	•	DP Barcode:_	D1609	63
		Shaughnessy	No.: 12820	128711
		Date out of	EFGWB: MAY	8 1991
ro:	R. Taylor/V. Walters Product Manager #25 Registration Division (H7505C)			
FROM:	Emil Regelman, Supervisory Chemist Chemistry Review Section #2 Environmental Fate and Ground Water Br	linghy		
THRU:	Hank Jacoby, Chief Environmental Fate and Ground Water Br Environmental Fate and Effects Divisio		Jerse),	<b>A</b>
Attache	ed, please find the EFGWB review of	10	/	N W
Reg./Fi	le #: 352-00526/352-00441		so lie	11
Chemica	al Name Quizalofop ethyl		Self July	91
Type Pr	roduct: Merbicide		W.	
Common	Name: Assure		····	
Company		ts		. Y
Purpose	To review request for addit	ion of cotton	to label	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date Re	eceived: February 11, 1991	Date Complete	ed: April l	ø, 1991
Action	Code: 330/575			
EFGWB	#(s): 91-0388			
Total 1	Reviewing Time: 0.4 day		•	
Deferra	als to:Ecological Effects Branch, EF	ED		
	Science Integration and Polic	y Staff, EFED		•
	Non-Dietary Exposure Branch,	HED		
	Dietary Exposure Branch, HED			
	Toxicology Branch			,

DP Barcode:

D160963

#### 1. CHEMICAL:

Chemical name: Qu

Quizalofop ethyl

CAS no.:

76578-14-8

Common name:

Ethyl 2-[4-(6-chloroquinoxalin-2-yloxy) phenoxy]

propanoate

Trade name:

Assure

Chemical structure:

# Physical/Chemical properties of active ingredient:

Physical characteristics:

White solid

Molecular formula:

C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

Molecular weight:

372.5

Melting point:

91.7 - 92.1°C

Vapor Pressure:

 $3 \times 10^{-7}$  mm Hg at  $20^{\circ}$ C

Solubility:

 $\emptyset.3 \times 10^{-4}$  g/100 ml water at 20°C

Octanol/water partition coefficient: 1.9 x  $10^4$ 

## 2. TEST MATERIAL:

N/A

#### 3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Review of request to add cotton to the label.

#### 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

Chubb, M. CORRESPONDENCE TO R. TAYLOR - PETITION TO ESTABLISH TOLE-RANCE FOR QUIZALOFOP ETHYL AND RESIDUES FOR COTTON AND ADD COTTON TO LABEL. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Agricultural Products Department, Research and Development Division, Wilmington, DE - December 21, 1990; Received by EPA December 28, 1990.

## 5. REVIEWED BY:

Gail Maske Chemist, Review section #2 OPP/EFED/EFGWB

6. APPROVED BY:

Emil Regelman Supervisory Chemist Review section #2 OPP/EFED/EFGWB Signature:

Date:

Signature

MAY 8 1991

Date:

## 7. CONCLUSIONS:

The registrant, Du Pont, is requesting cotton be added to the quizalofop ethyl label. Based on a review of the environmental fate data, there is insufficient data to support the addition of new terrestrial feed and food crop uses to the label.

The following Environmental Fate Data Requirements for Quizalofop ethyl for terrestrial feed and food crop uses which have <u>not</u> been fulfilled:

Environmental Fate Data Requirements	Citation of Review	MRID No.		
Metabolism Studies-lab				
162-1 Aerobic soil	(ER;01/26/84) (ER;03/05/85) (DYNAMAC;09/18/85) (JHJ;10/20/86) (DSS;04/04/88)	ØØ128213 ØØ15Ø937		
162-3 Anaerobic aquatic	(DSS;12/18/87) (DSS;04/04/88)	ØØ146697 4Ø2423Ø1		
Mobility Studies				
163-2 Volatility-Lab	Not Submitted <sup>1</sup>			

Environmental Fate Data Requirements		Citation of Review	MRID No.		
Dissipation Studies-field					
164-1	Soil	(DSS;01/05/88) (DSS;04/04/88)	40336001		
Accumu.	lation Studies	·			
165-1	Rotational crops-confined	(DSS;12/18/87) (DSS;04/04/88)	ØØ131583 ØØ131585		

Based on low vapor pressure (3 x  $10^{-7}$  mm Hg) and a toxicological classifications of  $\geq$  3 for acute oral, acute dermal, and acute inhalation, EFGWB would concur with a waiver request for volatility studies.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

The registrant should be informed of the following:

a. The environmental fate data is not adequate to support the addition of cotton to the label.

#### NOTE TO PM:

A review of the status of environmental fate data requirements shows that deficiencies pending from 1988 reviews have not been addressed. An acceptable time frame should be established for completion of new studies or for addressing the deficiencies.

#### 9. BACKGROUND:

Quizalofop ethyl, a phenoxy propionic ester, is a postemergence herbicide registered for use on soybeans and terrestrial non-food crops. The maximum application rate for soybeans and cotton is  $\emptyset.25$  lb. ai/A and for terrestrial non-food crops is  $\emptyset.20$  lb ai/A. Aerial application and ground application are used. Foliar absorption is rapid with quizalofop ethyl metabolism to DPX-acid which further degrades to phenols and  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ .

