolved in acetone			
	40 g corn oil and	for measurement and	for measurement and	for measurement and			
	then added to	then the acetone was	then the acetone was	then acetone was			
	sufficient lab	evaporated off. The	evaporated off. The	evaporated off. The			
	mash to make	residue was taken in	residue was taken in	residue was taken in			
	2000 g diet.	40 g corn oil which	40 g corn oil which	40 g corn oil which			
		was then mixed with	was then mixed with	was them mixed with			
		sufficient lab mash to	sufficient lab mash to	sufficient lab mash to			
		make 2000 g diet for	make 2000 g diet for	make 2000 g diet for			
		each concentration.	each concentration.	each concentration.			
Species tested			ino rats				
Test animal weight	91-117 g	95-118 g	54-69 g	58-75 g			
range							
Number of animals		5 males a	and 5 females				
per concentration							
Number of control		5 males a	and 5 females				
animals		T	T	T			
Test concentrations	0.42, 0.71, 1.21,		0.83, 1.16, 1.62, 2.27,	0.83, 1.16, 1.62, 2.27,			
(mg a.i./kg-diet)	2.06, 3.50	3.18	3.18	3.18			
Length of test			ted feed; 9 days post-trea				
Environmental	Inc	lividually caged, no other	r laboratory conditions re	ported			
conditions							
Variables recorded			of death				
	Weight at start of pre-treatment						
			rt of treatment				
			of post-treatment				
			d of test or at death)				
	Food	consumption during each	n of the three observation	intervals			

#### Results

ToxAnal2009 was used to determine the LC50 and slope (if possible) for each of the studies; statistical output can be found at the end of the data review. These results are reported in **Table 2**. All four studies resulted in LC50 values ranging from 0.95 to 1.99 mg a.i./kg-diet.

Table 2. Summary of test results f or the Albino rat feeding studies conducted at Beltsville Lab.

Test Number	LC50 (95% CI) (mg a.i./kg-diet)	Probit slope (95% CI)
100	1.49 (1.21-2.06)	n/a; binomial method
102	1.99 (1.62-2.27)	n/a; binomial method
105	0.92 (0.54-1.14)	5.20 (1.91-8.50)
107	1.80 (1.57-2.06)	13.20 (5.59, 20.80)

n/a= not applicable

The reviewer selected Test Number 105 as the most sensitive because it had the lowest  $LC_{50}$ . No control animals died during the study. Animals were followed for 14 days after starting the treated diet (5 days treated diet, followed by 9 days clean diet). All mortalities occurred between days 5 and 9 after the feeding of treated diet started. Although the study reports included data on body weight and food consumption, statistical analysis was not conducted for these parameters because of the high rate of mortality.

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

All four studies are classified as Supplemental. Data can be used quantitatively in risk assessments, but do not meet any OSCPP 850 series guideline protocols.

#### **Rationale for Use:**

These are the only available short-term feeding studies for mammals.

#### **Limitations of Study:**

- (1) Details regarding laboratory conditions were not provided.
- (2) Occurrences of sub-lethal signs of toxicity were not reported.
- (3) Necropsy results were not reported.

#### **Primary Reviewer:**

Robin Sternberg

#### **Test 100**

NOTE: THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY, BUT AT LEAST ONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS HAD ZERO MORTALITY. THEREFORE, ABBOTT'S CORRECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE.

Robin Sternberg Bromadiolone Albino rat 5-day dietary #100

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
3.5	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
2.06	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
1.21	10	1	10	1.074219
.71	10	0	0	9.765625E-02
.42	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 1.21 AND 2.06 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 1.492645

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN 0 AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Test 102**

NOTE: THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY, BUT AT LEAST ONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS HAD ZERO MORTALITY. THEREFORE, ABBOTT'S CORRECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE.

Robin Sternberg Bromadiolone Albino rat 5-day dietary #102

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* CONC. NUMBER NUMBER PERCENT BINOMIAL EXPOSED DEAD DEAD PROB. (PERCENT) 10 3.18 10 2.27 10 100 9.765625E-02 10 90 9 1.074219 1.62 10 0 0 9.765625E-02 0 10 0 9.765625E-02 1.16 10 9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 1.62 AND 2.27 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN 0 AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Test 105**

NOTE: THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY, BUT AT LEAST ONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS HAD ZERO MORTALITY. THEREFORE, ABBOTT'S CORRECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE.

