PMSD/ISB 2217



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

# EXPEDITE

APR 1 4 1988

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

#### **MEMORANDUM**

SUBJECT: PP#7F3476/FAP#7H5524.

Rally™ (Myclobutanil).

Evaluation of the COB Report on the Petition Method Validation of Procedure TR 310-84-27, as amended by Addendums TR 31H-86-15 and 31S-87-46, for Determining Residues of Myclobutanil (RH-3866) and Its

Alcohol Metabolite, RH-9090, in Apples.

RCB No.: None.

MRID No.: N/A.

FROM:

Maxie Jo Nelson, Ph.D., Chemist

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

THRU:

Charles L. Trichilo, Ph.D., Chief

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO:

Lois A. Rossi, P. M. 21 Herbicide-Fungicide Branch

nordicide rungicide branch

Registration Division (TS-767C)

#### BACKGROUND

On July 1, 1987, Residue Chemistry Branch (RCB) requested the Chemical Operations Branch (COB), Benefits and Use Division (BUD), conduct a method trial (petition method validation (PMV)) for determining residues of myclobutanil (RH-3866) and its alcohol metabolite, RH-9090, in apples or grapes. (Memo of R. Loranger, PP#7G3479.)

The analytical procedure to be validated was Rohm and Haas Company's, "RH-3866 Total Residue Analytical Method for Apple and Grape", by C. K. Brackett, et al., Technical Report No. TR 310-84-27, 11/16/84, as amended by Technical Report No. 31H-86-15, "Addendum to RH-3866 Total Residue Analytical Method for Grape and Apple (TR 310-84-27)", by S. S. Stavinski, et al., 7/8/86, and as further amended by Lab Memo No. 31S-87-46, "Addendum 2 to RH-3866 Total Residue Analytical Method for Grape and Apple (TR 310-84-27)", by R. O. Deakyne, et al., 8/3/87.

The PMV was for the recoverability of RH-3866 and free RH-9090 following fortification of apples or grapes at levels of 0, 0.5, and 1.0 ppm. COB chose to work with apples.

Myclobutanil is a new chemical fungicide, and has not previously been tested by PMV in EPA laboratories. The PMV requested was in conjunction with pending petitions (PP#7G3479; PP#7F3476/FAP#7H5524) proposing tolerances on apples, grapes, their byproducts, meat, milk, and eggs.

In March 1988, RCB received the results of PMV of TR 310-84-27/ TR 31H-86-15/31S-87-46 from BUD. (Memo of Elmer H. Hayes and Everett Greer, Analytical Chemistry Section, COB, BUD, 3/14/88.) Those results, and their significance, are the subject of this memorandum.

### METHOD SUMMARY

Apples are soxhlet-extracted with 0.5N HCl/MeOH. Extraction converts RH-9090 conjugates to RH-9090 and any RH-9089 residues are converted to RH-9090 by sodium borohydride reduction. The extract is washed with petroleum ether and partitioned into methylene chloride. Samples are then cleaned-up by Chelex 100-Fe++ affinity chromatography followed by methylene chloride partitioning. Further clean-up is provided by Florisil chromatography. RH-3866 is determined by packed column chromatography and NP-GLC detection. Residues of RH-9090 are analyzed on an EC-GLC equipped with a capillary inlet system.

#### RESULTS

The following recoveries of myclobutanil (RH-3866) and its alcohol metabolite (RH-9090) were reported:

Commodity	Chemical Added	PPM Added	PPM Found	<pre>% Recovery</pre>
Apples	Control	0	ND, ND1	,
	RH-3866	0.504 1.01	0.56, 0.54 1.02, 1.08	111, 107 101, 107
	RH-9090	0.57 1.05	0.44, 0.47 0.83, 0.77	77, 82 79, 73

Less than the sensitivity of the method, which was estimated to be 0.1 ppm for RH-3866 and 0.2 ppm for RH-9090 under the instrument operating conditions used for this PMV.