Quizalofop ethyl is practically nontoxic to birds, highly toxic to freshwater fish, very highly toxic to invertebrates, moderately toxic to marine fish, very toxic to marine invertebrates, and relatively nontoxic to honey bees and humans. However, nontarget organism risk appears to be minimal because maximum expected residues on soil and water do not approach the toxicity values for organisms tested.

10. DISCUSSION:

None

11: COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

See attached one-liner.

12: CBI APPENDIX:

N/A

#### Last Update on February 9, 1990

「VI = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

```
Common Name: QUIZALOFOP ETHYL
```

Smiles Code:

PC Code # :128201

CAS #:76578-14-8 Caswell #:

Chem. Name: ETHYL 2-[4-(6-CHLOROQUINOXALIN-2-YLOXY) PHENOXY] PROPANOATE

Action Type:SELECTIVE POSTEMERGENCE HERBICIDE

Trade Names: TARGA; ASSURE; DPX-Y6202 (Formul'tn):EC 9.5%; SUSPENSION C, 10%

Physical State:

Use :FOR THE CONTROL OF ANNUAL AND PERENNIAL GRASS WEEDS IN SOY-Patterns : BEANS, COTTON, PEANUTS, SUGAR BEETS, FLAX, ALFALFA, ETC. (% Usage):

Empirical Form:  $C_{19}H_{17}ClN_2O_4$ Molecular Wgt.: 372.80 Vapor Pressure: 3.00E -7 Torr Melting Point: °C °C Boiling Point: Log Kow pKa: °C Henry's E (calc'd) Atm. M3/Mol (Measured) 4.75E -7

Solubility in ... Comments Water 3.10E -1 ppm °C Acetone E °C 6 ppm Acetonitrile E ppm 6 Benzene E e °C ppm Chloroform E .C ppm 6 Ethanol E ppm @ .C Methanol E °C ppm e

Toluene E °C ppm @ Xylene E ppm 6 .C E °C ppm e E °C ppm @

## Hydrolysis (161-1)

[V] pH 5.0:600 DAYS

[V] pH 7.0: 30

[V] pH 9.0: 2

[ ] pH

] pH

Hq [ ]

Last Update on February 9, 1990
[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Photolysis (161-2, -3, -4) [ ] Air : [V] Soil :38 - 43 DAYS [V] Water:55 DAYS [ ] : [ ] : [ ] :
Aerobic Soil Metabolism (162-1) [V] 1 DAY IN WOODSTOWN SdLm AND [ ] FLANAGAN SiLm. [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Anaerobic Soil Metabolism (162-2) [S] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []
Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism (162-3) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Aerobic Aquatic Metabolism (162-4) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

Last Update on February 9, 1990
[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

(163-1) Koc 666 -1.2
Im IS; LOAM
(164-1) M pH T1/2 CORR. COEFF. 5 6.4 145 DAYS .89 3 7.9 364 " .50 2 6.0 139 " .42

PAGE: 3 =

Last Update on February 9, 1990
[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Long-Term Soil Dissipation (164-5) [ ] [ ]
Accumulation in Rotational Crops, Confined (165-1) [S] ROTATIONAL CROPS PLANTED 128 DAYS AFTER TREAT. W/ [] 8 OZ/ACRE HAD INSIG. RESIDUES (QUINAZ. LABEL ONLY)
Accumulation in Rotational Crops, Field (165-2) [ ] [ ]
Accumulation in Irrigated Crops (165-3) [ ] [ ]
Bioaccumulation in Fish (165-4) [V] BIUEGILL SUNFISH BCF: EDIBLE = 16 X FOR APPL. OF .004 MG/L, [ ] AND 10 X FOR .04 MG/L. DEPURATION VALUES AT 14 DAYS = .3 X.
Bioaccumulation in Non-Target Organisms (165-5) [ ] [ ]
Ground Water Monitoring, Prospective (166-1) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Ground Water Monitoring, Small Scale Retrospective (166-2) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Ground Water Monitoring, Large Scale Retrospective (166-3) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Ground Water Monitoring, Miscellaneous Data (158.75) [ ] [ ] [ ]

Last Update on February 9, 1990
[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

Field Runoff (167-1)

i i	
Surface Water Monitoring (167-2) [ ] [ ]	
Spray Drift, Droplet Spectrum (201-1)  [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	
Spray Drift, Field Evaluation (202-1) [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	
Degradation Products	
CO2=major degradate; 13-22% of applied radioactiv. in study of photodegradation on soil. In aerobic metabolism test, DPX-acid was quickly formed and its half-life was estd. as 4 weeks on Flanagan SiLm and 8 weeks on Woodstown SdIm; major degradate of DPX acid was phenol 4 which accounted for 26% of the applied by week 2, decreased to 10% by week 9, and to 5% by week 53.	

PAGE: 5 =

Last Update on February 9, 1990

[V] = Validated Study [S] = Supplemental Study [U] = USDA Data

#### Comments

In the anaerobic aquatic study, degradation to DPX-Acid was rapid with a half-life of about 1 day; further metabolism gave phenols 1, 2, and 4, and hydroxyphenol-2 with respective half-lives of 6 and 13 weeks.

In leaching tests with 12" columns of SdIm soil treated with unaged quinoxaline-labelled parent, and leached with 20" water, the parent compd. acctd. for 7% in the soil extracts while the primary degradate (DPX-Y6202 acid) comprised 62-75% of that recovered in the soil and 95% in the leachate extract.

Koc = 510 (U)

References: EFGWB REVIEWS

Writer : PJH