202318 RECORD NO.

122804 SHAUGHNESSY NO.

REVIEW NO.

EEB BRANCH REVIEW

DATE: IN09/08/87	_ OUT _	9/23/87		
FILE OR REG. NO. 618-OT				
DATE OF SUBMISSION	08/25/8	7		
DATE RECEIVED BY HED_	09/03/8	7		
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE	11/16/8	7		
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE_	11/16/8	7		
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW	181			
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F, N	. R. S	Insecticide		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
DATA ACCESSION NO(S). 403186	-1, -2, -	3		
PRODUCT MANAGER NO. G. LaRocca (15)				
PRODUCT NAME(S) Agrimec 0.15 EC (Avermectin)				
COMPANY NAME Merck Sharp and Dohme Rsch Laboratories				
SUBMISSION PURPOSE Submission of Avian Reproduction Study				
and Earthwo	rm Toxici	ty Study to Support		
Cotton Use				
SHAUGHNESSY NO. CHEMICAL	& FORMUL	ATION % A.I.		
Avermectin				

EEB Review

Abamectin

100 Submission Purpose

The registrant, Merck and Co., provided additional data to support the registration of Abamectin on cotton. The risk assessment of this new use is presented in a previous review dated 9-14-87. The data provided with this submission were included in that review.

101 Adequacy of Data

Two studies were provided, an earthworm 28-day toxicity test and an avian reproduction test.

A. Earthworm Test:

Test Material: 97% ai Test Species: Eiseni

Test Species: Eisenia foetida

Category: Supplemental, study does not fulfill any guideline

requirement.

Results:

7-day	LC50=62 ppm	95% C.L.	52-73 ppm
14-day	LC50=33 ppm	95% C.L.	28-39 ppm
28-day	LC50=18 ppm	95% C.L.	24-32 ppm

Avian Reproduction Test:

Test Species: Mallard duck

Test Material: 94.7%

Category: Core

Results: NOEL = 12 ppm

LEL = 64 ppm

There were no statistically significant effects on avian reproduction at the highest test level 12 ppm. In the pilot reproduction test, there was a marked reduction in eggs laid at the 64 ppm level.

103 Conclusions

The data provided support registration of Abamectin on cotton.

Daniel Rieder, Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division

Mllen W. Vauyham 9.23.87
Norman J. Cook, Head Section 2
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division

Harry T. Craven, Acting Chief Ecological Effects Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

- 1. Chemical: Abamectin, 122804
- 2. Test Material: 94.7% a.i.
- 3. Test Type: Avian Reproduction test with Mallard ducks
- 4. Study Identification: Author: Joann Beavers, 2-26-87

 <u>Title: A One-generation Reproduction Study with the Mallard</u>

 (Anas platyrhynchos)

Study Number: 105-135A

Study Sponsor: Merck and Company, Inc. Study Location: Acc. No. 403186-01 Laboratory: Wildlife International LTD

5. Review By: Daniel Rieder

Wildlife Biologist

EEB/HED

6. Approved By: Norman J. Cook

Head Section 2

EEB/HED

tamet bede

Date: 9:22-39

allen W. Vanglan

Date: 9.23.87

7. Conclusions:

This study report is scientifically sound and fulfills the requirements (71.4) for an avian reproduction test with a waterfowl (mallard ducks). The results of the test were that no statistically significant reproductive effects were observed at 12 ppm which was the highest level tested. However, the average number of eggs laid was markedly less at 64 ppm in the pilot study.

- 8. Recommendations: NA
- 9. Background:

This test was provided to support registration.

10. Discussion of Individual Tests: NA

11. Materials and Methods

The test material was 94.7% pure abamectin identified as L 676,863-000V064, Purity 94.7% ai, Avermectin B. (Abamectin), composition 86.9 wt % Bla, 7.8 wt % Blb".

This test material was mixed in a game bird ration with corn oil and acetone. Treated feed was prepared weekly. Samples for residue analysis were frozen immediately and shipped to Merck Sharp and Dohme Rsch. Lab. Residue analysis was also performed on feed that had been aged 7 days to demonstrate stability of test material on avian feed.

Treatment levels were a control and 3, 6 and 12 ppm. There were 16 pens per test level, 1 drake and 1 hen per pen.

Study Phases:

	Acclimation Prephotostimulation photoperiod: 8 hrs/day	_	weeks weeks	(8/15/86 - 9/9/86) (9/9/86 - 11/4/86)
3.	Pre-egg laying (with photostimulation)	2	weeks	(11/5/86 - 11/18/86)
4.	Egg laying photoperiod 17 hrs/day	8	weeks	(11/18/86 - 1/16/87)
5.	Post-adult sacrifice (final incubation, hatching, and 14-day offspring rearing period)		weeks	(1/16/87 - 2/26/87)

All adult birds were observed at least once daily and a record of all mortalities and observations maintained. Adults were weighed at study initiation, and on weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and at study termination. Food consumption was also estimated daily.

The following reproductive parameters were observed and recorded: Eggs Laid, Eggs Cracked, Eggs Set, Viable Embryos, hatchlings, 14 day old survivors, body weight of 14-day old survivors and egg shell thickness.

See attachment 1 for more detailed methods.

Upon completion of the study, all reproductive parameters were analyzed statistically using Dunnett's method following arcsine transformation.

12. Reported Results

The test diet analysis results shows that immediately after mixings abamectin residues ranged from 97% to 114.5% of nominal. Analysis of aged treated diet showed Abamectin was stable during 7-day aging between feed mixing.

