DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. Chemical: Cyhalothrin
- 2. Test Material: Cyhalothrin 92.% w/w

Chemical structure: (R,S) a-cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (±)-cis-3,3(z-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-en)-2,2-dimethylcycloproponecarboxylate.

Cis/trans ratio: 96.8:3.2

3. Study Type: Avian Reproduction on the mallard

Species Tested: Anas platyrhynchos

- 4. Study ID: Roberts, N.L., Fairley, C., Chanter, D.O.,
 McAllister, A., and Almond, R.H. (1982) The
 Effect of the Dietary Inclusion of Cyhalothrin
 on Reproduction in the Mallard Duck. Prepared
 by Huntingdon Research Centre, Huntingdon,
 Cambridgeshire, PE18 6ES and submitted by ICI
 Americas, Inc., EPA Accession No. 073989.
- 5. Reviewed By: Candy Brassard

EER/HED

6. Approved Ry: Douglas J. Urban Head, Section III

EEB/HED

arghacure

Nate: 3///88

Signature:

Date:

7. Conclusion:

This study is classified as supplemental. There are data discrepancies that detract from the study. It appears the lowest NOFL \mp 5 ppm and LOFL \pm 50 ppm for eggs laid and eggs set. The NOFL may even be less than 5 ppm depending on the raw data that needs to be submitted with regards to terminal findings.

8. Recommendations:

The study author should submit all raw data with regard to gross postmortem examination, and specifically the underdeveloped ovaries found in both treatment groups. The raw data on 17A Week 7 should also be submitted so that a complete ANOVA can be conducted.

9. Background:

This study was submitted to support registration of Karate or PP321 on cotton and soybeans.

10. Discussion of Individual Tests: N/A

11. Materials and Methods:

- a. Test Animals The mallard ducks were obtained from Mr. J. Coles, The County Game Farms, Home Farm, Hothfield, Ashford, Kent, England and were young adults approaching their first laying season. All the birds were wild caught. The birds arrived > 9 weeks prior to test initiation.
- b. Test System Adults The adult mallards were housed by replicate group in wooden pens measuring approximately 1.6 x 0.6 x 1.7 m, with concrete floors. Each pen contained an automatic nipple drinker and a food hopper. Sawdust was used as bedding. Ambient temperature ranged from 8 to 25 °C (\overline{x} = 15) and the relative humidity ranged from 48 to 85 percent (\overline{x} = 66%).

Ventilation fans were adjusted as necessary. The following photoperiod regime was used.

Days of	study	Hours light	Hours dark		
i -	71	7	17		
72 -	78	8	16		
79 -	92	9	15		
93 -	9.9	12	12		
100 -	106	13	11		
107 -	175	14	10		

The basal diet without test compound consisted of the following ingredients:

Ingredient	% w/w
Ground wheat	38.25
Ground maize	30.00
Weatings (Wheatfeed)	5.00
Provimi 66 fishmeal	10.00
Soya bean meal	10.00
Limestone flour	5.50
Pantoribin 537*	1.25

Water was available ad libitum.

^{*} Mineral vitamin and trace element supplement (R.P. Nutrition (UK) Ltd.).

Diet Preparation - The test compound was mixed with corn oil in the final diet. Corn oil, at a rate of 0.1 percent w/w, was incorporated in all diets (as well as control). Diets were generally mixed on a weekly hasis, and the diet was analyzed on four weekly intervals and from the final batch of diets mixed to check inclusion levels.

Eggs

The eggs were incubated at weekly intervals in a "Wester Incubator." Temperature was 37 °C (no range reported) and relative humidity ranged from 34 to 93 percent ($\bar{x} = 63\%$).

Eggs were turned every 45 minutes through an angle of 90° throughout incubation period. Eggs were incubated for 23 to 24 days before transferring to hatchers.

Hatching

Hatchers were air Bristol Incubator Models PH 90 and PH 150, which operated at 37 °C (temp.). The ducklings hatched approximately 27 to 29 days after eggs were set in incubator. After hatching, ducklings were banded with color-coded plastic legbands for identification.

<u>Ducklings</u> were housed in wooden pens that were 2.4 x 3.0 m with concrete floors, with two drinkers and two food hoppers. Wood shavings were used as hedding. Each pen contained two 300-watt infrared lamps placed at hird level to supply additional heat. The temperature ranged from 18 to 40 °C (\overline{x} = 28 °C). The relative humidity ranged from 47 to 95 percent (\overline{x} = 68%). Continuous controlled artificial lighting pattern was adopted for the duckling. Ventilation fans were used as necessary.

The chick diet was as follows:

Ingredient	<u>* w/w</u>
Ground wheat	30.00
Ground maize	25.00
Ground harley	10.00
Provimi 66 fishmeal	15.00
Extracted soya bean meal	13.75
Weatings (Wheatfeed)	5.00
Pantoribin 537*	1.25

^{*} Mineral, vitamin, and trace element supplement (B.P. Nutrition (UK) Ltd.).

The diet was offered ad libitum and was known not to contain antibiotics or growth promoters in the formulation. Water was also available ad libitum.

- c. <u>Dose</u> A control and two treatment levels were used -- 5 and 50 ppm cyhalothrin.
- d. Study Design Fach pen contained two males and five females. Each treatment level included six replicates (a total of 12 males and 30 females per dose). The birds were randomly assigned to each pen.

Observations

Adult bird observations were as follows:

- 1. Mortalities daily.
- 2. Rird health daily.
- 3. Group mean food consumption weekly per replicate.
- 4. Individual body weights Days 0, 14, 28, 42, 56, 70, and 175.
- 5. Macroscopic postmortem All birds were examined examination postmortem for gross abnormalities.

Egg observations were as follows:

- 1. <u>Fgg collection</u> <u>Fggs collected daily during 12-week</u> egg production period.
- 2. <u>Fgg weight</u> At 7-day intervals the collected eggs were weighed and the replicate group total weight, mean egg weight, and number of eggs weighed were recorded.

 Rroken eggs were not weighed.
- 3. <u>Fgg quality</u> At 7-day intervals the collected eggs were candled after weighing to check for cracks and breakages.
- 4. Fggshell thickness All eggs collected in the first 2 days of Weeks 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 were examined. The eggs, after cracked at widest point, were washed, dried for 48 hours, and measured to the nearest 0.01 mm at four points around the circumference.

Candling and hatching - The incubated eggs were candled on Pays 14 and 21.

The following observations were recorded:

- 1. <u>Infertile eggs</u> Appearing as clears at Day 14 candling
- 2. <u>Farly embryonic mortalities</u> Day 14 Any embryos observed to be dead were removed.
- 3. <u>Late Embryonic mortalities</u> Day 21 Any embryos observed to be dead were removed. At this stage the embryos were fully differentiated.
- 4. "Dead in shells" Any eggs that failed to hatch after the infertile and embryonic death eggs were removed. Pipped eggs were also noted.
- 5. <u>Ducklings hatched</u> Live and dead ducklings that hatched were recorded. Abnormalities were recorded.

<u>Ducklings</u> - Ducklings were reared for 14 days. The following observations were recorded:

- 2. Bird health Daily
- 3. Mortalities Daily
- 4. Macroscopic postmortem Only sporadic mortalities were examined for gross abnormalities. No examination was made at termination.

