

261665,261667

RECORD NO.

122804

SHAUGHNESSEY NO

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN 4-02-90 OUT 4-04-90

FILE OR REG. NO. 618-98; 618-97

PETITION OR EXP. NO. _____

DATE OF SUBMISSION 1-30-90

DATE RECEIVED BY EFED 3-26-90

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 6-26-90

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 6-26-90

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 300

TYPE PRODUCT(S) Insecticide

DATA ACCESSION NO(S) _____

PRODUCT MANAGER, NO. 15

PRODUCT NAME(S) Zephyr/Agri-Mek 0.15 EC

COMPANY NAME Merck, Sharp & Dohme

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Review progress report and request for extension

SHAUGHNESSEY NO. _____ CHEMICAL _____ % A.I. _____

81 1/2



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

April 4, 1990

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Request For Extension to Data Requirements

FROM: James Akerman, Chief
Ecological Effects Branch
Environmental Fate and Effects Division H7507C

TO: Adam Heyward PM 15
Insecticide/Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division H7505C

The registrant of avermectin, Merck, Sharp and Dohme, has requested an extension of the deadline of the data required as a condition to their registration on citrus and cotton. They also resubmitted a copy of a document previously provided under record number 253893 and 253894 in which they propose a label restriction to the citrus and cotton use.

Their justification for requiring an extension is that they are waiting for EEB to decide if the data we required before are still required considering the changes in the label and a re-evaluation of the exposure by EFGWB.

With regard to whether the extension is justified, EEB can only concur that the issue of aquatic exposure has been deferred to EFGWB and that we will not respond to the label change proposal until EFGWB has provided their response.

can

John

2/2
80