## DATA EVALUATION REPORT

#### AZOXYSTROBIN

STUDY TYPE: REPEATED DOSE DERMAL - RAT (82-2)

Prepared for

Health Effects Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1921 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Prepared by

Chemical Hazard Evaluation Group
Biomedical and Environmental Information Analysis Section
Health Sciences Research Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Task Order No. 95-19P

Primary Reviewer:
Cheryl B. Bast, Ph.D., D.A.B.T.

Signature:
Date:

Note:

Secondary Reviewers:
H. Tim Borges, Ph.D., M.T.

(A.S.C.P.) D.A.B.T.

Signature:
Date:

Note:

Signature:
Date:

Note:

Note:

Note:

Note:

Note:

Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note:
Note

### Disclaimer

Date:

This review may have been altered subsequent to the contractor's signatures above.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corp. for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC05-96OR22464.

EPA Reviewer:

Marion Copley, D.V.M., D.A.B.T.

Toxicology Branch I (7509C)

EPA Secondary Reviewer:

Marion Copley, D.V.M., D.A.B.T.

Toxicology Branch I (7509C)

#### DATA EVALUATION REPORT

STUDY TYPE: Repeated Dose Dermal - Rat OPPTS 870.3200 [§82-2]

<u>DP BARCODE</u>: D218319 P.C.CODE.: 128810 <u>SUBMISSION CODE</u>: S489692 <u>TOX. CHEM, NO</u>: none

MRID NO.: 43678137

TEST MATERIAL (PURITTY): ICIA5504 (Azoxystrobin) (96.2%)

SYNONYM: None

CITATION: Robinson, P. (1994) ICIA5504: 21-Day Dermal Toxicity Study in the Rat. Zeneca Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderly Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Laboratory project identification: Report No. CTL/P/4360; Study No. LR0561, May 24, 1994. MRID 43678137. Unpublished.

SPONSOR: Zeneca, Inc.; Agricultural Products; Wilmington, DE 19897

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: In a 21-day repeated dose dermal toxicity study (MRID 43678137), groups of 5 male and 5 female Wistar rats were treated with ICIA5504 (Azoxystrobin) (96.2% w/w) in a deionized water paste by dermal occlusion at doses of 0, 200, 500, or 1000 mg/kg/day, 6 hours/day for 21 days over a 30 day period.

No mortality was observed and there were no significant treatment-related clinical abnormalities. There were no treatment-related effects on bodyweight, food consumption, organ weights, clinical biochemistry, or hematology. There were no treatment-related pathological abnormalities. Abdominal scabs and scabs at the edge of the application area were observed in all groups of females and were attributed to the bandaging method and were not of toxicological significance.

The NOEL for Azoxystrobin was 1000 mg/kg/day; a LOEL was not determined.

This study is classified as **acceptable** and satisfies the guideline requirements for a 21-day dermal study (82-2) in rats.

<u>COMPLIANCE</u>: Signed Quality Assurance (5/17/94), Flagging (5/19/94), and Good Laboratory Practice (5/18/94) Statements were present.

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. MATERIALS

## 1. Test material: ICIA5504

Description: light brown solid

Lot/Batch No.: Y06654/014

Purity: 96.2 % w/w

Stability of compound: stable for the duration of the study,

from information supplied by the sponsor

CAS No.: unknown Structure: unknown

## 2. Vehicle and/or positive control

Vehicle: deionized water Positive control: none

## 3. Test animals

Species: rat

Strain: Wistar-derived albino (Alpk:APfSD)

Age and weight at study initiation: males: 8-9 weeks; 249-292

g; females: 9-12 weeks; 219-250 g

Source: Barriered Animal Breeding Unit; Zeneca Pharmaceuti-

cals; Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK

Housing: individually in stainless steel suspended cages

Diet: Porton Combined Diet, Special Diet Services, Ltd., ad

libitum

Water: tap water via an automatic watering system, ad libitum

Environmental conditions:

Temperature: 21±2°C Humidity: 55±15%

Air changes: 25-30 changes/hour

Photoperiod: 12 hour light/dark cycle Acclimation period: approximately 2 weeks

### B. STUDY DESIGN

## 1. In life dates

Start: November 9, 1993; end: December 9, 1993

# 2. Animal assignment

Rats were randomly distributed within the experimental groups (Table 1) by a method designed to ensure that unhealthy animals or animals at the extremes of the weight range were excluded. Groups of 5 rats/sex/dose were utilized.



