

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

December 20, 1989

**MEMORANDUM**

**SUBJECT:** Addendum to Fenethanil (RH-7592) review #1, dated 12-1-89 (record # 244519)

**FROM:** James W. Ackerman, Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H-7507C)

**TO:** S. Lewis (PM 21)  
Herbicide/Fungicide Branch  
Registration Division (H-7505C)

Review #1 for Fenethanil (RH-7592) dated 12-1-89, stated that prior to Section 3 registration EEB would require data regarding avian reproduction, fish early life stage, and invertebrate life cycle. Upon reconsideration of the submitted data and label use instructions it has been decided that the fish early life stage and invertebrate life cycle data will not be required at this time. Prior to Section 3 registration EEB will still require data for avian reproduction. If you have any question regarding this memorandum please contact Harry Winnik, Biologist, EFED/EEB at 557-7463.

CONCURRENCES							
SYMBOL	H7507C	H7507C					
SURNAME	WINNIK	Caren					
DATE	12-20-89	12/20/89					

EPA Form 1320-1 (12-70)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

244519

RECORD NO.

129011

SHAUGHNESSEY NO

1  
REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN 5-3-89 OUT 12-1-89

FILE OR REG. NO. 707-EUP-RER

PETITION OR EXP. NO. 9G3746

DATE OF SUBMISSION 3-1-89

DATE RECEIVED BY EFED 4-28-89

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 7-28-89

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 7-28-89

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 711

TYPE PRODUCT(S) Fungicide

DATA ACCESSION NO(S) 410735-06 and -07, 410312-31 thru -38

PRODUCT MANAGER, NO. S. Lewis (21)

PRODUCT NAME(S) RH-7592 Fungicide

COMPANY NAME Rohm & Haas Company

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Proposed EUP for new chemical for use  
on stone fruit.

SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

CHEMICAL

% A.I.

129011

Fenethanil

22.8

2

EEB Review

RH-7592

**100.0      Submission Purpose and Label Information**

**100.1      Submission Purpose and Pesticide Use**

Proposed experimental use permit (EUP) for RH-7592  
Fungicide use on stone fruit.

**100.2      Formulation Information**

Active Ingredient:

Fenethanil (2-[2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethyl]-2-phenyl-3-  
(1H- 1,2,4-triazole)-1-propanenitrile) ....22.8%  
Inert Ingredients .....77.2%

\*Equivalent to 2 lbs. active ingredient per gallon

**100.3      Application Methods, Directions, Rates**

Refer to attached label

**100.4      Target Organisms**

Blossom Blight (Monilinia spp.)  
Fruit Brown Rot (Monilinia spp.)  
Scab  
Rust (Tranzschelia sp.)

**100.5      Precautionary labeling**

Environmental Hazards

This pesticide is toxic to fish. Do not apply directly  
to water or wetlands. Do not contaminate water by  
cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes. Do not  
apply when weather conditions favor drift or runoff  
from areas treated.

**101.0      Hazard Assessment**

**101.1      Discussion**

The objectives of the proposed program as described by  
the registrant is to:

1. To confirm product performance when applied in  
standard grower spray schedules and equipment.

2. To define optimum use rates, application timings and number of applications required for disease control and maximum fruit quality and marketable yield.

3. Generate field residue data, on representative crops in the stone fruit crop grouping, to support a Section 3 registration application.

4. To provide opportunities to define and demonstrate product performance characteristics for Rohm and Haas Company Sales and Marketing personnel, agricultural chemical dealers and distributors, University and Cooperative Extension Service personnel.

The EUP has been requested for a 22-month period in the following states:

<u>Region</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>No. Trials</u>	<u>No. Acres</u>	<u>Total Lbs.Active</u>
Western	California	100	250	188
	Idaho	10	25	18
	Montana	4	10	8
	Oregon	16	40	30
	Utah	8	20	16
	Washington	<u>16</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>30</u>
	Total	154	385	290
Central	Alabama	2	5	4
	Arkansas	4	10	8
	Colorado	4	10	8
	Illinois	2	5	4
	Indiana	2	5	4
	Louisiana	4	10	8
	Michigan	16	40	30
	Missouri	2	5	4
	Ohio	2	5	4
	Oklahoma	2	5	4
	Texas	8	20	16
	Wisconsin	<u>8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>
	Total	56	140	110

