D164127*	
DPBARCODE	(RECORD)
122804	
SHAUGHNES	SSY NO

2/19/1992	
REVIEW	NO

# EEB REVIEW

DATE IN: 5-8-91 OUT: 2-19-92
ASSTGNED:
CASE # :002518 REREG CASE #:
SUB. #: <u>S395495</u> LIST A, B, C, D
ID # :618-98
DATE OF SUBMISSION5-7-91
DATE RECEIVED BY EFED
SRRD/RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 6-7-91
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
SRRD/RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW
MRID #(S)
DP TYPE 001
PRODUCT MANAGER, NO. GEORGE LAROCCA 13
PRODUCT NAME(S) AVERMECTIN
TYPE PRODUCT
COMPANY NAME MERCK
SUBMISSION PURPOSE AMEND LABEL, CONSIDER PROPOSED USE ON
STRAWBERRIES, HEAD LETTUCE, ALMONDS
WALNUTS, PEARS, TOMATOES, CELERY
COMMON CHEMICAL NAME
REVIEWER: DAN RIEDER
ALSO COVERS OTHER ACTIONS INCLUDING:
RECORD NUMBER: 234652 TOMATOES
DPBARCODE: D163062 HEAD LETTUCE, WALNUTS, ALMONDS
RECORD NUMBER: 250282 PEARS
DPBARCODE: D155121 STRAWBERRIES



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

D164127 D163062 D155121 234652 250282

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

(H7507C

MEMORANDUM

FEB | 9 1992

SUBJECT: Adverse Effects from Use of Avermectin

FROM:

Douglas Urban, Acting Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

TO:

George LaRocca, PM

Insecticides/Rodenticide Branch Registration Division H7505C

The Ecological Effects Branch has reviewed the proposed usage of Avermectin on various crops at uses up to 0.025 lb ai/acre. These uses include: strawberries, head lettuce, almonds, walnuts, pears, tomatoes, and celery. Based on that proposal, the following is concluded:

Impact to Birds is expected to be minimal.

Adverse effects to fish are expected to be minimal.

Chronic effects to mammals are expected where multiple applications per season occur within 21 days of each other.

Aquatic invertebrates are expected to be affected by runoff (all crops) and drift (pear and nut trees only).

Field testing for both mammals and aquatic invertebrates is required to negate the presumption of risk for each use. It is unlikely that one terrestrial field study will suffice for all crops. Although some crops may be similar enough so that one will suffice more some others. A correctly conducted mesocosm may address aquatic effects for all the proposed uses.

# Pertinent acute toxicity data

Bobwhite quail LD50>2000 mg/kg Mallard duck LD50= 85 mg/kg

Bobwhite quail LC50=3102 ppm Mallard duck LC50= 383 ppm

Mouse LD50= 13-23 mg/kg Rat LD50= 10-11 mg/kg

2

<u>Daphn</u>	<u>ia</u>	magna
Mysid	sh	rimp

LC50 0.22-0.34 ppb LC50 = 0.02 - 0.033 ppb

Bluegill Rainbow trout LC50=9.6 ppb LC50=3.2 ppb

## Pertinent chronic toxicity data

Rat 1-generation reproduction 77-712-0

NOEL=0.1 mg/kg/day (1 ppm') LEL=0.2 mg/kg/day (2 ppm')

Mouse 10-day oral NOEL Mouse Terat. with photodegradate 84-722-1

NOEL=0.05 mg/kg/day (0.5 ppm) mortality to adult mice at -- LEL=0.075 mg/kg/day (0.75 ppm1) NOEL=0.05 mg/kg/day (0.5 ppm<sup>T</sup>) LEL=0.1 mg/kg/day (1 ppm')

Avian reproduction test

NOEL=12 ppm LEL=64 ppm (reduced egg prod.)

Daphnia magna life-cycle

MATC >0.03<0.09 ppb (all dead by day 5 at 0.09 ppb)

Rainbow trout early life stage MATC >0.52<0.96 ppb

Mysid Shrimp Life-cycle

MATC >0.0035<0.0093 ppb

#### TERRESTRIAL RISK ASSESSMENT

The following theoretical residue values (ppm) were calculated from a nomograph developed from historical measured residue data presented in Hoerger and Kenaga (1972)'.

Use Rate 0.02 lb/A	Short <u>Grass</u>	-		Insects <u>Forage</u>		Fruit
Maximum <sup>2</sup>	4.8	2.2	2.5	1.2	0.2	0.1
Typical <sup>3</sup>		1.8	0.7	0.6	<0.1	<0.1

<sup>1</sup> Hoerger, F.C. and E.E. Kenaga. 1972. Pesticide Residues on Plants Correlation of Representative Data as a Basis for Estimation of Their Magnitude in the Environment. Environmental Quality. Academic Press, New York, I:9-28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The maximum residues that may occur on the particular food item immediately after application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The typical residues that may occur on each listed material immediately after application.