Summary of Study Duration (excerpted from submission)

"Adults 13-week pre-egg production period 12-week egg production period.

Incubation Eggs collected over the 12-week egg production period were incubated weekly. The incubation period lasted 27 to 29 days.

<u>Ducklings</u>
The weekly hatches of ducklings from the 12-week egg production period were reared until they were 14 days old.

The total study duration from the start of the adult observation period to the final duckling observations was 31 weeks approximately."

e. Statistical Analysis (excerpted from submission) -

"A statistical analysis of the following responses was carried out:

- 1. Adult food consumption
- 2. Adult bodyweight
- 3. Number of eggs laid and proportion damaged
- 4. Egg weight
- 5. Egg shell thickness
- 6. Number of infertilities, embryonic mortalities and hatchings
- 7. Number of 14-day old surviving ducklings
- 8. Duckling bodyweights

12. Reported Results:

Any birds that died during the pre-egg-laying period (Days 1 to 91) were replaced by spare birds maintained for this purpose. See Table 1 for distribution of adult mortalities. These mortalities were considered not to be from cyhalothrin but from bullying between male birds. Replicate 8C (Cyhalothrin 50 ppm) had problems with the waterer on Days 122 and 123.

Summary of body weights are shown in Table 2. All body weight changes were within normal limits and no treatment-related effects were found.

Food consumption was within normal limits for all groups (except 8C [Cyhalothrin 50 ppml at Week 18) throughout the study and no treatment-related effects were observed. See Tables 3 and 4.

Gross postmortem examination showed evidence of bullying (bruising, feathers missing from head, neck, and back,) was noted in three controls, three at treatment level 5 ppm, and seven at 50 ppm.

Terminal findings (excerpted from submission):

"Pale livers and/or intestines were noted in a number of hirds in both control and test groups. They were not considered to be abnormalities. Other observations noted at termination were as follows:

Group	Treatment (ppm)	Pen No.	$\frac{\text{Bird}}{\text{No.}}$	Observation
R	Cyhalothrin (5)	3 5 14 14	521F 533F 596F 598F	Ovary small or underdeveloped
		12	584F	Broken egg found in body cavity
C	Cyhalothrin (50)	13 11	589F 574F	Ovary underdeveloped; bird had been bullied
		1	505F 506F	Rird small or light in weight, ovary underdeveloped
		13	587F	Ovary underdeveloped

An underdeveloped ovary in a hird would indicate that at the time of sacrifice the hird was not producing eggs. The hird may, however, have produced eggs earlier in the study. Although underdeveloped ovaries were not found in any of the control birds it is doubtful that the above observations were related to treatment with cyhalothrin in view of the egg production results obtained.

Other observations were as follows:

Group	Treatment (ppm)	Pen No.	Bird No.	Observation
A	Control (0)	9	560F	Four broken eggs found in body cavity.
		2	509M	No abnormality found
R	Cyhalothrin (5)	5	532F	Intestine apparently ruptured. Body cavity filled with faecal matter.
		5	531F	Egg yolk found in body cavity
		5	534F	Rody cavity filled with faecal matter

Group	Treatment (ppm)		Pen No.	Rird No.	Observation
C	Cyhalothrin	(50)	6	541F	Rlotchy liver
			8	555F*	No abnormality found
			Я	55.2F*	Liver orange/red in colour
			8	553F*	Punctured yolk sac in abdomen probably produced peritonitis
			8	554F*	Pericardial fibrination A developing egg was dark greenish in colour
-			13	590F	Rird was egg bound i.e. passage of eggs through the oviduct prevented probably by a broken egg leading eventually to peritonitis
			18	624F	Broken egg found in hody cavity.

^{*} Birds which died as a result of low water pressure in pendrinker.

Throughout the results sections for eggs and ducklings the data from pen 8C has been excluded from the statistical analysis. The data has, however, been included in Tables 5 to 13."

Eggs laid - Over Weeks 1 to 6 and 7 to 12 the birds given cyhalothrin at 50 ppm produced significantly fewer eggs than the control. The study author reported that it was probably not biologically significant since the total number of eggs produced was high in comparison with historical control data.

The number of broken and cracked eggs were considered to be within normal limits. See Table 6.

The mean egg weights were not statistically different. However, there was a statistically significant difference in egg mass due to the number of eggs produced and not biologically significant in comparison with historical data. See Table 7.

Eggshell thickness - The data indicate there were no statistically significant differences between treatments. See Table 8.

Infertile eggs - The statistical analysis indicated that Group B (cyhalothrin 5 ppm) had a significantly lower proportion of infertile eggs than the control. See Table 9.

Early embryonic mortalities - The proportions of early embryonic mortalities were marginally higher for treatment group 5 ppm; however, there were no statistically significant differences between treatments. See Table 10.

Late embryonic mortalities - No statistically significant differences were found between treatment groups. See Table 11.

Hatchlings - Number of hatchlings were lower for treatment group cyhalothrin 50 ppm. This difference was not statistically significant.

<u>Bird health and mortalities</u> - Bird health was generally good and the numbers of mortalities were within normal limits. See Table 11A.

Number of 14-day survivors - The percentage of ducklings surviving to 14 days were within normal limits, and there was no statistical difference. See Table 12.

Rody weights of ducklings at hatching and after 14 days were within normal limits and no statistically significant difference was noted between treatments. See Table 13.

<u>Postmortem examination</u> - Except for observations outlined in Bird Health, no abnormalities were detected.

13. Study Authors' Conclusions/OA Measures: (excerpted from submission):

"Under the conditions of this study there was no evidence that dietary administration of cyhalothrin at dose levels of 5 ppm and 50 ppm had any adverse effects on reproduction in the mallard duck.

"To the best of my knowledge and belief, this study was conducted in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations as set forth in Title 21 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Part 58 with the exception of possible minor items, none of which is considered to have an impact on the validity of the data, or the interpretation of the results in the report" - signed N.L. Roberts.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of Study:

The following discrepancies were noted in the study:

A. Test Procedures:

- The primary concern is that the gross postmortem examination (Terminal Findings section) reported four females in Test Group B and five females in Group C as having underdeveloped ovaries. The gross mortem examination should have included information as to whether the follicles were "ruptured." Ruptured follicles indicate that the hens at one time have laid eggs and the ovaries have regressed (Rick Bennett, personal communications, February 18, 1988, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon). A total of 13 percent of females were apparently affected at the lowest level tested (5 ppm) and 17 percent at the highest level tested (50 ppm). These results are partially confirmed by the statistically significant difference in number of eggs laid, even when Replicate 8C is eliminated from data.

When the number of eggs laid per hen were estimated (taking mortalities into account) Treatment Group C had a decrease in number of eggs laid per hen of 15 percent when compared to the control. See Table A.

The study author should include all raw data with regard to gross postmortem examinations to determine if the hens did indeed lay eggs during the egglaying period.

It appears that until raw data are submitted, that indeed the effect may be at even the lowest level tested and therefore, for this reproductive parameter we do not have a NOEL.

- Exact age not indicated in study. Only that the birds were approaching first breeding season.
- The study author did not report the disease record or history of health observations for the birds prior to study initiation.
- The study author did not indicate if provisions for minimizing food spillage were included.
- The study author did not indicate if the diet was available ad libitum to the adults. The study author did indicate that the water was available ad libitum.
- The study author should account for the variability in the number of eggs measured for thickness in each replicate.