#### COB'S COMMENTS

- In order to chromatograph RH-9090 on a new DB-17 Megabore column, it was necessary to inject apple control samples for approximately 24 hours. After this conditioning, RH-9090 could be detected, but peak shape was poor due to peak tailing.
- A set of samples can be analyzed by one person in three days provided the GLC is equipped with an auto sampler.
- Due to length of time needed to complete this analysis and the need to condition the GLC column with sample matrix to detect RH-9090, it is our opinion that this method is not suitable for regulatory purposes.

## RCB's COMMENTS

On 4/13/88, the RCB reviewer (M. Nelson) discussed this PMV write-up with COB analyst E. Greer.

With regard to conditioning the DB-17 Megabore column, RCB was told COB has no way of knowing if this was necessary because the column being used was new; was faulty; or if considerably less than "approximately 24 hours" [actually, the column was conditioned overnight, ca 18 hours] conditioning would have sufficed.

COB did not attempt to recover RH-9090 from any column other than the "new DB-17 Megabore". Column conditioning adequately resolved the problem. Satisfactory recoveries of RH-9090 were obtained, though peak tailing was observed. COB was able to minimize this using a slow chart speed.

The method, as written, makes no provision for conditioning the DB-17 Megabore column. The petitioner could correct this by a minor rewrite. COB concedes this would be a viable approach, provided the time factor to incorporate this procedure is acceptable from a regulatory standpoint.

It took COB approximately 24 man-hours to complete a set of samples. This included an 8-hour daytime soxhlet extraction step (in lieu of a 16-hour overnight one, as in the method write-up). As we understand it, it also included time spent in calculating/evaluating results.

This 24-hour timeframe falls within the upper limit of acceptability, as stated in the Subdivision O (Residue Chemistry) Guidelines. The Guidelines also permit acceptance, on a case-by-case basis, of regulatory methods which may exceed this timeframe (which analysis of RH-9090 might, with inclusion of a column conditioning step), if "minor metabolites or residues that are not acutely toxic" are involved. RH-9090 qualifies on both counts.

# RCB'S CONCLUSIONS

- Satisfactory recoveries of RH-3866 and RH-9090 were obtained by this PMV.
- 2. For recovery of RH-9090, COB found it necessary to condition the column (a new DB-17 Megabore) overnight with apple control matrix.
- 3. COB modified the procedure by using an 8-hour daytime soxhlet extraction, in lieu of the 16-hour (overnight) extraction in the method, as written.
- 4. The procedure is long, but can be accepted.
- 5. The petitioner needs to rewrite the method to include the following two statements (appropriately worded):
  - a. If difficulties are encountered in recovering RH-9090, the column may require conditioning with apple control matrix.
  - b. An 8-hour (or less, if the petitioner knows the minimum time necessary) soxhlet extraction may be used in lieu of a 16-hour (overnight) one, if this is more convenient to the analyst.
- 6. Provided the revisions described in Conclusion 5 are incorporated into this analytical procedure, RCB can find the PMV run by COB to be acceptable to permit the forwarding of this analytical method to FDA for inclusion in a future updating of the Pesticide Analytical Manual, Volume II.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The PM should notify the petitioner of our Conclusion 5.

#### NOTE TO PM:

The results of PMV testing by COB with beef liver and milk for recoverability of myclobutanil is the subject of a separate RCB memorandum (dated 4/12/88).

That PMV involved testing of the petitioner's analytical procedure TR 310-84-13, as amended by TR 310-86-09.

COB has also found that analytical procedure to be unacceptable for enforcement purposes in its present form.

Two additional analytical procedures [TR 31S-87-02 for the diol metabolite in milk, and TR 31S-87-09 for the RH-9090 (free) metabolite in animal commodities] have just commenced PMV testing by COB. Their projected completion date is June.

cc: Reading File
 Circulation
 Reviewer (Nelson)
 PP#7F3476/FAP#7H5524
 PP#7G3479
 Myclobutanil Registration Standard File
 PMV File
 H. Jacoby (SPMS)
 D. Marlow (BUD)
 ISB/PMSD (Eldredge)

TS-769C:RCB:Reviewer(MJN):CM#2:Rm804:557-7324:typist(mjn):4/14/88.

RDI:SectionHead:RSQuick:4/14/88:DeputyChief:RDSchmitt:(by KHArne): 4/14/88.