Robin Sternberg Bromadiolone Albino rat 5-day dietary #105

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
3.18	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
2.27	10	9	90	1.074219
1.62	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
1.16	10	8	80	5.46875
.83	10	3	30	17.1875

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 0 AND 1.62 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .9462962

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN G LC50 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

1 .8025485 .9462962 .5610788 1.188698

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD
ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT
PROBABILITY
4 .4000145 1
.1552885

SLOPE = 5.207435 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.913905 AND 8.500965

INTERCEPT= .1885315

LC50 = .9200166 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .541489 AND 1.136802 LC25 = .682762

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .2546357 AND .8945208

LC10 = .5220187

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .125076 AND .7442438

LC05 = .4445499

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 8.134163E-02 AND .6699132

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Test 107**

NOTE: THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY, BUT AT LEAST ONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS HAD ZERO MORTALITY.
THEREFORE, ABBOTT'S CORRECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE.

Robin Sternberg Bromadiolone Albino rat 5-day dietary #107

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)
3.18	10	10	100	9.765625E-02
2.27	10	9	90	1.074219
1.62	10	3	30	17.1875
1.16	10	0	0	9.765625E-02
.83	10	0	0	9.765625E-02

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT 1.16 AND 2.27 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 1.799937

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

 SPAN
 G
 LC50
 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS

 4
 .1139164
 1.80831
 1.555188
 2.162888

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS G H GOODNESS OF FIT

PROBABILITY

6 .3320848 1

.9912026

SLOPE = 13.19641

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 5.591741 AND 20.80108

INTERCEPT=-3.353628

LC50 = 1.795279

95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.566171 AND 2.0585

LC25 = 1.595951
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.269961 AND 1.784634

LC10 = 1.435537
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.01437 AND 1.626996

LC05 = 1.347362
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = .8807096 AND 1.549936

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Bromadiolone

CAS No:

#### **PC Code:**

112001

#### **Citation and MRID:**

Wyllie, Ian. 1995. Potential Secondary Poisoning of Barn Owls by Rodenticides. Pesticide Outlook, June 1995. MRID: 48590804

#### **Purpose of Review:**

For consideration in a litigation assessment of bromadiolone risk to select SF Bay species

#### **Date of Review:**

8/18/2011

#### **Summary of Study Findings:**

Six barn owls (*Tyto alba*) were fed bromadiolone-fed mice for either 1-day, 3-days, or 6-days. Mice were allowed to feed on commercially available bromadiolone bait (product and percent a.i. were not reported) for one day and then allowed to die. Mouse carcasses were fed to the owls for specific duration of the treatment trials and then the owls were observed for signs of toxicity. The mean residue value in mice was multiplied by the number of mice eaten to determine the total bromadiolone consumption by the test owls. None of the owls died or exhibited signs of hemorrhaging. Blood coagulation times were slower after dosing but returned to normal within 4-10 days. Results are provided in **Table 1** (reproduced from article) below.

Table 1: Results of feeding Barn Owls with Rodenticide-poisoned mice for 1,3 and 6 days.

Trial Period	Number of Owls	Number Died	Number Mice/Owl	Total Bromadiolone Consumed (mg)	Time to Die	Signs of Hemorrhage	Time to Normal Coagulation (days)
1 day	6	0	3	10.8-11.9	n/a	No	<7
3 days	6	0	6	12.1-13.5	n/a	No	<3
6 days	6	0	12-13	22.0-24.4	n/a	not reported*	4-6

<sup>\*</sup>Table omitted a "yes" or "no" entry for this cell. It is stated in the article that none of the owls exhibited signs of hemorrhaging.

#### **Description of Use in Document:**

Qualitative

#### **Rationale for Use:**

Provides lethal and sublethal effect information on the secondary poisoning of mammals through bromadiolone-poisoned prey

#### **Major Limitations of Study:**

(1) This study did not determine an endpoint for quantitative use.

- (2) No control was used.
- (3) Sample size was small.
- (4) Body weight of the owls was not measured for calculation of total bromadiolone consumption (mg) per unit body weight (mg).
- (5) Surviving owls used in the study may have been re-dosed in additional trials. Surviving owls were allotted several months between doses for recovery. It is therefore unknown whether the owls had also been used previously for identical trials of owls secondarily exposed to other rodenticides (*i.e.*, brodifacoum, difenacoum, and flocoumafen), the results of which are presented along with the results of the bromadiolone trials.

**Primary Reviewer:** Alicia Korol