- The recommended temperatures for the adults is 21 °C and 55 percent relative humidity. The study author reported that the adults were housed at 15 °C (mean) with a range of 8 to 25 °C. There is a considerable range as well. The test conditions should have been more constant.
- The duckling housing temperature ranged from 18 to 40 °C (x = 28 °C) and relative humidity ranged from 47 to 95 percent (x = 68%).
 - The egg production, candling, and hatching results (Appendix 5) were not reported for replicate 17A, Week 7. Therefore, all the statistical analyses, except for eggs laid, (ANOVAs) were incomplete.
 - The study author reported 6.4 percent egg cracking for the control group. The historical control data ranged 4.4 to 6.1. This study indicated percent cracking higher than the range.
- The body weight of the 14-day survivors appeared to be significantly lower for Treatment Group C (50 ppm). * However, a statistical analysis using ANOVA indicates there was no statistically significant difference.
- The number of pale livers and/or intestines should be reported for both the control and two treatment groups.
- Rullying was reported to have caused three mortalities in the control (though data for adult health observations indicated four), three in Group R (5 ppm), and seven in Group C (50 ppm). It appears that the increase in hullying at the highest dose may have been caused by behavorial changes from exposure to the test compound.
- Control mortality (12%) appeared to be high. Though only one female died (2.3 percent), a total of four males died within the control group, with three males in one pen (the replacement also died).

B. Statistical Analysis - The data were incorporated as replicates, not as weeks, and a statistical analysis using ANOVA and Duncans multiple range test were conducted on several parameters with the results as follows:

Summary of Statistical Analysis (ANOVA)

Eggs laid = NOEL = 5 ppm

LOEL = 50° ppm

MATC > 5 ppm < 50 ppm

Eggs cracked = NOFL > 50 ppm

Eggs set = NOEL = 5 ppm

LOEL = 50 ppm

MATC > 5 ppm < 50 ppm

Viable embryos = NOEL > 50 ppm

Live embryos = NOEL > 50 ppm

Normal hatched = NOEL > 50 ppm

See Attachment A for ANOVA results. These data analyses include Replicate 8C. See Table A for summary of reproductive effects.

- A statistical analysis on adult mortality was conducted using ANOVA arcsin and it was determined that there was no statistical difference between the control and the two treatment groups, even when Replicate 8C was deleted from the data. See Attachment B.
- Statistical analysis was conducted on all the reproductive parameters (eggs laid, eggs cracked, eggs set, viable embryos, live embryos, and normal hatchlings) eliminating Replicate 8C. It was determined that the NOFL for eggs laid remained the same as if it were included:

eggs laid = NOEL = 5 ppm LOEL = 50 ppm

However eggs set did change, the NOEL being > 50 ppm. The study author claimed that there was a problem with Replicate 8C (drinker had failed to supply adequate water). First these waterers should have been maintained on a daily basis. The mortalities occurred over 2 days. Second, there were three other mortalities in that pen that were not attributed to the drinker. This is 43 percent of the adult birds in that pen.

C. <u>Discussion of Results</u> - Currently there are raw data and data discrepancies as outlined in Section 14. Rased on the concerns for reported underdeveloped ovaries for both treatment groups and none appeared in the control. The study author should submit all raw data with regard to gross postmortem examination. The data should indicate if the follicles were "ruptured."

The number of pale livers and or intestines should also be reported (along with the raw data).

The results of the statistical analysis indicate that there is an effect on eggs laid at 50 ppm and no effect at 5 ppm.

If the ovaries were actually regressed, then indeed the effect would be at the lowest level tested (5 ppm) and no-observable-effect-level would be lower than the lowest dose tested.

The raw data for the control should be submitted by the study author on Replicate 17A, week 7, so that the ANOVA can be accurate (See Appendix 5 in the study).

D. Adequacy of Study

- 1) Classification Supplemental for 92 percent w/w cyhalothrin
- 2) Pationale See Discussion and Results
- 3) Repairability This depends on whether the raw data satisfy concerns.

Table A. Analysis of Reproductive Effects
Concentrations of
Cyhalothrin

	Control	5 ppm	50 ppm
Eggs laid*	1881	1754	1419
Eggs laid/hen/season	63.83	59.5	54.36
Eggs cracked**	120	74	8 4
Eggs cracked/hen/season	3.96	2.64	3.24
Percent of eggs laid	6.4	. 4.2	5.9
Eggs set	1524	1422	1144
Fggs set/hen	4.30	4.01	3.65
Percent of eggs laid	81%	81%	81%
Viable embryos (14-day)	1251	1258	935
Percent of eggs laid	67%	72%	66 %
Percent of eggs set	8.2%	88%	82%
Live 21-day embryos	1165	1136	866
Percent of viable embryos	93%	90%	93%
Hatchlings	807	718	610
Percent of eggs laid	43%	41%	43%
Percent of eggs set	53%	51%	5.3% ´
Percent of viable embryos	65%	57%	65%
Percent of 21-day embryos	70%	63%	70%
14-day survivors ***	755	677	564
Percent of normal hatchlings	94	94	92
Average hatch weight (q)	37	38	37
Average 14-day-old	192	188	185
survivors' weight (g)			
Adult hody weight (q/hird) (at study termination)			
.Female	1173	1135	1113
Males	1215	1249	1251
Adult body weight (g/bird) Increase compared with Day O			
Females	+211	+173	+151
Males	+136	+162	+176
Mean eggshell thickness	0.33	0.33	0.33
Mean egg weight	59	59	58

	Control	5 ppm	50 ppm
Average Feed Consumption			
Pre-egg production period	160.2	158.1	163.5
Egg production period	213.1	225.8	218
Mean total	185.6	190.6	189.8

^{*} The number of females per week were used to estimate number per hen. Therefore, the mortalities were excluded.

^{**}Eggs cracked include all broken, damaged, and cracked eggs.

^{***}No. of survivors per hen could not be calculated since there were mortalities within each treatment level and control.

