CHILD-RESISTANT PACKAGING REVIEW Technical Review Branch

IN <u>09/21/98</u>	OUT <u>May 19, 1999</u>
Reviewed by Rosalind L. Gross N	All 1999
EPA Reg. No. or File Symbol 64248 -10	있다. 그 마이트를 보고 있다는 것이 되었다. 무료되었다. 하는 이 기를 받는데 하는 것이
DP Barcode <u>D249704</u>	
EPA Petition or EUP No	
Date Division Received 09/08/98	
Type Product(s) <u>Insecticide</u>	
Data Accession No(s).446473-01 (98-081)	
Product Mgr./Chemical Review Mgr/Contac	ct Person PM 03 Division RD
Product Name(s) <u>Maxforce Ant Bait F1</u>	
Company Name(s) Maxforce Insect Con	itrol Systems
Submission Purpose Examine to ascertain if packaging is CRP	
Active Ingredient(s), PC code, & %	pronil

Summary of Findings

Station tested is 2.25" x 2.25" x 0.375" with holes 0.25". The station is 25ml gray HIPS base and 30ml red K resin debossed lid index weld. The station sold is the same as the station tested. Station containing lipstick placebo mixture tested with children getting 24 single (not attached to each other) stations at the beginning of the test. Failure was defined as evidence of lipstick indicator on the child or meeting a set of criteria agreed to by EPA and the registrant. A child failure was defined as access to more than eight individual bait stations. The results indicate one child (23), a 42 month old female, gained access to one bait station. There were no child failures. The study is a pass of the child test according to the sequential test chart in 16 CFR 1700.20. The CRP certification dated 9/4/98 is acceptable.