Use Rate 0.025 lb/A	Short <u>Grass</u>	_	-	Insects <u>Forage</u>	Seed <u>Pods</u>	Fruit
Maximum	6	2.7	3.1	1.5	0.3	0.2
Typical	3.1		0.9	0.8	0.07	0.04

Acute and chronic effects to birds are not expected since estimated residues do not exceed the dietary LC50 of 383 ppm or the avian reproduction NOEL of 12 ppm.

These residues do not exceed acute dietary concern levels for mammals (100 ppm<sup>4</sup>). These levels do exceed the level which caused mortality (1 mouse in 20 at day 4) in a 10-day feeding study (0.75 ppm) and chronic effect levels such as the rat 1-generation NOEL (1 ppm), and the mouse teratogenic LEL's (2-4 ppm). Multiple applications (>3 per season at intervals less that 21 days), represent chronic exposure. Adverse acute effects are unlikely, however, chronic effects may occur to mammals exposed to residues such as those derived from the nomograph.

### AQUATIC RISK ASSESSMENT

Runoff modeling was used to estimate the concentration in water from the use of avermectin at 0.02 lb ai/acre when applied to cotton. This will be used to represent runoff from a variety of vegetable crops. The following table reflects concentrations after one application. This was generated assuming a typical runoff year.

Benthic pptr	

Concentrations would be slightly higher from a maximum use rate of 0.025 lb ai/acre.

The concentrations from runoff would exceed the shrimp NOEL of 3.5 pptr. The water column levels do not exceed the <u>Daphnia magna</u> chronic NOEL of 30 pptr. The concentrations in sediment do exceed both invertebrate chronic NOEL's and the shrimp LC50 of 20 pptr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on the LD50 of 10 mg/kg from which a 1-day LC50 can be calculated (ppm=LD50 X WT / CONS) assuming a mammal consumes 10 % of its body weight per day.

Therefore, while only the more sensitive organisms would be affected by water column concentrations, concentrations in the sediment may have an adverse effect on a wide range of organisms. This concern must be addressed via field testing.

Average year levels do not exceed the rainbow trout early life stage NOEL of 520 pptr, nor 1/10 of that value (52 pptr). Adverse effects to fish are unlikely.

Exposure from drift is expected for pears and nut trees (air blast application results in drift). Assuming 5% of the applied is deposited on an adjacent waterbody 6 feet deep, the concentration would be 76 pptr. This exceeds aquatic invertebrate acute and chronic concern levels.

#### SUMMARY

During a typical year, the use of avermectin may result in adverse chronic effects to mammals and aquatic invertebrates occurring within and immediately adjacent to the treated areas. Drift from air blast treatment may cause acute and chronic effects to aquatic invertebrates.

The proposed uses require field testing which negates the presumption of risk before EEB can conclude minimal adverse effects to mammals and aquatic invertebrates.

#### ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS

The following table indicates the endangered species concern levels based on laboratory data.

## Triggers:

Avian	Acute Chronic	38 ppm (1/10 LC50) 12 ppm (Rep. NOEL)
Mammal (reptiles	Acute Chronic	10 ppm (1/10 LC50 <sup>3</sup> ) 0.5 ppm (NOEL <sup>6</sup> )
and amphibians)	CHIOHIC	
Fish	Acute Chronic	0.16 ppb (1/20 LC50) 0.52 ppb (ELS NOEL)
Mollusks Terrestrial	Acute	21.5 ppb (1/20 EC50)
Invertebrates:	Assumed	hazardous to any expose

The use of avermectin at 0.025 lb. ai/acre is not expected to affect endangered birds or fish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The LD50 of 10 mg/kg is used to develop a 1-day dietary LC50 of approximately 100 ppm assuming 10% food consumption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Dietary NOEL extrapolated from 10-day oral pregnant mouse test assuming a mammal consumes 10% of its body weight per day.

## Consultation with USFWS required

The proposed uses of avermectin may affect endangered and threatened aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates and mammals. Formal consultation with the USFWS may be initiated when the field testing has been submitted.

If you have questions concerning this review, please contact Dan Rieder.

Note added by Don Rieden 2-20-92

In a 2-19-92 mtz up Merck I learned that the proposed use on almosdo, walnuts and pears had been changed. They indicated the between treatment interest was lengthered to 21 days or greater. Based on this I concluded that chunic effects to mammals were unlikely because avernection would degrade to kerels believe those expected to be hazardons to mammals. Terrestrial field testing for effects to mammals is not required for pears, walnuts and almosts. Aquatic field testing is required for these uses.

Mammal and aquatré field testing is required for stramberries, letterce, tornatives and celery based on current exposure estimates.

Atomet Poir