Page _	is not included in this copy.
Pages	16 through 29 are not included in this copy.
	aterial not included contains the following type of mation:
·	Identity of product inert ingredients.
	Identity of product inert impurities.
	Description of the product manufacturing process.
, danimin dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan da	Description of quality control procedures.
	Identity of the source of product ingredients.
	Sales or other commercial/financial information.
	A draft product label.
	The product confidential statement of formula.
	Information about a pending registration action.
	FIFRA registration data.
	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
·	The document is not responsive to the request.
by pr	nformation not included is generally considered confidentia oduct registrants. If you have any questions, please ct the individual who prepared the response to your request

```
HIS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
  THIS ACCEPTABLE?
 THIS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
IS THIS ACCEPTABLE?
THIS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
IS THIS ACCEPTABLE?
THIS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
                                                                                          BigBIKD
CyHalothriD
W/o Replicate
8C
IS THIS ACCEPTABLE?
13
                                    OBS
                                          TRT
                                                EL
                                                           ES
                                                                 ٧E
                                                                       LE
                                                                              NH
  414
  415
                                                               223
                                                    289
                                                          26
                                                                           159
                                                                     167
                                                                                 108
                                                               255
  416
                                         2
                                                    339
                                                          19
                                                                     215
                                                                           209
                                                                                 136
                                                               204
  417
                                                    246
                                                          17
                                                                     139
                                                                           128
                                                                                  88
  418
                                                    339
                                                          28
                                                               272
                                                                     257
                                                                           247
                                                                                 183
  419
                                                    334
                                                          12
                                                               275
                                                                     216
                                                                           208
                                                                                 146
  420
                                                               255
                                                                     208
                                                                           191
                                                                                 130
                                                    362
                                                          16
  421
                                                           5
                                                               217
                                                                     183
                                                                           173
                                                                                  120
                                                    263
                                                                                 129
  422
                                         8
                                               В
                                                    268
                                                          24
                                                               204
                                                                     182
                                                                           177
  423
                                                    331
                                                               275
                                                                     263
                                                                           246
                                                                                  132
  424
                                                    296
                                                               241
                                                                     122
                                                                           117
                                                                                  88
                                         10
                                               В
                                                          18
   425
                                                               259
                                                                     241
                                                                           229
                                         11
                                                    315
                                                          15
                                                                                  130
  426
                                         12
                                               В
                                                    273
                                                           9
                                                               226
                                                                     197
                                                                           194
                                                                                  117
   427
                                         13
                                                    184
                                                               152
                                                                     145
                                                                           142
                                                                                  98
  428
                                                               209
                                         14
                                               C
                                                    264
                                                          14
                                                                     196
                                                                           187
                                                                                  112
   429
                                         15
                                               C
                                                    254
                                                          15
                                                               206
                                                                      62
                                                                            61
                                                                                  38
   430
                                               C
                                                               248
                                                                     235
                                                                           229
                                                                                  163
                                         16
                                                    305
                                                          18
                                         17
                                                          27
                                                               244
                                                                     185
                                                                                  137
  431
                                                    303
                                                                            171
  432
                                                     1. ANALYSIS OF EL DATA
                                                                                      16:01 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988
   433
                                                      *************
   434
                                                 GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE
   435
   436
   437
                                                    CLASS LEVEL INFORMATION
   438
                                                   CLASS
                                                            LEVELS
                                                                     VALUES
   439
   440
                                                                     ABC
   441
                                                   TRT
                                                               3
  442
   443
  444
                                             NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN DATA SET = 17
  445
                                                     1. ANALYSIS OF EL DATA
                                                                                      16:01 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988
   446
                                                      *************
  447
  448
                                                                                                       25
                                                 GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE
  449
  450 DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RESP
  451
  452 SOURCE
                            DF
                                    SUM OF SQUARES
                                                         MEAN SQUARE
                                                                         F VALUE
                                                                                        PR > F
                                                                                                    R-SQUARE
                                                                                                                    C.V
```

453 454	MODEL	÷	2	8614.10784314	4307.053921	.57	2.67	0.1045	0.275802	13.762
455 456	ERROR		14	22618.83333333	1615.630952	238		ROOT MSE		résp mea
457 458	CORRECTED	TOTAL	16	31232.94117647			y.	40.19491202		292,0 5882 35
459 460										
461	SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR >
462 463	TRT		2	8614.10784314	2.67	0.1045	. 2	8614,10784314	2.67	0.104
464					1. ANALYSIS (OF EL DATA		16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18	3, 1988
465					*******	******				
466 467				· (SENERAL LINEAR MOD	ELS PROCEDU	RE			
468 469				DUNICAN'C MEETTO	C DANCE TECT COD	DADIADIC. D	ron.			
470 471				NOTE: THIS TEST	LE RANGE TEST FOR CONTROLS THE TYPE (PERIMENTWISE ERRO	I COMPARIS		ERROR RATE,		
472 473					ALPHA=0.05 DF=14	MSE=1615.	63			_
474 475 476				WARNING:	: CELL SIZES ARE N HARMONIC MEAN OF		=5.625	**************************************		-
477										
478 479 480			•		1BER OF MEANS ITICAL RANGE 51	2 .3107 53.	3 8051			
481 482				MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER ARE N	OT SIGNIFIC	ANTLY D	IFFERENT.		
483 484				DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN	N	TRT		
485	*				A	318.17	6	A		
486 487				В	A A	291.00	6	В		
488				В						
489 490		•		В	2. ANALYSIS (262.00 OF EC DATA	5	C 16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 1	8, 1988
491					*********	*****				
492 493				,	Seneral linear moi	אבן כ ססמרבושו	DC .			
494				,	THE CINCIN IN	ALLS I NOCEM	HVC			
495 496					CLASS LEVEL IN	VIFORMATION				
497					CLASS LEVEL	_S VALUES	3			
498										
499 500					TRT 3	ABC				
501										e.
502 503			•	NUMBI	ER OF OBSERVATIONS 2. ANALYSIS (T = 17	16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 1	8 , 1988
504					********	******			~	
505 506					SENERAL LINEAR MOD	ELS PROCEDU	RE	والمستروع والمرارا والمراد	126	n side
507	DEPENDENT	VARTADIE-	RESP				, • 2	A STATE OF THE STA	and the second s	
509		ALM/THWT:	DE TON	AN OF COMME	JEAN COLO	- Idealia				

511 512 MODEL	2	130.39803922	65, 19901961	1.23	0.3217	0.149579 45.149
513 514 ERROR	14	741.36666667	52.95476190		ROOT MSE	RESP MEA
515 516 CORRECTED TOTAL	16	871.76470588			7.27700226	16,1176470
517 518						
519 SOURCE	DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE PR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE PR >
520 521 TRT	2	130.39803922	1.23 0.3217	2	130.39803922	1.23 0.321
522			2. ANALYSIS OF EC DATA		16:01 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18, 1988
523 524			***********			
525 526		. 6	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEI	OURE		
527 528 529 530		NOTE: THIS TEST	E RANGE TEST FOR VARIABLE: CONTROLS THE TYPE I COMPARI PERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE		R RATE,	
531 532			ALPHA=0.05 DF=14 MSE=52.9	7548		
533 534 535		WARNING:	CELL SIZES ARE NOT EQUAL. HARMONIC MEAN OF CELL SIZE	S=5.625		
536 537			BER OF MEANS 2 TICAL RANGE 9.28944 9.	3		
538 539			SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIGNIFI	*	SERT	
540 541		er.	GROUPING MEAN	N TRT	VENT :	
542 • 543			A 19.667	6 A		
544 545			Α "			
546 547			A 15.400 A	5 C		
548			A 13.167 3. ANALYSIS OF ES DATA	6 B	16:01 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18, 1988
5 49 5 5 0			************			
551 552		9	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCED	URE		
553 554			CLASS LEVEL INFORMATION			
555 556			CLASS LEVELS VALUE	5		
557 558			TRT 3 ABC			
559 560 561		NUMBE	R OF OBSERVATIONS IN DATA S 3. ANALYSIS OF ES DATA	ET = 17	16:01 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18, 1988
562 547			******		,	2 2
363 364 545		6	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCED	URE		
365 366 DEPENDENT VARIABLE:	RESP					