TABLE 1. Study design								
D. G.	Dose (	mg/kg)	No. of Animals					
Dose Group	Male	Female	Male	Female				
Vehicle control (water only)	0	0	5	5				
Azoxystrobin (low-dose)	250	250	5	5				
Azoxystrobin (mid-dose)	500	500	5	5				
Azoxystrobin (high-dose)	1000	1000	5	5				

Data taken from p. 13, MRID 43678137.

### 3. Dose selection rationale

The dose levels were selected from data obtained in a preliminary study in which preparations of 250, 500, or 1000 mg/kg azoxystrobin were applied to groups of two male and two female rats for 5 consecutive days followed by a 2 day observation period. There were no signs of toxicity or skin irritation at any dose level.

## 4. Test substance preparation and analysis

The appropriate amount of azoxystrobin was weighed out and made into a paste by adding a small amount of deionized water. The amount applied was calculated for each animal according to its weight at the time of dosing.

## Results -

Homogeneity analysis - Not applicable.

Stability analysis - Information provided to the testing laboratory by the sponsor indicated test material stability for the duration of the study.

Concentration analysis - Not applicable.

#### 5. Dose application

A 10 cm x 5 cm area of fur was clipped from the dorsal area of the trunk of each animal 16 to 24 hr before application of the test sample. The appropriate amount of test sample paste was applied and kept in contact with the shaved back for 6 hours. Each occlusive dressing consisted of a gauze patch covered by a patch of plastic film and was held in place by PVC tape wrapped around the animal. At the end of the 6-hour exposure period, the dressings were removed and any residual test material was removed using clean swabs of absorbent cotton wool soaked in clean warm water. The area was then dried with tissue paper. A total of 21 six-hour applications were made during a period of 30 days. During this period, there were four two-



day periods when the animals were not dosed. There was an 18-hour period between each application. During the 18-hour period, the rats were fitted with plastic collars to prevent possible test substance ingestion.

### 6. Statistics

Body weights were analyzed by analysis of covariance. Daily food consumption, hematology, and clinical chemistry were analyzed by analysis of variance. Organ weights were analyzed by analysis of variance and covariance. Test and control data were compared using a two-sided Students t-test.

# C. METHODS

### 1. Observations

Animals were examined for gross signs of toxicity and for signs of irritation at the application site twice daily on days of dosing (prior to dosing and at decontamination) and once daily on the days animals were not dosed.

## 2. Body weight

Animals were weighed daily prior to dosing.

### 3. Food consumption

Individual food consumption was calculated daily throughout the study.

# 4. Ophthalmoscopic examination

No ophthalmoscopic examinations were performed.

5. <u>Blood samples were obtained</u> by cardiac puncture from each rat immediately after death. The CHECKED (X) parameters were examined.

### a. Hematology

X Erythrocyte morphology
--------------------------

# b. Clinical chemistry

х	ELECTROLYTES	х	ELECTROLYTES
x x x x x	Calcium Chloride Magnesium Phosphorus Potassium Sodium  ENZYMES Alkaline phosphatase (ALK) Cholinesterase (ChE) Creatine phosphokinase Lactic acid dehydrogenase (LDH) Serum alanine amino-transferase (also SGPT) Serum aspartate amino-transferase (also SGOT) Gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) Glutamate dehydrogenase	x x x x x x	Albumin Blood creatinine Blood urea nitrogen Total Cholesterol Globulins Glucose Total bilirubin Total serum protein (TP) Triglycerides Serum protein electrophoresis

# 6. <u>Urinalysis</u>

Urinalysis was not required and was not performed.

# 7. Sacrifice and pathology

All animals survived until the scheduled termination of the study. Rats were euthanized at the end of the study with halothane vapor and exsanguination. Gross pathological examinations were conducted and the CHECKED (X) tissues were collected for histological examination. The (XX) organs, in addition, were weighed.

