3 - 1		Date out of EFGWB: AUG 29 1991			
To:	S. Lewis/J. Fairfax Product Manager 21 Registration Division (H7505C)				
From:	Akiva Abramovitch, Section Head Environmental Fate Review Section Environmental Fate and Ground Wat Environmental Fate and Effects Di		reoff		
Thru:	Hank Jacoby, Chief Environmental Fate and Ground Wat Environmental Fate and Effects Di	r Branch	8 0		
Attached	, please find the EFGWB review of.	\$ • •			
Reg./Fil	e#:n.a.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Chemical	Name: te(r)buconazole		•		
Type Pro	duct: fungicide				
Product	Name: various				
Company	Name: Bayer AG				
Purpose:	evaluation of laboratory au	lit report		-	
Date Rec	eived: <u>3/27/91</u>			•	
Total Re	viewing Time (days):0.5	_			
EFGWB#(s):_91-0504				
	-	-			
Deferral Ec	s to: ological Effects Branch, EFED		<i>:</i>		
Di	etary Exposure Branch, HED				
To	xicology Branch, HED				
No	n-Dietary Exposure Branch, HED		1 J	•	
Sc	ience Integration and Policy Staff,	EFED			

Shaughnessy Number: 128997

1. CHEMICAL:

a-[2-(4-Chloropheny1)ethy1]-a-(1,1-dimethylethy1)-1H-1,2,4chemical name:

triazole-1-ethanol

common name:

te[r]buconazole, folicur

trade name:

Elite

structure:

CAS #:

unknown 128997

Shaughnessy #:

CI -CH2 -CH2 - C- CH3

2. TEST MATERIAL: n.a.

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE: review of lab audit for soil dissipation study

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: n.a.

5. REVIEWED SY:

Typed Name:

E. Brinson Conerly-Perks Chemist, Review Section 3

Title: Organization:

EFGWB/EFED/OPP

6. APPROVED BY:

Typed Name:

Title:

Organization:

Section Head, Review Section 3 The Hamwite EFGWB/EFED/OPP

E.B. Coneng-Perles 6/27/91

7. CONCLUSIONS:

The findings reported by the auditors do not appear to be serious, or indicate a pattern or bias, or affect the interpretation of the data. As a new study will be performed, the status of this study does not greatly affect the status of the chemical in any case.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The study appears not to require re-examination at this time. The laboratory should be encouraged to avoid these deficiencies in future.

9. BACKGROUND:

In addition to the study discussed here, a field study has been received and is currently in secondary review. It appears at this time to be supplemental.

The EN-CAS Analytical Laboratory was subjected to an audit 8/10/89. There were several findings reported:

- Receipt, distribution, composition, and disposal records were not found. 1) Also it is not known whether the test Folicur is from a production batch or prepared especially for the study.
- No expiration date was provided by the sponsor for the analytical 2) standard used in the study.
- Several errors occurred in transcribing data from the chromatograms to 3) the appendixes.

- 4) Several rounding errors occurred in the calculations of gross residues.
- 5) No MS or NMR analysis was done on the analytical standard before or during the study.

Available data indicate persistence but low soil mobility. Some plant uptake occurs.

The status of data requirements is as follows:

and he

- hydrolysis -- fulfilled 6/9/89 (MRID# 407009-57), stable at pH 5, 7, and 9 -- no hydrolysis after 28 days incubation
- photolysis in water -- fulfilled 6/9/89 (MRID# 407009-58) -- no
 photodegradation detected; extrapolated t_{1/2} of 600 days
- soil photodegradation -- fulfilled 6/9/89 (MRID# 407009-50) -- slow reaction; extrapolated $t_{1/2}$ ca 191 days, producing 2 unidentified degradates (<3% of applied)
- aerobic soil metabolism fulfilled (MRID# 407009-59) additional data on product identification was required 6/9/89, but a reevaluation of available information indicates that the previously submitted study should be accepted resistant to metabolism extrapolated t_{1/2} 610 days in sandy loam soil. Residues at 1 year were tebuconazole at 67.4%, unextractables at 29.1% [ca. 20% of this (3% of the total applied) was parent compound], an unidentified extractable material at 2.1%, extractable polar compounds at 1.1%, and CO₂ at less than 0.7%.
- <u>anaerobic soil metabolism</u> -- fulfilled (see aerobic soil study) -- extrapolated t_{1/2} ca 400 days
- leaching/adsorption/desorption -- fulfilled as of 6/9/89 (MRID# 407009-60)
 -- in column leaching studies on sand, sandy loam, silt loam, and silty
 clay loam, little leaching occured below 6 cm.
- terrestrial field dissipation -- EFGWB has required a turf field dissipation study because of this compound's use pattern. A recent study of supplemental quality indicates that folicur persists but is not mobile.
- <u>confined accumulation on rotational crops</u> -- fulfilled (MRID 415958-01; EBC 4/17/91) -- uptake occurs at the exaggerated rates tested
- 23); materials were only analyzed for parent -- spinach, turnips, and wheat or sorghum were planted 30 and 120 days post-treatment in soil which had received seven applications of terbuconazole at 3.5 ppm at 10 25 day intervals. Except for 0.11 ppm of terbuconazole in straw from wheat planted at approximately 120 days posttreatment, terbuconazole detected in the crops from the treated plots did not significantly exceed the apparent limits of determination of terbuconazole in the various plant matrices. In the 0- to 6-inch soil depth from plots treated for the 30-day plant-back, terbuconazole was 0.17-0.41 ppm immediately following the final application of terbuconazole; 0.07-0.19 ppm at 31-33 days posttreatment, and 0.04-0.12 ppm at harvest (87-308 days posttreatment). From plots treated for the 120-day plant-back, terbuconazole in the soil (0- to 6-inch depth) was 0.21-2.42 ppm

immediately following the final application, 0.19-0.35 ppm at 124-126 days posttreatment, and 0.01-0.10 ppm at harvest (171-245 days posttreatment).

 $\frac{\text{fish bioaccumulation}}{\text{time}}$ -- study has been_submitted and is under review at this

- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES: see DER
- 11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER: no information added
- 12. CBI APPENDIX: n.a.