,	568 SOURCE	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F YALUE	PR > F →	R-SQUARE	. c.v
. 2	569 570 MODEL	2	3574,92549020	1787.46274510	1.86	0.1927	0.209611	13.304
N	571 572 ERROR	14	13480.13333333	962.8666667		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
2	573 574 CORRECTED TOTAL	16	17055.05882353			31.03009292	23.	3.2352941
	575 576							*
F	577 SOURCE	DF	. TYPE I SS	F VALUE FR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR >
7	578 579 TRT	2	3574.92549020	1.86 0.1927	2	3574.92549020	1.86	0.192
0	580			3. ANALYSIS OF ES D	ATA	16:01 THURSDAY,	, FEBRUARY 18,	1988 1
	581 582			**********	*			
	583 584		1	GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PR	OCEDURE			
	585 586 587		NOTE: THIS TEST	LE RANGE TEST FOR VARIABI CONTROLS THE TYPE I COM XPERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE	PARISONWISE ERRO	R RATE,		
	588 589			ALPHA=0.05 DF=14 MSE=	962.867			
	590 591 592		WARNING	: CELL SIZES ARE NOT EQU HARMONIC MEAN OF CELL!				
	593 594 595			MBER OF MEANS 2 ITICAL RANGE 39.6114				
	596 597 598	-	MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIG	NIFICANTLY DIFFE	RENT.	•	
	599 600		DUNCAN	GROUPING M	ean n trt			
	601 602		•	A 247	.33 6 A			i.
	603 604			A 237 A	.00 <u>6</u> B			i .
	605 506			A 211 4. ANALYSIS OF VE D	.80 5 C ATA	16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18,	1988 1
	507			**********	*	· •		
	508 509 610	ř		GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PR	OCEDURE			
	611 612			CLASS LEVEL INFORMAT	ION			
	613 614			CLASS LEVELS V	ALUES			
	615 616			TRT 3 A	BC			
	617 618 619		NUMB	ER OF OBSERVATIONS IN DA 4. ANALYSIS OF VE D		16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18,	1988 1
2	620 621			***********	*		28	
	622 623 ADA REPENDENT MARTANIE.	occo		GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PR	OCEDURE			
	CAN TERMINENT TODAY C. 1				- And a management of the same	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	 	

626 SOURCE .		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN :	SQUARE	F VALUE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	C.V
627 628 MQDEL		2	4233.4666667	2116.73	333333	0 .78	0.4792	0,099757	27.639
629 630 ERROR		14	38204.53333333	2728.89	523810		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
631 632 CORRECTED 1	rotal	16	42438.00000000				52.23882884	18	39.0000000
633									
634 635 SOURCE		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR >
636 637 TRT		2	4233.4666667	0.78	0.4792	2	4233.4666667	0.78	0.479
638				4. ANALYS	IS OF VE DATA	F	16:01 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18	, 1988 i
639				******	}********				
640									
641 642	•			GENERAL LINEAR	MUDELS PRUCE	-DUKE			
643 644			DUNCAN'S MULTIP				non sitt		
645			NOTE: THIS TEST	CUNTRULS THE XPERIMENTWISE		KISUNWISE EK	RUK RAIE,		
546									•
647 548				ALPHA=0.05 D	F=14 MSE=272	28.9			
649			WARNING	: CELL SIZES A	RE NOT EQUAL.	•			
650				HARMONIC MEA					
651 652			3.01	MOED OF MEANS	9	-			
653				MBER OF MEANS ITICAL RANGE	2 66.6854 <i>6</i>	3 59.9271			
654 655			MEANS WITH THE				EEGENT		
656			NEWNO WITH THE	SHITE LETTER H	VE MOT STOUT	FICHNICT DIF	FERENIA		
657 658			DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAI	N N TE	त		
659				A	200.3	3 6 A			
660 561				A A	198.0	0 6 B			
e62			Ά		£ 1,5.0,	0 0 0			
564 -		DAY,	FEBRUARY 18, 19						
565 . 568				*****		GENERAL	LINEAR MODELS PROC	EDURE	
569 569				CLASS LEVE	L INFORMATIO	N.			
<u>5</u> 70									
571 672				CLASS L	EVELS VALI	UES			
673		C							
.674									
675			LII IMP	FF OF OBOEDUAT	1010 to		E 404 VOIS		
676 6:01 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 15		NUME	ER OF OBSERVAT	IUNS IN		5. ANALYSIS	JF LE DATA	
678			•	******	******				
579 68			GENER	AL LINEAR MODE	LS PROCEDURE				
681 682 DEPENDENT V	ARTARIF. RESD								,
683	ENTINEET VEST							2	9
684 SOURCE 685		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	RE	F VALUE	PR >	F R-SQUARE	C.V.	

36	i								** ***********************************
36	SOURCE .	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQ	UARE	F VALUE	PR > F		c.v
:7 :8	MODEL.	2	4233,4666667	2116.7333	3333	0.78	0.4792	0.099757	27.639
.9 0		14	38204.53333333	2728.8952	3810		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
1 2	CORRECTED TOTAL	16	42438,00000000				52.23882884	· <u>1</u>	89. 0000000
3									
:4 :5		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	ΰF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR >
7 <u>6</u> 77	TRÍ	2	4233.46666667	0.78	0.4792	2	4233.4666667	0.78	0.479
18				4. ANALYSIS	OF VE DATA		16:01 THURSDA	Y, FEBRUARY 18	3, 1988 1
. 9				******	******				
-0 -1			GE	NERAL LINEAR M	IODELS PROCE	DURE			
2 3 4 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE NOTE: THIS TEST C NOT THE EXP		PE I COMPAR		OR RATE,		•
-6 -7			A	LPHA=0.05 DF=	=14 MSE=272	28.9			
9				CELL SIZES ARE HARMONIC MEAN					
1 2 3					2 66.6854	3 5 9. 9271			
5			MEANS WITH THE S	AME LETTER ARE	NOT SIGNIE	FICANTLY DIFF	ERENT.		
.6 .7 .8			DUNCAN G	ROUPING	MEA	N TRI	-		
7 0				A . A	200.3	3 6 A			
1 2			A 1 AY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988	A 64.60 5	198.00 C	0 6 B			
5			ATT FEBRUARY 104 1700	*****		GENERAL	LINEAR MODELS PRO	CEDURE	
7				CLASS LEVEL	INFORMATIO	N _.			
.) [CLASS LE	VELS VAL	UES	a.		
2 3 4		Ü	:						
5			NUMBER	OF OBSERVATI	ONS IN		5. ANALYSIS	OF LE DATA	
3				*******	*******				
<i>!</i>			GENERAL	. LINEAR MODEL	s procedure				
2	DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RESP					-			10
1	SOURCE	DF	sum of squarés	RE	F VALUE	PR >	F R-SQUARE	C.V.	•