<u>Region</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>No. Trials</u>	<u>No. Acres</u>	<u>Total Lbs.Active</u>
Eastern	Georgia	16	40	30
	Maryland	6	15	12
	New Jersey	12	30	24
	New York	4	10	8
	North Carolina	8	20	16
	Pennsylvania	8	20	16
	South Carolina	16	40	30
	Virginia	8	20	16
	West Virginia	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>
	Total	82	205	158
	Grand Total	292	730	558

## 101.2 Likelihood of Adverse Effects to Nontarget Organisms

### Environmental Fate Data

The following data was obtained from the Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch review of EUP to test RH-7592 on stone fruit, submitted by Clinton Fletcher, Chemist, Review Section 1, EFGWD/EFED:

- . RH-7592 will be stable to hydrolysis at pH levels found in the environment.
- . RH-7592 will degrade in soil under aerobic conditions with a half-life of 285 and 367 days in Lawrenceville silty clay loam and Pasquotank sandy loam soils, respectively.
- . RH-7592 will degrade in soil under anaerobic conditions with a half-life of 451 and 655 days in the Lawrenceville silty clay loam and the Pasquotank sandy loam soils, respectively.
- . RH-7592 will be only slightly mobile to immobile in soils. Adsorption appears to be associated with percent organic matter present. RH-7592 will be slightly mobile in soils containing a low percent organic material ( $\leq 1\%$ ) and relatively immobile in soils with higher levels of organic material.
- . RH-7592 residues have only a slight potential to leach in the soil environment.
- . RH-7592 will not bioaccumulate in fish and any residues that are taken up will be depurated when fish are no longer exposed to RH-7592 residues.

The above data indicate that RH-7592 is quite stable and may be persistent in the environment (under aerobic conditions up to 367 days and under anaerobic conditions up to 655 days).

#### Terrestrial Hazard

RH-7592 may be characterized as practically non-toxic on an acute basis to avian species (Bobwhite quail Colinus virginianus, LD<sub>50</sub>>2150 mg a.i./kg).

RH-7592 may be characterized as slightly toxic on a subacute basis to avian species (Mallard duck Anas platyrhynchos, LC<sub>50</sub> of 2013 ppm, and Bobwhite quail Colinus virginianus, LC<sub>50</sub> of 4050 ppm).

RH-7592 may be characterized as relatively non-toxic to nontarget insects (Honey bee Apis mellifera, LD<sub>50</sub>>292.18 ug a.i./bee).

At a maximum application rate of 7.5 oz/A RH-7592 (equivalent to 0.125 lbs a.i./A) the maximum residue expected on such food items as insects and forage would be 1.5 ppm and 7.25 ppm respectively. These levels are significantly below the LC<sub>50</sub> values for Bobwhite Quail and Mallard Ducks with respect to RH-7592.

On the basis of these data, the proposed EUP does not pose a significant threat to birds or insects.

At the time of this review there was no mammalian toxicity data available. Therefore, a hazard assessment to mammals was not possible.

#### Aquatic Hazard

Rh-7592, with a 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of 1.5 mg a.i./L for Rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri, is considered moderately toxic to coldwater fish. Data for the Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus), 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of 0.68 mg a.i./L, indicate that RH-7592 is highly toxic to warmwater fish.

The 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> for Daphnia magna of 2.3 mg a.i./L indicates that RH-7592 is moderately toxic to freshwater invertebrates.

Assuming a direct application to a pond 6 ft. deep and a maximum application rate of 0.125 lbs a.i./A, the resulting residue level in the water would be approximately 7.7 ppb. This concentration is less than one-tenth the LC<sub>50</sub> values for coldwater fish, warmwater fish and freshwater invertebrates. As such, RH-7592

does not pose a significant hazard to aquatic organisms as a result of single applications. However, according to the label instructions the potential exists for multiple applications of RH-7592. Subsequently, the EPA Pesticide Residue Fate Simulation computer program was used to estimate the maximum and average residues expected from drift and runoff from a 10 acre treated area into a 1 acre pond, 6 ft. deep as a result of multiple applications of RH-7592. The application rate was assumed to be 0.125 lb. a.i./acre. Since the solubility of RH-7592 is 3.8 ppm the runoff rate was assumed to be 2%. Using EEC calculation formulas for Aerial Application or Mist Blower (see attached), an EEC of 1.96 ppb was obtained. Assuming half life figures of 285 days and 655 days, application intervals of 14 day and 10 days respectively, number of applications of 6 and 8 respectively, and lengths of simulation of 84 days and 80 days respectively, maximum and average residues were calculated (see attached). The table below lists the values obtained for each data set:

