# File No. 128850

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- 1. CHEMICAL: Monoammonium-2-amino-4-(hydroxymethyl phosphinyl) butanate
- 2. FORMULATION: (HOE 39866 OH SL19 A126)
  Soluble concentrate 200 g/L
- 3. CITATION: R. Fisher. 1983. The effect of HOE 39866 OH SL19 Al26 on Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill) in a static test. Performed by Hoechst AG, Frankfurt, FRG; submitted by American Hoechst Corp., Somerville, NJ; Registration No. 8340-EUP-RN; Accession No. 072967.
- 4. REVIEWED BY: John J. Bascietto
  Wildlife Biologist
  Ecological Effects Branch/HED
- 5. DATE REVIEWED: November 30, 1984
- 6. TEST TYPE: Freshwater Fish LC50 (acute)
  - A. Bluegill sunfish, Lepomis macrochirus
- 7. REPORTED RESULTS: 96-hr LC50 between 56 and 75 mg/l
- 8. REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS: The study is scientifically sound.

  However, EEB is

  waable to validate the nominal
  exposures. Since 300 L/200 L
  (test chambers/solutions) were
  used, analytical chemistry
  determinations on each test
  chamber are necessary to check
  exposures. They must also
  provide the % active ingredient
  in the original test substance.

The study does set fulfill a guidelines requirementy if one were imposed for a formulation test (19% a.K.).

(repaired by Acc. No. 256761 Tab CZ:3)

## 9. MATERIALS/METHODS:

## A. Test Procedure:

Bluegills were obtained from OSAGE Catfisheries, Osage Beach, Missouri, USA. Maintained at 22°C. No mortality over 2 week holding period. At time of testing the fish were about 1 1/2 years old) mean length of 5.5 cm, mean weight = 4.9 g (N = 10)

Deionized filtered tap water was used and reconstituted to EPA "soft"; pH = 7.75. Toxicant was weighed to "precision of .1 mg, diluted to volume and added directly to test chambers - 300 L stain-less steel tanks. Test volume was 200 L. Fish were added to chambers at random. They had been previously acclimated to test conditions (temperature = 21.3 - 22.7°C) for 96 hrs and fasted same time period. Biological loading = 0.24 g/L. Ten (10) fish per chamber.

D.O., pH were monitored at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours for control, high, medium and low levels. Temperature was continuously recorded.

# B. Statistical Analysis

None performed

### C. Results

LC<sub>50</sub> between 56 and 75 mg/L.

Mortality distribution prevented statistical analysis according to the author.

NOEL = 42 mg/L

See tables for all results.

### 11. REVIEWER'S EVALUATION:

## A. Test Procedure:

Substantial deviations from guidelines procedures are:

- 1. inadequate description of toxicant solution preparation.
- 2. No analytical chemistry (actual a.i. concentrations) determination (this is required because of number 1 400 # 3).

- 3. 300 L tank/200 L solution used.
- No indication of % active ingredient.
- Statistical/Analysis: В.

N/A

#### C. Results:

The results obtained are only estimates. distribution of mortality indicates that the study was not a well planned "definitive" study. These results are to be used cautiously because no actual  $LC_{50}$  is calculated. Also, we cannot validate the exposure. . Therefore, even the estimate obtained is of no value.

#### D. Conclusions

- ( core for formulation ) 1. Category: Supplemental
- Rationale: -Substantial deviations from guidelines > explanations
  (11 A above)

   no I.C. established
  - no LC50 established
  - exposure not validated
  - no & a.i. given

per ACC. NO. 256/6/ Tab C2:3

Repair: -Provide analytical chemistry (actual concentration of a.i.) on each test chamber, measured during this study. chamber, measured during this study.

- provide of a.i. of toxicant used. (19.1.)

remains suppose because there is no hequirement for formulation test of this find imposed as of 4-29-85 plus Bascietto however this test is love for a formulation of this type.

GLUFOSINATE	128850
Page is not included in this copy.  Pages 4 through /o are not included	đ.
The material not included contains tinformation:	the following type of
Identity of product inert ingredient	s.
Identity of product impurities.	
Description of the product manufactu	ring process.
Description of quality control proce	dures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.	
Sales or other commercial/financial information.	
A draft product label.	
The product confidential statement of formula.	
Information about a pending registra	tion action.
X FIFRA registration data.	
The document is a duplicate of page(	(s)
The document is not responsive to the	ne request.
The information not included is generally by product registrants. If you have any of the individual who prepared the response	questions, please contact