CHILD-RESISTANT PACKAGING REVIEW Technical Review Branch

IN <u>08/09/99</u>	OUT <u>08/10/99</u>
Reviewed by Rosalind L. Gross RJ Wood 08	/ <u>1</u> 0/99
EPA Reg. No. or File Symbol <u>64248 - 11</u>	
DP Barcode <u>D258455</u>	시간은 경기를 받는데 보고 하는데 보고 있는데 함께 있다. 스타워크 를 하는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데,
EPA Petition or EUP No	요즘 요즘 보는 경기는 경기 보고 이 사용을 되는 작가는 사용을 하고 있었다. 이 사용을 보고했다.
Date Division Received 07/14/99	
Type Product(s) <u>Insecticide</u>	
Data Accession No(s). 448724-01 (99-03)	
Product Mgr./Chemical Review Mgr/Contact Person PM 03 Division RD	
Product Name(s) <u>Maxforce Roach Bait F .0</u>	5 <u>- </u>
Company Name(s) <u>Maxforce Insect Control</u>	Systems
Submission Purpose <u>Examine to ascertain if packaging is CRP</u>	
Active Ingredient(s), PC code, & % Fipror	nil in the second secon

Summary of Findings

Station tested is 2.25" x 2.25" x 0.44" with holes 0.25". The station is 25ml black HIPS base and 25ml black HIPS debossed lid index weld. The station sold is the same as the station tested. Station containing lipstick placebo mixture tested with children getting 30 stations (as singles) at the beginning of the test. Failure was defined as evidence of lipstick indicator on the child or meeting a set of criteria agreed to by EPA and the registrant. A child failure was defined as access to more than eight individual bait stations. The results indicate a total of 0 children accessed one or more bait stations. There were no child failures. The study is a pass of the child test according to the sequential test chart in 16 CFR 1700.20. The CRP certification dated 6/25/99 is acceptable.

1