

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Copy for 10308-11
TECTION AGENCY 6-13-96

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Pyriproxyfen (129032): review of aquatic plant (Lemna

gibba) toxicity study (122-2); D225442; S503789; Case 031299; McLaughlin Gormley King Co.

6.13-96

FROM:

Anthony F.7 Maciorowski, Chief Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

TO:

Rick Keigwin/Joseph Tavano

Product Manager 10

Registration Division (7505C)

EEB has completed its review of the aquatic plant toxicity study submitted by McLaughlin Gormley King Co. to support registration of pyriproxyfen. The DER is attached. The following citation and comments apply to this study:

Citation: Hoberg, J. R. 1996. Toxicity to duckweed, Lemna qibba. Conducted by Springborn Laboratories, Inc., Wareham, MA. Lab. Report # 96-1-6354. Sponsored by Sumitomo Chemical Company, Osaka, Japan. MRID No. 439583-

Guideline	MRID No.	Acceptability	
122-2	439583-01	yes	

Comments: The study is considered core for a Tier I test (122-2). Because inhibition of frond growth was <50% at a test concentration exceeding the maximum label application rate of 0.1 lb ai/acre, Tier II testing is not required for Lemna gibba.

Contact Bill Erickson at 305-6212 or Harry Craven at 305-5320 if you have any questions about this review.

DP Barcode : D225442 PC Code No : 129032

EEB Out

To:

Rick Keigwin/Joseph Tavano

Product Manager 10

Registration Division (7505C)

From:

Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch/EFED (7507C)

Attached, please find the EEB review of...

Reg./File #

1021-Rath Nylar Fire Ant Bait 2629

Chemical Name Type Product Pyriproxyfen Insecticide

Type Product Product Name

Company Name Purpose : McLaughlin Gormley King Co. : Aquatic plant toxicity study - Lemna gibba

Action Code Reviewer 116 William Erickson

Date Due : 08/13/96Date In : 04/19/96

EEB Guideline/MRID Summary Table: The review in this package contains an evaluation of the following:

Gdin No.	MRID No.	Cat.	Gdln No.	MRID No.	Cat.	Gdin No.	MRID No.	Cat.
71-1(a)		× .	72-2(a)			72-7(a)		
71-1(b)			72-2(b)	•		72-7(b)	:	
71-2(a)			72-3(a)	<i>J.</i>		122-1(a)		
71-2(b)			72-3(b)			122-1(b)		
71-3	-		72-3(c)			122-2	439583-01	Y
71-4(a)		•	72-3(d)			123-1(a)		
71-4(b)			72-3(e)			123-1(b)		-
71-5(a)			72-3(f)			123-2		
71-5(b)		•	72-4(a)		3	124-1		
72-1 (a)		• .	72-4(b)			124-2		
72-1(b)			72-5			141-1		
72-1(c)			72-6			141-2		
72-1(d)						141-5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Y=Acceptable (Study satisfied Guideline)/Concur

P=Partial (Study partially fulfilled Guideline but additional information is needed

S=Supplemental (Study provided useful information but Guideline was not satisfied)

N=Unacceptable (Study was rejected)/Nonconcur

DATA EVALUATION RECORD EC50 TEST WITH LEMNA GIBBA GUIDELINE 122-2/123-2 (TIER I/II)

CHEMICAL: Pyriproxyfen (129032)

Pyriproxyfen (Sumilarv TG); 98.4% 2. TEST MATERIAL:

3. CITATION:

> Author: Hoberg, J. R.

Title: Toxicity to duckweed, Lemna gibba

Date:

Laboratory: Springborn Laboratories, Inc., Wareham, MA

Lab. Report #: 96-1-6354

> Sponsor: Sumitomo Chemical Company, Osaka, Japan

MRID No.: 439583-01

REVIEWED BY:

William Erickson

Biologist

EEB/EFED/EPA

Signature: W. Wh...

Date: 6/07/96

5. APPROVED BY:

> Harry Craven Section Head 4

EEB/EFED/EPA

Signature: Jany Com. 6/12/96

Date:

STUDY PARAMETERS/RESULTS SYNOPSIS:

Definitive Test Duration: 14 days

Type of Concentrations: mean measured

EC₅₀: >0.18 mg ai/l

95% C.I.: n/a

> NOEC: 0.18 mg ai/l

- CONCLUSIONS: The study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirement for a Tier I aquatic plant growth toxicity test with Lemna gibba. Because inhibition was <50% at a test concentration exceeding the maximum label application rate of 0.1 lb ai/acre, a Tier II test is not required.
- 8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY: Core for Tier I.
- MAJOR GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS: 9. None.
- 10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE: Registration.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Test Organism:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
<u>Species</u> Lemna gibba	Lemna gibba G3		
Number of Plants/Fronds 5 plants/rep; 3 fronds per plant.	5 plants with 3 fronds per plant per replicate		
<u>Nutrients</u> Standard formula, e.g. 20XAAP	Hoagland's medium prepared w/sterile deionized water		

Test System:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
<u>Solvent</u>	acetone		
<u>Temperature</u> 25°C	25 <u>+</u> 1°C		
<u>Light Intensity</u> 5.0 Lux (±15%)	4300-5800 lux (400-530 footcandles)		
<u>Photoperiod</u> Continuous	continuous		
<u>рн</u> Approximately 5.0	5.0		
<u>Test System</u> Static or renewal	static		

Test Design:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Dose range 2X or 3X progression	2X
<u>Doses</u> at least 5	5
Controls negative and/or solvent	negative and solvent
Replicates per dose 3 or more	3

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<u>Duration of test</u> 14 days	14 days
Observations made at least every 3 days?	yes
Maximum Labeled Rate	0.1 lb ai/acre

12. REPORTED RESULTS:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Initial and 14 day frond count?	yes
Control frond count at 14 day ≥2X initial count?	yes
Initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	yes
Raw data included?	yes

Dose Response for Frond Counts:

Dose (mg ai/L)	Plant/Frond density	% Inhibition	14-day pH
control	392	n/a	6.0
solvent control	357	n/a	6.2
0.016	358	4	6.2
0.026	500	-33	6.2
0.049	424	-13	6.2
0.078	398	-6	6.2
0.18	380	-2	6.2

Statistical Results for Frond Counts:

Methods: n/a for EC_{50} Williams' Test for NOEC EC_{50} : >0.18 mg ai/l 95% C.I.: n/a

EC₅₀: 95% C.I.:

NOEC: 0:18 mg ai/l Other Findings: At test termination, fronds exposed to the highest test concentration and to the solvent control were slightly chlorotic. Fronds exposed to all other test concentrations had reduced root formation when compared to the controls. Control fronds appeared normal throughout the test.

Frond biomass (dry weight) was also measured at test termination. Statistical analysis (Williams' Test) determined no significant reduction in frond biomass in any treatment level tested as compared to the pooled control.

- 13. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS</u>: Visual inspection of the data confirm that an EC_{50} cannot be determined and that the NOEC is 0.18 mg ai/l.
- 14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: The study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirement for a Tier I aquatic plant growth toxicity test with Lemna gibba. An EC₅₀ value was not determined. However, because inhibition was <50% at a test concentration exceeding the maximum label rate of 0.1 lb ai/acre, a Tier II test is not required.