	<u>Set 1</u>	<u>Set 2</u>
EEC/Application	1.96 ppb	1.96 ppb
Half Life	285 days	655 days
# of Applications	6	8
Application Interval	14 days	10 days
Length of Simulation	84 days	80 days
Maximum Residue	10.8 ppb	15.1 ppb
Average Residue	6.4 ppb	8.6 ppb

The average residues calculated for data sets 1 and 2 approach and exceed respectively 0.01 LC<sub>50</sub> of warmwater fish of 0.68 mg. a.i./L (6.8 ppb). These results combined with the fact that the LC<sub>50</sub> of warmwater fish is less than 1 mg. a.i./L, trigger the need for Fish Early Life Stage and Invertebrate Life Cycle studies prior to Section 3 registration.

#### Plant Hazard

Due to the low water solubility of RH-7592 (3.8 ppm) the hazard to aquatic plants should be minimal and aquatic plant growth testing on the freshwater green alga Selenastrum capricornutum will not be required at this time.

### 101.3

#### Endangered Species Consideration

RH-7592 Fungicide will be tested in 27 states on approximately 730 acres (see section 101.1). Because of the limited acreage treated and the low application

rates proposed, this EUP does not pose a significant hazard to endangered species.

101.4

Adequacy of Toxicity Data

Seven studies were submitted with the EUP. The following is a brief summary of the results of the EEB reviews of these studies:

- Fletcher, D. W., (1988), 21-Day Acute Oral LD<sub>50</sub> Study with RH-7592 Technical in Bobwhite Quail, prepared by Bio-Life Associates, Ltd., Neillsville, Wisconsin, Report No. 88RC-0021, Submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania.  
EPA Accession No. 410312-31

This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an avian single-dose oral toxicity test.

The oral LD<sub>50</sub> of RH-7592 Technical for Bobwhite quail Colinus virginianus was greater than 2,150 mg a.i./kg of body weight as determined by this study. RH-7592 is considered practically non-toxic to Bobwhite Quail.

- Fletcher, D. W., (1988), 8-Day Acute Dietary Study with RH-7592 Technical in Mallard Ducklings, prepared by Bio-Life Associates, Ltd., Neillsville, Wisconsin, Report No. 88RC-0019, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania,  
EPA Accession No. 410312-32

This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an avian dietary LC<sub>50</sub> test.

The dietary LC<sub>50</sub> of RH-7592 Technical for Mallard duck Anas platyrhynchos was 2,013 ppm active ingredient as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is considered slightly toxic to Mallards. The NOEC was 312 ppm active ingredient.

- Fletcher, D. W., (1988), 8-Day Acute Dietary Study with RH-7592 Technical in Bobwhite Quail, prepared by Bio-Life Associates, Ltd., Neillsville, Wisconsin, Report No. 88RC-0020, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania,  
EPA Accession No. 410312-33

This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an avian dietary LC<sub>50</sub> test.



The dietary LC<sub>50</sub> of RH-7592 Technical for Bobwhite quail Colinus virginianus was 40500 ppm active ingredient as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is considered slightly toxic to Bobwhite Quail. The NOEC was 625 ppm active ingredient.

- Swigert, J.P., (1988), Acute Flow-Through Toxicity of RH-7592 Technical to Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus), prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri, Report No. 88RC-0024, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania, Accession No. 410735-06.

This study appears a scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an acute 96-hour flow-through toxicity test using a warmwater fish species.

The 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of RH-7592 Technical to Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) was 0.68 mg a.i./L based on mean measured concentrations as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is classified as highly toxic to Bluegill. The NOEC was 0.42 mg a.i./L after 96 hours.

- Swigert, J.P., (1988), Acute Flow-Through Toxicity of RH-7592 Technical to Rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri, prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri, Report No. 88RC-0025, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania, Accession No. 410312-35.

This study appears a scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an acute 96-hour flow-through toxicity test using a coldwater fish species.

The 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of RH-7592 Technical to Rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri was 1.5 mg a.i./L based on mean measured concentration as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is classified as moderately toxic to Rainbow Trout. The NOEC was 0.70 mg a.i./L after 96 hours.

- Burges, D., (1988), Acute Flow-Through Toxicity of RH-7592 Technical to Daphnia magna, prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri, Report No. 88RC-022, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania  
Accession No. 410735-07.

