

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

APR 2 8 1988

MEMORANDUM OF CONFERENCE

PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT: Avermectin PP#8F3592 and PP#7F3500 - RCB Conference with

Merck, 4/13/88, Second Meeting Concerning Nature of

Polar Degradates for Toxicological Testing.

FROM:

V. F. Boyd, Ph.D., Chemist

Tolerance Petition Section 2

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

John H. Onley, Section Head

Tolerance Petition Section 2
Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

TO:

Residue Chemistry Files

Attendees

	S. Gros e () Wislocki	Merck,	Sharp	and	Dohme
	Dybas	11	11	ff	17
	Robertson	31	11	71	**
Α.	Heyward	RD	11	17	79
Ť.	Farber	XOT			
Ė.	Budd	TOX			
W.	Dykstra	XOT			
L.	Kutney	EAB			
R.	Schmitt	RCB			
C.	Deyrup	RCB			
J.	Onley	RCB			
Μ.	Kovacs	RCB			
F.	Boyd	RCB			

Background:

According to a letter from Merck, Sharp and Dohme (3/31/88) who requested the meeting, a summary of toxicology studies on the delta 8,9-isomer and thin-film derived polar degradates of AVM had been submitted to TOX. A protocol of the petitioner had been accepted (10/87) for producing polar degradates on oranges at a 30% rate of application and using both fruit generated degradates and photolytically generated material for Ames and teratogenicity testing of AVM polar products.

The stated purpose of the conference was to discuss a waiver from the requirement of using citrus fruit generated polar degradates.

Dr. Rick Robertson of Merck presented their toxicology view of why the use of polar degradates from citrus peel in Ames or teratalogy studies might be disastrous. So many unknown materials unrelated to abamectin could be a part of the isolate. Therefore the toxicology test results may not reflect an evaluation of AVM or its degradates as much as it might evaluate other pesticides and polar products native to the citrus.

Peter Wislocki, Merck, presented a chemistry view of the AVM polar degradates from a thin-film on glass plates, 1% and 10% applications on peel surface in CA oranges, and 1% and 30% applications on peel in FL oranges. A distinct difference between the polar degradates from 10% treated CA oranges and 30% treated FL oranges was demonstrated in the Normal Phase HPL chromatograms. Similarly, a distinct similarity between polar degradates from the 1% treated from FL and CA and from thin-film glass plates was noted. The HPLC profiles were similar or different in the content of more polar degradates as compared to moderately polar degradates.

The meeting was adjourned.

TS-769:RCB:F.Boyd:vq:CM#2:Rm810:X77484:4/25/88:

cc: Reading File, Circu., F.Boyd

RDI: J.Onley, 4/15/88; R.Schmitt, 4/19/88