There was one mortality, a hen in one of the 6 ppm pens. There were no statistically significant differences between the control group and the treatment groups in any reproductive parameter. The reproductive NOEL = 12 ppm.

In the Pilot Reproduction Study, there was a marked reduction in number of eggs laid at the 64 ppm test level.

See attachment 2 for a discussion and results and tables.

13. Study Authors Conclusions

The avian reproductive NOEL = 12 ppm.

LEL = 64 ppm

14. Reviewers Discussion

A. Test Procedure

The protocol was acceptable.

- B. Statistical Analysis No reviewer statistical analysis was performed since the averages for observeable responses at the highest test level were essentially the same as those of the control.
- C. <u>Discussion of Results</u> The results indicate that Abamectin is not likely to affect avian reproduction at 12 ppm, but is expected to reduce number of eggs laid at 64 ppm dietary concentrations.
 - D. Adequacy of Study

Category: Core

- 15. Completion of One-Liner Completed
- 16. CBI Appendix The attachments are considered CBI

Avermectin science review			
Page is not included in this copy. Pages through are not included in this copy.			
The material not included contains the following type of information:			
Identity of product inert ingredients			
Identity of product impurities			
Description of the product manufacturing process			
Description of product quality control procedures			
Identity of the source of product ingredients			
Sales or other commercial/financial information			
A draft product label			
The product confidential statement of formula			
Information about a pending registration action			
X FIFRA registration data			
The document is a duplicate of page(s)			
The document is not responsive to the request			
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.			

.

DATA EVALUATION REVIEW

1. Chemical: Abamectin 122804

2. Test Material: 97% ai

3. Test Type: 28-day earthworm toxicity test

4. Study Identification: Cargile, Nancy, 2/12/87, Earthworm Toxicity Study of MK-936 (avermectin B1) in Artificial Soil. Upublish.study prepared by Biospherics Incorporated for Merck and Company. Laboratory Project No: 85-E-073 EW. Acc # 403186-03

5. Review By: Daniel Rieder

Wildlife Biologist

EEB/HED

Damet frede

Date: 8-22-87

6. Approved By: Norman J. Cook

Head, Section 2

EEB/HED

aller W. Vaughan

Date: 9.23.87

7. Conclusions:

This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill any guideline requirement. The test indicates that when pre-mixed with sand and added to artifical soil, Abamectin exhibits the following LC50's:

7 days 62 ppm 95% c.1. 52-73 ppm 14 days 33 ppm 95% c.1. 28-39 ppm 28 days 18 ppm 95% c.1. 24-32 ppm

8. Recommendations: N/A

9. Background: This test was provided as additional information on the effects of Abamectin on the environment.

10. Discussion of Individual Tests: N/A

11. Methods and Materials

Ten earthworms (<u>Eisenia foetida</u>) per container, 4 replicate containers per level were tested for 28 days at 10, 25, 50, 100 and 200 ppm of Abamectin. See the attached description of Test procedures for more detail, Attachment 1.

12. Reported Results

See Attachment 2 for mortality data.

13. Authors Conclusions

The following LC50's and 95% C.L. were calculated.

duration	<u>LC50</u>	95% C.L.	
7 days	62 ppm	52.73 ppm	
14 days	33 ppm	28-39 ppm	
28 days	18 ppm	24-32 ppm	

14 Reviewers Conclusions

The protocol cannot be judged against acceptable Agency methodologies as none have been established. However, the procedure was such that it provides useful information on the effects of Abamectin on earthworms in artificial soil.

The 28 day LC50 and 95% C.L. was recalculated using the moving average and probit method. The results were 18.7 (14.9-22 and 18.6 (15.2-22), respectively see attachment 3.

The results indicate that in artificial soils Abamectin may be expected to kill 50% of the earthworms at a concentration of 18.6 ppm.

Category: Supplemental

15. One Liner: Completed

16. CBI Appendix: The attachments are Confidential Business Information.

Avermectin science review
Page is not included in this copy. Pages through52 are not included in this copy.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients Identity of product impurities
Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures
Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information
A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula
Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data
The document is a duplicate of page(s) The document is not responsive to the request
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

NOTE: BECAUSE THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY. AND MONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS PRODUCED ZERO MORTALITY. THE DATA HAS BEEN SUBJECTED TO ABBOTT'S CORRECTION.

Daniel Rieder Abamectin earthworm 09-14-87

CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIA
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	FROS. (PERCENT)
200	37	39	100	6
100	39	37	100	6
50	37	\$ 5	92.3077	O.
25	39	2.6	56.556/	0
10	39	77	17,9487	Q.

BECAUSE THE MUMBER OF ORGANISMS USED WAS SO LARGE. THE 43 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CALCULATED FROM THE BINOMIAL PROPABILITY ARE UNRELIABLE. USE THE INTERVALS CALCULATED BY THE OTHER 18575.

AN AFPROXIMATE LOSO FOR THIS BET OF DATA IS 18.49451

SEBULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVIME AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN 6 1050 FERCENT CONFIDENCE (1917)

7 1690556-02 18.708/1 14.70042 22.55625

FEBULTS CALCULATED USING THE FROBIT METHOD

JIERATIONS G GOODHESE OF FIT FAUBABILITY

5 7.2569996-00 1 .9816420

GLORE = 3.482582 GE REGUENT COMMETORNOE LIMITE = 2.544273 AND A.430852

LIBU = 18.43009 PE PERCENT CONFILENCE LIMITS = 15.18234 AND 22.24061