This study appears scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an acute 48-hour flow-through toxicity test for freshwater invertebrates.

The 48-hour  $EC_{50}$  of RH-7592 Technical to Daphnia magna was 2.3 mg a.i./L based on mean measured concentrations as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is classified as moderately toxic to Daphnia magna. The NOEC was determined to be 0.78 mg a.i./L after 48 hours.

- Atkins, E.L., (1988), RH-7592 Technical: Bee Adult Toxicity Dusting Test, conducted by Department of Entomology, University of California, Report No. 88RC-0066, submitted by

Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, Pennsylvania  
Accession No. 410312-38

This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an acute contact  $LD_{50}$  test using honeybees.

The 96-hour  $LD_{50}$  of RH-7592 Technical to Honey bee Apis mellifera was greater than 292.18 ug a.i./bee as determined by this study. RH-7592 Technical is considered relatively non-toxic to honeybees when administered as a dusting powder. The NOEL for this study was 292.18 ug a.i./bee, the only dosage tested.

Prior to section 3 registration the following data will be required:

- . Mammalian toxicity
- . Avian reproduction (preferably Mallard and Bobwhite)
- . Fish early life stage
- . Invertebrate life cycle

Following review of required EEB data, submission of additional toxicity data may be necessary.

**101.5      Adequacy of Labeling**

The following statements should appear on the product label:

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

This pesticide is toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Do not apply directly to water or wetlands (swamps, bogs, marshes, and potholes). Drift or runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to aquatic organisms in adjacent aquatic sites. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwaters and rinsate.

**102.0      Classification**

Not classified

Conclusions

EEB has reviewed the proposed EUP for RH-7592 Fungicide on stone fruits. Environmental fate data indicate that RH-7592 is quite stable and may be persistent in the environment (under aerobic conditions up to 367 days and under anaerobic conditions up to 655 days).

Based on data available, the proposed EUP will not pose significant adverse effects to avian, insect, and fish or invertebrate species.

Due to the low water solubility of RH-7592, the proposed EUP will not pose significant adverse effects to aquatic plants.

In light of the apparent persistence of RH-7592 and the label use recommendations the following acute and chronic study data will be required prior to section 3 registration:

- . Avian reproduction (preferably Mallard and Bobwhite)
- . Fish early life stage
- . Invertebrate life cycle

Following review of required EEB data, submission of additional toxicity data may be necessary.

## Attachment

Harry A. Winnik  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

*Harry A. Winnik*  
11-30-89

Henry Craven, Head, Section IV  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

*Henry T. Craven*  
11/30/89

James W. Akerman, Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

*James W. Akerman*  
11/30/89

RIN 3477-95

EEB FENETHANIL REVIEW

Page      is not included in this copy.

Pages 13 through 14 are not included.

---

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- ☐ Identity of product inert ingredients.
- ☐ Identity of product impurities.
- ☐ Description of the product manufacturing process.
- ☐ Description of quality control procedures.
- ☐ Identity of the source of product ingredients.
- ☐ Sales or other commercial/financial information.
- ☒ A draft product label:
- ☐ The product confidential statement of formula.
- ☐ Information about a pending registration action.
- ☐ FIFRA registration data.
- ☐ The document is a duplicate of page(s)           .
- ☐ The document is not responsive to the request.

---

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

---

EEC CALCULATION SHEETI. For un-incorporated ground application

## A. Runoff

$$\text{___ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.0}{(\text{___ \% runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(\text{from 10 A. (tot.runoff) drainage basin})} = \text{___ lb(s)}$$

EEC of 1 lb a.i. direct application to 1 A. pond 6-foot deep = 61 ppb

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \text{___ (lb)} = \text{___ ppb}$$

II. For incorporated ground application

## A. Runoff

$$\text{___ lb(s)} \div \frac{\text{___ (cm)}}{(\text{depth of incorporation})} \times \frac{0.0}{(\text{___ \% runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(10 \text{ A. (tot.runoff) d.basin})} = \text{___ lb(s)}$$

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \text{___ (lbs)} = \text{___ ppb}$$

III. For aerial application (or mist blower)

## A. Runoff

$$\text{___ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.6}{(\text{appl. efficiency})} \times \frac{0.0}{(\text{___ \% runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(10 \text{ A. (tot.runoff) d.basin})} = \text{___ lb(s)}$$