119						A	
.17	A	150.00			A		
22		158.00	5 C	L ANALVE	IS OF NH DATA		
24				o. ANALYS	OLD UP NH DATA	*****	##########
25				GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCEDURE		
28						•	
Õ			CLASS	LEVELS VA	LUES		
1				HC TH	DATA SET = 17		
5					IS OF NH DATA	16:01 THURSDA	V EE
7	The party is seen as a					TOTAL HIGHOLD	14 15 T
8 9	SENERAL (INEAR MODELS	PROCEDURE	-			
	EPENDENT VAPIABLE: RESP						
1							
	DURCE	F SQUARE	·	744 MODEL	2	1370.39803922	685.19901961
2	0.5537 0.0	80975 2	7.57300T	MSE	RESP MEAN		94911/77/791
7 a c	ORRECTED TOTAL 1	L 1150 7	-7/47AE06				
	F VALUE FR > F		.76470588 TYP		1770 TERATERS	URCE	DF TYPE I
	O. ANALYSIS OF NH DATA				1370.39803922 RY 18, 1988 19	0.62 0.5537	2 1370.39
i					************		
						,	•
7 }		•		GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCEDURE		•
	IABLE: RESP						
	Induct Deal	NOTE:	THIS TES	T CONTROLS THE	TVDC 1 COMBAN TUR E	PERIMENTWISE ERROR RAT	
				COMMUNICATION OF	ITTE I CUNTHK IME E)	PERIMENIWISE ERRUR RAT	.
5	•			ALPHA=0.05 DF	=14 MSE=1110.95		
			CELL	SIZES ARE NOT EG			
				HARMONIOF CEL	L SIZES=5.625		
			Ni	UMBER OF MEANS	3		
					42.5486 44.617		
				–			
	DIFFERENT.	*					
			DIDIDAL	OBSUSTAN			
4			DUNCAN	GROUPING	ME		A 131.83
				A			
		A		119.33 6	В		
				A			
					09.60 5 C		
	.22222	}}}}********		. ANALYSIS OF ES	/EL DATA	16:01 THURSDAY, FE	BRUARY 18, 1988 20
	9	**************************************	*				
				GENERAL		מואכי ובשים זי	JEDEMATION .
				1,10		CLASS LEVEL I	ALOKWATION
	⁷ 88						
		790					
			NUME		ONS IN DATA SET = 1	7	
***	********			7. ANALYSIS (DF 794		*
DEL	S PROCEDURE				,		
	ENDENT VARIABLE: RESPONSE						
	GHT:	DF	SUM OF S	QN SQUARE (C.V.		7,
WEI					•		/1
		,					
MOD	EL 2 8.68655142 ROOT	6746.0 807 CORRECT	03655054 FED TOTAL		2,00 29687.64827041	6 0.1646	22941.61171987 40.480

	CARY, N.C. 2	27511-8000											
409						S	AS				8:53 THURSDAY	. FEBRUARY	18. 1988
***											,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	10, 1700
410											3		
411 412			ŌBS	TRT	EL	EC	ES	٧E	LE	NH	Sty ?	$\gamma = \lambda$	1.1
413												1	八人
414			1	A	289	26	223	167	159	108		1201	46.
415			2	. A	339	19	255	215	209	136	M	ruce	THULL I
416			3	A	246	17	204	139	128	88			thre cheate
417			4 5	Ά	339	28	272	257	247	183	. /	17.	1
418			<u>.</u> 6	A	334 375	12	275	216	208	146	L-/	Up	ucale
419			7	A B	362	16	255	208	191	130	1		
420			8	В	263 268	5	217	183	173	120		6	
421			9	В	.200 331	24	204	182	177	129			
422			10	В	296	8	275	263	246	132			
423			11	В	276 315	18 15	241	122	117	88			•
424			12	В	273	13 9	259 226	241	229	130			
425			13	Č	184	3	226 152	197	194	117			
426			14	C	264	14	209	145 196	142	98			_
427			15	Č	109	7	207 85	79	187 76	112			•
428			16	C	254	15	206	62	76 61	38 38			•
429			17	Ċ	305	18	248	235	229	163			
430			18	C	303	27	244	185	171	137			
431.							OF EL		171	157	O.ST TURBORAY	CEDOLIADA	10 1000
								211111			8:53 THURSDAY	, rednumni	10, 1768
432					***	*****	****	***					•
433													
434				GE	NERAL LI	NEAR M	ODELS I	PROCEDU	RE				
435 436													
436 437					CLASS	LEVEL	INFORM	MOITA					
43 <i>7</i> 438													
436 439					CLASS	LEV	ELS	VALUES					
140													
14 1					TRT		3	ABC					
1 42													
143													
:44				NUMBER	OF OBSE	RVATIO	NS IN I)ATA SET	r = 18				
					1. AN	ALYSIS	OF EL	DATA			8:53 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY	18, 1988
-45													
46					****	*****	******	**					
47				C)	JETOAL LEA	WEAR W	00510.5		_				
48				OCI	VERAL LIN	WEAK M	UUELS F	'KULEDUF	Œ				
49 DEPENDENT	VARIABLE:	RESP											
50		.,											
51 SOURCE		DF	SUM OF SQUA	oce.	Mit	TAN COL	IAGE	_					
			Sou or Soloti		ne	ean sol	JHNE	F	VALUE		PR > F	R-SQUARE	C.V
52													
53 MODEL		2	20755.44444	144	10377	7000	רריי		7 70				
					100//	7.72222	1777		3.70		0.0496	0.330071	18.799
54													`
is error		15	42126.333333	33	2809	. 42222	2222				OGT MOR	132	,
					2000	10 TELES	-444			ł	ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
6													
7 CORRECTED	TOTAL	17	62881.777777	78						.so 6	OOAE ADOD		OB4 6===:
				-						32.5	79454899		281.8888888
8													

50 SOURCE DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE PR	> F DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	.PR >
61 62 TRT 2	20755.44444444	3.70 0.0	496 2	20755.44444444	3.70	0.049
43		1. ANALYSIS OF E	L DATA	8:53 THURSDAY.	FÉBRUARY 18.	1988
54		*********	****			
55 66	GE	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS	PROCEDURE	**		
37 58 37 70 71	NOTE: THIS TEST (E RANGE TEST FOR VAR CONTROLS THE TYPE I I PERIMENTWISE ERROR R	COMPARISONWISE ERR	OR RATE,		
72 73		ALPHA=0.05 DF=15 M	SE=2808.42			
74 75	•	BER OF MEANS FICAL RANGE 65.091	2 3 89 68.2889			
76 77	MEANS WITH THE S	SAME LETTER ARE NOT	SIGNIFICANTLY DIFF	ERENT.		
78 79	DUNCAN 6	GROUP ING	MEAN N TRI	•		
30 31		A ,	318.17 6 A			
32 33	В	A A	291.00 6 B			•
34 35 36	B B	2. ANALYSIS OF E	236.50 6 C	8:53 THURSDAY,	FERDUADY 10	1000
37	·	*******		Orgo monomy	r Editoriti 10,	1700
39 39	C	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS				
₹0 ₹1	ĠĹ					
72	19	CLASS LEVEL INFOR				
- 3 - 4 		CLASS LEVELS	VALUES			
5		TRT 3	ABC			
7 -8 7	NUMBER	R OF OBSERVATIONS IN 2. ANALYSIS OF E		8:53 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18,	1988
0 .		*****	****			
2	GE	ENERAL LINEAR MODELS	PROCEDURE			
3 4 DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RESF 5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s.		
o SOURCE DF.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARE	F VALUE	PR → F	R-SQUARE	C.V
7 3 MODEL 2	150.11111111	75.0555556	1.41	0.2754	0.157966	46.785
7) ERROR 15	800.16666667	53.3444444		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
1 2 CORRECTED TOTAL 17	950.27777778		,	7.30372812	75	15.6111111
. 3 4						