х	DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	х	CARDIOVASC./HEMAT.	х	NEUROLOGIC
xx	Tongue Salivary glands Esophagus Stomach Duodenum Jejunum Ileum Cecum Colon Rectum Liver* Gall bladder Pancreas  RESPIRATORY Trachea Lung* Nose Pharynx Larynx	xx xx x	Aorta Heart Bone marrow Lymph nodes Spleen Thymus  UROGENITAL Kidneys* Urinary bladder Testes Epididymides Prostate Seminal vesicle Ovaries Uterus	x	Brain Periph. nerve Spinal cord (3 levels) Pituitary Eyes (optic n.)  GLANDULAR Adrenal gland Lacrimal gland Mammary gland Parathyroids Thyroids  OTHER Bone Skeletal muscle Skin (treated & untreated) All gross lesions and masses

<sup>\*</sup>Required in Repeated Dose Dermal study.



#### II. RESULTS

#### A. Observations

No treatment-related mortality or clinical signs of toxicity were seen in any rats. The fur of some males and most females was removed by the bandages during the study and abdominal scabs and scabs on the edge of the application area (not on the treated areas) were observed on some females in all groups. The authors attributed these effects to bandaging or chewing of the bandaged areas and did not consider them toxicologically significant. Slight erythema was observed on two high-dose males during days 7-14, and slight desquamation was observed in one 500 mg/kg female on days 11 and 12 and in three 1000 mg/kg females from days 10 to 12. These effects are not considered toxicologically relevant due to their transitory nature.

### B. Bodyweight and weight gain

No significant differences in group body weight means occurred for any treated group as compared to controls.

## C. Food consumption

## 1. Food consumption

Sporadic significant (p<0.05) differences in food consumption were observed. However, in the absence of a doserelated response and any effects on body weight, the differences are considered biologically insignificant.

## 2. Food efficiency

Feed efficiency ( $\{body\ weight\ gain\ [kg]/food\ consumption\ [kg\ per\ unit\ time]\}\ x\ 100)$  values were not calculated by the study authors. Because there were no toxicologically relevant changes in food intake or body weight, food efficiency was not considered to provide any additional information.

## D. Opthalmoscopic examination

No opthalmoscopic examinations were performed.

#### E. Blood work

No biologically significant hematological effects were observed. Statistically significant, however minor, changes were observed in MCH, MCHC, and lymphocyte counts in males and in MCV, MCHC, and lymphocyte counts in females. These changes were considered toxicologically insignificant since they were small, sporadic, and did not exhibit a dose-response. Statistically significant changes in plasma phosphorus, calcium, potassium, glucose, triglycerides, total protein, and GGT were observed. However, due to the lack of a dose-response

and/or small magnitude of change, these effects are not considered biologically relevant.

# F. <u>Urinalysis</u>

Urinalysis was not required and was not performed.

### G. Sacrifice and pathology

#### 1. Organ weight

There were no compound-related effects on organ weight.

### 2. Gross pathology

No toxicologically significant effects were observed. The scabbing and erythema on the skin were attributed to self trauma or an artifact of the bandaging procedure.

# 3. Microscopic pathology

- a) Non-neoplastic Minimal acanthosis and inflammatory cell infiltration were observed in a treated skin section of one high-dose male and an abdominal skin section of one high-dose female.
- b) Neoplastic A nephroblastoma was observed in one highdose female. It is not considered treatment-related due to its isolated incidence, and no supporting treatment-related kidney histopathology.

### III. DISCUSSION

A. Male and female Wistar rats were treated dermally with 0, 200, 500, or 1000 mg/kg/day of Azoxystrobin 6 hours/day for 21 days over a 30 day period. No statistically significant differences in group body weight means or cumulative body weight gains occurred for any treated group of either sex as compared to controls. No treatment-related mortality or clinical signs were observed. No biologically significant clinical chemistry or hematological effects were observed. No significant gross or microscopic treatment-related pathology was observed.

Under conditions of this study, the NOEL for azoxystrobin is 1000 mg/kg/day; a LOEL is not identified.

### B. Study deficiencies

Lung histopathology was not examined. This is not thought to significantly compromise this study.