## B. Drift

$$\text{___ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.05}{(5 \% \text{ drift})} = \text{___ lb(s) (tot. drift)}$$

$$\text{Tot. loading} = \frac{\text{___ lb(s)}}{(\text{tot. runoff})} + \frac{\text{___ lb(s)}}{(\text{tot. drift})} = \text{___ lb(s)}$$

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \text{___ (lbs)} = \text{___ ppb}$$

ILY ACCUMULATED PESTICIDE RESIDUES---MULTP. APPL.

emical name -----	RH-7592 (Fenethanil)
itial concentration (PPB) -----	1.96
lf-life -----	285
number of application -----	6
plication interval -----	14
ngth of simulation (day) -----	84

AY	RESIDUE (PPB)
----	---------------

	1.96
	1.955239
	1.950489
	1.945751
	1.941025
	1.93631
	1.931606
	1.926914
	1.922233
	1.917564
0	1.912906
1	1.908259
2	1.903624
3	1.899
4	3.854387
5	3.845024
6	3.835684
7	3.826367
8	3.817072
9	3.807799
0	3.79855
1	3.789323
2	3.780118
3	3.770935
4	3.761775
5	3.752637
6	3.743522
7	3.734428
8	5.685357
9	5.671546
0	5.657769
1	5.644026
2	5.630316
3	5.616639
4	5.602995
5	5.589385
6	5.575808
7	5.562263
8	5.548751
9	5.535273
0	5.521827
1	5.508414
42	7.455033

POINT AT WHICH RESIDUE EXCEEDS 1/100 LC50 OF WARMWATER FISH

16

5	7.400837	
6	7.382859	
7	7.364925	
8	7.347035	
9	7.329188	
0	7.311384	
1	7.293624	
2	7.275907	
3	7.258232	
4	7.240601	
5	7.223013	
6	9.165466	
7	9.143202	
8	9.120992	
9	9.098836	
0	9.076734	
1	9.054686	
2	9.03269	
3	9.010749	
4	8.98886	
5	8.967025	
6	8.945243	
7	8.923513	
8	8.901836	
9	8.880212	
0	10.81864	
1	10.79236	
2	10.76615	
3	10.73999	
4	10.7139	
5	10.68788	
6	10.66192	
7	10.63602	
8	10.61018	
9	-10.58441	
0	10.5587	
1	10.53305	
2	10.50746	
3	10.48194	
4	10.45648	
Maximum residue	-----	10.81864
Average residue	-----	6.436193



DAILY ACCUMULATED PESTICIDE RESIDUES---MULTIP. APPL.

Chemical name -----	RH-7592 (Fenethanil)
Initial concentration (PPB) -----	1.96
Half-life -----	655
Number of application -----	8
Application interval -----	10
Length of simulation (day) -----	80

DAY	RESIDUE (PPB)
-----	---------------

	1.96
	1.957927
	1.955856
	1.953788
	1.951721
	1.949657
	1.947595
	1.945535
	1.943477
	1.941421
0	3.899368
1	3.895244
2	3.891124
3	3.887008
4	3.882897
5	3.87879
6	3.874688
7	3.870589
8	3.866495
9	3.862406
10	5.818321
11	5.812167
12	5.80602
13	5.799879
14	5.793744
15	5.787617
16	5.781495
17	5.77538
18	5.769271
19	5.76317
20	7.717074
21	7.708912
22	7.700758
23	7.692614
24	7.684477
25	7.676349
26	7.66823
27	7.660119
28	7.652017
29	7.643924
30	9.595839
31	9.585689
32	9.575551
33	9.565424

POINT AT WHICH RESIDUE EXCEEDS  $1/100$  LC<sub>50</sub> OF WARMWATER FISH

5	9.545201	
6	9.535105	
7	9.525019	
8	9.514944	
9	9.50488	
0	11.45483	
1	11.44271	
2	11.43061	
3	11.41852	
4	11.40644	
5	11.39438	
6	11.38233	
7	11.37029	
8	11.35826	
9	11.34625	
0	13.29425	
1	13.28019	
2	13.26614	
3	13.25211	
4	13.23809	
5	13.22409	
6	13.2101	
7	13.19613	
8	13.18217	
9	13.16823	
0	15.11431	
1	15.09832	
2	15.08235	
3	15.0664	
4	15.05046	
5	15.03454	
6	15.01864	
7	15.00276	
8	14.98689	
9	14.97104	
0	14.9552	
Maximum residue	-----	15.11431
Average residue	-----	8.64478