516 .517 4	TRT .	2	150.11111111	1.41	0.2754	2	150,11111111	1.41	0.275
518	!			2. ANALYSIS	G OF EC DATA		8:53 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18.	1988
7 519 520				*****	*******				
521			G	ENERAL LINEAR 1	10DELS PROCEI	JURE			
522 523 524 525 526			DUNCAN S MULTIPL NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	E RANGE TEST FO CONTROLS THE TY PERIMENTWISE EN	YPE I COMPARI	RESP ISONWISE ERRO	R RATE.		·
527 528				ALPHA=0.05 DF:	=15 MSE=53.3	3444			
529 530 531		·		BER OF MEANS TICAL RANGE	2 8.97196	3 7.4116		•	
532 533			MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER ARI	E NOT SIGNIF	ICANTLY DIFFE	RENT.		
534 535			DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN	N TRT	%		
536 537				A	19.667	6 A			
538 539				A A	14.000	6 C			
540 541 8		-		A A 3. ANALYSI	13.167 S OF ES DATA		8:53 THURSDAY	, FEBRUARY 18	• • 1988
542				******	*******				
543 544			€	BENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			
545 546				CLASS LEVEL	INFORMATION				
547 548				CLASS LE	VELS VALU	ES			
549 550 551				TRT	3 A B	С		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
552 553 554			NUMBE	ER OF OBSERVATI 3. ANALYSI	ONS IN DATA S OF ES DATA		8:53 THURSDA	Y, FEBRUARY 10	3, 1988
7 555				******	********				
554 557 558 559		RIABLE: RESP		GENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			
340		DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN S	6QUARE	F VALUE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	C.V
562 563	MODEL	2	10929.33333333	5464.666	566667	3 . 05	0.0774	0.289075	18.813
564 565 V	ERROR	15	26878.66666667	1791.91	111,11,1		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
)	CORRECTED TO	TAL 17	37808.000000000				42.33097106	34	225.0000000
		DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYPE III S		E PR>
=									

7	37 2	ZTRI	2	10929.33333333	3.05	0.0774	, 2	10929.3333333	3.05	0.077
	57 3	• •			3. ANALYS	IS OF ES DATA		8:53 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18,	1988 1
0	574	•			******	******				
	575	as I		r	truma : turka	WORELO SECSE	àuce.			
	576 577			t.	SENERAL LINEAR	MUDELS PROCE	DURE			
	78 79			DUNCAN'S MULTIPL				. namm		
	i77 i80			NOTE: THIS TEST NOT THE EX	CUNIKULS THE PERIMENTWISE		IDUNMIDE EKKUM	(KA/E,		
	81 82				ALDUA-A AF D	F_15 WCC_170	1.01			
5	83				ALPHA=0.05 D	r=10 MBE=1/9	1.71			
	84 85				MBER OF MEANS	. 2	3			
.5	86			rk1	ITICAL RANGE	51.9997 5	4.5478			
	87 88			MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER A	RE NOT SIGNIF	ICANTLY DIFFE	RENT.		
	eo 89			DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN	N TRT			
	90 04									
	91 92				A A	247.33	6 A			
	93	*		. В	A	237.00	6 B			
	94 95			B B		190.67	6 C			
5	96			-	4. ANALYS	IS OF VE DATA		8:53 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18	1988 1
i 5	97				******	******				
.5	98					*		* 0		
	99 00				SENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			u
6	01				CLASS LEVE	L INFORMATION	1			
	02 03			•	CLASS L	EVELS VALU	FC			
6	04					LICEO VIICO				
	05 06				TRT	3 A B	С			
6	07									
	08 09			NUMBE	ER OF OBSERVAT	IONS IN DATA IS OF VE DATA		8:53 THURSDAY,	EEDDHADV 10	1000 +
					T. NUMLIO	TO UF YE DHIN	l	orus inumauni,	FEDNUMNT 10	, 1700 1
	10 11				******	********				
	12			6	SENERAL LINEAR	MODELS PROCE	DURE			
	13 14	DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RESP								
	15	DEFENDENS VANTABLE: RESF								
6	16	SOURCE	DF	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN	SQUARE	F VALUE	PR > F	R-SQUARE	C.V
5	17			•						
Ď.	18	MODEL	2	9555.11111111	4777.55	555556	1.62	0.2312	0.177387	29.718
6.	19									m.
		ERROR	15	44310.66666667	2954.04	44444		ROOT MSE		RESP MEA
6.	21									
		CORRECTED TOTAL	17	53865.77777778				54.35 1121 8 3	;	182.888888
6:	23								35	
6.	24								-	
62	25	SOURCE	DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE	PR > F	DF	TYPE III SS	F VALUE	PR >
6										
62	27	TRT	2	9555.11111111	1.62	0.2312	2	9555.11111111	1.62	0.231

ï

```
**********
```

GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE

DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR VARIABLE: RESP NOTE: THIS TEST CONTROLS THE TYPE I COMPARISONWISE ERROR RATE, NOT THE EXPERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE

ALPHA=0.05 DF=15 MSE=2954.04

NUMBER OF MEANS - 2 CRITICAL RANGE 66.7654 70,037

MEANS WITH THE SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT.

DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN	N	TRT		
	A A	200.33	6	A		
	A A	198.00	6	В		
	A	150.33	6	С		
		3 OF LE DATA			Y 18, 1988	14

CLASS LEVEL INFORMATION

VALUES

560 DATA SET = 18

557 558

559

368

₂70 7

> 35 36

> 37 38

> 29

> N TR

2

بالدن

629

630

631 632

633

634

635 636

637 £38 639

640

641

o64

365

TRT

NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

8:53 THURSDAY, FEBRUA15

5. ANALYSIS OF LE DATA ************

3

C.V.

GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE

F VALUE

369 DEPENDENT VARIABLE: RESP

MEAN SQUARE

-72			a ogomic	0.7.						
73 MODEL 74	. 2	8284.00000000	4142.0000000	00	1.5	3	0.24	29.7548		
75 ERROR SS F VALUE R > F	15	40516.000000000 51.97178722	2701.066666 DF	57 TYPE	I SS	D TOTAL F VALUE	PR	.17 (→ F	48800.0000000 DF	O TYF
81 32 TRT 33 34	2	8284.000053	0.2478 5. ANALYSIS OF			0000000 38 16	1	53	0.2478	

R-SQUARE

GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE

DUNCAN'S MULTIPLE RANGE TEST FOR VARIABLE: RESP

PR > F

NOT THE EXPERIMENTWISE ERROR RATE

	NUMBER OF MEANS	2	ITICAL RANGE	63.8426	66.9709	35
MEANS WITH	6 9 9			DUNCAN	GROUP ING	

MEAN

TYPE

189.33 6 B

144.33

6 A

N. J. K. Janes and St. Committee				<u>Carionia</u>	
713 718 719 721	720	CLASS LEVELS VAL MBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN DATA ***********************************			
722		GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PRO	D MEAN SOUARE	F VALUE PR > 1	r
R-SQUARE 727	C. V.		D FILTH DOORSE	F VALUE PR > 1	Γ.
728 MODEL	2 2821.000000	730 E RRO 15 666667	CONT	MDE	
₩ 		, as main 10 00000)	ROOT	MSE RESP	M
731 770 cooperter total	17 50101 700000	,			
	17 20424.5000000 TYPE I SS F		TUBE III SA		
736		VALUE PR > F DF	17PE 111 55	F VALUE PR > F	
20 0.3280					
738 741		4. ANALYSIS OF NH DATE	A 8:53	THURSDAY, FEBRUAR 740	
744	NOTE: THIS	GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCE	EDURCAN'S MULTIPLE RANG THE EXPERIMENTWISE ERR	E TEST FOR VARIABLE: RESP	
746		NOI	THE CALENTHEMINIDE ENV	UK KHIE	
747 748		ALPHA=0.05 DF=15 MSE=11	73.57		
749		NUMBER OF MEANS 2	7		
	41	MOLIDER OF HEAMO 7	3		
751					
752 Ean n trt	MEANS WITH T	HE SAME LETTER ARE NOT SIGNIF	FIC	DUNCAN GROUPING	
755					
756		A 131.83	3 6 A		
757	A	**************************************			
758 A 101.33		A 760			
*	6 C Y, FEBRUARY 18, 1988 20				
762		************			
763		•			
764 765	GENERAL	LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE			
766		CLASS LEVEL INFORMATION	I		
767		CCUSS CEACE THEOMINITON			
7 58	•	CLASS LEVELS VALL	JES ⁻		
769 - 770				•	
770 771		TRT 3 AB	C		•
772					
. 173	NUM	MBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN DATA			
774		7. ANALYSIS OF ES/EL DAT	A 8:53	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988	2
775 ********	****				
776					
777 778		GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCE	DURE		7
778 779 DEPÉNDENT VARIABLE:	SESSO 700 COUNCE	55 SIN CE CE			
R-SQUARE	E.V.	DF SUM OF SQL	IARES MEAN SQU	ARE F VALUE	PR
783					
784 MODEL	2 6536.89176517	3268.44588259	2.10 0.15	71 0.218666 62.1	287
785				2 -	
	1557.16913432.46098243	ROOT #S E35272729	DÉC MEAN	37	
¹ 89		NOUT BOEDUZ/Z/Z7	RSE MEAN		
790					
191 SOURCE	TYPE III SS F VA	LUE PR > F			
'92 '93 TRT	7 4571 00171517	7 10 A 1571			
A3 41/4	⇒ A≒ (A UU1 /A517	9 10 A 1571			

```
IS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
THIS ACCEPTABLE?
IS LINE IS COMPRESSED AT 17 CHARACTERS PER INCH.
THIS ACCEPTABLE?
tch 4264
                      JES2 JOB LOG -- SYSTEM EPA2 -- NODE NCCIBM1
  1
EUE
n.c. all
168 NOTE: COPYRIGHT (C) 1984,1986 SAS INSTITUTE INC., CARY, N.C. 27511, U.S.A.
252
          CARY, N.C. 27511-8000
EUE
252 999
 252
          CARY, N.C. 27511-8000
 253
                                                             SAS
                                                                                        8:56 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988
 254
 255
 256
                                                                      Ε
                                                                           Ε
                                                                               Ε
                                                                                    Ε
                                                                                        Ε
                                                                                             Ε
                                                                                                 Ε
                                                        R
                                                             R
                                                                  R
                                                                                                      É
                                                                                                               Ε
                                                                                                                    Ε
 257
                      E
                           E
                                       Ε
                                  Ε
                                              E
                                                   E
                                                         Ε
                                                             E
                                                                  Ε
                                                                      S
                                                                           5
                                                                               5
                                                                                    S
 258
                      S
                           5
                                  5
                                        S
                                              9
                                                         5
                                                                  S
                                                                                    Ρ
                                                                                                                   P
 259
         В
             Ř
                           F
                                        P
                                              P
                                                                  P
                                                                                    1
 260
              T
                                  3
                                        4
 261
                   62.5
 262
         1
              A
                           100
                                 86
                                       100
                                             100
                                                   86
 263
         2
              E
                  100.0
                           57
                                 86
                                       100
                                              86
                                                   86
         3.0
 264
                   86.0
                           57
                                 100
                                        86
                                              86
 265
                                                             SAS
                                                                                        8:56 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1988
 266
                                                 GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE
 267
 268
 269
                                                    CLASS LEVEL INFORMATION
 270
 271
                                                   CLASS
                                                            LEVELS
                                                                      VALUES
 272
 273
                                                   TRT
                                                               3
                                                                      ABC
 274
 275
 276
                                             NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN DATA SET = 60
 277
 278
 279 NOTE: ALL DEPENDENT VARIABLES ARE CONSISTENT WITH RESPECT TO THE PRESENCE OF ABSENCE OF MISSING VALUES, HOWEVER,
 280
          DMLY
                  17 DESERVATIONS CAN BE USED IN THIS ANALYSIS.
                                                                                        8:56 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1986
 281
                                                             SAS
 282
 283
                                                 GENERAL LINEAR MODELS PROCEDURE
 284
 285 DEPENDENT VARIABLE: EFFECT
 286
 287 SOURCE
                                                                                         PR > F
                                    SUM OF SQUARES
                                                                          F VALUE
                                                                                                      R-SQUARE
                                                                                                                      C.V
                                                         MEAN SQUARE
```

290 291 Ener	14	3341.36922348	238.6692302	5	ROOT MSE	EFFECT MEA
292 293 CORRECTED TOTAL	16	3507.25475203			15.44892327	72.5886962
294 295 296 SOURCE	DF	TYPE I SS	F VALUE P	R⇒F DA	TYPE III SS	F VALUE PR >
297 276 TRT	2	165 .88 552855	0,35	.7124	2 145.88552855	0.35 0.712
199			SAS	,	8:56 THURSDAY.	FEBRUARY 18, 1988
500 501			GENERAL LINEAR MODE	S PROCEDURE		
02 03 04 05		DUNCAN'S MULTIP NOTE: THIS TEST	LE RANGE TEST FOR VI CONTROLS THE TYPE XPERIMENTWISE ERROR	ARIABLE: EFFECT I COMPARISONWISE	ERROR RATE,	
06 07 08			ALPHA=0.05 DF=14	MSE=238.669		
) 7 10 11		WARNING	: CELL SIZES ARE NO HARMONIC MEAN OF (·	
12 13 14			MBER OF MEANS ITICAL RANGE 19.7	2 3 7213 20.68		
15 16		MEANS WITH THE	SAME LETTER ARE NO	SIGNIFICANTLY	DIFFERENT.	
17 18		DUNCAN	GROUPING	MEAN N	TRT	
(9 - NO		-	A A		A	
11 12 3			A A A		B	
	*		н ,	68.594 5	C .	
JOBNAME QUEUE RMHXX OUTPUT RMHXX OUTPUT RMHXX OUTFUT FMHXX OUTPUT RMHXX OUTFUT	POSITION A 217 A 1357 A 1359 A 1364 A 1623	LINES DESTINATION 307 HOLD 323 HOLD 320 HOLD 320 HOLD 1200 HOLD				
4342	1620	105/10				
: all	JES2	JOB LOG SY	STEM EPA2	NODE N	CCIBM1	
		SAS INSTITUTE INC.,	CARY, N.C. 27511.	U.S.A.		
959 CARY, N.C.	27511-8000					39
3			SAS		9:00 THURSDAY,	FEBRUARY 18, 1988
-	R R E E	E E E	R R R R E E E E	R R R E E E S S S	R R R R R E E E E E S S S S S	
⁻⁹ йл	s s			n n n	* * * * *	